

**Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Residential Electric HVAC
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report**

January 15, 2010

In compliance with the “Order Approving ‘Fast Track’ Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications” (Issued and Effective January 16, 2009), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #17, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. (“Central Hudson”) submits the following monthly “scorecard report” and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Residential Electric HVAC Program
Reporting period:	December 2010
Report Contact person:	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956

1. Program Status

Central Hudson offers its residential electric HVAC program to its customers under the umbrella name “Home Energy SavingsCentral.” This name is used for marketing purposes to eliminate customer confusion. This program has been operational since May 18, 2009. Central Hudson contracted Honeywell Utility Solutions as the implementation contractor for this program. Central Hudson is offering this electric energy efficiency program as detailed below:

➤ **Home Energy SavingsCentral**

Under the Residential Electric HVAC program, Central Hudson residential electric customers can receive equipment rebates for eligible energy efficiency equipment and measures to existing homes including eligible: central air conditioners (\$400 - \$600), central air source heat pumps (\$400 - \$600), ECM furnace fans (\$200), heat pump water heaters (\$400), programmable thermostats (\$25) and duct and air sealing (up to \$600). All efficiency eligibility levels and rebate levels are as detailed in the above referenced order.

Funding approved for 2009 will be expended into 2010 for this program to attain 2009-2010 cumulative energy savings goals.

Program Energy Savings Adjustment:

To date, Central Hudson has utilized the original measure-level savings estimates as filed in the original program proposals, used to approve this program, submitted in Central Hudson's program implementation plan, for the reporting energy of savings achieved under this program. These estimates, established before the existence of the technical manual, were used to develop the program's achievable energy targets based on projected participation levels, as well as the required program funding to financially support those participation levels.

In the PSC Order Approving Consolidation and Revision of Technical Manuals, issued and effective October 18, 2010, The Commission addresses Central Hudson's prior comments:

"Central Hudson asks that either measure energy savings levels in effect at the time of program approval should be retained for measuring program energy savings, or targets should be adjusted to match the new measure savings levels as calculated according to the final Technical Manual."

Although the latter was not addressed, Central Hudson's request to utilize savings estimates established during program design was denied. Central Hudson plans to adjust previously reported savings levels at the time of the 2010 annual report submission to comply with the PSC approved technical manual. Central Hudson plans to utilize New York Standard Approach for Estimating Energy Savings from Energy Efficiency Programs Single Family Residential Measures, dated December 16, 2009 for all savings estimates regardless of date, with the exception of ECM furnace fans, for simplicity and accuracy. The new Technical Manual will be utilized to estimate retroactive savings on ECM Furnace Fans because this measure was not in the previous manual. Central Hudson reiterates the importance of re-aligning program targets with the participation level that the program can financially support.

Central Hudson estimates that energy savings reported for this program as of October 2010 will be reduced substantially. To minimize the magnitude of the adjustment, Central Hudson has reported no energy savings for this reporting month. Central Hudson has not halted or diminished the operation of this program in any way, and the program is still acquiring energy savings through the payment of incentives.

2. Program Implementation Activities

(a) Marketing Activities

During the month of December, marketing activities included Internet marketing, earned media and strategic partnering.

- i. Internet marketing
Central Hudson made several updates to SavingsCentral.com regarding this program, including the heat pump water heater page.
- ii. Earned media
Article and photo in Dec. 3 Bluestone Press (weekly newspaper) about residential energy efficiency workshop in Rosendale at which Central Hudson presented and handed out materials.
- iii. Strategic partnering
During December, Central Hudson continued its partnership with Sustainable Hudson Valley to market the Ten Percent Challenge in Red Hook, NY. This initiative challenges communities (both residences and businesses) to use 10 percent less energy within a year's time and to get 10 percent of peers involved. December activities included the Ten Days of Commitment, which called for opinion leaders and institutional heads to make specific commitments of action related to saving energy and/or reducing emissions.

Events for October through December are listed below. Public events are listed and updated regularly at www.SavingsCentral.com/events.html.

Oct. - Dec. 2010 OUTREACH AND EVENTS CALENDAR

Date	Event/meeting	Location	Audience	Estimated attendees
Oct. 5	N. Dutchess Career/Business event	Red Hook	Business community/students	100
Oct. 19	Trade Ally Breakfast #1	Kingston	Trade Allies	35
Oct. 21	Buy Local Business Expo	Hudson	Business community	300
Oct. 22	Trade Ally Breakfast #2	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies	30
Oct. 24	Academy Street Partnership	Poughkeepsie	Homeowners	45
Oct. 27	Dutchess Reg. Chamber Marketplace	Poughkeepsie	Business community	450
Nov. 1	Grand Efficiency Challenge Dinner	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies	60
Nov. 22	Residential Energy Efficiency Workshop	Rosendale	Homeowners	30
Nov. 30	LaGrange Rotary Club	Poughkeepsie	Business community	15
Dec. 7	Orange Co. Partnership Annual Event	New Windsor	Economic dev. community	600
Dec. 14	HVEDC Hudson Valley Showcase	White Plains	Commercial landlords	100

(b) Evaluation Activities

Central Hudson has contracted with Applied Energy Group (AEG) to perform a process evaluation. AEG has many years of previous experience in performing process and impact evaluations of energy efficiency programs. A final evaluation plan for this "Fast Track" program is currently under revisions after being reviewed by DPS Staff and will be filed.

(c) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant

on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, and collaborative outreach. Central Hudson is leading the working group on the prevention of “double dipping” and “double counting” to set statewide protocols for all utilities working with NYSERDA.

Central Hudson has participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the “Joint Utility” operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

3. Customer Complaints and/or Disputes

There have been no customer complaints and/or disputes.

4. Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing

There have been no updates to staffing or contractors since the previous scorecard.

5. Additional Issues

There are no additional issues for this program.

**Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Residential Natural Gas HVAC
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report**

January 15, 2010

In compliance with the “Order Approving ‘Fast Track’ Utility-Administered Gas Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications” (Issued and Effective April 9, 2009), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #14, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. (“Central Hudson”) submits the following monthly “scorecard report” and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Residential Natural Gas HVAC Program
Reporting period:	December 2010
Report Contact person:	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956

1. Program Status

Central Hudson is offering its residential natural gas program to its customers under the umbrella name “Home Energy SavingsCentral.” This name is being used for marketing purposes to eliminate customer confusion. This program has been operational since July 1, 2009. Central Hudson has contracted Honeywell Utility as the implementation contractor for this program. Central Hudson is offering this natural gas energy efficiency program as detailed below:

➤ **Home Energy SavingsCentral**

Under the Residential Natural Gas HVAC Program, Central Hudson residential natural gas customers can receive rebates for the installation of energy efficient natural gas equipment including efficient furnaces (\$140), furnaces with ECM fans (\$280 - \$420), steam and water boilers (\$350 to \$700), indirect water heaters (\$210), programmable thermostats (\$18), and duct and air sealing (up to \$420). All efficiency eligibility levels and rebate levels are as ordered in the “Order Approving Three New Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (EEPS) Programs and Enhancing Funding and Making Other Modifications for Other EEPS Programs” (issued and effective June 24, 2010.)

The enhanced funding portion of this program has been operational since October 1, 2010.

2. Program Implementation Activities

(a) Marketing Activities

During the month of December, marketing activities included Trade Ally outreach, radio advertising and Valpak advertising.

i. Trade Ally outreach

During December, Central Hudson continued the declining balance of the funding pool for residential natural gas incentives so Trade Allies can be apprised of the availability of funds when they sell to the customer. This is listed on the contractor connection page of SavingsCentral.com.

ii. Radio advertising

Central Hudson broadcast natural gas heat incentives advertisements (running within a rotation of three other ads) on AM and FM stations across Central Hudson's service area.

iii. Valpak advertisement

Central Hudson prepared an advertisement to be included in a Valpak coupon mailer to 100,000 customers in January.

Events for October through December are listed below. Public events are listed and updated regularly at www.SavingsCentral.com/events.html.

Oct. - Dec. 2010 OUTREACH AND EVENTS CALENDAR

Date	Event/meeting	Location	Audience	Estimated attendees
Oct. 5	N. Dutchess Career/Business event	Red Hook	Business community/students	100
Oct. 19	Trade Ally Breakfast #1	Kingston	Trade Allies	35
Oct. 21	Buy Local Business Expo	Hudson	Business community	300
Oct. 22	Trade Ally Breakfast #2	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies	30
Oct. 24	Academy Street Partnership	Poughkeepsie	Homeowners	45
Oct. 27	Dutchess Reg. Chamber Marketplace	Poughkeepsie	Business community	450
Nov. 1	Grand Efficiency Challenge Dinner	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies	60
Nov. 22	Residential Energy Efficiency Workshop	Rosendale	Homeowners	30
Nov. 30	LaGrange Rotary Club	Poughkeepsie	Business community	15
Dec. 7	Orange Co. Partnership Annual Event	New Windsor	Economic dev. community	600
Dec. 14	HVEDC Hudson Valley Showcase	White Plains	Commercial landlords	100

(b) Evaluation Activities

Central Hudson has contracted with Applied Energy Group (AEG) to perform a process evaluation. AEG has many years of previous experience in performing process and impact evaluations of energy efficiency programs. A final evaluation plan for this "Fast Track" program is currently under revisions after being reviewed by DPS Staff and will be filed in 2010.

Central Hudson plans to perform a joint impact evaluation for this program with other program administrators in New York. This will leverage the benefit of having similar programs throughout the state and ensure the highest quality evaluation possible under the program budget.

(c) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, collaborative outreach, and web content. Central Hudson is leading the working group on the prevention of “double dipping” and “double counting” to set statewide protocols for all utilities working with NYSERDA.

Central Hudson has participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the “Joint Utility” operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

3. Customer Complaints and/or Disputes

There have been no customer complaints and/or disputes.

4. Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing

There have been no updates to staffing or contractors since the previous scorecard.

5. Additional Issues

There are no additional issues for this program.

**Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Small Business Electric
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report**

January 15, 2010

In compliance with the “Order Approving ‘Fast Track’ Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications” (Issued and Effective January 16, 2009), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #17, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. (“Central Hudson”) submits the following monthly “scorecard report” and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Small Business Electric Program
Reporting period:	December 2010
Report Contact person:	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956

1. Program Status

Central Hudson is offering its electric energy efficiency program to its eligible small business customers under the name “Business Energy SavingsCentral,” as detailed below. This program has been operational since May 18, 2009.

➤ **Business Energy SavingsCentral**

As of November 1, 2010, Central Hudson’s Business energy savings program is being delivered under a Direct Install model. The customer receives a free energy audit, followed by a comprehensive proposal for an energy efficient lighting solution, and turn-key project services such that 70% of the total project cost is covered by Central Hudson incentives. Central Hudson has contracted with Alliance Energy Solutions to act as the program’s direct installer. Eligible customers include all non-residential customers below 100kW on demand¹. Eligible measures include interior lighting and lighting controls.

Funding approved for 2009 will be expended into 2010 for this program.

2. Program Implementation Activities

(a) Marketing Activities

¹ Based on the most recent 12-month demand average on a rolling basis.

During the month of December, marketing activities included Internet marketing, business outreach, direct mail, email, earned media, Chamber of Commerce outreach and strategic partnering.

Internet marketing

Central Hudson made several enhancements to the web platform for this program, including online sign-ups for energy audits, offered through Central Hudson's main web site, www.CentralHudson.com/savemoney/

Central Hudson posted one more satisfied customer testimonial online so non-residential customers can hear program reviews from business peers.

Central Hudson posted Facebook entries on this program, including one on Dec. 2.

Business outreach

Central Hudson staffed a booth at the Orange County Partnership Annual Event on Dec. 7 in New Windsor.

Direct mail

Central Hudson sent a post card about commercial lighting upgrades on Dec. 15 to approximately 9,000 customers.

Email

Central Hudson sent an email blast about commercial lighting upgrades to approximately 3,000 commercial customers on Dec. 15.

Earned media

Central Hudson ran an editorial in the Dec. 2 Poughkeepsie Journal (daily newspaper) about the commercial lighting program for both small and mid-size commercial customers, including municipal customers.

Chamber of Commerce outreach

Central Hudson ran an article about a business customer (Beekman Golf Club) satisfied with the commercial lighting program in the December newsletter of the Greater Southern Dutchess Chamber of Commerce.

Strategic partnering

During December, Central Hudson continued its partnership with Sustainable Hudson Valley to market the Ten Percent Challenge in Red Hook, NY. This initiative challenges communities (both residences and businesses) to use 10 percent less energy within a year's time and to get 10 percent of peers involved. Approximately 20 small businesses in Red Hook are participating in either the small or mid-size commercial program.

Events for October through December are listed below. Public events are listed and updated regularly at www.SavingsCentral.com/events.html.

Oct. - Dec. 2010 OUTREACH AND EVENTS CALENDAR

Date	Event/meeting	Location	Audience	Estimated attendees
Oct. 5	N. Dutchess Career/Business event	Red Hook	Business community/students	100
Oct. 19	Trade Ally Breakfast #1	Kingston	Trade Allies	35
Oct. 21	Buy Local Business Expo	Hudson	Business community	300
Oct. 22	Trade Ally Breakfast #2	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies	30
Oct. 24	Academy Street Partnership	Poughkeepsie	Homeowners	45
Oct. 27	Dutchess Reg. Chamber Marketplace	Poughkeepsie	Business community	450
Nov. 1	Grand Efficiency Challenge Dinner	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies	60
Nov. 22	Residential Energy Efficiency Workshop	Rosendale	Homeowners	30
Nov. 30	LaGrange Rotary Club	Poughkeepsie	Business community	15
Dec. 7	Orange Co. Partnership Annual Event	New Windsor	Economic dev. community	600
Dec. 14	HVEDC Hudson Valley Showcase	White Plains	Commercial landlords	100

(b) Evaluation Activities

Central Hudson has contracted with Applied Energy Group (AEG) to perform a process evaluation. AEG has many years of previous experience in performing process and impact evaluations of energy efficiency programs. A final evaluation plan for this “Fast Track” program is currently under revisions after being reviewed by DPS Staff and will be filed in 2011.

(c) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, collaborative outreach, and web content. Central Hudson is leading the working group on the prevention of “double dipping” and “double counting” to set statewide protocols for all utilities working with NYSERDA.

Central Hudson has participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the “Joint Utility” operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

3. Customer Complaints and/or Disputes

There have been no customer complaints and/or disputes.

4. Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing

Central Hudson has contracted with Alliance Energy Solutions to deliver this program as the commercial Direct Installer.

5. Additional Issues

There are no additional issues.

**Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Mid-Size Commercial Business Electric
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report**

January 15, 2010

In compliance with the “Order Approving Certain Commercial and Industrial Customer Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications” (Issued and Effective October 23, 2009), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #4, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. (“Central Hudson”) submits the following monthly “scorecard report” and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Mid-Size Commercial Business Electric Program
Reporting period:	December 2010
Report Contact person:	Mark S. Sciafani, Energy Efficiency msciafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956

1) Program Status

Central Hudson is offering its electric energy efficiency program to its eligible mid-size commercial customers under the name “Business Energy SavingsCentral”, as detailed below. This program has been operational since January 1, 2010.

➤ **Business Energy SavingsCentral**

As of November 1, 2010, Central Hudson’s Business energy savings program is being delivered under a Direct Install model. The customer receives a free energy audit, followed by a comprehensive proposal for an energy efficient lighting solution, and turn-key project services such that 70% of the total project cost is covered by Central Hudson incentives. Central Hudson has contracted with Alliance Energy Solutions to act as the program’s direct installer. Eligible customers include all non-residential customers below 100kW on demand². Eligible measures include interior lighting and lighting controls.

Funding approved for 2009 will be expended into 2010 for this program.

² Based on the most recent 12-month demand average on a rolling basis.

2) Program Implementation Activities

(a) Marketing Activities

During the month of December, marketing activities included Internet marketing, business outreach, direct mail, email, earned media, Chamber of Commerce outreach and strategic partnering.

i. Internet marketing

Central Hudson made several enhancements to the web platform for this program, including online sign-ups for energy audits, offered through Central Hudson's main web site, www.CentralHudson.com/savemoney/

Central Hudson posted one more satisfied customer testimonial online so non-residential customers can hear program reviews from business peers.

Central Hudson posted Facebook entries on this program, including one on Dec. 2.

ii. Business outreach

Central Hudson staffed a booth at the Orange County Partnership Annual Event on Dec. 7 in New Windsor.

i. Direct mail

Central Hudson sent a post card about commercial lighting upgrades on Dec. 15 to approximately 9,000 customers.

ii. Email

Central Hudson sent an email blast about commercial lighting upgrades to approximately 3,000 commercial customers on Dec. 15.

iii. Earned media

Central Hudson ran an editorial in the Dec. 2 Poughkeepsie Journal (daily newspaper) about the commercial lighting program for both small and mid-size commercial customers, including municipal customers.

iv. Chamber of Commerce outreach

Central Hudson ran an article about a business customer (Beekman Golf Club) satisfied with the commercial lighting program in the December newsletter of the Greater Southern Dutchess Chamber of Commerce.

v. Strategic partnering

During December, Central Hudson continued its partnership with Sustainable Hudson Valley to market the Ten Percent Challenge in Red Hook, NY. This initiative challenges communities (both residences and businesses) to use 10 percent less energy within a year's time and to get 10 percent of peers involved. Approximately 20 small businesses in Red Hook are participating in either the small or mid-size commercial program.

Events for October through December are listed below. Public events are listed and updated regularly at www.SavingsCentral.com/events.html.

Oct. - Dec. 2010 OUTREACH AND EVENTS CALENDAR

Date	Event/meeting	Location	Audience	Estimated attendees
Oct. 5	N. Dutchess Career/Business event	Red Hook	Business community/students	100
Oct. 19	Trade Ally Breakfast #1	Kingston	Trade Allies	35
Oct. 21	Buy Local Business Expo	Hudson	Business community	300
Oct. 22	Trade Ally Breakfast #2	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies	30
Oct. 24	Academy Street Partnership	Poughkeepsie	Homeowners	45
Oct. 27	Dutchess Reg. Chamber Marketplace	Poughkeepsie	Business community	450
Nov. 1	Grand Efficiency Challenge Dinner	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies	60
Nov. 22	Residential Energy Efficiency Workshop	Rosendale	Homeowners	30
Nov. 30	LaGrange Rotary Club	Poughkeepsie	Business community	15
Dec. 7	Orange Co. Partnership Annual Event	New Windsor	Economic dev. community	600
Dec. 14	HVEDC Hudson Valley Showcase	White Plains	Commercial landlords	100

(b) Evaluation Activities

A program evaluation plan is currently under development.

(c) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, collaborative outreach, and web content. Central Hudson is leading the working group on the prevention of "double dipping" and "double counting" to set statewide protocols for all utilities working with NYSERDA.

Central Hudson has participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the "Joint Utility" operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

3. Customer Complaints and/or Disputes

There have been no customer complaints and/or disputes.

4. Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing

Central Hudson has contracted with Alliance Energy Solutions to deliver this program as the commercial Direct Installer.

5. Additional Issues

There are no additional issues.

**Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Expanded Residential Electric HVAC
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report**

January 15, 2010

In compliance with the “Order Approving Certain Commercial and Industrial; Residential; and Low-Income Residential Customer Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications” (Issued and Effective January 4, 2010), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #4, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. (“Central Hudson”) submits the following monthly “scorecard report” and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Expanded Residential Electric HVAC Program
Reporting period:	December 2010
Report Contact person:	Mark S. Sciafani, Energy Efficiency msciafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956

1. Program Status

Central Hudson has received approval for its Expanded Residential Electric HVAC program. This program has been operational since January 4, 2009. This program was designed as an extension of the Residential HVAC program as detailed below:

➤ **Home Energy SavingsCentral (Expanded Portion)**

Under the Expanded Residential Electric HVAC program, Central Hudson designed this program based on equipment rebates for eligible energy efficiency equipment and measures to existing and newly constructed homes including eligible: ground source heat pump systems (\$700/ton for construction of a new ground loop, and \$200-\$300/ton for the new geothermal heat pump unit), ECM furnace fans (\$200), and programmable thermostats (\$25)

2. Program Implementation Activities

According to page 64 of the above-mentioned Order, this program was approved with the following modifications:

“1) Central Hudson should conduct an assessment of each proposed project to determine whether it is cost-effective and provide incentive payments to only those projects with a TRC ratio of 1.0 or greater and

2) Only customers that heat primarily with electricity and have electric central cooling are eligible for incentives under this program.”

In reference to the second modification, Central Hudson estimates that only approximately 3% of its residential electric customer base would be eligible for this program under these new restrictions.³ Central Hudson has received 14 applications for pre approval of geothermal heat pump systems, 11 of which have been denied on the basis of this modification.

Central Hudson is currently re-evaluating the potential for this program to be effective under the restrictions set forth by the PSC. Central Hudson does not expect this program to meet the approved participation levels with the aforementioned eligibility constraints. Central Hudson will discuss this issue with DPS Staff, in an attempt to prevent the exclusion of 97% of Central Hudson’s electric SBC-paying customers from participating in this program.

Central is planning to submit a filing in 2010 to further explain why this program has been suspended and to request a recommended course of action.

Central Hudson paid \$21,100 in incentives during the month of December. These incentives were committed before the program rules and eligibility protocols were fully developed, and customers performed energy efficiency upgrades based on those commitments. No additional rebates will be paid under the program at this time.

(a) Marketing Activities

During the month of December, there were no marketing activities for the Expanded Residential Electric HVAC Program.

(b) Evaluation Activities

No evaluation activities have begun for this program.

(c) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, collaborative outreach, and web content. Central Hudson is leading the working group on the prevention of “double dipping” and “double counting” to set statewide protocols for all utilities working with NYSERDA.

³ Central Hudson’s Residential Appliance Saturation Survey, conducted by TRC solutions in 2006, indicates that approximately 8.60% of residential electric customers heat primarily with electricity, and that only approximately 36% of those customers have Central Cooling.

Central Hudson has participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the “Joint Utility” operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

3. Customer Complaints and/or Disputes

There have been no customer complaints and/or disputes.

4. Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing

There have been no updates to staffing or contractors since the previous scorecard.

5. Additional Issues

There are no additional issues.

**Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Residential Appliance Recycling
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report**

January 15, 2010

In compliance with the “Order Approving Certain Commercial and Industrial; Residential; and Low-Income Residential Customer Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications” (Issued and Effective January 4, 2010), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #4, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. (“Central Hudson”) submits the following monthly “scorecard report” and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Residential Appliance Recycling Program
Reporting period:	December 2010
Report Contact person:	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956

1. Program Status

Central Hudson is offering the Residential Appliance Recycling program to customers. The room air conditioner portion of this program became operational May 15, 2010, and the refrigerator/freezer portion has been operational since June 21, 2010. Central Hudson is offering this electric energy efficiency program as detailed below:

➤ **Residential Appliance Recycling** offers two types of incentives:

1) Room Air Conditioners. Residential customers turned in their old, operational window or through-the-wall air conditioner at a participating retailer and had it recycled at no cost. After receiving a proof of recycling certificate, they qualified for a rebate toward the purchase of a new, ENERGY STAR® air conditioner from any retailer. This is a mail-in rebate. The air conditioner part of this program concluded on Sept. 15.

2) Refrigerators and Freezers. Central Hudson is offering a \$50 incentive to residential customers to pick up their old, working refrigerator or freezer (sized between 10 and 30 cubic feet). Customers schedule pick-ups by phone or online at www.SavingsCentral.com. All equipment will be properly and responsibly recycled.

Program Energy Savings Adjustment:

Central Hudson has conformed to the savings estimation methodologies included in the Technical Manual, where possible, for the reporting of energy savings under this program. However, in the case of refrigerator and freezer recycling, the rigor and unreliability of the implicit tracking requirements has made this impossible. Central Hudson has previously requested that a deemed savings level be established for these measures to provide an accurate and reliable estimation methodology.

In the Central Hudson's comments on the New York Standard Approach for Estimating Energy Savings from Energy Efficiency Programs, the company stated:

"Central Hudson requests that statewide averages be provided for some or all of these values to alleviate the unnecessary tracking burden associated with capturing this data for each unit. Tracking of equipment specific parameters such as original DOE energy rating is very time intensive, and sometimes impossible."

In the Consolidated Technical Manual, Approved in the PSC Order issued and effective October 18, 2010, The requested simplified methodology was provided. However, it simultaneously prevents Central Hudson from using those more accurate calculation methods by restricting the effective date to measures installed after January 1, 2011.

Because full conformity could not be achieved, Central Hudson's reported savings during 2010 for these measures were judgmentally adjusted to be conservative (i.e., understating the true values), in anticipation of future adjustment to apply an improved per-installed measure savings calculation. Central Hudson plans to adjust previously reported savings levels at the time of the 2010 annual report submission to comply with the PSC approved technical manual. Central Hudson plans to utilize the Consolidated Technical Manual for all savings estimates regardless of date acquired, for simplicity and accuracy. This applies only to refrigerator and freezer recycling, as the estimation methodology for room air conditioner recycling was feasible as included in the previous manual.

Overall, Central Hudson estimates that energy savings reported for this program as of October 2010 will be increased by approximately 40% - 50%. Central Hudson has reported savings for this reporting month using the previous estimation methods so that the effect of the adjustment is observed collectively at the time of the annual report. Additionally, Central Hudson recognizes the need for consistency in maintaining that targets should be re-aligned to

match the energy savings that can be achieved using the current estimation methodologies.

2) Program Implementation Activities

(a) Marketing Activities

During the month of December, Central Hudson continued to promote the Appliance Recycling Program through television, radio and Valpak mailers.

vi. Television

Central Hudson's 30-second television spot, "Time to Move On," promoting the refrigerator recycling part of the program, premiered on ESPN Sept. 13, and it aired once during every Monday Night Football game until Dec. 27. The commercial also aired 100 times on Discovery, Food, Bravo, TNT and HGTV, and 80 times each on the Golf Channel, CNN Headline News, Sci Fi, Spike and the Weather Channel.

vii. Radio

Central Hudson recorded a refrigerator recycling advertisement that was broadcast (as part of a rotation with other four other Central Hudson ads) throughout the month of December.

viii. Valpak mailer

Central Hudson prepared an advertisement to be included in a Valpak coupon mailer to 100,000 customers in January.

Events for October through December are listed below. Public events are listed and updated regularly at www.SavingsCentral.com/events.html.

Oct. - Dec. 2010 OUTREACH AND EVENTS CALENDAR

Date	Event/meeting	Location	Audience	Estimated attendees
Oct. 5	N. Dutchess Career/Business event	Red Hook	Business community/students	100
Oct. 19	Trade Ally Breakfast #1	Kingston	Trade Allies	35
Oct. 21	Buy Local Business Expo	Hudson	Business community	300
Oct. 22	Trade Ally Breakfast #2	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies	30
Oct. 24	Academy Street Partnership	Poughkeepsie	Homeowners	45
Oct. 27	Dutchess Reg. Chamber Marketplace	Poughkeepsie	Business community	450
Nov. 1	Grand Efficiency Challenge Dinner	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies	60
Nov. 22	Residential Energy Efficiency Workshop	Rosendale	Homeowners	30
Nov. 30	LaGrange Rotary Club	Poughkeepsie	Business community	15
Dec. 7	Orange Co. Partnership Annual Event	New Windsor	Economic dev. community	600
Dec. 14	HVEDC Hudson Valley Showcase	White Plains	Commercial landlords	100

(b) Evaluation Activities

A program evaluation plan is currently under development.

(c) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, and collaborative outreach. Central Hudson is leading the working group on the prevention of “double dipping” and “double counting” to set statewide protocols for all utilities working with NYSERDA.

Central Hudson has participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the “Joint Utility” operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

2) Customer Complaints and/or Disputes

There have been no customer complaints and/or disputes.

3) Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing

There have been no updates to staffing or contractors since the previous scorecard.

4) Additional Issues

There are no additional issues

**Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Commercial Gas Program
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report**

January 15, 2010

In compliance with the “Order Approving Three New Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (EEPS) Programs Enhancing Funding and Making Other Modifications for Other EEPS Programs” (Issued and Effective June 24, 2009), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #7, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. (“Central Hudson”) submits the following monthly “scorecard report” and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Commercial Natural Gas Program
Reporting period:	December 2010
Report Contact person:	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956

1. Program Status

Central Hudson is offering this commercial natural gas energy efficiency program as detailed below:

➤ **Commercial Natural Gas Program**

Under the Residential Natural Gas HVAC Program, Central Hudson residential natural gas customers can receive rebates for the installation of energy efficient natural gas equipment including efficient furnaces (\$140), furnaces with ECM fans (\$500 - \$900), steam and water boilers (\$800 to \$1,200), indirect water heaters (\$300), and programmable thermostats (\$25). All efficiency eligibility levels and rebate levels are as ordered in the “Order Approving Three New Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (EEPS) Programs and Enhancing Funding and Making Other Modifications for Other EEPS Programs” (issued and effective June 24, 2010.)

This program has been operational since October 1, 2010. Central Hudson has received several rebate applications and expects to report acquired savings in December of 2010.

2. Program Implementation Activities

(a) Marketing Activities

During the month of December, there were no marketing activities.

(a) Evaluation Activities

No evaluation activities have begun for this program.

(b) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, collaborative outreach, and web content. Central Hudson is leading the working group on the prevention of “double dipping” and “double counting” to set statewide protocols for all utilities working with NYSERDA.

Central Hudson has participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the “Joint Utility” operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

3. Customer Complaints and/or Disputes

There have been no complaints or disputes.

4. Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing

There have been no updates to staffing or contractors since the previous scorecard.

5. Additional Issues

There are no additional issues for this program.

**Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Commercial Financing Program
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report**

December 2010

In compliance with the “Order Approving A Petition to Provide 0% Financing as Part of Small Commercial and Mid-Size Commercial Business Programs” (Issued and Effective October 14, 2010), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #1, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. (“Central Hudson”) submits the following monthly “scorecard report” and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Commercial Financing Program
Reporting period:	December 2010
Report Contact person:	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956

1. Program Status:

Beginning November 1, 2010 Central Hudson began offering utility based financing to all customers participating in the Small or Mid Size Commercial Electric Programs. This financing is available for the 30% cost portion of projects not covered by Central Hudson incentives.

Central Hudson issued 9 Loans in December, totaling \$36,650. To date, 9 loans have been issued, with a total of \$36,650 outstanding.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program Name	Residential Electric HVAC
Program Type²	Residential Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month³	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month ⁴	0
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year <i>annual</i> ⁵ kWh Goal)	65,158
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	0.0%
Net Peak ⁶ kW acquired this month	0
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	17.8
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	0.0%
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	N/A
Monthly Net Therm Goal	N/A
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	N/A
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	0.00
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	N/A
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	1,490,754
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	120.42%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	74.50%
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	1,490,754
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	475.7
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	140.3%
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	86.2%
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	N/A
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	N/A
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date⁷	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	7,453,770.00
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	N/A
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	0
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	0
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	N/A
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	N/A
Funds committed at this point in time	\$22,525.00
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	-
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	-
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	N/A

Costs⁹	
Total program budget	\$2,330,505.00
General Administration	\$23,908.00
Program Planning	\$0.00
Program Marketing	\$0.00
Trade Ally Training	\$1,693.00
Incentives and Services	\$44,300.00
Direct Program Implementation	\$33,025.00
Program Evaluation	\$0.00
Total expenditures to date	\$1,261,209.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	54.12%
Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	1740
Number of program applications <i>processed</i> to date ¹⁰	1675
Number of processed applications <i>approved</i> to date ¹¹	1532
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	96.26%
NOTES:	
<p>¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p>²There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.</p> <p>³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. Acquired kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p>⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p>⁵Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the <i>monthly</i> goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p>⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p>	

⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life.

⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered but not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program Name	Small Business Electric Program
Program Type²	Commercial Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month³	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month ⁴	1,600,071
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year <i>annual</i> ⁵ kWh Goal)	1,697,941
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	94.24%
Net Peak ⁶ kW acquired this month	459.33
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	421
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	109.23%
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	N/A
Monthly Net Therm Goal	N/A
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	N/A
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	8,000,355
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	N/A
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	5,908,145
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	20.47%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	13.03%
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	5,908,145
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	1734.33
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	24.26%
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	15.44%
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	N/A
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	N/A
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date⁷	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	29,540,725
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	N/A
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	2,148,105.00
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	10,740,525.00
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	656.20
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	N/A
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	N/A
Funds committed at this point in time	\$749,536.00
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	3,748,176.00
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	1,115.53
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	N/A

Costs⁹	
Total program budget	\$12,370,030.00
General Administration	\$28,525.00
Program Planning	\$0.00
Program Marketing	\$3,991.00
Trade Ally Training	\$2,902.00
Incentives and Services	\$518,572.00
Direct Program Implementation	\$84,146.00
Program Evaluation	\$0.00
Total expenditures to date	\$2,544,686.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	20.57%
Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	338
Number of program applications <i>processed</i> to date ¹⁰	338
Number of processed applications <i>approved</i> to date ¹¹	338
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	100.00%
NOTES:	
<p>¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p>²There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.</p> <p>³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. Acquired kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p>⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p>⁵Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the <i>monthly</i> goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p>⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p>	

⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life.

⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered but not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program Name	Mid-Size Commercial Electric
Program Type²	Commercial Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month³	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month ⁴	376,960
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year <i>annual</i> ⁵ kWh Goal)	232,583
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	162.08%
Net Peak ⁶ kW acquired this month	138.33
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	60
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	230.23%
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	N/A
Monthly Net Therm Goal	N/A
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	N/A
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	1,884,800
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	N/A
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	998,500
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	35.78%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	17.89%
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	998,500
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	319.351
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	44.29%
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	22.15%
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	N/A
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	N/A
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date⁷	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	4,992,500
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	N/A
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	238,678.00
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	1,193,390.00
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	72.91
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	N/A
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	N/A
Funds committed at this point in time	\$83,282.00
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	615,638.00
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	211.24
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	N/A

Costs⁹	
Total program budget	\$2,435,862.00
General Administration	\$11,934.00
Program Planning	\$0.00
Program Marketing	\$6,897.00
Trade Ally Training	\$2,902.00
Incentives and Services	\$91,509.00
Direct Program Implementation	\$1,736.00
Program Evaluation	\$0.00
Total expenditures to date	\$343,835.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	14.12%
Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	32
Number of program applications <i>processed</i> to date ¹⁰	32
Number of processed applications <i>approved</i> to date ¹¹	32
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	100.00%
NOTES:	
<p>¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p>²There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.</p> <p>³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. Acquired kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p>⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p>⁵Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the <i>monthly</i> goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p>⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p>	

⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life.

⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered but not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program Name	Expanded Residential HVAC
Program Type²	Residential Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month³	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month ⁴	0
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year <i>annual</i> ⁵ kWh Goal)	53,333
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	0.0%
Net Peak ⁶ kW acquired this month	0
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	2.7
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	0.0%
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	N/A
Monthly Net Therm Goal	N/A
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	N/A
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	0.00
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	N/A
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	0
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	0.00%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	0.00%
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	0
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	0.0
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	0.0%
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	0.0%
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	N/A
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	N/A
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date⁷	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	0.00
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	N/A
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	0
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	0
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	N/A
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	N/A
Funds committed at this point in time	\$0.00
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	-
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	-
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	N/A

Costs⁹	
Total program budget	\$483,500.00
General Administration	\$0.00
Program Planning	\$0.00
Program Marketing	\$0.00
Trade Ally Training	\$0.00
Incentives and Services	\$21,100.00
Direct Program Implementation	\$0.00
Program Evaluation	\$0.00
Total expenditures to date	\$27,828.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	5.76%
Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	14
Number of program applications <i>processed</i> to date ¹⁰	14
Number of processed applications <i>approved</i> to date ¹¹	3
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	100.00%
NOTES:	
<p>¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p>²There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.</p> <p>³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. Acquired kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p>⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p>⁵Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the <i>monthly</i> goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p>⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p>	

⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life.

⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered but not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program Name	Residential Appliance Recycling
Program Type²	Residential Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month³	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month ⁴	289,010
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year <i>annual</i> ⁵ kWh Goal)	185,556
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	155.8%
Net Peak ⁶ kW acquired this month	35.72
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	28.4
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	125.6%
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	N/A
Monthly Net Therm Goal	N/A
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	N/A
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	1,445,050.00
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	N/A
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	2,581,568
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	154.58%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	66.26%
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	2,581,568
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	383.7
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	149.9%
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	64.3%
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	N/A
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	N/A
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date⁷	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	12,907,840.00
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	N/A
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	211,307
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	1,056,535
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	26
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	N/A
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	N/A
Funds committed at this point in time	\$11,350.00
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	500,317
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	61.53
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	N/A

Costs⁹	
Total program budget	\$1,779,000.00
General Administration	\$6,267.00
Program Planning	\$0.00
Program Marketing	\$0.00
Trade Ally Training	\$0.00
Incentives and Services	\$15,850.00
Direct Program Implementation	\$43,145.00
Program Evaluation	\$0.00
Total expenditures to date	\$593,564.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	33.37%
Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	5262
Number of program applications <i>processed</i> to date ¹⁰	4172
Number of processed applications <i>approved</i> to date ¹¹	4149
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	79.29%
NOTES:	
<p>¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p>²There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.</p> <p>³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. Acquired kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p>⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p>⁵Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the <i>monthly</i> goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p>⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p>	

⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life.

⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered but not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program Name	Residential Gas HVAC
Program Type²	Residential Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month³	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month ⁴	23,749
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year <i>annual</i> ⁵ kWh Goal)	
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	
Net Peak ⁶ kW acquired this month	0.00
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	18,317
Monthly Net Therm Goal	5,817
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	314.9%
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	118,745
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	91,584
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	64,703
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	64,703
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	3.46
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	3.46
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	73,305
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	70.0%
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	38.2%
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	73,305
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date⁷	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	323,515
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	366,526
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	15,173
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	75,865
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	0.0
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	18,030
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	90,150
Funds committed at this point in time	\$27,700.00
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	38,922
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	0.00
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	36,347
Costs⁹	

Total program budget	\$662,426.00
General Administration	\$969.00
Program Planning	\$0.00
Program Marketing	\$0.00
Trade Ally Training	\$0.00
Incentives and Services	\$28,436.00
Direct Program Implementation	\$1,543.00
Program Evaluation	\$0.00
Total expenditures to date	\$257,046.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	38.80%
Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	678
Number of program applications <i>processed</i> to date ¹⁰	549
Number of processed applications <i>approved</i> to date ¹¹	549
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	81.0%
NOTES:	
<p>¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p>²There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.</p> <p>³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. Acquired kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p>⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p>⁵Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the <i>monthly</i> goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p>⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p> <p>⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the <i>year</i> in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures in stalled in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of thier useful life.</p>	

⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

⁹ These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰ An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹ The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program Name	Commercial Gas
Program Type²	Residential Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month³	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month ⁴	0
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year <i>annual</i> ⁵ kWh Goal)	
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	
Net Peak ⁶ kW acquired this month	0
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	1,155
Monthly Net Therm Goal	2,356
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	49.0%
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	0.00
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	N/A
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	0
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	0.00%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	0.00%
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	0
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	0.0
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	0.0%
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	0.0%
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	N/A
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	N/A
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date⁷	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	0.00
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	N/A
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	5,280
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	26,400
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	0
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	2,713
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	13,565
Funds committed at this point in time	\$9,325.00
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	5,280
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	-
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	N/A

Costs⁹	
Total program budget	\$235,350.00
General Administration	\$728.00
Program Planning	\$0.00
Program Marketing	\$0.00
Trade Ally Training	\$0.00
Incentives and Services	\$9,325.00
Direct Program Implementation	\$0.00
Program Evaluation	\$0.00
Total expenditures to date	\$10,053.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	4.27%
Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	24
Number of program applications <i>processed</i> to date ¹⁰	8
Number of processed applications <i>approved</i> to date ¹¹	8
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	33.33%
NOTES:	
<p>¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p>²There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.</p> <p>³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. Acquired kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p>⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p>⁵Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the <i>monthly</i> goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p>⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p>	

⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life.

⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered but not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program Name	Commercial Electric Financing
Program Type	Utility Based Financing
Small Commercial Program	
Number of 12-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	1
Number of 24-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	6
Total Number of Loans Issued this Month	7
Total Amount of Outstanding Financing Issued this Month	\$ 26,021.00
Number of 12-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	1
Number of 24-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	6
Total Number of Loans Issued to Date	7
Total Amount of Financing Outstanding	\$ 26,021.00
Total Number of Loans in Arrears	0
Total Amount of Financing in Arrears	\$ -
Mid Size Commercial Program	
Number of 12-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	1
Number of 24-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	1
Total Number of Loans Issued this Month	2
Total Amount of Outstanding Financing Issued this Month	\$ 10,629.00
Number of 12-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	1
Number of 24-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	1
Total Number of Loans Issued to Date	2
Total Amount of Financing Outstanding	\$ 10,629.00
Total Number of Loans in Arrears	0
Total Amount of Financing in Arrears	\$ -
Overall Commercial Programs	
Number of 12-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	2
Number of 24-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	7
Total Number of Loans Issued this Month	9
Total Amount of Outstanding Financing Issued this Month	\$ 36,650.00
Number of 12-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	1
Number of 24-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	1
Total Number of Loans Issued to Date	9
Total Amount of Financing Outstanding	\$ 36,650.00
Total Number of Loans in Arrears	0
Total Amount of Financing in Arrears	\$ -