



February 14, 2011

VIA EMAIL

Honorable Jaclyn A. Brillong, Secretary
New York State Public Service Commission
Three Empire State Plaza
Albany, New York 12223

Re: Case 07-M-0548 – Proceeding on Motion of the Commission Regarding an Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard

Case 08-E-1129 – Petition of New York State Electric & Gas Corporation for Approval of an Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (EEPS) Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program

Case 08-E-1130 – Petition of Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation for Approval of an Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (EEPS) Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program

Case 09-G-0363 – Petitions for Approval of Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (EEPS) Gas Energy Efficiency Programs

Dear Secretary Brillong:

Pursuant to the New York State Public Service Commission's Order Approving Certain Commercial and Industrial Customer Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications in Cases 08-E-1129, 08-E-1130 and 08-G-0363¹ and Pursuant to the New York State Public Service Commission's November 13, 2009 Order Approving Certain Commercial and Industrial Customer Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications and Addressing Independent Program Administrator Filings in Cases 08-E-1129, 08-E-1130 and 09-G-0363², New York State Electric

¹ Cases 08-E-1129, 08-E-1130 - Petitions of New York State Electric & Gas Corporation and Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation for Approval of an Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program, and Case 09-G-0363, Petitions for Approval of Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (EEPS) Gas Energy Efficiency Programs, Order Approving Certain Commercial and Industrial Customer Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications, at 41 (October 23, 2009).

² Cases 08-E-1129, 08-E-1130 - Petitions of New York State Electric & Gas Corporation and Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation for Approval of an Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program, Order Approving Certain Commercial and Industrial Customer Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications and Addressing Independent Program Administrator Filings, at 35 (November 13, 2009).

Honorable Jaclyn A. Brillig
February 14, 2011

Page 2

& Gas Corporation and Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation hereby file the “Monthly Scorecard Report” of key Non-Residential Commercial and Industrial Prescriptive Rebate Programs and Non-Residential Commercial and Industrial Custom Rebate Programs achievements for the month ending January 31, 2011.

Please direct any questions to me at telephone number 607-725-3936 or via email at jjfishgertz@nyseg.com.

Respectfully Submitted,



Joni Fish-Gertz
Manager, EEPS Programs

Enclosure

**Combined Report for
New York State Electric & Gas Corporation
&
Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation**

NYSEG/RG&E Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program

Including:

Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Prescriptive Electric Program
Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Prescriptive Gas Program
Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Custom Electric Program
Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Custom Gas Program

**Savings from New York Standard Approach for Estimating
Energy Savings from Energy Efficiency Programs**

Monthly Program Report

February 14, 2011

Prepared for

New York State Department of Public Service

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	3
SECTION I. BASIC PROGRAM INFORMATION.....	3
SECTION II. EVALUATION SUPPORT INFORMATION	5
SECTION III. REPORTING TEMPLATE FOR MONTHLY NON-RESIDENTIAL COMMERCIAL & INDUSTRIAL REBATE PROGRAM REPORT.....	5
SECTION IV. NARRATIVE REPORT TO BE INCLUDED WITH SPREADSHEET	5

Introduction

No changes since December 2010 Scorecard.

Section I. Basic Program Information

I A1) Program Description:

No changes since December 2010 Scorecard.

I A2) Operation and Procedures Manual

No changes since December 2010 Scorecard.

I A3) Activities Descriptions

No changes since December 2010 Scorecard.

I A4) Description of the Program - Service Territory

No changes since December 2010 Scorecard.

I B) Detailed Description of Customer Data Tracking System and Customer Data Tracking System Operations, Including Data Dictionaries

No changes since December 2010 Scorecard.

I C) Detailed Description of How Data in the Tracking System Contributes to the Monthly Report

No changes since December 2010 Scorecard.

I D) Program Management

Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Prescriptive Electric Program
Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Prescriptive Gas Program
Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Custom Electric Program
Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Custom Gas Program

Thomas O'Connor
NYSEG/RG&E Non-residential Programs Manager
NYSEG and RG&E
Rochester, NY
585.724.8894
Thomas_OConnor@rge.com

I E) Program Savings Objectives

No changes since December 2010 Scorecard

I F) Program Theory and Logic

No changes since December 2010 Scorecard

I G) Listing and Description of, and Contact Information for, the Market Actors, Trade Allies, and Other Stakeholders on Which the Program will rely for Program Delivery and Support

No changes since December 2010 Scorecard

Contact Information: Scott Bochenek
EEPS Trade Ally/Marketing & Sales/Training Support
NYSEG/RG&E
607.762.4977
S_Bochenek@nyseg.com

I H) Name of Firms under Contract to Program Administrators, Formally Participating in the Delivery of the Program or Program Component(s)

No changes since December 2010 Scorecard

I I) Inter-organizational Relationships and Activities Explained and Reported

No changes since December 2010 Scorecard

Section II. Evaluation Support Information

No changes since December 2010 Scorecard

Section III. Reporting Template for Monthly Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program Report

Refer to Attachment 1.

- ⇒ Table 2a, Variables Reported Monthly – NYSEG Prescriptive Electric
- ⇒ Table 2b, Variables Reported Monthly – NYSEG Prescriptive Gas
- ⇒ Table 2c, Variables Reported Monthly – NYSEG Custom Electric
- ⇒ Table 2d, Variables Reported Monthly – NYSEG Custom Gas
- ⇒ Table 2e, Variables Reported Monthly – RG&E Prescriptive Electric
- ⇒ Table 2f, Variables Reported Monthly – RG&E Prescriptive Gas
- ⇒ Table 2g, Variables Reported Monthly – RG&E Custom Electric
- ⇒ Table 2h, Variables Reported Monthly – RG&E Custom Gas

Section IV. Narrative Report to be Included with Spreadsheet

Program Administrator: NYSEG/RG&E
Program/Project: **NYSEG/RG&E Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program**
Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Prescriptive Electric Program
Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Prescriptive Gas Program
Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Custom Electric Program
Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Custom Gas Program
Reporting Period: **January 2011**
Report Contact Person: Thomas O'Connor

1. Program Status

Program Performance Goals

(a) Describe and discuss circumstances that may have an impact on the achievement of project performance goals (positive or negative).

Projects have been submitted for Prescriptive rebates program at both companies although some rebates had not been processed at the time of reporting. Therefore, a disparity may be noted between “Percent of Monthly Goal Acquired” and “Percent of total budget spent to date”. Over the last month, the Companies have continued to identify and mitigate potential market barriers, such as the 100 kW demand restriction for the Electric portion of the Custom Rebate Program.

(b) Describe and discuss other key aspects of program performance goals that were not discussed in (a).

The Companies are striving to reach the combined multi-year Annual Energy Savings goals for both the Prescriptive and Custom programs by the end of 2011. Factors such as volatile economic conditions, unpredictable customer behavior, and inconsistent lead times make it difficult to predict short-term performance by utility, by program and by fuel-type.

“Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month” and “Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date” reflect adjusted net monthly and annual kWh and therm goals in respective programs. Monthly goals are calculated as the multi-year target divided equally over the 12 months of 2011 (adjusted where appropriate for savings achieved, specifically, the Prescriptive natural gas programs).

In order to achieve the *Forecast of net energy impacts*, paragraph (c) below, the Companies will roll over unspent 2010 Prescriptive program budgets into the 2011 program budgets as approved in Tables 1a and 2a of Appendix 2 in the October 23, 2009 Order Approving Certain Commercial and Industrial Customer Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications and unspent 2010 Custom program budgets into the 2011 program budgets as approved in Tables 1 and 2 of Appendix 3 in the November 13, 2009 Order Approving Certain Commercial and Industrial Customer Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications and Addressing Independent Program Administrator Filings.

(c) Forecast of net energy impacts.

NYSEG and RG&E Annual Energy Savings - Prescriptive Rebate Program

Year	NYSEG MWh	RG&E MWh	NYSEG Dt	RG&E Dt
Total 2010-2011	5,550	4,036	13,062	13,472

Source: Total 2010- 2011: Goal as reflected in the C&I Prescriptive Implementation Plan, December 22, 2009

NYSEG and RG&E Annual Energy Savings - Custom Rebate Program

Year	NYSEG MWh	RG&E MWh	NYSEG Dt	RG&E Dt
Total 2010-2011	15,634	9,586	12,328	12,048

Source: Total 2010-2011: Goal as reflected in the C&I Custom Implementation Plan, January 6, 2010

2) Program Implementation Activities

(a) Marketing Activities

During January, the Companies’ Marketing and Sales (M&S) field personnel contacted 178 customers and trade allies to introduce and/or reinforce the C&I Rebate Program messages. M&S contacts are comprised of both field visits and telephone calls with customers and trade allies.

On December 6, 2010, the Companies began an online advertising campaign targeting the *Business Journal* published in the each utility's respective service area, including the Binghamton, Syracuse, Mohawk Valley, and Rochester markets. Additionally, the program is advertised on the Web pages of WBNG (Binghamton) and the Democrat and Chronicle (Rochester). The campaign is still performing extremely well on the two business journal sites in Rochester and Binghamton and, in particular, on the e-newsletter sponsorships. The average banner click-through rate according to Google is 0.1%. This campaign is performing above the national click-through average in this reporting period with click-through rates ranging from 0.14% to 0.81%. The online ads will continue through February 27.

In an effort to extend energy efficiency opportunities to as many qualifying customers as possible, the Companies continue co-marketing efforts in conjunction with other EEPS program offerings. For example, when Small Business Direct Installation (SBDI) Program field representatives identify a facility that falls outside of the 100 kW SBDI threshold, the customer is advised to contact the NYSEG or RG&E M&S representative regarding other energy efficiency programs, including the C&I Rebate Program. C&I Rebate Program overview sheets are provided. Additionally, C&I Rebate Program overview sheets, as well as two other more specific cut sheets - one for natural gas furnaces, boilers, and controls, and one for air conditioning and heat pumps – are provided to potentially interested Multi-family Program property owners/managers.

Following is a summary of community outreach events conducted during January:

Date	Topic	Location	Utility	Audience Type	Number of Attendees
1/14/2011	EEPS	Hornell Rotary Club	NYSEG	Community Organization	12
1/25/2011	EEPS	Avon Rotary Club	RG&E	Community Organization	28
1/27/2011	EEPS	Auburn Cayuga County Chamber of Commerce	NYSEG	Professional Organization	150

The Companies will continue direct customer contact, monitor customer participation and consider additional introductory contacts, bill inserts, and advertising and/or general community presentations as needed to achieve program goals.

Extensive information about the program appears on the Companies' energy efficiency Web pages at:

<http://nyseg.com/UsageAndSafety/usingenergywisely/eeeps/cirp.html>
<http://www.rge.com/UsageAndSafety/usingenergywisely/eeeps/cirp.html>

(b) Evaluation Activities

Itron has been selected as the evaluation contractor for this program. A kick-off meeting was held with Itron, the evaluation manager, DPS staff and the program implementation coordinator. Itron has issued an initial data request for documents related to this program. Itron is preparing a detailed evaluation plan for submission to DPS staff.

(c) Other Activities

None at this time.

3. Customer Complaints and/or Disputes

No NYS PSC complaints have been reported. Customer disputes are handled as they occur.

4. Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing

The Non-Residential Program Manager is now:

Thomas OConnor
NYSEG and RG&E
Binghamton & Rochester, NY
585.724.8894
Thomas_oconnor@rge.com

The Non-Residential Program Manager will be responsible for program performance for all non-residential programs, including the C/I programs.

5. Additional Issues

None at this time.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	NYSEG Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program
Program Name	NYSEG Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial PRESCRIPTIVE Program
Program Type²	Prescriptive ELECTRIC Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month^{3,15}	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this Month ⁴	0
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year annual kWh Goal)	463,000
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	0.00%
Net Peak⁶ kW acquired this Month	
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	
Monthly Net Therm Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	NA
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	0
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date^{15,17}	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date ¹⁵	60,178
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of total program goal ⁹	1.08%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	60,178
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility total program goal ⁹	15
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of total program goal ⁹	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	NA
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date^{7,15,17}	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	902,677
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	NA
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month¹⁵	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	0
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	0
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	NA
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	NA
Funds committed at this point in time	\$0
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)¹⁵	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	0
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	0
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	NA
Costs^{9,16}	
Total program budget	\$2,610,016
General Administration ¹³	Portfolio

Program Planning ¹³	Portfolio
Program Marketing ¹⁸	\$1,026
Trade Ally Training ¹⁸	\$0
Incentives and Services ^{14,18}	\$0
Direct Program Implementation ¹⁸	\$7,209
Program Evaluation ¹⁸	\$0
Total expenditures to date ¹⁷	\$143,210
Percent of total budget spent to date	5.49%
Participation^{15,17}	
Number of program applications received to date	13
Number of program applications processed to date	10
Number of processed applications approved to date	3
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	76.92%

DPS Staff Notes:

¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities and NYSERDA to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.

²There is not currently a consistent list of program type but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.

³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation (See Definition #1 in *Savings Definitions* Tab). The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. **Acquired** kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.

⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.

⁵Program Administrators should make a best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the monthly goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.

⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.

⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will differ for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life are no longer accumulated.

⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and forecasts should get more precise with program experience, i.e., the difference between achieved and committed should narrow over time.

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰ An application is *processed* once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹ The application is *approved* once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

¹² See *CO₂ Reduction Values* tab.

Utility Notes:

¹³ Portfolio Costs are not included in the program budget or actual costs. Consistent with the Companies' July 9, 2009 letter to the Secretary in Cases 07-M-0548 et al. ("July 9 letter") and the Companies' prior and subsequent energy efficiency program submittals, Portfolio Costs are incremental and are incurred as a result of the Companies' ongoing active participation in the New York State Public Service Commission's ("Commission") energy efficiency proceedings. Portfolio Costs allow the Companies to jointly provide efficient support for the complete suite of specific energy efficiency programs ultimately approved by the Commission.

¹⁴ Incentives and services costs are reported based on Company payment of invoices received from program implementation contractors for incentive payments made to program participants. They will thus lag the actual issuance of incentive payments to program participants.

¹⁵ Impacts are calculated using actual installed measure information for all measures.

¹⁶ All program costs identified in this section are recovered through the SBC surcharge unless otherwise noted.

¹⁷ Information presented here may include changes to previously filed monthly scorecard tables based on: review of additional information, reconsideration of eligibility decisions made by the implementation contractor during prior reporting periods, or other factors.

¹⁸ Data contained in these fields reflects the most recent month's expenditures in the respective category, as reported in the companies' accounting systems, not "to date" information.

¹⁹ Effective February 9, 2011, Staff requested that Program Administrators report their Net Savings as a percent of the total program goal through 2011, as it is set forth in the relevant Commission Order or, for certain programs, the approved Operating Plan, whichever is appropriate. Since most programs are in their second year of implementation, comparing achievements to date against an annual goal would distort a program's achievements and would no longer provide the meaningful information that Staff and the EAG had intended when they developed the Reporting Guidelines.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	NYSEG Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program
Program Name	NYSEG Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial PRESCRIPTIVE Program
Program Type²	Prescriptive GAS Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month^{3,15}	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this Month ⁴	NA
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year annual ⁵ kWh Goal)	NA
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	NA
Net Peak⁶ kW acquired this Month	
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	
Monthly Net Therm Goal	732
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	10.20%
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	14,642
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date^{15,17}	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date ¹⁵	NA
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of total program goal ¹⁹	NA
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility total program goal ¹⁹	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of total program goal ¹⁹	45,175
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	34.59%
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	NA
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	45,175
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date^{7,15,17}	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	NA
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	903,501
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month¹⁵	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	NA
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	NA
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	NA
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	0
Funds committed at this point in time	\$0
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)¹⁵	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	NA
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	732

Costs^{9,16}	
Total program budget	\$614,218
General Administration ¹³	Portfolio
Program Planning ¹³	Portfolio
Program Marketing ¹⁸	\$200
Trade Ally Training ¹⁸	\$0
Incentives and Services ^{14,18}	-\$14,125
Direct Program Implementation ¹⁸	\$3,504
Program Evaluation ¹⁸	\$0
Total expenditures to date ¹⁷	\$98,393
Percent of total budget spent to date	16.02%
Participation^{15,17}	
Number of program applications received to date	25
Number of program applications processed to date	24
Number of processed applications approved to date	22
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	96.00%
DPS Staff Notes:	
<p>¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities and NYSERDA to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p>²There is not currently a consistent list of program type but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.</p> <p>³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation (See Definition #1 in <i>Savings Definitions</i> Tab). The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. <i>Acquired</i> kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p>⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p>⁵Program Administrators should make a best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the monthly goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p>⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p> <p>⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the <i>year</i> in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will differ for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life are no longer accumulated.</p> <p>⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best <i>estimate</i> of what they have committed. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and forecasts should get more precise with program experience, i.e., the difference between achieved and committed should narrow over time.</p>	

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰An application is *processed* once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is *approved* once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

¹²See *CO₂ Reduction Values* tab.

Utility Notes:

¹³ Portfolio Costs are not included in the program budget or actual costs. Consistent with the Companies' July 9, 2009 letter to the Secretary in Cases 07-M-0548 et al. ("July 9 letter") and the Companies' prior and subsequent energy efficiency program submittals, Portfolio Costs are incremental and are incurred as a result of the Companies' ongoing active participation in the New York State Public Service Commission's ("Commission") energy efficiency proceedings. Portfolio Costs allow the Companies to jointly provide efficient support for the complete suite of specific energy efficiency programs ultimately approved by the Commission.

¹⁴ Incentives and services costs are reported based on Company payment of invoices received from program implementation contractors for incentive payments made to program participants. They will thus lag the actual issuance of incentive payments to program participants.

¹⁵ Impacts are calculated using actual installed measure information for all measures.

¹⁶ All program costs identified in this section are recovered through the SBC surcharge unless otherwise noted.

¹⁷ Information presented here may include changes to previously filed monthly scorecard tables based on: review of additional information, reconsideration of eligibility decisions made by the implementation contractor during prior reporting periods, or other factors.

¹⁸ Data contained in these fields reflects the most recent month's expenditures in the respective category, as reported in the companies' accounting systems, not "to date" information.

¹⁹ Effective February 9, 2011, Staff requested that Program Administrators report their Net Savings as a percent of the total program goal through 2011, as it is set forth in the relevant Commission Order or, for certain programs, the approved Operating Plan, whichever is appropriate. Since most programs are in their second year of implementation, comparing achievements to date against an annual goal would distort a program's achievements and would no longer provide the meaningful information that Staff and the EAG had intended when they developed the Reporting Guidelines.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	NYSEG Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program
Program Name	NYSEG Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial CUSTOM Program
Program Type²	Custom ELECTRIC Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month^{3,15}	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this Month ⁴	40,586
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year annual ⁵ kWh Goal)	1,303,000
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	3.11%
Net Peak⁶ kW acquired this Month	
Net Peak ⁶ kW acquired this Month	0
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	NA
Monthly Net Therm Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	NA
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	608,796
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	NA
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date^{15,17}	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date ¹⁵	40,586
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of total program goal ¹⁹	0.26%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	40,586
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	0
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility total program goal ¹⁹	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of total program goal ¹⁹	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	NA
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date^{7,15,17}	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	608,796
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	NA
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month¹⁵	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	0
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	0
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	NA
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	NA
Funds committed at this point in time	\$0
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)¹⁵	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	40,586
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	0
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	NA

Costs^{9,16}	
Total program budget	\$5,862,000
General Administration ¹³	Portfolio
Program Planning ¹³	Portfolio
Program Marketing ¹⁸	\$2,207
Trade Ally Training ¹⁸	\$0
Incentives and Services ^{14,18}	\$0
Direct Program Implementation ¹⁸	\$11,941
Program Evaluation ¹⁸	\$0
Total expenditures to date ¹⁷	\$247,845
Percent of total budget spent to date	4.23%
Participation^{15,17}	
Number of program applications received to date	17
Number of program applications processed to date	15
Number of processed applications approved to date	1
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	88.24%
DPS Staff Notes:	
<p>¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities and NYSERDA to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p>²There is not currently a consistent list of program type but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.</p> <p>³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation (See Definition #1 in <i>Savings Definitions</i> Tab). The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. <i>Acquired</i> kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p>⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p>⁵Program Administrators should make a best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the monthly goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p>⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p> <p>⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the <i>year</i> in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will differ for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life are no longer accumulated.</p> <p>⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best <i>estimate</i> of what they have committed. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and forecasts should get more precise with program experience, i.e., the difference between achieved and committed should narrow over time.</p>	

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰An application is *processed* once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is *approved* once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

¹²See *CO₂ Reduction Values* tab.

Utility Notes:

¹³ Portfolio Costs are not included in the program budget or actual costs. Consistent with the Companies' July 9, 2009 letter to the Secretary in Cases 07-M-0548 et al. ("July 9 letter") and the Companies' prior and subsequent energy efficiency program submittals, Portfolio Costs are incremental and are incurred as a result of the Companies' ongoing active participation in the New York State Public Service Commission's ("Commission") energy efficiency proceedings. Portfolio Costs allow the Companies to jointly provide efficient support for the complete suite of specific energy efficiency programs ultimately approved by the Commission.

¹⁴ Incentives and services costs are reported based on Company payment of invoices received from program implementation contractors for incentive payments made to program participants. They will thus lag the actual issuance of incentive payments to program participants.

¹⁵ Impacts are calculated using actual installed measure information for all measures.

¹⁶ All program costs identified in this section are recovered through the SBC surcharge unless otherwise noted.

¹⁷ Information presented here may include changes to previously filed monthly scorecard tables based on: review of additional information, reconsideration of eligibility decisions made by the implementation contractor during prior reporting periods, or other factors.

¹⁸ Data contained in these fields reflects the most recent month's expenditures in the respective category, as reported in the companies' accounting systems, not "to date" information.

¹⁹ Effective February 9, 2011, Staff requested that Program Administrators report their Net Savings as a percent of the total program goal through 2011, as it is set forth in the relevant Commission Order or, for certain programs, the approved Operating Plan, whichever is appropriate. Since most programs are in their second year of implementation, comparing achievements to date against an annual goal would distort a program's achievements and would no longer provide the meaningful information that Staff and the EAG had intended when they developed the Reporting Guidelines.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	NYSEG Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program
Program Name	NYSEG Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial CUSTOM Program
Program Type²	Custom GAS Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month^{3,15}	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this Month ⁴	NA
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year annual ⁵ kWh Goal)	NA
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	NA
Net Peak⁶ kW acquired this Month	
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	
Monthly Net Therm Goal	1,852
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	18.04%
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	18,522
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date^{15,17}	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date ¹⁵	NA
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of total program goal ¹⁹	NA
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility total program goal ¹⁹	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of total program goal ¹⁹	1.50%
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	1,852
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date^{7,15,17}	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	NA
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	18,522
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month¹⁵	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	NA
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	NA
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	NA
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	0
Funds committed at this point in time	\$0
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)¹⁵	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	NA
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	1,852

Costs^{9,16}	
Total program budget	\$552,062
General Administration ¹³	Portfolio
Program Planning ¹³	Portfolio
Program Marketing ¹⁸	\$215
Trade Ally Training ¹⁸	\$0
Incentives and Services ^{14,18}	\$0
Direct Program Implementation ¹⁸	\$946
Program Evaluation ¹⁸	\$0
Total expenditures to date ¹⁷	\$21,905
Percent of total budget spent to date	3.97%
Participation^{15,17}	
Number of program applications received to date	4
Number of program applications processed to date	3
Number of processed applications approved to date	2
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	75.00%
DPS Staff Notes:	
<p>¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities and NYSERDA to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p>²There is not currently a consistent list of program type but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.</p> <p>³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation (See Definition #1 in <i>Savings Definitions</i> Tab). The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. Acquired kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p>⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p>⁵Program Administrators should make a best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the monthly goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p>⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p> <p>⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the <i>year</i> in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will differ for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life are no longer accumulated.</p> <p>⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best <i>estimate</i> of what they have committed. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and forecasts should get more precise with program experience, i.e., the difference between achieved and committed should narrow over time.</p>	

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰An application is *processed* once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is *approved* once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

¹²See *CO₂ Reduction Values* tab.

Utility Notes:

¹³ Portfolio Costs are not included in the program budget or actual costs. Consistent with the Companies' July 9, 2009 letter to the Secretary in Cases 07-M-0548 et al. ("July 9 letter") and the Companies' prior and subsequent energy efficiency program submittals, Portfolio Costs are incremental and are incurred as a result of the Companies' ongoing active participation in the New York State Public Service Commission's ("Commission") energy efficiency proceedings. Portfolio Costs allow the Companies to jointly provide efficient support for the complete suite of specific energy efficiency programs ultimately approved by the Commission.

¹⁴ Incentives and services costs are reported based on Company payment of invoices received from program implementation contractors for incentive payments made to program participants. They will thus lag the actual issuance of incentive payments to program participants.

¹⁵ Impacts are calculated using actual installed measure information for all measures.

¹⁶ All program costs identified in this section are recovered through the SBC surcharge unless otherwise noted.

¹⁷ Information presented here may include changes to previously filed monthly scorecard tables based on: review of additional information, reconsideration of eligibility decisions made by the implementation contractor during prior reporting periods, or other factors.

¹⁸ Data contained in these fields reflects the most recent month's expenditures in the respective category, as reported in the companies' accounting systems, not "to date" information.

¹⁹ Effective February 9, 2011, Staff requested that Program Administrators report their Net Savings as a percent of the total program goal through 2011, as it is set forth in the relevant Commission Order or, for certain programs, the approved Operating Plan, whichever is appropriate. Since most programs are in their second year of implementation, comparing achievements to date against an annual goal would distort a program's achievements and would no longer provide the meaningful information that Staff and the EAG had intended when they developed the Reporting Guidelines.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	RG&E Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program
Program Name	RG&E Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial PRESCRIPTIVE Program
Program Type²	Prescriptive ELECTRIC Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month^{3,15}	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this Month ⁴	0
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year annual ⁵ kWh Goal)	336,000
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	0.00%
Net Peak⁶ kW acquired this Month	
Net Peak ⁶ kW acquired this Month	0
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	NA
Monthly Net Therm Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	NA
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	0
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	NA
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date^{15,17}	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date ¹⁵	134,085
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of total program goal ¹⁹	3.32%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	134,085
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	31
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility total program goal ¹⁹	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of total program goal ¹⁹	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	NA
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date^{7,15,17}	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	1,867,356
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	NA
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month¹⁵	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	0
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	0
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	NA
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	NA
Funds committed at this point in time	\$0
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)¹⁵	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	0
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	0
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	NA

Costs^{9,16}	
Total program budget	\$1,714,652
General Administration ¹³	Portfolio
Program Planning ¹³	Portfolio
Program Marketing ¹⁸	\$587
Trade Ally Training ¹⁸	\$0
Incentives and Services ^{14,18}	-\$5,359
Direct Program Implementation ¹⁸	\$2,175
Program Evaluation ¹⁸	\$0
Total expenditures to date ¹⁷	\$95,027
Percent of total budget spent to date	5.54%
Participation^{15,17}	
Number of program applications received to date	4
Number of program applications processed to date	4
Number of processed applications approved to date	4
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	100.00%
DPS Staff Notes:	
<p>¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities and NYSERDA to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p>²There is not currently a consistent list of program type but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.</p> <p>³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation (See Definition #1 in <i>Savings Definitions</i> Tab). The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. <i>Acquired</i> kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p>⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p>⁵Program Administrators should make a best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the monthly goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p>⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p> <p>⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the <i>year</i> in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will differ for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life are no longer accumulated.</p> <p>⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best <i>estimate</i> of what they have committed. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and forecasts should get more precise with program experience, i.e., the difference between achieved and committed should narrow over time.</p>	

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰An application is *processed* once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is *approved* once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

¹²See *CO₂ Reduction Values* tab.

Utility Notes:

¹³ Portfolio Costs are not included in the program budget or actual costs. Consistent with the Companies' July 9, 2009 letter to the Secretary in Cases 07-M-0548 et al. ("July 9 letter") and the Companies' prior and subsequent energy efficiency program submittals, Portfolio Costs are incremental and are incurred as a result of the Companies' ongoing active participation in the New York State Public Service Commission's ("Commission") energy efficiency proceedings. Portfolio Costs allow the Companies to jointly provide efficient support for the complete suite of specific energy efficiency programs ultimately approved by the Commission.

¹⁴ Incentives and services costs are reported based on Company payment of invoices received from program implementation contractors for incentive payments made to program participants. They will thus lag the actual issuance of incentive payments to program participants.

¹⁵ Impacts are calculated using actual installed measure information for all measures.

¹⁶ All program costs identified in this section are recovered through the SBC surcharge unless otherwise noted.

¹⁷ Information presented here may include changes to previously filed monthly scorecard tables based on: review of additional information, reconsideration of eligibility decisions made by the implementation contractor during prior reporting periods, or other factors.

¹⁸ Data contained in these fields reflects the most recent month's expenditures in the respective category, as reported in the companies' accounting systems, not "to date" information.

¹⁹ Effective February 9, 2011, Staff requested that Program Administrators report their Net Savings as a percent of the total program goal through 2011, as it is set forth in the relevant Commission Order or, for certain programs, the approved Operating Plan, whichever is appropriate. Since most programs are in their second year of implementation, comparing achievements to date against an annual goal would distort a program's achievements and would no longer provide the meaningful information that Staff and the EAG had intended when they developed the Reporting Guidelines.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	RG&E Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program
Program Name	RG&E Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial PRESCRIPTIVE Program
Program Type²	Prescriptive GAS Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month^{3,15}	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this Month ⁴	NA
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year annual ⁵ kWh Goal)	NA
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	NA
Net Peak⁶ kW acquired this Month	
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	
Monthly Net Therm Goal	2,754
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	7,680
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	NA
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date^{15,17}	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date ¹⁵	55,079
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of total program goal ¹⁹	NA
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility total program goal ¹⁹	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of total program goal ¹⁹	45,296
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	33.62%
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	NA
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date^{7,15,17}	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	45,296
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	905,914
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month¹⁵	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	0
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	0
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	0
Funds committed at this point in time	\$0
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)¹⁵	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	2,754
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	NA

Costs^{9,16}	
Total program budget	\$606,014
General Administration ¹³	Portfolio
Program Planning ¹³	Portfolio
Program Marketing ¹⁸	\$249
Trade Ally Training ¹⁸	\$0
Incentives and Services ^{14,18}	-\$7,850
Direct Program Implementation ¹⁸	\$1,604
Program Evaluation ¹⁸	\$0
Total expenditures to date ¹⁷	\$80,216
Percent of total budget spent to date	13.24%
Participation^{15,17}	
Number of program applications received to date	19
Number of program applications processed to date	18
Number of processed applications approved to date	17
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	94.74%
DPS Staff Notes:	
<p>¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities and NYSERDA to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p>²There is not currently a consistent list of program type but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.</p> <p>³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation (See Definition #1 in <i>Savings Definitions</i> Tab). The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. <i>Acquired</i> kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p>⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p>⁵Program Administrators should make a best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the monthly goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p>⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p> <p>⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the <i>year</i> in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will differ for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life are no longer accumulated.</p> <p>⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best <i>estimate</i> of what they have committed. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and forecasts should get more precise with program experience, i.e., the difference between achieved and committed should narrow over time.</p>	

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰An application is *processed* once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is *approved* once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

¹²See *CO₂ Reduction Values* tab.

Utility Notes:

¹³ Portfolio Costs are not included in the program budget or actual costs. Consistent with the Companies' July 9, 2009 letter to the Secretary in Cases 07-M-0548 et al. ("July 9 letter") and the Companies' prior and subsequent energy efficiency program submittals, Portfolio Costs are incremental and are incurred as a result of the Companies' ongoing active participation in the New York State Public Service Commission's ("Commission") energy efficiency proceedings. Portfolio Costs allow the Companies to jointly provide efficient support for the complete suite of specific energy efficiency programs ultimately approved by the Commission.

¹⁴ Incentives and services costs are reported based on Company payment of invoices received from program implementation contractors for incentive payments made to program participants. They will thus lag the actual issuance of incentive payments to program participants.

¹⁵ Impacts are calculated using actual installed measure information for all measures.

¹⁶ All program costs identified in this section are recovered through the SBC surcharge unless otherwise noted.

¹⁷ Information presented here may include changes to previously filed monthly scorecard tables based on: review of additional information, reconsideration of eligibility decisions made by the implementation contractor during prior reporting periods, or other factors.

¹⁸ Data contained in these fields reflects the most recent month's expenditures in the respective category, as reported in the companies' accounting systems, not "to date" information.

¹⁹ Effective February 9, 2011, Staff requested that Program Administrators report their Net Savings as a percent of the total program goal through 2011, as it is set forth in the relevant Commission Order or, for certain programs, the approved Operating Plan, whichever is appropriate. Since most programs are in their second year of implementation, comparing achievements to date against an annual goal would distort a program's achievements and would no longer provide the meaningful information that Staff and the EAG had intended when they developed the Reporting Guidelines.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	RG&E Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program
Program Name	RG&E Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial CUSTOM Program
Program Type²	Custom ELECTRIC Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month^{3,15}	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this Month ⁴	0
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year annual ⁵ kWh Goal)	799,000
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	0.00%
Net Peak⁶ kW acquired this Month	
Net Peak ⁶ kW acquired this Month	0
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	NA
Monthly Net Therm Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	NA
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	0
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	NA
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date^{15,17}	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date ¹⁵	0
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of total program goal ¹⁹	0.00%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	0
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	0
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility total program goal ¹⁹	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of total program goal ¹⁹	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	NA
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date^{7,15,17}	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	0
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	NA
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month¹⁵	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	0
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	0
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	NA
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	NA
Funds committed at this point in time	\$0
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)¹⁵	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	0
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	0
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	NA

Costs^{9,16}	
Total program budget	\$3,712,000
General Administration ¹³	Portfolio
Program Planning ¹³	Portfolio
Program Marketing ¹⁸	\$645
Trade Ally Training ¹⁸	\$0
Incentives and Services ^{14,18}	\$0
Direct Program Implementation ¹⁸	\$2,707
Program Evaluation ¹⁸	\$0
Total expenditures to date ¹⁷	\$141,046
Percent of total budget spent to date	3.80%
Participation^{15,17}	
Number of program applications received to date	5
Number of program applications processed to date	3
Number of processed applications approved to date	0
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	60.00%
DPS Staff Notes:	
<p>¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities and NYSERDA to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p>²There is not currently a consistent list of program type but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.</p> <p>³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation (See Definition #1 in <i>Savings Definitions</i> Tab). The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. Acquired kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p>⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p>⁵Program Administrators should make a best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the monthly goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p>⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p> <p>⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the <i>year</i> in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will differ for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life are no longer accumulated.</p> <p>⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best <i>estimate</i> of what they have committed. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and forecasts should get more precise with program experience, i.e., the difference between achieved and committed should narrow over time.</p>	

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰An application is *processed* once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is *approved* once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

¹²See *CO₂ Reduction Values* tab.

Utility Notes:

¹³ Portfolio Costs are not included in the program budget or actual costs. Consistent with the Companies' July 9, 2009 letter to the Secretary in Cases 07-M-0548 et al. ("July 9 letter") and the Companies' prior and subsequent energy efficiency program submittals, Portfolio Costs are incremental and are incurred as a result of the Companies' ongoing active participation in the New York State Public Service Commission's ("Commission") energy efficiency proceedings. Portfolio Costs allow the Companies to jointly provide efficient support for the complete suite of specific energy efficiency programs ultimately approved by the Commission.

¹⁴ Incentives and services costs are reported based on Company payment of invoices received from program implementation contractors for incentive payments made to program participants. They will thus lag the actual issuance of incentive payments to program participants.

¹⁵ Impacts are calculated using actual installed measure information for all measures.

¹⁶ All program costs identified in this section are recovered through the SBC surcharge unless otherwise noted.

¹⁷ Information presented here may include changes to previously filed monthly scorecard tables based on: review of additional information, reconsideration of eligibility decisions made by the implementation contractor during prior reporting periods, or other factors.

¹⁸ Data contained in these fields reflects the most recent month's expenditures in the respective category, as reported in the companies' accounting systems, not "to date" information.

¹⁹ Effective February 9, 2011, Staff requested that Program Administrators report their Net Savings as a percent of the total program goal through 2011, as it is set forth in the relevant Commission Order or, for certain programs, the approved Operating Plan, whichever is appropriate. Since most programs are in their second year of implementation, comparing achievements to date against an annual goal would distort a program's achievements and would no longer provide the meaningful information that Staff and the EAG had intended when they developed the Reporting Guidelines.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	RG&E Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program
Program Name	RG&E Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial CUSTOM Program
Program Type²	Custom GAS Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month^{3,15}	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this Month ⁴	NA
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year annual ⁵ kWh Goal)	NA
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	NA
Net Peak⁶ kW acquired this Month	
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	
Monthly Net Therm Goal	10,040
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	0.00%
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	0
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date^{15,17}	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date ¹⁵	NA
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of total program goal ¹⁹	NA
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility total program goal ¹⁹	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of total program goal ¹⁹	0.00%
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	0
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date^{7,15,17}	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	NA
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	0
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month¹⁵	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	NA
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	NA
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	NA
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	0
Funds committed at this point in time	\$0
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)¹⁵	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	NA
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	0

Costs^{9,16}	
Total program budget	\$553,100
General Administration ¹³	Portfolio
Program Planning ¹³	Portfolio
Program Marketing ¹⁸	\$104
Trade Ally Training ¹⁸	\$0
Incentives and Services ^{14,18}	\$0
Direct Program Implementation ¹⁸	\$1,033
Program Evaluation ¹⁸	\$0
Total expenditures to date ¹⁷	\$20,914
Percent of total budget spent to date	3.78%
Participation^{15,17}	
Number of program applications received to date	2
Number of program applications processed to date	2
Number of processed applications approved to date	0
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	100.00%
DPS Staff Notes:	
<p>¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities and NYSERDA to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p>²There is not currently a consistent list of program type but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.</p> <p>³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation (See Definition #1 in <i>Savings Definitions</i> Tab). The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. <i>Acquired</i> kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p>⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p>⁵Program Administrators should make a best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the monthly goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p>⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p> <p>⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the <i>year</i> in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will differ for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life are no longer accumulated.</p> <p>⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best <i>estimate</i> of what they have committed. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and forecasts should get more precise with program experience, i.e., the difference between achieved and committed should narrow over time.</p>	

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰An application is *processed* once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is *approved* once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

¹²See *CO₂ Reduction Values* tab.

Utility Notes:

¹³ Portfolio Costs are not included in the program budget or actual costs. Consistent with the Companies' July 9, 2009 letter to the Secretary in Cases 07-M-0548 et al. ("July 9 letter") and the Companies' prior and subsequent energy efficiency program submittals, Portfolio Costs are incremental and are incurred as a result of the Companies' ongoing active participation in the New York State Public Service Commission's ("Commission") energy efficiency proceedings. Portfolio Costs allow the Companies to jointly provide efficient support for the complete suite of specific energy efficiency programs ultimately approved by the Commission.

¹⁴ Incentives and services costs are reported based on Company payment of invoices received from program implementation contractors for incentive payments made to program participants. They will thus lag the actual issuance of incentive payments to program participants.

¹⁵ Impacts are calculated using actual installed measure information for all measures.

¹⁶ All program costs identified in this section are recovered through the SBC surcharge unless otherwise noted.

¹⁷ Information presented here may include changes to previously filed monthly scorecard tables based on: review of additional information, reconsideration of eligibility decisions made by the implementation contractor during prior reporting periods, or other factors.

¹⁸ Data contained in these fields reflects the most recent month's expenditures in the respective category, as reported in the companies' accounting systems, not "to date" information.

¹⁹ Effective February 9, 2011, Staff requested that Program Administrators report their Net Savings as a percent of the total program goal through 2011, as it is set forth in the relevant Commission Order or, for certain programs, the approved Operating Plan, whichever is appropriate. Since most programs are in their second year of implementation, comparing achievements to date against an annual goal would distort a program's achievements and would no longer provide the meaningful information that Staff and the EAG had intended when they developed the Reporting Guidelines.