

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
d/b/a National Grid

PROCEEDING ON MOTION OF THE
COMMISSION AS TO THE RATES,
CHARGES, RULES AND
REGULATIONS OF NIAGARA
MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION
FOR ELECTRIC AND GAS SERVICE

Corrections and Updates Testimony and
Exhibits of:

Maureen P. Heaphy
Joseph F. Gredder
Elizabeth Arangio
Management Audit Panel
Shared Services and Customer Panel

Book 1

July 16, 2012

Submitted to:
New York State Public Service Commission
Case 12-E-0201
Case 12-G-0202

Submitted by:
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation

Before The New York Public Service Commission
NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION d/b/a NATIONAL GRID
Corrections and Updates Testimony
of
Maureen P. Heaphy

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Maureen Heaphy

1 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

2 A. My name is Maureen P. Heaphy. My business address is One MetroTech
3 Center, Brooklyn, New York 11201.

4

5 **Q. Are you the same Maureen Heaphy who previously submitted**
6 **prepared direct testimony as part of the Human Resources Panel**
7 **(“HRP”) in this proceeding?**

8 A. Yes. I am.

9

10 **Q. What is the purpose of your corrections and updates testimony?**

11 A. The purpose of my corrections and updates testimony is to provide certain
12 corrections and updates to the direct testimony of the HRP. Specifically, I
13 will address the level of management and union vacancies at the end of the
14 Test Year ended December 31, 2011, as well as the projection of
15 vacancies to be filled between that date and the start of the Rate Year –
16 April 1, 2013. I will also update the amount of variable pay included in
17 the Company’s revenue requirements in these proceedings and clarify the
18 Company’s variable pay proposal. Finally, I will provide an update to the
19 investment strategy employed for the Company’s pension plan assets and
20 discuss the impact of that strategy on the pension expense the Company
21 proposes to include in its revenue requirements for the Rate Year.

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Maureen Heaphy

1 **Q. Do you sponsor any exhibits as part of your corrections and updates**
2 **testimony?**

3 A. Yes. I sponsor Exhibit __ (HRP-2CU), which was prepared under my
4 direction and supervision. This Exhibit corrects and updates the status of
5 the vacant management positions identified in the HRP's direct testimony
6 as of December 31, 2011 and reflects the number of those vacant positions
7 filled as of July 1, 2012. Exhibit __ (HRP-2CU) replaces Exhibit __
8 (HRP-2) to the HRP's direct testimony.

9

10 **Q. The HRP's direct testimony (at page 11) indicated that the Company**
11 **had 118 vacant management positions as of December 31, 2011 that**
12 **were expected to be filled by the start of the Rate Year. Has this**
13 **number of vacancies been revised?**

14 A. Yes. The number of vacant management positions expected to be filled
15 between December 31, 2011 and April 1, 2013 has been revised from 118
16 to 111. This revision is attributable to the fact that (i) two positions – one
17 in finance and one in operations – were actually filled as of December 31,
18 2011, and (ii) it has been determined that five positions – three in
19 customer service, one in finance and one in human resources – will not be
20 filled. The status of the Company's efforts to fill the 118 vacant positions
21 identified in the HRP's direct testimony as of July 1, 2012 is set forth on

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Maureen Heaphy

1 Exhibit __ (HRP-2CU). The impact of these adjustments on the
2 Company's revenue requirements is discussed in the corrections and
3 updates testimony of the Revenue Requirements Panel.
4

5 **Q. In its direct testimony, the HRP also stated (at page 13) that the**
6 **Company had 61 vacant union positions at the end of the Test Year**
7 **that would be filled by the beginning of the Rate Year. Has this total**
8 **been revised as well?**

9 A. Yes. The actual number of union vacancies as of December 31, 2011 was
10 55. 28 of these positions are included in the minimum staffing levels
11 required under the Company's collective bargaining agreement, while the
12 additional 27 positions reflect incremental positions that the Company
13 plans to fill. Once again, the revenue requirement impacts of this decrease
14 in the number of vacant positions are discussed in the corrections and
15 updates testimony of the Revenue Requirements Panel.
16

17 **Q. In its direct testimony (at page 32), the HRP sets forth the amount of**
18 **variable compensation expense that the Company was including in its**
19 **revenue requirements for the Rate Year ending March 31, 2014 and**
20 **the Data Years ending March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2016. Have**
21 **these amounts been revised?**

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Maureen Heaphy

1 A. Yes. The Company's initial filing reflected total variable pay amounts of
2 \$21.1 million for the Rate Year, \$21.7 million for the Data Year ending
3 March 31, 2015 and \$22.2 million for the Data Year ending March 31,
4 2016. As revised, the Company is proposing to include approximately
5 \$17.4 million of variable compensation expense in its electric revenue
6 requirement in this proceeding for the Rate Year and \$3.2 million in the
7 gas revenue requirement for the Rate Year, for a total of \$20.6 million;
8 approximately \$21.2 million in the revenue requirement for the Data Year
9 ending March 31, 2015, split \$17.8 million for electric and \$3.3 million
10 for gas; and approximately \$21.8 million in the revenue requirement for
11 the Data Year ending March 31, 2016, split \$18.3 million for electric and
12 \$3.4 million for gas. The adjustments to arrive at the amounts included in
13 the Data Years reflect the application of an inflation factor, as discussed in
14 the corrections and updates testimony of the Revenue Requirements Panel.
15 The \$20.6 million of variable pay included in the Rate Year revenue
16 requirements assumes that the Company will attain target levels of
17 performance and payout.

18
19 **Q. Why did the amount of variable compensation expense reflected in the**
20 **Company's revenue requirements change since the initial filing?**

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Maureen Heaphy

1 A. The amount of variable compensation expense reflected in the Company's
2 revenue requirements changed as a result of (i) the reduction in the
3 number of management and union vacancies that would be filled between
4 the end of the Test Year and the beginning of the Rate Year as I described
5 above (ii) the increase in the number of non-enduring management
6 positions as discussed in the corrections and updates testimony of the
7 Information Systems Panel and (iii) changes in the allocation of variable
8 pay compensation from service companies to Niagara Mohawk, and
9 between expense and capital. These adjustments are addressed in the
10 corrections and updates testimony of the Revenue Requirements Panel.

11

12 **Q. The HRP in its direct testimony (at page 24) stated that the Company**
13 **did not include variable compensation paid to top officers, referred to**
14 **as Band A employees, in the proposed revenue requirements. Did the**
15 **Company exclude any other employee group's variable compensation**
16 **expense from the proposed revenue requirements?**

17 A. Yes. As discussed on page 27 of the HRP's testimony, 40 percent of the
18 variable compensation paid to Band B and C employees is based on the
19 attainment of financial objectives. The Company did not include variable
20 pay amounts associated with the attainment of these financial objectives
21 for Band B and C employees in its revenue requirements.

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Maureen Heaphy

1 **Q. In its direct testimony (at pages 58-59), the HRP indicated that based**
2 **on the current favorable funding status of the Company's pension**
3 **plan, it was anticipated that the investment in return seeking assets**
4 **such as equities would be reduced to 45 percent by the beginning of**
5 **the Rate Year and further reduced to 40 percent by the Second Data**
6 **Year. Has this investment strategy been modified?**

7 **A.** Yes. As discussed in the HRP's direct testimony (at page 59), at the time
8 of the Company's filing, 50 percent of its pension plan assets were
9 invested in return seeking assets such as equities and 50 percent were
10 invested in liability hedging assets such as bonds. Upon further review of
11 the investment strategy, it was determined that this investment mix should
12 be maintained for the foreseeable future. At this time, the Company
13 believes that this investment mix achieves an appropriate investment
14 balance that recognizes that (i) the plan currently has assets that exceed its
15 expected liabilities, and (ii) the plan is expected to pay out 70 percent of
16 its liabilities during the next ten years. The current investment strategy
17 reduces the possibility that investments in more volatile return seeking
18 assets will drop in value and cause the plan's liabilities to exceed its assets
19 in the future as compared to an investment mix in which a greater
20 percentage of the plan's assets is invested in return seeking assets.

21

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Maureen Heaphy

1 **Q. What is the impact of this change on the level of pension expense**
2 **included in the Company's filings?**

3 A. The change in investment strategy reduces the level of pension expense
4 included in the Company's revenue requirements by \$2.45 million in the
5 Rate Year. This impact is discussed further in the corrections and updates
6 testimony of the Revenue Requirements Panel.

7

8 **Q. Does this conclude your corrections and updates testimony?**

9 A. Yes, it does.

Exhibit __ (HRP-2CU)

Vacant Positions as of December 31, 2011

Vacant Positions as of December 31, 2011						
Number	Position Code	Title	Salary Band	Function	Open at 7/1/2012	
1	A-2A-3A-4I	Specialist, Internal Audit	E	Audit		
2	A-2A-3A-4J	Specialist, Internal Audit	E	Audit		
3	A-2A-3A-4N	Associate Specialist, Internal Audit	E	Audit		
4	A-2A-3C-4B-5D	Sr. Specialist, SHE Audit	E	Audit		
5	C-3A-4A-5B-6B	Business Partner, Customer & Product Experience, New Products & Services	E	Customer	Canceled	
6	C-3A-4A-5C-6A-7A	Vendor Specialist, Customer Communications, Commercial	E	Customer		
7	C-3A-4A-5C-6D	Manager, Customer Communications, Internet Experience	D	Customer	Open	
8	C-3A-4A-5C-6E-7B	Sr. Specialist-Graphic Designer- Communications Development & Production	E	Customer		
9	C-3A-4A-5E-6C	Program Manager, Customer Insights	D	Customer		
10	C-3A-4B-5C-6B	EE Program Strategy Analyst, Program Strategy, LIPA, C&I	F	Customer		
11	C-3A-4B-5C-6L-7I	Analyst, LIPA Business, Project Management	F	Customer		
12	C-3A-4B-5C-6M-7G	Analyst, LIPA Residential	F	Customer		
13	C-3A-4B-5C-6N-7E	Analyst, LIPA Evaluation	F	Customer	Canceled	
14	C-3A-4B-5E	Director, Alliance / Vendor Strategy	C	Customer		
15	C-3A-4B-5H-6C	Program Manager, Market Strategy, Energy Efficiency Strategy	D	Customer		
16	C-3A-4B-5H-6D	Program Manager, Market Strategy, Customer Technology & Sustainability	E	Customer		
17	C-3A-4C-5A-6I	Quantitative Analyst, Analytics, Modeling & Energy Forecasting	E	Customer	Open	
18	C-3A-4D-5A-6B-7M	Representative, Account Development, Lg. Commercial Sales, Upstate NY	E	Customer		
19	C-3A-4D-5A-6C-7F	Representative, Inside Sales, Gas, SMB Gas Sales	E	Customer	Canceled	
20	C-3A-4D-5A-6D-7I	Technical Support Consultant, Technical Sales & Engineering Support, NE	F	Customer		
21	C-3A-4D-5A-6E-7I	Representative, Inside Sales, Gas, SMB Gas Sales	E	Customer		
22	C-3A-4D-5F-6B-7H	Sales Support Representative, Gas Sales Support, NE	F	Customer		
23	C-3A-4D-5F-6B-7I	Sales Support Representative, Gas Sales Support, NE	F	Customer		
24	C-3A-4D-5F-6E-7B	Sales Support Representative, Sales Support, Albany	F	Customer		
25	C-3A-4D-5F-6E-7D	Sales Processing Assoc Analyst, Sales Support, Albany	F	Customer		
26	C-3A-4E-5B-6C-7G	Gas Scheduler, Gas Scheduling	E	Customer		
27	C-3A-4E-5C-6C	Financial / Multi Commodity Trader, Origination & Price Volatility Mgmt	D	Customer		
28	C-3A-4E-5G-6B-7B	Electric Trader, Wholesale Electric Supply, NE	D	Customer		
29	CA-2A-3E-4C	Senior Government Relations Representative, Long Island	E	Corporate Affairs		
30	F-2A-3A-4A-5A-6A-7A-8C	Accountant, KEDLI	E	Finance		
31	F-2A-3A-4A-5A-6E-7B-8B	Analyst, Plant Accounting	E	Finance	Filled Prior to 12/31/2011	
32	F-2A-3A-4G-5C	Program Manager, Performance Analysis	D	Finance		
33	F-2A-3A-4G-5C-6B	Analyst, Performance Analytics	E	Finance	Canceled	
34	HR-2A-3A-4A-5A-6A	Analyst, Compensation & Benefits	E	Human Resources		
35	HR-2A-3A-4G-5C-6C	Instructor, Gas, New England	E	Human Resources	Open	
36	HR-2A-3A-4G-5C-6F	Instructor, Gas, New England	E	Human Resources		
37	HR-2A-3A-4G-5E-6I	Instructor, Electric, Upstate NY	E	Human Resources	Canceled	
38	HR-2A-3A-4G-5E-6K	Sr. Instructor, Electric, Upstate NY	E	Human Resources		
39	L-2A-3A-4A-5C-6E	Associate Counsel, Upstate NY Regulatory	E	Legal		
40	L-2A-3A-4B-5C-6A	Senior Counsel I, MA Regulatory	C	Legal		
41	NS-3A-4E-5D-6A-7E	Engineer, Utility of the Future, Advanced Engineering	E	Network Strategy	Open	
42	NS-3A-4E-5I-6G	Analyst, Asset Data & Analytics	E	Network Strategy		
43	NS-3A-4E-5I-6H	Sr. Analyst, Asset Data & Analytics	E	Network Strategy		
44	NS-3A-4E-5K-6C	Vegetation Strategy Engineer, Vegetation Strategy	D	Network Strategy		
45	NS-3A-4F-5F-6C-7D	Engineer, Project Engineering & Design, NE Public Works	E	Network Strategy		

Vacant Positions as of December 31, 2011					
Number	Position Code	Title	Salary Band	Function	Open at 7/1/2012
46	NS-3A-4G-5B-6A-7K	Engineer, Electric Transmission Planning, NE	E	Network Strategy	
47	NS-3A-4G-5B-6B-7I	Engineer, Electric Transmission Planning, NY	E	Network Strategy	
48	NS-3A-4G-5D-6F-7J	Designer, Substation Eng. & Design, Design, NE	E	Network Strategy	
49	NS-3A-4G-5E-6A-7E	Engineer, Transmission Engineering, Project Engineering	E	Network Strategy	
50	NS-3A-4G-5E-6N	Engineer, Transmission Engineering	E	Network Strategy	
51	NS-3A-4G-5F-6H-7F	Coordinator, Protection & Telecom, Policy & Support	D	Network Strategy	
52	NS-3A-4G-5G-6B-7D	Supervisor Lead Engineer, Electric Distribution Design, Design, MA, Western MA	D	Network Strategy	
53	NS-3A-4G-5G-6E-7I	Design Engineer, Electric Distribution Design, External Design	D	Network Strategy	
54	NS-3A-4G-5H-6H	Engineer, Electric Operations, NE	E	Network Strategy	
55	OPS-3A-4A-5F-6B	Associate Estimating Analyst, Estimating Center of Excellence	E	Operations	
56	OPS-3A-4A-5F-6F-7C	Estimator, Estimating Center of Excellence, NE	E	Operations	Open
57	OPS-3A-4A-5G-6A	Lead Program Manager, Long Term Planning, Electric Distribution, Mass/R/IN/Y	E	Operations	
58	OPS-3A-4B-5D-6K-7K	Supervisor, Construction, Distribution Lines & Substation Contracting, NY	E	Operations	Open
59	OPS-3A-4B-5E-6A-7I	Project Manager, Complex Project Management, NE	E	Operations	
60	OPS-3A-4B-5M-6A-7A-8C	Supervisor, Vegetation Management & Inspections, T&D Forestry, NE	E	Operations	
61	OPS-3A-4B-5M-6A-7B-8D	Supervisor, Vegetation Management & Inspections, T&D Forestry, NE	E	Operations	
62	OPS-3A-4B-5M-6B-7A-8D	Supervisor, Vegetation Management & Inspections, T&D Forestry, NY	E	Operations	
63	OPS-3A-4B-5M-6B-7B-8C	Supervisor, Vegetation Management & Inspections, T&D Forestry, NY	E	Operations	
64	OPS-3A-4C-5A-6A-7D	Supervisor, Damage Prevention, NE	E	Operations	
65	OPS-3A-4C-5A-6B-7F	Supervisor, Gas Field Operations, Boston	E	Operations	
66	OPS-3A-4C-5A-6C-7A	Lead Supervisor, Gas Field Operations, Malden/Beverly	E	Operations	
67	OPS-3A-4C-5B-6B-7C	Supervisor, Gas Field Operations, LI East	E	Operations	
68	OPS-3A-4C-5B-6B-7E	Supervisor, Gas Field Operations, LI East	E	Operations	
69	OPS-3A-4C-5B-6C-7H	Supervisor, Gas Field Operations, NYC East	E	Operations	
70	OPS-3A-4C-5B-6G-7C-8I	Supervisor, Construction, NY - In House Downstate	E	Operations	
71	OPS-3A-4C-5B-6G-7C-8J	Supervisor, Construction, NY - In House Downstate	E	Operations	
72	OPS-3A-4C-5B-6G-7D-8C	Supervisor, Construction, NY - Aux Ops	E	Operations	
73	OPS-3A-4C-5B-6G-7M	Engineer, Construction, NY	E	Operations	
74	OPS-3A-4C-5B-6I-7F	Supervisor, Instrumentation & Regulation, NY	E	Operations	
75	OPS-3A-4C-5C	VP, New England Electric	B	Operations	Filled Prior to 12/31/2011
76	OPS-3A-4C-5C-6B-7C	Supervisor, Overhead Lines, Lincoln	E	Operations	
77	OPS-3A-4C-5C-6B-7F	Supervisor, Overhead Lines, Providence	E	Operations	Open
78	OPS-3A-4C-5C-6B-7K	Supervisor, I&M Compliance, Providence	E	Operations	
79	OPS-3A-4C-5C-6C-7A-8D	Supervisor, Overhead Lines, East NE, Brockton	E	Operations	
80	OPS-3A-4C-5C-6C-7F	Supervisor, Overhead Lines, East NE, Hopedale	E	Operations	
81	OPS-3A-4C-5C-6C-7G	Supervisor, Overhead Lines, East NE, Hopedale	E	Operations	
82	OPS-3A-4C-5C-6D-7C	Supervisor, Underground Lines, East NE	E	Operations	
83	OPS-3A-4C-5C-6F-7B-8F	Supervisor, Overhead Lines, West NE, Monson	E	Operations	
84	OPS-3A-4C-5C-6F-7I	Supervisor, Overhead Lines, West NE, Trouble	E	Operations	
85	OPS-3A-4C-5C-6F-7J	Supervisor, Overhead Lines, West NE, Trouble	E	Operations	
86	OPS-3A-4C-5C-6H-7J	Supervisor, Substation, West NE	E	Operations	
87	OPS-3A-4C-5D-6B-7A-8G	OH Line Supervisor - Western Division	E	Operations	
88	OPS-3A-4C-5D-6B-7B-8I	OH Line Supervisor - Western Division	E	Operations	
89	OPS-3A-4C-5D-6B-7G	OH Line Supervisor - Western Division	E	Operations	
90	OPS-3A-4C-5D-6B-7H	OH Line Supervisor - Western Division	E	Operations	

Vacant Positions as of December 31, 2011					
Number	Position Code	Title	Salary Band	Function	Open at 7/1/2012
	91 OPS-3A-4C-5D-6E-7F	Underground Supervisor	E	Operations	
	92 OPS-3A-4C-5D-6F-7B-8H	OH Line Supervisor - Rome	E	Operations	
	93 OPS-3A-4C-5D-6F-7G	OH Line Supervisor - Pulaski	E	Operations	
	94 OPS-3A-4C-5D-6G-7C	Station Supervisor - Western Division	E	Operations	
	95 OPS-3A-4C-5D-6H-7F	Stations Supervisor - Eastern Division	E	Operations	
	96 OPS-3A-4C-5E-6C-7A-8K	Supervisor, Customer Meter Services, Downstate NY, Meter Reading, Suffolk	E	Operations	
	97 OPS-3A-4C-5E-6C-7B-8H	Supervisor, Customer Meter Services, Downstate NY, Greenpoint & Staten Island	E	Operations	
	98 OPS-3A-4C-5E-6E-7F	Supervisor, Customer Meter Services, Central NE	E	Operations	
	99 OPS-3A-4C-5E-6E-7G	Associate Supervisor, Customer Meter Services, Central NE	E	Operations	
	100 OPS-3A-4C-5F-6B-7A-8H	Technician, Protection, North Andover	E	Operations	
	101 OPS-3A-4C-5F-6B-7B-8G	Technician, Protection, Worcester	E	Operations	
	102 OPS-3A-4C-5F-6B-7B-8H	Technician, Protection, Worcester	E	Operations	
	103 OPS-3A-4C-5F-6C-7B	Protection Ops Supervisor - NY West Buffalo	E	Operations	Open
	104 OPS-3A-4D-5C-6E	Shift Supervisor, Control Center NY West	E	Operations	
	105 OPS-3A-4D-5E-6O	Power Ops Engineer / Coordinator, Transmission Control Center	E	Operations	
	106 OPS-3A-4D-5G-6F	Outage Coordinator, Control Center, NE	D	Operations	
	107 OPS-3A-4D-5G-6H-7D	Shift Supervisor, Control Center, NE	D	Operations	
	108 OPS-3A-4D-5G-6H-7J	Shift Supervisor, Control Center, NE	D	Operations	
	109 OPS-3A-4D-5K-6F	Senior Network Operator, HVDC	E	Operations	
	110 OPS-3A-4D-5K-6G	Senior Network Operator, HVDC	E	Operations	
	111 OPS-3A-4E-5F-6L	Quality Inspector, Field QA / QC	E	Operations	
	112 RP-3A-4C-5L	Director, Federal Regulatory Affairs	C	Regulation & Pricing	
	113 RP-3A-4H-5I	Senior Analyst, LIPA	E	Regulation & Pricing	
	114 SHE-3A-4A-5D	Manager, Regulation Compliance/Incident Prevention Manager	D	SHE	
	115 SHE-3A-4A-5F-6D	Safety Representative, New England	E	SHE	
	116 SHE-3A-4A-5F-6F	Safety Representative, New England	E	SHE	
	117 SS-3A-4D-5G-6B	Sr. Supervisor, Facility Operations, Upstate NY	E	Shared Services	
	118 SS-3A-4F-5B-6K	Analyst, Training & Support	E	Shared Services	

Before the New York State Public Service Commission
NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION d/b/a NATIONAL GRID
Corrections and Updates Testimony
of
Joseph F. Gredder

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Joseph F. Gredder

1 **Q. Are you the same Joseph F. Gredder who testified previously in this**
2 **proceeding?**

3 A. Yes. I submitted direct testimony and exhibits as part of the Company's
4 April 27, 2012 filing.

6 Q. What is the purpose of your corrections and updates testimony?

7 A. My corrections and updates testimony explains and supports certain
8 corrections to Niagara Mohawk's April 27, 2012 filing in this proceeding.
9 As part of this corrections and updates testimony, I am sponsoring the
10 following Exhibits, all of which were prepared either by me or under my
11 direction and supervision:

- 12 1. Exhibit __ (JFG-7CU) – Sales Forecast by Rate Class (with actual
13 historical);
14
15 2. Exhibit __ (JFG-8CU) – Sales Forecast by Rate Class (with
16 weather-adjusted historical);
17
18 3. Exhibit __ (JFG-13CU) – Sales Forecast by Rate Class, Net of EE
19 Reductions;
20
21 4. Exhibit __ (JFG-17CU) - Information Request DPS-120 (GPS-5).

I have started with the exhibits submitted on April 27, 2012 to prepare these corrected and/or updated exhibits. I have only included exhibits where corrections and/or updates were made with the exception of the new Exhibit (JFG-17CU).

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Joseph F. Gredder

1 **Q. Please describe the corrections that you have made to Exhibits __**
2 **(JFG-7, 8 and 13).**

3 A. Upon preparing the response to Information Request DPS-120 (GPS-5),
4 provided herein as Exhibit __ (JFG-17CU), a minor inaccuracy in the
5 calculation of the industrial growth trends was discovered and a correction
6 tab was provided. This issue is shown on tab “Attachment_1a_ind” of that
7 response where the 2011 sales volumes were inadvertently repeats of the
8 2010 values. “Attachment_2a_ind” to that response showed the corrected
9 values and growth percents. “Attachment 3a_diff” to that response
10 showed the updated monthly forecast by rate code as well as the
11 differences from the initial forecast. The changes have been incorporated
12 in this filing in Exhibits __ (JFG-7CU, 8CU, and 13CU), which reflect the
13 appropriate industrial growth trends. The revenue impact of this
14 modification to the forecast is reflected in the corrections and updates
15 testimony of the Electric Rate Design Panel.

16

17 **Q. Does this conclude your corrections and updates testimony?**

18 A. Yes it does.

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Joseph F. Gredder

List of Exhibits

Exhibit __ (JFG-7CU)	Sales Forecast by Rate Class (actual)
Exhibit __ (JFG-8CU)	Sales Forecast by Rate Class (weather-adjusted)
Exhibit __ (JFG-13CU)	Sales Forecast by Rate Class, Net of EE Reductions
Exhibit __ (JFG-17CU)	Response to Information Request DPS-120 (GPS-5)

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Joseph F. Gredder

Exhibit __ (JFG-7CU)

Sales Forecast by Rate Class (actual)

Exhibit __ (JFG-7CU)
Page 1 of 1

SALES FORECAST by RATE CLASS (Fiscal Year, GWH)

(Historicals: Actuals, Projections: Weather-Normal)

BEFORE ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPACTS

FISCAL YEAR	SC1	SC2ND	SC2D	SC3	SC3A	SC4	SC7	SC11 12	NYPA R&E	NYPA PFJ & EDP	Border	S Lighting	TOTAL
1997	9,961	701	3,863	6,403	3,472	597	46	3,368	4,331	251	-	257	33,252
1998	9,744	634	3,923	6,315	3,295	319	32	4,101	4,597	216	-	257	33,434
1999	10,101	667	4,057	6,319	3,429	444	42	3,550	4,366	387	-	270	33,631
2000	10,062	665	4,045	6,578	3,541	423	22	3,812	4,565	651	-	236	34,600
2001	10,125	714	4,077	6,038	3,901	439	0	3,513	4,354	938	-	225	34,324
2002	10,094	681	4,058	5,730	3,857	203	0	2,715	4,521	1,314	2	226	33,401
2003	10,925	715	4,239	5,771	3,864	288	156	2,700	4,243	1,404	5	223	34,532
2004	10,936	698	4,234	5,842	3,885	296	176	2,497	3,917	1,512	5	223	34,220
2005	10,940	682	4,309	6,054	3,811	312	632	2,452	3,718	1,254	5	218	34,386
2006	11,484	676	4,478	6,331	3,976	312	420	2,247	3,898	1,062	5	214	35,105
2007	11,229	643	4,377	6,252	3,865	261	443	2,298	3,764	866	5	215	34,220
2008	11,331	650	4,462	6,459	4,111	288	390	2,200	3,760	729	5	210	34,593
2009	11,315	640	4,399	6,374	4,202	301	445	1,851	3,700	530	5	208	33,979
2010	11,079	623	4,290	6,176	4,215	339	394	1,396	3,456	380	5	207	32,561
2011	11,575	634	4,347	6,361	4,427	610	330	1,023	3,662	365	5	204	33,543
2012	11,624	640	4,340	6,383	4,441	590	327	1,033	3,643	353	6	204	33,582
2013	11,584	639	4,371	6,441	4,502	604	324	980	3,514	346	6	203	33,512
2014	11,643	642	4,420	6,529	4,595	622	326	935	3,426	342	6	203	33,688
2015	11,746	656	4,554	6,738	4,757	649	336	905	3,380	342	6	203	34,272
2016	11,852	670	4,691	6,952	4,917	675	348	875	3,326	341	6	203	34,857

Compound Annual Growth Rates:

1998 to 2012 Fifteen-Year	1.0%	-0.6%	0.8%	0.0%	1.7%	-0.1%	14.0%	-7.6%	-1.1%	2.3%	0.0%	-1.5%	0.1%
2003 to 2012 Ten-Year	1.4%	-0.6%	0.7%	1.1%	1.4%	11.3%	582.1%	-9.2%	-2.1%	-12.3%	10.0%	-1.0%	0.1%
2008 to 2012 Five-Year	0.7%	-0.1%	-0.2%	0.4%	2.8%	17.7%	-5.9%	-14.8%	-0.7%	-16.4%	1.1%	-1.1%	-0.4%
2013 to 2016 Four-Year	0.5%	1.2%	2.0%	2.2%	2.6%	3.4%	1.6%	-4.0%	-2.3%	-0.9%	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%

Notes:

Fiscal Year 2012 has actuals through December, 2011 and projections post-December.
Years prior to FY 2012 are "actuals".

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Joseph F. Gredder

Exhibit __ (JFG-8CU)

Sales Forecast by Rate Class (weather-adjusted)

SALES FORECAST by RATE CLASS (Fiscal Year, GWH)

(Historicals: Weather-Normal, Projections: Weather-Normal)

BEFORE ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPACTS

FISCAL YEAR	SC1	SC2ND	SC2D	SC3	SC3A	SC4	SC7	SC11 12	NYPA R&E	NYPA PFI & EDP	Border	Street Lighting	TOTAL
1997	10016	705	3,885	6,426	3,472	597	46	3,368	4,331	251	-	257	33,355
1998	9910	644	3,989	6,384	3,295	319	32	4,101	4,597	216	-	257	33,745
1999	10234	674	4,070	6,348	3,429	444	42	3,550	4,366	387	-	270	33,813
2000	10038	663	4,006	6,548	3,541	423	22	3,812	4,565	651	-	236	34,506
2001	10214	720	4,131	6,088	3,901	439	0	3,513	4,354	938	-	225	34,523
2002	10284	691	4,074	5,769	3,857	203	0	2,715	4,521	1,314	2	226	33,656
2003	10606	696	4,135	5,654	3,864	288	156	2,700	4,243	1,404	5	223	33,974
2004	10927	698	4,245	5,847	3,885	296	176	2,497	3,917	1,512	5	223	34,227
2005	11053	689	4,354	6,101	3,811	312	632	2,452	3,718	1,254	5	218	34,600
2006	11315	665	4,371	6,233	3,976	312	420	2,247	3,898	1,062	5	214	34,719
2007	11276	645	4,370	6,256	3,865	261	443	2,298	3,764	866	5	215	34,266
2008	11290	647	4,424	6,428	4,111	288	390	2,200	3,760	729	5	210	34,481
2009	11274	638	4,397	6,368	4,202	301	455	1,851	3,700	530	5	208	33,929
2010	11266	634	4,365	6,244	4,215	339	394	1,396	3,456	380	5	207	32,901
2011	11374	626	4,279	6,309	4,427	610	330	1,023	3,662	365	5	204	33,213
2012	11384	640	4,268	6,327	4,441	590	327	1,033	3,643	353	6	204	33,216
2013	11584	639	4,371	6,441	4,502	604	324	980	3,514	346	6	203	33,512
2014	11643	642	4,420	6,529	4,595	622	326	935	3,426	342	6	203	33,688
2015	11746	656	4,554	6,738	4,757	649	336	905	3,380	342	6	203	34,272
2016	11852	670	4,691	6,952	4,917	675	348	875	3,326	341	6	203	34,857

Compound Annual Growth Rates:

1998 to 2012 Fifteen-Year	0.9%	-0.6%	0.6%	-0.1%	1.7%	-0.1%	-	-7.6%	-1.1%	2.3%	-	-1.5%	0.0%
2003 to 2012 Ten-Year	1.0%	-0.8%	0.5%	0.9%	1.4%	11.3%	-	-9.2%	-2.1%	-12.3%	-	-1.0%	-0.1%
2008 to 2012 Five-Year	0.2%	-0.2%	-0.5%	0.2%	2.8%	17.7%	-	-14.8%	-0.7%	-16.4%	-	-1.1%	-0.6%
2013 to 2016 Four -Year	1.0%	1.1%	2.4%	2.4%	2.6%	3.4%	-	-4.0%	-2.3%	-0.9%	-	0.0%	1.2%

Notes:
Fiscal Year 2012 has actuals through December, 2011 and projections post-December.
Years prior to FY 2012 are "weather-normals".

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Joseph F. Gredder

Exhibit __ (JFG-13CU)

Sales Forecast by Rate Class, Net of EE Reductions

SALES FORECAST by RATE CLASS (Fiscal Year, GWH)

(Historicals: *Weather-Normal* , Projections: *Weather-Normal*)

AFTER ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPACTS

FISCAL YEAR	SC1	SC2ND	SC2D	SC3	SC3A	SC4	SC7	SC11_12	NYPA R&E	NYPA PFJ & EDP	Border	Street Lighting	TOTAL
2012	11,381	640	4,267	6,321	4,438	590	327	1,033	3,643	353	6	203	33,202
2013	11,508	634	4,339	6,301	4,437	604	324	980	3,514	346	6	203	33,196
2014	11,470	631	4,348	6,211	4,447	622	326	935	3,426	342	6	203	32,967
2015	11,484	639	4,445	6,252	4,531	649	336	905	3,380	342	6	203	33,171
2016	11,506	648	4,546	6,305	4,616	675	348	875	3,326	341	6	203	33,395
Compound Annual Growth Rates:													
2013 to 2016 Four -Year	0.3%	0.3%	1.6%	-0.1%	1.0%	3.4%	1.6%	-4.0%	-2.3%	-0.9%	0.9%	0.1%	0.1%

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Joseph F. Gredder

Exhibit __ (JFG-17CU)

Response to Information Request DPS-120 (GPS-5)

Date of Request: May 24, 2012
Due Date: June 4, 2012

Request No. DPS-120 (GPS-5)
NMPC Req. No. NM-120

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION

Case No. 12-E-0201 and 12-G-0202 - Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a
National Grid - Electric and Gas Rates

Request for Information

FROM: Gregory Stella
TO: Joseph Gredder
SUBJECT: Follow-up to IR DPS-17(GPS-4)

Request: In reference to the response provided to part 2 – “Rate class specific trended values by month for the period January, 2012 to December, 2016 are provided on Attachment 2. The trended future kwh for each rate class and its percentage share of the total is shown.” – provide the derivation of the monthly historical and forecast percentage shares.

Response: Rate Class specific trends were derived for each revenue class - residential, commercial and industrial. Historical rate class sales from January 2005 to December 2011 were used to determine growth rate percents used to trend each rate class into future years. These historical values and their corresponding growth percents are shown in Attachment 1 (DPS_120_GPS_5_May2012_JFG_rev0.xls) as tabs “Attachment_1a_res”, “Attachment_1a_com” and “Attachment_1a_ind”. The excel “growth” function was used to produce these percents. Each tab shows the historical monthly kWh and the historical share of the revenue class for each rate class. (Several exceptions to the January 2005 to December 2011 are noted on the spreadsheets and were incorporated based on billing data consistency).

Upon preparing this data request, a minor inaccuracy in the calculation of the industrial growth trends was discovered and a correction tab is provided. This issue is shown on tab “Attachment_1a_ind” where the 2011 sales volumes were repeats of the 2010 values.

“Attachment_2a_ind” shows the corrected values and growth percents. “Attachment_3a_diff” shows the updated monthly forecast by rate code as well as the differences from the existing forecast. It is important to note that this update results in no change to either the total for the industrial class or the total for the Company and instead only results in minor reallocations of rate class sales within the industrial revenue class. These changes are not material to the forecast and will be incorporated into the “Corrections and Update” filing.

Name of Respondent:

Joseph F. Gredder

Date of Reply:

June 1, 2012

Growth Percent		-0.06%	1.44%	7.02%	-3.69%						
Year	Month	sc1_pct	sc2nd_pct	sc2d_pct	sl_pct	SC1	SC2ND	SC2D	SL	SC7	TOTAL
2005	1	99.88%	0.06%	0.04%	0.03%	1,145,683,676	692,260	403,131	305,253	-	1,147,084,320
2005	2	99.88%	0.06%	0.03%	0.03%	1,030,308,117	590,877	355,255	305,909	-	1,031,560,158
2005	3	99.87%	0.06%	0.04%	0.03%	983,981,268	632,428	374,755	309,592	844	985,298,887
2005	4	99.87%	0.05%	0.04%	0.03%	886,307,110	459,221	352,477	307,069	999	887,426,876
2005	5	99.85%	0.06%	0.05%	0.04%	765,634,859	462,819	370,926	307,038	88	766,775,730
2005	6	99.86%	0.06%	0.04%	0.04%	829,321,534	523,226	317,014	310,770	456	830,473,000
2005	7	99.87%	0.06%	0.04%	0.03%	1,150,216,380	681,254	491,207	308,375	472	1,151,697,688
2005	8	99.88%	0.06%	0.04%	0.03%	1,097,405,453	666,496	393,876	306,688	381	1,098,772,894
2005	9	99.84%	0.07%	0.06%	0.03%	1,038,208,262	737,078	586,410	306,362	327	1,039,838,439
2005	10	99.83%	0.07%	0.06%	0.04%	804,289,534	600,782	495,625	305,728	208	805,691,877
2005	11	99.84%	0.07%	0.06%	0.04%	814,400,406	553,652	457,268	309,161	334	815,720,821
2005	12	99.85%	0.06%	0.05%	0.03%	1,053,382,823	682,732	562,288	301,221	494	1,054,929,558
2006	1	99.85%	0.07%	0.05%	0.03%	1,118,958,506	755,620	608,805	305,804	598	1,120,629,333
2006	2	99.84%	0.07%	0.06%	0.03%	947,399,078	650,686	573,183	308,064	457	948,931,468
2006	3	99.83%	0.07%	0.07%	0.03%	978,718,769	650,560	660,722	307,609	347	980,338,007
2006	4	99.83%	0.06%	0.07%	0.03%	870,962,321	539,996	609,048	302,084	62	872,413,511
2006	5	99.82%	0.06%	0.08%	0.04%	739,014,857	430,356	589,202	304,027	151	740,338,593
2006	6	99.83%	0.06%	0.08%	0.04%	852,998,848	535,038	648,981	303,298	194	854,486,359
2006	7	99.83%	0.07%	0.07%	0.03%	1,020,098,862	685,886	727,224	301,947	149	1,021,814,068
2006	8	99.82%	0.07%	0.08%	0.03%	1,101,800,438	742,876	860,084	300,491	226	1,103,770,675
2006	9	99.82%	0.08%	0.07%	0.03%	900,730,750	692,258	643,837	301,777	232	902,368,854
2006	10	99.81%	0.08%	0.07%	0.04%	781,323,475	601,749	584,888	301,091	216	782,811,419
2006	11	99.83%	0.07%	0.07%	0.03%	848,890,180	578,622	587,832	295,599	293	850,352,526
2006	12	99.83%	0.07%	0.07%	0.03%	966,390,522	661,487	687,127	291,876	497	968,031,509
2007	1	99.85%	0.06%	0.06%	0.03%	1,059,169,918	675,877	659,759	294,249	425	1,060,800,228
2007	2	99.82%	0.07%	0.08%	0.03%	1,042,255,247	771,831	790,235	293,619	626	1,044,111,558
2007	3	99.82%	0.07%	0.08%	0.03%	1,045,783,212	703,866	864,476	294,924	622	1,047,647,100
2007	4	99.83%	0.06%	0.08%	0.03%	911,214,387	568,505	715,712	293,336	264	912,792,204
2007	5	99.82%	0.07%	0.08%	0.04%	792,110,399	517,228	608,414	291,312	198	793,527,551

1. All growth percents derived from Jan 2005 to Dec 2011.

Growth Percent		-0.06%	1.44%	7.02%	-3.69%						
Year	Month	sc1_pct	sc2nd_pct	sc2d_pct	sl_pct	SC1	SC2ND	SC2D	SL	SC7	TOTAL
2007	6	99.82%	0.06%	0.09%	0.03%	878,249,018	561,521	768,144	288,408	124	879,867,215
2007	7	99.82%	0.08%	0.07%	0.03%	957,966,060	761,870	704,156	289,768	-	959,721,854
2007	8	99.83%	0.06%	0.08%	0.03%	1,016,717,650	563,802	848,997	287,250	-	1,018,417,699
2007	9	99.82%	0.07%	0.08%	0.03%	957,398,729	686,861	797,717	287,380	-	959,170,687
2007	10	99.80%	0.07%	0.09%	0.04%	807,040,956	542,930	752,218	285,806	-	808,621,910
2007	11	99.81%	0.07%	0.08%	0.03%	845,991,112	603,475	715,331	284,446	-	847,594,364
2007	12	99.83%	0.07%	0.07%	0.03%	1,033,634,488	740,240	732,277	277,022	-	1,035,384,027
2008	1	99.83%	0.07%	0.08%	0.02%	1,137,441,245	838,394	860,004	278,993	-	1,139,418,636
2008	2	99.80%	0.08%	0.09%	0.03%	1,014,510,928	822,126	917,422	279,596	-	1,016,530,072
2008	3	99.82%	0.07%	0.08%	0.03%	978,304,105	677,537	813,795	277,670	-	980,073,107
2008	4	99.81%	0.07%	0.09%	0.03%	878,687,182	602,163	766,328	275,179	-	880,330,852
2008	5	99.81%	0.07%	0.09%	0.04%	728,519,660	494,969	649,092	274,825	-	729,938,546
2008	6	99.80%	0.07%	0.10%	0.03%	833,175,385	593,809	800,433	273,782	-	834,843,409
2008	7	99.82%	0.07%	0.09%	0.03%	982,471,711	657,707	887,271	271,505	-	984,288,194
2008	8	99.82%	0.06%	0.09%	0.03%	1,045,159,547	676,169	974,154	270,655	-	1,047,080,525
2008	9	99.80%	0.07%	0.10%	0.03%	938,288,563	692,918	952,241	270,784	-	940,204,506
2008	10	99.82%	0.07%	0.08%	0.03%	769,116,485	505,131	586,032	267,679	-	770,475,327
2008	11	99.83%	0.07%	0.07%	0.03%	852,309,770	594,492	588,156	267,882	-	853,760,300
2008	12	99.84%	0.07%	0.06%	0.03%	1,013,588,552	688,055	658,101	265,650	-	1,015,200,358
2009	1	99.84%	0.07%	0.06%	0.02%	1,221,417,552	878,615	755,628	266,383	-	1,223,318,178
2009	2	99.83%	0.07%	0.07%	0.02%	1,075,324,750	759,377	787,219	266,893	-	1,077,138,239
2009	3	99.83%	0.07%	0.07%	0.03%	976,885,511	682,738	696,609	265,858	-	978,530,716
2009	4	99.83%	0.07%	0.07%	0.03%	895,303,580	641,728	653,318	266,623	-	896,865,249
2009	5	99.81%	0.07%	0.08%	0.03%	763,769,436	546,459	639,222	264,833	-	765,219,950
2009	6	99.80%	0.08%	0.08%	0.03%	773,172,518	618,857	633,468	263,763	-	774,694,846
2009	7	99.81%	0.08%	0.08%	0.03%	896,329,183	734,639	702,604	262,360	-	898,028,786
2009	8	99.82%	0.07%	0.08%	0.03%	940,689,658	663,806	771,089	262,847	-	942,387,400
2009	9	99.82%	0.07%	0.08%	0.03%	963,575,669	690,139	756,882	262,274	-	965,284,964
2009	10	99.81%	0.07%	0.08%	0.03%	804,924,648	596,881	641,345	263,039	-	806,425,913

1. All growth percents derived from Jan 2005 to Dec 2011.

Growth Percent		-0.06%	1.44%	7.02%	-3.69%						
Year	Month	sc1_pct	sc2nd_pct	sc2d_pct	sl_pct	SC1	SC2ND	SC2D	SL	SC7	TOTAL
2009	11	99.83%	0.07%	0.06%	0.03%	819,157,708	600,709	510,765	262,331	-	820,531,513
2009	12	99.83%	0.08%	0.07%	0.03%	981,002,417	741,802	661,151	261,974	-	982,667,344
2010	1	99.84%	0.07%	0.06%	0.02%	1,216,139,252	900,219	773,862	261,178	-	1,218,074,511
2010	2	99.82%	0.09%	0.07%	0.03%	1,029,550,678	885,378	673,588	261,277	-	1,031,370,921
2010	3	99.82%	0.08%	0.07%	0.03%	995,810,554	809,805	702,209	263,827	-	997,586,395
2010	4	99.82%	0.08%	0.07%	0.03%	844,879,277	644,041	597,827	263,952	-	846,385,097
2010	5	99.81%	0.08%	0.08%	0.03%	749,199,788	591,552	568,801	262,480	-	750,622,621
2010	6	99.82%	0.07%	0.08%	0.03%	857,290,414	617,990	670,189	260,489	-	858,839,082
2010	7	99.84%	0.07%	0.07%	0.02%	1,107,129,817	733,291	753,312	258,466	-	1,108,874,886
2010	8	99.84%	0.07%	0.07%	0.02%	1,150,407,840	812,989	811,399	256,118	-	1,152,288,346
2010	9	99.83%	0.08%	0.07%	0.03%	989,562,612	757,963	720,511	254,532	-	991,295,618
2010	10	99.81%	0.08%	0.08%	0.03%	781,912,427	602,882	600,625	252,910	-	783,368,844
2010	11	99.81%	0.08%	0.07%	0.03%	820,131,064	691,656	581,760	252,896	-	821,657,376
2010	12	99.83%	0.08%	0.07%	0.02%	1,019,952,486	816,018	682,711	249,254	-	1,021,700,469
2011	1	99.83%	0.08%	0.07%	0.02%	1,154,611,842	874,903	781,513	252,337	-	1,156,520,595
2011	2	99.83%	0.08%	0.07%	0.02%	1,075,318,329	873,661	729,989	261,910	-	1,077,183,889
2011	3	99.82%	0.08%	0.08%	0.02%	1,024,982,234	837,989	783,121	234,143	-	1,026,837,487
2011	4	99.82%	0.07%	0.08%	0.03%	937,650,872	695,893	740,643	249,088	-	939,336,496
2011	5	99.81%	0.07%	0.08%	0.03%	804,294,236	600,707	674,799	247,214	-	805,816,956
2011	6	99.80%	0.07%	0.09%	0.03%	856,293,965	635,967	801,680	247,907	-	857,979,519
2011	7	99.87%	0.01%	0.09%	0.02%	1,022,415,506	89,790	959,695	246,652	-	1,023,711,643
2011	8	99.82%	0.07%	0.09%	0.02%	1,168,711,834	838,848	995,826	246,845	-	1,170,793,353
2011	9	99.81%	0.08%	0.09%	0.03%	966,194,306	745,965	889,683	246,262	-	968,076,216
2011	10	99.80%	0.08%	0.10%	0.03%	823,325,671	650,025	783,811	244,810	-	825,004,317
2011	11	99.81%	0.08%	0.09%	0.03%	852,559,836	677,055	738,840	244,930	-	854,220,661
2011	12	99.82%	0.08%	0.08%	0.03%	961,609,779	726,207	807,116	245,476	-	963,388,578

1. All growth percents derived from Jan 2005 to Dec 2011.

Growth Percent	-1.43%	-0.58%	-2.42%	-0.05%	-0.82%	5.69%	2.05%	-8.51%		
Year	Month	sc2nd_pct	sc2d_pct	sl_pct	sc3_pct	sc3a_pct	sc4_pct	sc7_pct	sc11_pct	TOTAL
2005	1	5.76%	33.37%	0.17%	42.01%	11.26%	0.00%	2.15%	-	24,482,011
2005	2	5.72%	33.47%	0.18%	40.81%	12.82%	0.00%	1.95%	-	21,055,232
2005	3	5.67%	33.22%	0.18%	40.44%	12.33%	0.00%	1.96%	-	21,421,706
2005	4	4.95%	32.46%	0.21%	42.50%	13.32%	0.00%	1.68%	-	18,425,791
2005	5	4.78%	32.87%	0.19%	42.46%	12.69%	0.00%	1.60%	-	16,148,718
2005	6	4.91%	32.78%	0.19%	39.48%	14.23%	0.00%	1.67%	-	16,522,162
2005	7	4.88%	34.52%	0.15%	41.00%	13.00%	0.00%	1.45%	-	18,290,200
2005	8	4.91%	34.73%	0.16%	40.26%	13.18%	0.00%	1.54%	-	18,790,489
2005	9	4.98%	34.29%	0.16%	41.49%	12.65%	0.00%	1.27%	-	15,183,925
2005	10	4.59%	33.39%	0.18%	41.35%	13.12%	0.00%	1.95%	-	20,407,095
2005	11	4.81%	32.59%	0.19%	42.20%	12.60%	0.00%	1.90%	-	18,727,362
2005	12	5.20%	33.65%	0.17%	41.35%	13.23%	0.00%	2.28%	-	25,271,129
2006	1	5.49%	33.54%	0.16%	42.09%	10.49%	0.00%	2.23%	-	25,486,139
2006	2	5.41%	32.21%	0.18%	39.98%	12.44%	0.00%	3.80%	-	39,590,980
2006	3	5.48%	32.52%	0.17%	41.40%	14.11%	0.00%	2.04%	-	22,628,644
2006	4	4.93%	33.62%	0.19%	40.81%	12.75%	0.00%	1.94%	-	19,391,595
2006	5	4.64%	31.90%	0.19%	42.91%	12.75%	0.00%	1.74%	-	17,141,137
2006	6	4.57%	33.05%	0.17%	41.91%	13.74%	0.00%	1.59%	-	17,064,602
2006	7	4.66%	34.01%	0.16%	41.60%	12.94%	0.00%	1.62%	-	19,038,782
2006	8	4.71%	33.77%	0.16%	41.01%	13.09%	0.00%	1.60%	-	19,334,738
2006	9	4.62%	34.09%	0.17%	41.51%	12.93%	0.00%	1.66%	-	18,769,991
2006	10	4.77%	33.82%	0.19%	41.85%	9.22%	0.00%	4.02%	-	39,469,070
2006	11	4.59%	31.30%	0.17%	42.13%	12.77%	0.00%	3.71%	-	38,950,410
2006	12	4.97%	32.96%	0.17%	43.01%	12.28%	0.00%	2.14%	-	23,013,263
2007	1	5.22%	32.84%	0.17%	42.49%	11.89%	0.00%	1.98%	-	21,287,503
2007	2	5.50%	33.50%	0.17%	42.34%	11.99%	0.00%	2.62%	-	28,218,777
2007	3	5.45%	33.53%	0.16%	41.61%	12.33%	0.00%	2.05%	-	22,868,110
2007	4	5.02%	32.78%	0.17%	42.89%	12.27%	0.00%	1.91%	-	20,409,703
2007	5	4.64%	32.26%	0.18%	42.30%	13.95%	0.00%	1.85%	-	18,703,692
2007	6	4.58%	34.64%	0.17%	43.34%	11.87%	0.00%	0.62%	-	6,777,447
2007	7	4.56%	33.84%	0.16%	42.09%	13.91%	0.00%	1.51%	-	17,418,046
2007	8	4.78%	34.97%	0.16%	41.72%	11.10%	0.00%	1.57%	-	17,930,268
2007	9	4.48%	33.37%	0.16%	41.23%	14.72%	0.00%	1.68%	-	19,705,267
2007	10	4.35%	32.56%	0.17%	41.52%	13.75%	0.00%	2.82%	-	30,643,329
2007	11	4.71%	32.74%	0.18%	43.34%	13.52%	0.00%	0.71%	-	7,445,938
2007	12	5.12%	32.50%	0.17%	42.27%	12.19%	0.00%	3.35%	-	36,910,946
2008	1	5.35%	33.39%	0.16%	43.11%	12.24%	0.00%	2.08%	-	23,902,376
2008	2	5.32%	33.07%	0.17%	41.90%	12.04%	0.00%	2.22%	-	24,409,045
2008	3	5.48%	34.26%	0.18%	43.43%	11.22%	0.00%	0.77%	-	7,879,650
2008	4	4.81%	32.58%	0.17%	42.25%	12.67%	0.00%	3.26%	-	34,638,907
2008	5	4.51%	32.65%	0.19%	42.65%	12.92%	0.00%	1.95%	-	19,227,701
2008	6	4.44%	32.92%	0.17%	42.70%	13.35%	0.00%	1.66%	-	17,600,865
2008	7	4.45%	33.88%	0.16%	41.99%	13.37%	0.00%	1.56%	-	17,866,770
2008	8	4.66%	34.49%	0.15%	41.75%	12.87%	0.00%	1.65%	-	19,706,961

1. All growth percents derived from Jan 2005 to Dec 2011.
2. Exception: SC4 from Jan 2009 to Jun 2010.

Growth Percent		-1.43%	-0.58%	-2.42%	-0.05%	-0.82%	5.69%	2.05%	-8.51%			
Year	Month	sc2nd_pct	sc2d_pct	sl_pct	sc3_pct	sc3a_pct	sc4_pct	sc7_pct	sc11_pct	TOTAL		
2008	9	4.47%	34.23%	0.16%	42.35%	12.26%	0.00%	1.79%	-	20,489,360	54,180,698	1,145,068,538
2008	10	4.34%	31.90%	0.17%	42.83%	14.08%	0.00%	1.93%	-	19,794,555	48,702,783	1,027,719,040
2008	11	4.77%	33.07%	0.18%	43.06%	11.55%	0.00%	2.27%	-	23,044,613	51,826,837	1,015,808,158
2008	12	5.18%	32.72%	0.17%	42.76%	12.51%	0.00%	2.44%	-	25,907,886	44,893,357	1,062,001,442
2009	1	5.35%	32.50%	0.15%	42.09%	12.63%	0.01%	2.62%	-	31,321,170	55,589,672	1,195,304,540
2009	2	6.27%	33.82%	0.16%	42.11%	11.33%	0.01%	2.56%	-	27,711,829	40,498,893	1,083,539,016
2009	3	4.76%	34.49%	0.17%	42.25%	10.75%	0.02%	2.67%	-	27,307,243	49,913,293	1,021,619,645
2009	4	4.75%	31.93%	0.16%	42.73%	13.89%	0.01%	2.60%	-	28,001,615	42,163,918	1,075,906,431
2009	5	4.68%	32.93%	0.18%	42.98%	12.56%	0.02%	2.52%	-	24,266,725	39,727,455	961,419,952
2009	6	4.58%	33.86%	0.18%	43.33%	11.04%	0.02%	2.42%	-	24,115,225	45,603,792	997,254,325
2009	7	4.53%	34.30%	0.16%	41.45%	12.72%	0.02%	2.29%	-	25,135,325	49,757,367	1,099,105,609
2009	8	4.72%	34.61%	0.16%	40.72%	12.98%	0.02%	2.46%	-	26,472,107	46,666,638	1,077,684,166
2009	9	4.65%	34.88%	0.16%	42.61%	11.47%	0.02%	2.32%	-	170,150	25,643,317	43,082,077
2009	10	4.45%	32.77%	0.17%	41.56%	14.20%	0.02%	2.58%	-	167,995	26,183,596	43,123,170
2009	11	4.67%	32.66%	0.18%	42.99%	13.26%	0.02%	2.56%	-	157,282	24,528,179	35,007,192
2009	12	4.81%	32.66%	0.17%	42.11%	12.67%	0.02%	2.61%	-	162,275	27,765,600	52,832,813
2010	1	5.53%	34.32%	0.16%	42.35%	10.33%	0.01%	2.92%	-	162,374	33,134,306	49,763,127
2010	2	5.55%	34.07%	0.17%	42.28%	12.30%	0.01%	2.55%	-	148,166	26,456,920	31,942,135
2010	3	5.21%	32.66%	0.16%	42.58%	13.00%	0.01%	2.72%	-	160,924	29,428,097	39,508,682
2010	4	4.88%	33.40%	0.17%	44.01%	11.19%	0.02%	2.82%	-	162,176	28,234,296	35,168,760
2010	5	4.67%	33.60%	0.19%	44.12%	12.14%	0.02%	2.06%	-	178,747	19,000,675	29,631,830
2010	6	4.46%	33.65%	0.17%	42.87%	13.19%	0.02%	1.83%	-	170,706	19,018,091	39,684,452
2010	7	4.56%	34.34%	0.14%	43.24%	12.49%	-0.03%	1.82%	-	(348,201)	22,063,817	41,706,593
2010	8	4.74%	34.81%	0.15%	40.97%	14.08%	0.06%	1.95%	-	702,001	23,167,159	38,365,528
2010	9	4.76%	35.39%	0.16%	41.74%	12.22%	0.02%	1.91%	-	172,224	20,924,033	41,850,887
2010	10	4.53%	33.18%	0.17%	45.18%	13.03%	0.02%	0.88%	-	164,300	8,655,545	29,693,205
2010	11	4.63%	31.97%	0.18%	42.12%	13.73%	0.02%	3.11%	-	156,039	30,508,489	41,436,592
2010	12	5.07%	33.35%	0.16%	43.47%	11.57%	0.02%	2.70%	-	161,861	28,270,777	38,184,988
2011	1	5.43%	33.41%	0.16%	41.93%	13.57%	0.00%	2.27%	-	-	25,146,930	35,743,702
2011	2	5.69%	33.87%	0.17%	43.19%	11.17%	0.00%	2.30%	-	-	23,926,034	37,427,577
2011	3	5.44%	33.00%	0.15%	42.76%	13.00%	0.05%	2.22%	-	485,524	23,780,846	36,205,995
2011	4	5.22%	33.42%	0.10%	43.85%	11.96%	0.02%	2.10%	-	169,513	21,541,623	34,134,990
2011	5	4.62%	32.31%	0.18%	43.30%	13.68%	0.02%	1.99%	-	170,375	19,380,535	38,060,601
2011	6	4.44%	33.06%	0.16%	43.36%	14.05%	0.02%	1.65%	-	175,899	17,327,167	34,304,387
2011	7	4.65%	34.86%	0.15%	43.88%	11.09%	0.02%	2.19%	-	185,795	24,432,988	35,348,696
2011	8	4.81%	34.76%	0.15%	42.19%	13.31%	0.01%	1.73%	-	176,281	20,400,207	35,815,162
2011	9	4.54%	33.59%	0.15%	42.25%	14.07%	0.01%	2.49%	-	27,867,859	32,273,377	1,180,581,084
2011	10	4.52%	33.07%	0.17%	44.04%	13.65%	0.02%	1.10%	-	167,164	27,867,859	32,273,377
2011	11	4.77%	32.66%	0.17%	43.10%	12.57%	0.01%	3.30%	-	159,891	11,122,168	34,644,784
2011	12	5.20%	33.61%	0.17%	44.52%	10.95%	0.02%	2.11%	-	144,572	32,696,481	33,815,607
2011	12									20,899,006	33,872,796	990,762,158

1. All growth percents derived from Jan 2005 to Dec 2011.
2. Exception: SC4 from Jan 2009 to Jun 2010.

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Case 12-E-0201 and 12-G-0202
Attachment 1a Ind
Page 1 of 3

Growth Percent	-3.22%	-2.78%	0.58%	3.76%	4.28%	-19.64%	-1.94%	-1.55%	0.00%
Year	Month	sc2nd_pct	sc3_pct	sc3a_pct	sc4_pct	sc7_pct	sc11_12_pct	nypa_re_pct	nypa_oth_pct
2005	1	0.02%	0.66%	8.18%	22.11%	3.09%	1.73%	15.77%	37.16%
2005	2	0.04%	0.73%	8.78%	24.46%	3.12%	1.57%	15.78%	35.84%
2005	3	0.04%	0.64%	9.13%	26.86%	2.63%	1.62%	17.06%	32.83%
2005	4	0.03%	0.62%	8.50%	23.40%	3.59%	1.49%	14.55%	39.98%
2005	5	0.04%	0.64%	9.15%	24.35%	1.87%	1.92%	15.41%	36.27%
2005	6	0.03%	0.58%	8.01%	24.46%	3.35%	1.68%	14.76%	36.73%
2005	7	0.03%	0.73%	8.48%	22.43%	3.11%	1.72%	13.00%	39.31%
2005	8	0.03%	0.75%	8.94%	20.54%	2.52%	1.98%	17.39%	36.48%
2005	9	0.03%	0.70%	8.81%	21.33%	2.58%	1.35%	18.47%	35.67%
2005	10	0.03%	0.67%	8.46%	20.13%	2.85%	1.50%	14.15%	41.15%
2005	11	0.03%	0.62%	8.68%	22.61%	3.04%	1.34%	14.84%	38.13%
2005	12	0.03%	0.75%	9.05%	19.04%	3.11%	1.46%	14.83%	39.76%
2006	1	0.14%	0.75%	8.81%	24.69%	2.68%	1.53%	14.45%	38.13%
2006	2	0.03%	0.61%	8.40%	22.34%	5.12%	1.46%	14.20%	37.56%
2006	3	0.03%	0.71%	8.11%	21.57%	2.86%	1.87%	16.55%	38.99%
2006	4	0.03%	0.66%	8.04%	21.25%	0.33%	1.78%	19.81%	38.40%
2006	5	0.05%	0.62%	8.46%	19.85%	2.69%	1.79%	17.49%	39.28%
2006	6	0.03%	0.68%	8.36%	24.15%	2.70%	1.44%	16.72%	36.72%
2006	7	0.03%	0.68%	8.58%	22.38%	2.65%	1.44%	18.53%	36.91%
2006	8	0.03%	0.72%	8.37%	22.99%	3.13%	1.22%	17.73%	37.25%
2006	9	0.03%	0.83%	8.90%	22.48%	3.55%	1.43%	18.35%	35.11%
2006	10	0.02%	0.69%	7.83%	21.68%	2.74%	1.73%	16.54%	39.99%
2006	11	0.03%	0.74%	8.60%	23.39%	2.81%	1.76%	15.18%	39.07%
2006	12	0.04%	0.74%	8.37%	23.85%	2.66%	1.57%	15.19%	38.85%
2007	1	0.03%	0.67%	8.39%	24.64%	3.04%	1.49%	15.40%	37.97%
2007	2	0.04%	0.91%	8.86%	24.72%	2.80%	1.64%	11.59%	41.37%
2007	3	0.03%	0.56%	8.31%	24.07%	2.74%	2.06%	15.55%	38.71%
2007	4	0.03%	0.68%	9.02%	22.87%	2.79%	1.54%	18.10%	37.16%
2007	5	0.03%	0.64%	8.29%	23.84%	4.10%	1.56%	17.77%	35.87%
2007	6	0.03%	0.72%	9.06%	23.94%	1.72%	1.38%	17.09%	37.96%
2007	7	0.03%	0.79%	8.87%	24.06%	2.90%	1.29%	17.07%	38.16%
2007	8	0.03%	0.74%	9.52%	24.13%	3.03%	1.46%	17.07%	36.50%
2007	9	0.03%	0.68%	8.49%	23.37%	2.88%	1.24%	14.20%	37.71%
2007	10	0.02%	0.68%	8.49%	23.37%	2.88%	1.70%	18.78%	36.37%
2007	11	0.03%	0.78%	10.26%	20.45%	2.13%	2.69%	17.19%	39.10%
2007	12	0.03%	0.61%	8.14%	27.34%	3.21%	1.53%	12.81%	39.37%
2008	1	0.04%	0.69%	9.26%	24.60%	3.08%	1.45%	13.88%	39.39%
2008	2	0.04%	0.66%	9.11%	28.49%	3.04%	1.50%	13.77%	37.82%
2008	3	0.04%	0.60%	8.43%	25.59%	3.09%	1.83%	14.10%	39.81%

1. All growth percents derived from Jan 2005 to Dec 2011.
2. Exceptions: SC4 from Jan 2005 to Feb 2010. SC11_12 from Jan 2005 to Oct 2008. NYPA_OTH percent was reset to zero.
3. Data for 2011 is the same as year 2010.

Growth Percent	-3.22%	-2.78%	0.58%	3.76%	4.28%	-19.64%	-1.94%	-1.55%	0.00%
Year	Month	sc2nd_pct	sc3_pct	sc3a_pct	sc4_pct	sc7_pct	sc11_12_pct	nypa_pct	nypa_oth_pct
2008	4	0.03%	0.60%	9.23%	25.50%	2.97%	1.89%	14.68%	38.18%
2008	5	0.03%	0.53%	8.38%	26.02%	3.09%	1.28%	14.69%	38.50%
2008	6	0.02%	0.57%	8.31%	26.68%	3.11%	1.22%	15.24%	37.78%
2008	7	0.03%	0.69%	9.20%	25.51%	3.39%	1.62%	14.39%	39.57%
2008	8	0.03%	0.60%	9.06%	27.05%	3.17%	1.76%	14.67%	38.95%
2008	9	0.03%	0.67%	9.22%	25.20%	3.24%	1.47%	18.56%	36.70%
2008	10	0.02%	0.67%	8.24%	25.75%	2.97%	1.44%	14.51%	41.69%
2008	11	0.03%	0.74%	10.16%	26.45%	3.58%	1.81%	11.98%	40.46%
2008	12	0.03%	0.67%	9.48%	25.92%	3.18%	2.19%	11.41%	41.49%
2009	1	0.03%	0.64%	9.09%	32.62%	2.82%	2.78%	9.24%	37.93%
2009	2	0.04%	0.71%	10.16%	31.32%	3.35%	2.59%	9.20%	37.83%
2009	3	0.03%	0.67%	9.35%	29.44%	3.36%	1.80%	8.87%	41.16%
2009	4	0.03%	0.64%	10.02%	31.93%	2.99%	1.32%	9.59%	38.47%
2009	5	0.03%	0.57%	9.37%	30.43%	3.65%	1.41%	9.22%	40.32%
2009	6	0.03%	0.68%	9.90%	29.89%	3.62%	1.43%	10.59%	38.96%
2009	7	0.03%	0.66%	8.86%	32.52%	3.72%	1.67%	10.27%	37.31%
2009	8	0.03%	0.69%	9.81%	30.31%	3.82%	1.55%	10.61%	38.26%
2009	9	0.03%	0.75%	10.56%	30.76%	3.52%	1.25%	12.08%	36.75%
2009	10	0.03%	0.74%	10.55%	25.75%	3.64%	0.41%	11.94%	43.12%
2009	11	0.03%	0.67%	8.22%	33.24%	3.90%	0.10%	8.68%	40.90%
2009	12	0.03%	0.62%	11.14%	29.34%	4.25%	0.09%	9.51%	41.61%
2010	1	0.04%	0.73%	10.60%	30.10%	4.10%	0.09%	9.34%	40.86%
2010	2	0.04%	0.75%	10.00%	29.49%	4.88%	0.52%	9.49%	40.71%
2010	3	0.07%	0.63%	7.75%	31.25%	4.61%	0.25%	10.14%	41.46%
2010	4	0.02%	0.63%	10.52%	29.77%	6.84%	0.27%	6.96%	40.78%
2010	5	0.04%	0.57%	11.55%	31.92%	8.40%	0.71%	5.13%	37.68%
2010	6	0.03%	0.69%	11.91%	29.83%	6.57%	0.69%	7.89%	42.28%
2010	7	0.02%	0.98%	15.96%	38.81%	9.52%	0.86%	7.40%	25.55%
2010	8	0.03%	0.51%	7.51%	28.45%	5.67%	0.55%	5.89%	49.10%
2010	9	0.03%	0.67%	9.10%	26.69%	7.02%	0.70%	6.36%	38.54%
2010	10	0.03%	0.70%	10.58%	29.78%	5.50%	0.70%	6.79%	40.65%
2010	11	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	6.72%	40.82%
2010	12	0.03%	0.69%	9.66%	34.00%	4.86%	0.60%	5.67%	40.38%
2011	1	0.04%	0.70%	8.96%	30.96%	7.10%	0.59%	5.84%	41.74%
2011	2	0.04%	0.72%	9.88%	31.72%	6.92%	0.61%	5.98%	40.30%
2011	3	0.04%	0.75%	10.00%	29.49%	4.88%	0.52%	9.49%	40.71%
2011	4	0.07%	0.63%	7.75%	31.25%	4.61%	0.25%	10.14%	41.46%

1. All growth percents derived from Jan 2005 to Dec 2011.
2. Exceptions: SC4 from Jan 2005 to Feb 2010, SC11_12 from Jan 2005 to Oct 2008. NYPA_OTH percent was reset to zero.
3. Data for 2011 is the same as year 2010.

Year	Month	sc2nd	pct	-3.22%	-2.78%	0.58%	3.76%	4.28%	-19.64%	sc11_12	pct	-1.94%	-1.55%	0.00%
2011	5	0.02%	0.63%	10.52%	29.77%	3.76%	0.96%	0.27%	8.40%	sc7	0.27%	1.94%	0.78%	4.21%
2011	6	0.04%	0.57%	11.55%	31.92%	8.40%	0.71%	0.17%	5.13%	sc4	0.71%	37.68%	4.00%	4.00%
2011	7	0.03%	0.69%	11.91%	29.83%	6.57%	0.69%	0.71%	7.89%	sc3	0.69%	82.108852	0.11%	0.11%
2011	8	0.02%	0.98%	15.96%	38.81%	9.52%	0.86%	0.71%	7.40%	sc3A	0.86%	205.015482	0.11%	0.11%
2011	9	0.03%	0.51%	7.51%	28.45%	5.67%	0.55%	0.55%	5.89%	sc4	0.55%	205.015482	0.11%	0.11%
2011	10	0.03%	0.67%	9.10%	26.69%	7.02%	0.70%	0.70%	6.36%	sc7	0.70%	5.055650	0.11%	0.11%
2011	11	0.03%	0.70%	10.08%	29.78%	5.50%	0.70%	0.70%	6.79%	sc11_12	0.70%	50.110931	0.11%	0.11%
2011	12	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	1	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	2	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	3	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	4	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	5	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	6	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	7	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	8	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	9	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	10	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	11	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	12	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	1	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	2	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	3	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	4	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	5	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	6	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	7	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	8	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	9	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	10	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	11	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	12	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	1	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	2	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	3	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	4	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	5	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	6	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	7	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	8	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	9	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	10	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	11	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	12	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	1	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	2	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	3	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	4	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	5	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	6	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	7	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	8	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	9	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	10	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	11	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	12	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	1	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	2	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	3	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	4	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	5	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	6	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	7	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	8	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	9	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	10	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	11	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	12	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	1	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	2	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	3	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	4	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	5	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	6	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	7	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	8	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	9	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	10	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	11	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	12	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	1	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	2	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	3	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	4	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	5	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6	0.65%	293.669862	0.11%	0.11%
2011	6	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	0.65%	6.72%	NYPA_R6				

1. All growth percents derived from Jan 2005 to Dec 2011.
2. Exceptions: SC4 from Jan 2005 to Feb 2010, SC11_12 from Jan 2005 to Oct 2008. NYPA_OTH percent was reset to zero.
3. Data for 2011 is the same as year 2010.

[illegible]

1. All growth percents derived from Jan 2005 to Dec 2011.
2. Exceptions: SC4 from Jan 2005 to Feb 2010; SC11_12 from Jan 2005 to Oct 2008. NYPA_OTH was reset to zero.
3. SC4 and NYPA_RE data from Aug Sep 2011 was corrected to a weighted average.

Growth Percent	-3.20%	-2.51%	0.28%	3.92%	4.28%	-20.29%	-1.94%	-1.37%	0.00%											
Year	Month	sc2nd_pct	sc2d_pct	sc3_pct	sc3a_pct	sc4_pct	sc7_pct	sc11_pct	sc12_pct	nyapa_pct	nyapa_oth_pct									
2008	1	0.04%	0.69%	9.26%	24.60%	3.08%	1.45%	13.88%	39.39%	7.61%	293,276	5,638,409	76,178,436	202,595,419	25,349,405	11,939,245	114,178,641	324,034,486	62,604,075	822,611,392
2008	2	0.04%	0.66%	9.11%	28.49%	3.04%	1.50%	13.77%	37.82%	5.56%	277,057	5,213,713	71,900,251	224,858,603	24,029,256	11,862,460	108,708,258	298,458,192	43,876,840	789,184,630
2008	3	0.04%	0.60%	8.43%	25.59%	3.09%	1.83%	14.10%	39.81%	6.52%	289,645	4,772,295	67,555,721	205,095,576	24,754,704	14,695,403	113,048,991	319,054,702	52,244,492	801,511,539
2008	4	0.03%	0.60%	9.23%	25.50%	2.97%	1.89%	14.68%	38.18%	6.91%	265,255	4,858,240	74,913,304	207,064,984	24,137,674	15,372,902	119,226,936	310,059,106	56,102,543	812,000,944
2008	5	0.03%	0.53%	8.38%	26.02%	3.09%	1.28%	14.59%	38.50%	7.57%	215,376	4,318,395	67,874,940	210,843,186	25,060,081	10,367,720	118,205,064	311,937,016	61,344,609	810,166,387
2008	6	0.02%	0.57%	8.31%	26.68%	3.11%	1.22%	15.24%	37.78%	7.07%	208,544	4,729,257	69,512,093	223,203,762	26,004,262	10,234,555	127,507,773	316,120,065	59,178,720	836,749,031
2008	7	0.03%	0.69%	9.20%	25.51%	3.39%	1.62%	14.39%	39.57%	5.59%	210,788	5,545,425	73,758,230	204,445,984	27,179,366	12,941,623	115,344,651	317,088,701	44,817,499	801,332,267
2008	8	0.03%	0.60%	9.06%	27.05%	3.17%	1.76%	14.67%	38.95%	4.70%	221,914	5,202,010	78,119,310	233,219,455	27,333,111	15,198,396	126,496,435	335,848,501	40,562,526	862,201,658
2008	9	0.03%	0.67%	9.22%	25.20%	3.24%	1.47%	18.36%	36.70%	5.12%	212,127	5,621,346	77,177,979	212,346,735	27,296,695	12,391,008	154,707,681	309,273,117	43,109,713	842,676,401
2008	10	0.02%	0.67%	8.24%	25.75%	2.97%	1.44%	14.51%	41.69%	4.71%	201,907	5,757,519	70,337,097	219,817,470	25,314,671	12,290,034	123,830,322	355,795,179	40,159,156	853,503,355
2008	11	0.03%	0.74%	10.16%	26.45%	3.58%	1.81%	11.98%	40.46%	4.80%	210,204	5,274,345	72,247,270	187,979,460	25,417,490	12,841,556	85,135,968	287,573,499	34,102,590	710,782,382
2008	12	0.03%	0.67%	9.48%	25.92%	3.18%	2.19%	11.41%	41.49%	5.63%	220,587	4,861,415	68,874,392	188,358,111	23,133,269	15,928,797	82,904,882	301,457,784	40,913,571	726,652,808
2009	1	0.03%	0.64%	9.09%	32.62%	2.82%	2.78%	9.24%	37.93%	4.83%	249,855	5,063,001	71,872,451	257,764,350	22,310,288	22,004,027	73,044,742	299,762,324	38,204,748	790,275,786
2009	2	0.04%	0.71%	10.16%	31.32%	3.35%	2.59%	9.20%	37.83%	4.81%	207,432	4,874,287	70,247,361	216,557,592	23,158,678	17,870,869	63,237,047	261,521,872	37,933,845	691,327,469
2009	3	0.03%	0.67%	9.35%	29.44%	3.36%	1.80%	8.87%	41.16%	5.32%	239,310	4,760,298	66,761,068	210,095,708	23,976,521	12,868,539	63,286,432	293,732,840	37,933,845	713,654,561
2009	4	0.03%	0.64%	10.02%	31.93%	2.99%	1.32%	9.59%	38.47%	5.01%	229,030	4,427,334	69,834,677	222,464,783	20,821,255	9,223,492	66,789,476	268,054,963	34,933,999	696,781,009
2009	5	0.03%	0.57%	9.37%	30.43%	3.65%	1.41%	9.22%	40.32%	4.99%	204,489	4,010,118	65,807,834	213,659,639	25,623,774	9,933,546	64,703,820	283,081,310	35,062,196	702,086,726
2009	6	0.03%	0.68%	9.90%	29.89%	3.62%	1.43%	10.59%	38.96%	4.91%	203,314	4,599,928	67,426,051	203,593,093	24,683,374	17,818,412	72,126,566	265,377,106	37,371,373	681,205,217
2009	7	0.03%	0.66%	8.86%	32.52%	3.72%	1.67%	10.27%	37.31%	4.97%	207,558	4,979,562	66,698,207	244,765,013	27,987,258	12,553,283	77,268,694	280,857,981	37,381,140	752,698,696
2009	8	0.03%	0.69%	9.81%	30.31%	3.82%	1.55%	10.61%	38.26%	4.93%	182,077	5,003,446	71,175,383	219,888,701	27,706,705	11,255,250	76,971,514	277,620,357	35,755,040	725,558,473
2009	9	0.03%	0.75%	10.56%	30.76%	3.52%	1.25%	12.08%	36.75%	4.31%	209,639	5,648,076	79,404,362	231,328,710	26,447,778	9,393,421	90,819,313	276,374,415	32,391,842	752,017,558
2009	10	0.03%	0.67%	8.22%	33.24%	3.90%	0.10%	8.68%	40.90%	4.25%	200,796	4,919,925	60,708,459	245,393,978	28,802,886	720,139	64,069,528	301,928,790	31,402,015	738,146,516
2009	11	0.03%	0.62%	11.14%	29.34%	4.25%	0.09%	9.51%	41.61%	3.41%	241,817	4,541,688	81,155,389	213,649,690	30,918,546	632,725	69,252,277	303,032,731	24,864,877	728,289,740
2010	1	0.04%	0.73%	10.60%	30.10%	4.10%	0.09%	9.34%	40.86%	4.14%	277,519	5,442,222	78,621,531	223,326,088	30,458,009	688,654	69,282,900	303,184,350	30,749,400	742,030,673
2010	2	0.04%	0.75%	10.00%	29.49%	4.88%	0.52%	9.49%	40.71%	4.14%	281,875	5,374,282	71,491,631	210,803,638	34,893,959	3,722,696	67,838,016	290,967,934	29,405,827	714,779,858
2010	3	0.07%	0.63%	7.75%	31.25%	4.61%	0.25%	10.14%	41.46%	3.84%	470,349	4,552,607	55,828,261	225,113,827	33,232,780	1,808,713	73,077,367	298,651,702	27,640,609	720,376,215
2010	4	0.02%	0.63%	10.52%	29.77%	6.84%	0.27%	6.96%	40.78%	4.21%	153,010	4,547,233	75,779,601	214,598,280	49,238,849	1,948,401	50,110,931	293,669,862	30,323,666	720,169,833
2010	5	0.04%	0.57%	11.55%	31.92%	8.40%	0.71%	5.13%	37.68%	4.00%	252,319	4,041,865	82,108,852	226,949,466	59,720,049	5,055,650	267,901,585	297,901,585	28,404,767	710,910,144
2010	6	0.03%	0.69%	11.91%	29.83%	6.57%	0.69%	7.89%	42.28%	0.11%	22,692	4,750,892	81,844,798	205,015,482	45,152,465	4,761,599	54,207,114	290,632,556	763,555	687,352,153
2010	7	0.02%	0.98%	15.96%	38.81%	9.52%	0.86%	7.40%	25.55%	0.90%	118,202	6,186,248	100,984,781	245,569,453	60,272,443	5,470,598	46,820,060	161,662,735	5,709,494	632,794,014
2010	8	0.03%	0.51%	7.51%	28.45%	5.67%	0.55%	5.89%	49.10%	2.28%	323,665	5,236,327	76,442,807	289,581,155	57,760,848	5,647,476	60,003,258	499,768,531	23,168,581	1,017,932,648
2010	9	0.03%	0.67%	9.10%	26.69%	7.02%	0.70%	10.89%	38.54%	10.89%	224,301	5,341,927	72,946,756	213,889,330	56,242,719	5,589,606	50,945,795	308,759,113	87,292,713	801,238,233
2010	10	0.03%	0.70%	10.58%	29.78%	5.50%	0.70%	6.79%	40.65%	5.27%	225,119	5,254,004	79,313,287	223,258,177	41,247,365	5,275,498	50,945,096	304,815,783	39,480,943	749,815,272
2010	11	0.03%	0.68%	8.76%	33.14%	5.20%	0.65%	6.72%	40.82%	3.99%	202,971	4,821,158	62,339,795	235,841,383	36,995,158	4,640,409	47,850,091	290,486,525	28,367,324	711,544,814
2010	12	0.03%	0.69%	9.66%	34.00%	4.86%	0.60%	5.67%	40.38%	4.11%	255,364	5,238,443	72,844,192	256,365,519	36,620,649	4,530,322	42,769,590	304,535,895	30,963,868	754,123,842
2011	1	0.04%	0.70%	8.96%	30.96%	7.10%	0.59%	5.84%	41.74%	4.06%	277,995	5,471,423	69,639,353	240,530,762	55,120,123	4,607,104	45,328,078	324,261,491	31,566,095	776,802,424
2011	2	0.04%	0.72%	9.88%	31.72%	6.92%	0.61%	5.98%	40.30%	3.82%	294,542	5,164,625	70,570,385	226,562,342	49,403,801	4,388,602	42,752,786	287,901,465	27,300,847	714,339,395
2011	3	0.03%	0.61%	8.76%	30.92%	7.53%	0.64%	6.29%	41.25%	3.97%	239,706	4,807,745	69,562,174	245,473,665	59,741,482	5,063,766	49,962,120	327,502,869	31,551,919	793,905,446
2011	4	0.03%	0.65%	9.79%	31.03%	7.05%	0.73%	6.92%	42.53%	1.27%	244,755	4,718,154	71,013,077	224,978,272	51,099,995	5,281,154	50,175,846	308,323,060	9,197,259	725,031,572
2011	5	0.03%	0.56%	8.45%	28.55%	7.98%	0.68%	6.75%	41.38%	5.60%	220,755	4,439,439	66,711,830	225,452,042	63,044,038	5,406,425	53,326,089	326,721,881	44,221,464	789,544,683
2011	6	0.03%	0.63%	9.07%	30.89%	8.21%	0.62%	6.79%	39.47%	4.28%	217,603	5,057,722	72,528,940	246,947,608	65,610,529	4,943,239	54,301,171	315,505,371	34,243,753	799,355,936
2011	7	0.03%	0.77%	9.73%	27.91%	7.39%	0.65%	7.07%	42.76%	3.69%	229,263	5,934,644	74,560,279	213,917,881	56,668,950	5,001,154	54,176,314	327,761,842	28,302,918	766,553,245

1. All growth percents derived from Jan. 2005 to Dec. 2011.
2. Exceptions: SC4 from Jan. 2005 to Feb. 2010, SC11, 12 from Jan. 2005 to Oct. 2008, NYPA_OTH was reset to zero.
3. SC4 and NYPA_RE data from Aug. Sep. 2010 was corrected to a weighted average.

Growth	Percent	-3.20%	-2.51%	0.28%	3.92%	4.28%	-20.29%	-1.94%	-1.37%	nyapa_re_pct	nyapa_oth_pct	0.00%				
Year	Month	sc2nd_pct	sc2d_pct	sc3_pct	sc3a_pct	sc4_pct	sc7_pct	sc11_pct	sc12_pct	nyapa_re_pct	nyapa_oth_pct	0.00%				
2011	8	0.03%	0.03%	0.64%	9.22%	30.10%	5.26%	0.61%	5.84%	44.42%	3.88%	5.28,355	50,240,862	33,376,299	859,883,428	TOTAL
2011	9	0.03%	0.07%	10.57%	35.87%	6.28%	0.76%	7.82%	33.66%	4.23%	5,315,698	54,826,307	29,669,447	701,029,587		
2011	10	0.03%	0.07%	10.43%	31.59%	6.21%	0.64%	6.98%	39.37%	4.09%	4,877,336	53,284,442	31,182,434	800,148,442		
2011	11	0.04%	0.08%	11.72%	38.16%	5.56%	0.76%	7.90%	30.25%	4.71%	33,120,169	47,367,386	28,036,245	595,513,612		
2011	12	0.04%	0.07%	9.61%	27.59%	4.61%	0.58%	5.66%	47.97%	4.03%	33,548,257	41,170,324	33,576,188	782,375,657		
2012	1	0.03%	0.06%	8.93%	31.95%	7.35%	0.47%	5.68%	40.88%	4.03%	31,608,524	44,448,543	31,566,095	782,375,657		
2012	2	0.04%	0.07%	9.83%	32.71%	7.16%	0.49%	5.82%	39.45%	3.79%	51,516,944	41,923,221	28,947,818	719,723,712		
2012	3	0.04%	0.07%	9.98%	30.49%	5.06%	0.52%	5.98%	39.94%	4.09%	36,386,474	2,967,235	29,403,827	718,529,485		
2012	4	0.03%	0.06%	9.76%	32.03%	7.30%	0.58%	6.74%	41.66%	4.126%	53,285,689	4,209,430	39,202,246	729,834,771		
2012	5	0.03%	0.05%	8.42%	29.49%	8.27%	0.55%	6.58%	40.55%	5.57%	66,899,404	23,294,352	52,292,068	794,533,800		
2012	6	0.03%	0.06%	9.03%	31.86%	8.49%	0.49%	6.61%	38.63%	4.25%	68,416,880	3,940,089	53,247,524	805,527,926		
2012	7	0.03%	0.07%	9.70%	28.84%	7.67%	0.52%	6.89%	41.93%	3.67%	59,092,817	31,172,649	31,172,649	770,852,985		
2012	8	0.02%	0.06%	9.19%	31.10%	5.45%	0.49%	5.70%	43.36%	3.86%	47,172,510	4,167,345	33,376,299	804,766,107		
2012	9	0.03%	0.07%	10.50%	36.93%	6.49%	0.60%	7.60%	32.90%	4.19%	45,932,674	4,236,964	53,762,470	707,457,172		
2012	10	0.03%	0.05%	10.38%	32.59%	6.43%	0.51%	6.80%	38.55%	4.06%	49,393,425	3,887,719	52,250,891	768,562,910		
2012	11	0.04%	0.08%	11.64%	39.26%	5.74%	0.60%	7.67%	29.53%	4.66%	70,014,363	23,617,836	61,288,771	601,593,217		
2012	12	0.03%	0.06%	9.64%	28.56%	4.79%	0.46%	5.53%	47.12%	3.23%	50,095,407	208,602,274	3,341,925	730,368,535		
2013	1	0.03%	0.06%	8.88%	32.93%	7.60%	0.38%	5.53%	39.99%	4.00%	59,935,259	2,926,961	43,586,073	788,691,970		
2013	2	0.04%	0.08%	9.78%	33.71%	7.40%	0.39%	5.66%	38.58%	3.76%	70,967,788	24,682,561	53,720,472	805,527,926		
2013	3	0.04%	0.07%	9.94%	31.49%	5.25%	0.33%	9.02%	39.15%	4.07%	71,894,222	227,662,492	37,942,828	725,802,168		
2013	4	0.03%	0.06%	9.71%	33.04%	7.56%	0.46%	6.56%	40.78%	4.25%	65,564,871	3,335,194	48,247,537	800,292,959		
2013	5	0.03%	0.05%	8.38%	30.42%	8.57%	0.44%	6.41%	39.71%	5.53%	67,087,505	243,483,460	68,552,528	735,375,850		
2013	6	0.03%	0.05%	8.98%	32.82%	8.78%	0.39%	6.43%	37.77%	4.21%	72,937,373	266,698,219	71,343,267	812,487,328		
2013	7	0.03%	0.06%	9.66%	29.77%	7.94%	0.42%	6.71%	41.09%	3.65%	74,980,151	231,026,809	61,290,415	775,878,202		
2013	8	0.02%	0.03%	9.16%	32.11%	5.65%	0.39%	5.55%	42.68%	3.83%	79,722,793	279,530,583	49,190,214	800,292,959		
2013	9	0.03%	0.07%	10.43%	38.00%	6.70%	0.48%	7.38%	32.12%	4.12%	74,513,625	271,555,544	47,967,347	774,640,427		
2013	10	0.03%	0.06%	10.33%	33.60%	6.65%	0.41%	6.61%	37.72%	4.05%	51,506,124	3,098,770	51,237,027	714,719,677		
2013	11	0.03%	0.03%	11.54%	40.34%	5.92%	0.48%	7.44%	28.80%	4.61%	70,211,423	245,441,571	36,014,053	608,325,309		
2013	12	0.03%	0.07%	9.88%	29.54%	4.97%	0.37%	5.39%	46.24%	3.21%	70,292,494	216,783,730	62,479,545	733,921,901		
2014	1	0.03%	0.04%	8.83%	33.92%	7.83%	0.30%	5.37%	39.09%	3.97%	70,228,421	269,956,360	66,490,339	795,730,418		
2014	2	0.04%	0.05%	9.71%	34.71%	7.65%	0.31%	5.50%	37.70%	3.73%	74,784,751	254,279,098	56,018,251	806,211,563		
2014	3	0.04%	0.08%	9.90%	32.50%	5.44%	0.27%	8.79%	38.35%	4.04%	72,096,367	256,592,536	39,565,752	732,554,473		
2014	4	0.03%	0.05%	9.66%	34.04%	7.81%	0.37%	6.38%	39.88%	3.24%	71,613,765	252,501,239	59,541,541	727,889,973		
2014	5	0.02%	0.05%	8.34%	31.36%	8.86%	0.33%	6.23%	38.85%	5.48%	67,276,135	253,032,968	71,484,712	806,794,198		
2014	6	0.02%	0.07%	8.92%	33.79%	9.07%	0.31%	6.24%	36.90%	4.17%	73,142,451	277,158,218	74,394,824	820,211,563		
2014	7	0.03%	0.07%	9.62%	30.71%	8.22%	0.33%	6.54%	40.23%	3.62%	75,190,972	240,087,763	64,256,098	781,603,095		
2014	8	0.02%	0.08%	9.12%	33.13%	5.85%	0.31%	5.40%	41.79%	3.81%	79,946,950	290,493,872	51,294,221	876,759,956		
2014	9	0.03%	0.06%	10.34%	39.05%	6.91%	0.38%	7.15%	31.33%	4.11%	74,723,135	282,206,060	49,946,054	722,552,429		
2014	10	0.03%	0.06%	10.27%	34.62%	6.87%	0.32%	6.43%	36.88%	3.99%	199,805	4,765,042	50,242,835	767,951,817		
2014	11	0.03%	0.08%	11.43%	41.61%	6.10%	0.38%	7.20%	28.07%	4.55%	70,408,836	255,067,967	37,554,178	615,687,448		
2014	12	0.03%	0.04%	9.55%	30.52%	5.15%	0.29%	5.26%	45.35%	3.19%	70,490,135	225,286,066	38,039,880	738,081,856		
2015	1	0.03%	0.06%	8.76%	34.91%	8.11%	0.24%	5.22%	38.18%	3.93%	70,425,882	280,344,146	1,859,541	803,478,640		
2015	2	0.03%	0.03%	9.64%	35.71%	7.89%	0.25%	5.34%	36.81%	3.69%	71,367,430	264,252,015	58,414,313	739,968,507		

1. All growth percentages derived from Jan 2005 to Dec 2011.
2. Exceptions: SC4 from Jan 2005 to Feb 2010, SC11, 12 from Jan 2005 to Oct 2008, NYPA_OTH was reset to zero.
3. SC4 and NYPA_RE data from Aug Sep 2011 was corrected to a weighted average.

Growth Percent	-3.20%	-2.51%	0.28%	3.92%	4.28%	-20.29%	-1.94%	-1.37%	nyapa_re_pct	nyapa_oth_pct	0.00%
Year	Month	sc2nd_pct	sc2d_pct	sc3_pct	sc3a_pct	sc4_pct	sc7_pct	sc11_12_pct	nyapa_re_pct	nyapa_oth_pct	0.00%
2015	3	0.03%	0.66%	9.86%	33.52%	5.62%	0.21%	8.55%	37.53%	37.53%	4.01%
2015	4	0.03%	0.57%	9.59%	35.05%	8.07%	0.29%	6.20%	38.97%	38.97%	1.23%
2015	5	0.02%	0.49%	8.29%	32.30%	9.16%	0.28%	6.06%	37.97%	37.97%	5.43%
2015	6	0.02%	0.55%	8.85%	34.75%	9.36%	0.25%	6.06%	36.02%	36.02%	4.13%
2015	7	0.03%	0.68%	9.57%	31.66%	8.50%	0.26%	6.36%	39.35%	39.35%	3.59%
2015	8	0.02%	0.56%	9.07%	34.14%	6.05%	0.25%	5.25%	40.88%	40.88%	3.78%
2015	9	0.03%	0.67%	10.25%	40.11%	7.12%	0.30%	6.93%	30.54%	30.54%	4.06%
2015	10	0.02%	0.59%	10.20%	35.62%	7.10%	0.26%	6.24%	36.02%	36.02%	3.95%
2015	11	0.03%	0.77%	11.32%	42.50%	6.28%	0.30%	6.97%	27.33%	27.33%	4.49%
2015	12	0.03%	0.62%	9.52%	31.51%	5.34%	0.24%	5.12%	44.44%	44.44%	3.17%
2016	1	0.03%	0.59%	8.70%	35.91%	8.37%	0.19%	5.06%	37.27%	37.27%	3.89%
2016	2	0.03%	0.61%	9.57%	36.71%	8.14%	0.20%	5.18%	35.91%	35.91%	3.65%
2016	3	0.03%	0.64%	9.80%	34.54%	5.82%	0.17%	8.32%	36.71%	36.71%	3.98%

SC3A	SC3	SC2D	SC2ND	SC7	SC11_12	NYPA_R_E	NYPA_OTHER	TOTAL
245,871,780	72,299,080	4,853,793	247,458	1,502,572	62,724,040	275,311,131	29,405,827	733,473,774
262,404,428	71,815,121	4,261,210	214,870	2,131,604	46,393,334	291,732,389	9,197,259	748,570,083
262,957,012	67,465,295	4,009,488	193,801	2,182,167	49,306,762	309,141,181	44,221,464	814,019,492
288,028,463	73,348,105	4,567,891	191,034	1,995,213	50,207,671	298,528,224	34,243,753	828,687,259
249,504,091	75,402,387	5,359,885	201,270	2,018,589	50,092,227	310,125,182	28,302,918	788,011,064
301,887,146	80,171,737	4,952,991	193,420	2,110,293	46,453,449	361,435,245	33,376,299	884,068,802
293,274,282	74,933,234	4,882,375	199,334	2,145,547	50,693,220	223,296,224	29,669,447	731,176,054
281,145,446	80,473,458	4,645,227	193,405	1,968,694	49,267,935	284,281,499	31,182,434	789,164,584
265,071,731	70,606,805	4,813,317	196,170	1,827,575	43,495,321	170,457,585	28,036,245	623,665,539
234,121,866	70,688,332	4,638,849	231,120	1,692,310	38,066,695	330,152,206	23,576,188	742,834,522
291,547,187	70,623,899	4,817,273	236,234	1,482,177	41,097,784	302,599,827	31,566,095	811,931,329
274,616,074	71,568,093	4,547,155	250,296	1,411,882	38,762,834	268,668,763	27,300,847	748,038,805
255,514,959	72,502,364	4,731,746	239,532	1,197,649	61,306,957	271,530,382	29,405,827	739,652,237

1. All growth percents derived from Jan 2005 to Dec 2011.
2. Exceptions: SC4 from Jan 2005 to Feb 2010, SC11_12 from Jan 2005 to Oct 2008, NYPA_OTH was reset to zero.
3. SC4 and NYPA_RE data from Aug Sep 2011 was corrected to a weighted average.

Year	Month	ACTUAL												TOTAL
		SC1	SC2ND	SC2D	SC3	SC3A	SC4	SC7	SC11_12	NYPA_R_E	NYPA_OTHER	SL	BORDERS	
2012	1	1,132	61	380	541	400	57	30	78	318	31	21	0.7	3,050
2012	2	1,058	61	364	529	352	51	28	77	282	27	21	0.5	2,851
2012	3	1,040	61	371	554	385	41	28	108	317	33	16	0.4	2,952
2012	4	926	55	351	513	357	35	24	103	294	28	16	0.6	2,701
2012	5	817	47	328	517	377	56	22	89	313	33	14	0.4	2,613
2012	6	821	46	347	541	403	68	22	70	289	31	14	0.4	2,653
2012	7	1,019	52	399	588	358	52	30	91	314	1	13	0.4	2,917
2012	8	1,070	57	418	622	463	76	26	88	192	7	14	0.5	3,034
2012	9	953	51	380	531	376	44	32	73	360	17	17	0.5	2,833
2012	10	840	47	344	522	347	55	16	79	284	82	17	0.6	2,634
2012	11	846	47	325	487	303	34	36	70	234	31	19	0.5	2,432
2012	12	1,033	54	352	524	358	39	26	79	287	28	22	0.3	2,803
2013	1	1,161	62	389	555	408	59	30	74	307	31	21	0.7	3,099
2013	2	1,066	61	367	535	357	52	29	73	273	27	21	0.6	2,859
2013	3	1,034	60	369	554	389	42	28	103	307	32	16	0.4	2,934
2013	4	937	55	356	521	363	36	24	99	285	27	16	0.6	2,719
2013	5	801	46	324	513	380	57	22	84	303	32	14	0.4	2,576
2013	6	827	46	350	546	409	70	22	67	280	31	14	0.4	2,662
2013	7	1,025	52	402	594	365	53	30	87	305	1	13	0.4	2,927
2013	8	1,076	57	421	628	470	78	26	84	185	7	14	0.5	3,046
2013	9	958	51	384	538	384	46	32	69	351	17	17	0.5	2,848
2013	10	846	47	349	530	354	57	15	76	277	81	17	0.6	2,651
2013	11	852	48	329	495	310	35	37	67	228	31	19	0.5	2,451
2013	12	1,040	54	357	534	367	40	26	76	280	28	22	0.3	2,825

Year	Month	ACTUAL													TOTAL
		SC1	SC2ND	SC2D	SC3	SC3A	SC4	SC7	SC11_12	NYPA_R_E	NYPA_OTHER	SL	BORDERS		
2014	1	1,168	63	395	566	418	61	31	71	300	31	21	0.7	3,125	
2014	2	1,073	62	374	547	366	54	29	70	267	27	21	0.6	2,890	
2014	3	1,042	61	377	567	401	44	29	99	302	32	16	0.4	2,968	
2014	4	946	56	364	535	374	37	25	96	280	27	16	0.6	2,757	
2014	5	809	46	333	528	393	60	23	81	298	32	14	0.4	2,617	
2014	6	835	47	359	562	422	73	22	64	275	31	14	0.4	2,705	
2014	7	1,033	53	413	611	377	56	30	84	301	1	13	0.4	2,973	
2014	8	1,084	58	432	645	484	81	26	81	181	7	14	0.5	3,093	
2014	9	967	52	395	555	398	48	33	67	347	17	17	0.5	2,897	
2014	10	855	48	360	549	368	60	15	73	274	81	17	0.6	2,702	
2014	11	860	49	341	514	322	37	39	65	226	31	19	0.5	2,503	
2014	12	1,049	56	370	553	381	42	27	74	277	28	22	0.3	2,879	
2015	1	1,176	64	408	585	433	63	32	69	296	31	21	0.7	3,180	
2015	2	1,082	63	387	567	380	57	31	67	263	27	21	0.6	2,944	
2015	3	1,050	62	389	587	416	46	30	97	298	32	16	0.4	3,023	
2015	4	954	57	377	555	389	39	27	93	276	27	16	0.6	2,812	
2015	5	818	48	345	548	407	62	24	79	294	32	14	0.4	2,671	
2015	6	844	48	372	582	437	76	23	62	270	31	14	0.4	2,759	
2015	7	1,042	54	426	631	390	58	31	82	296	1	13	0.4	3,026	
2015	8	1,093	59	445	663	498	83	27	78	177	7	14	0.5	3,146	
2015	9	976	54	407	574	411	50	35	65	342	17	17	0.5	2,948	
2015	10	864	49	372	568	380	62	16	71	270	81	17	0.6	2,751	
2015	11	869	50	352	531	334	38	41	63	223	31	19	0.5	2,550	
2015	12	1,057	57	380	571	393	44	28	71	272	28	22	0.3	2,924	
2016	1	1,185	65	418	601	445	66	33	66	290	31	21	0.7	3,223	
2016	2	1,090	64	396	582	391	59	32	65	258	26	21	0.6	2,985	
2016	3	1,059	63	398	602	428	47	31	93	292	32	16	0.4	3,062	

Year	Month	UPDATED												TOTAL
		SC1	SC2ND	SC2D	SC3	SC3A	SC4	SC7	SC11_12	NYPA_R_E	NYPA_OTHER	SL	BORDERS	
2012	1	1,132	61	380	541	400	57	30	77	318	31	21	0.7	3,050
2012	2	1,058	61	364	529	352	51	28	77	282	27	21	0.5	2,851
2012	3	1,040	61	371	553	385	41	28	108	317	32	16	0.4	2,952
2012	4	926	55	351	527	355	53	27	81	301	9	16	0.6	2,701
2012	5	817	47	328	501	368	65	25	88	318	44	14	0.4	2,613
2012	6	821	46	347	521	395	67	21	83	304	33	14	0.4	2,653
2012	7	1,019	52	400	571	343	58	29	85	318	28	13	0.4	2,917
2012	8	1,070	57	415	571	397	42	25	77	336	30	14	0.5	3,034
2012	9	953	51	382	553	433	49	33	86	246	31	17	0.5	2,833
2012	10	840	47	345	532	385	49	15	84	290	31	17	0.6	2,634
2012	11	846	47	326	493	353	34	37	76	173	27	19	0.5	2,432
2012	12	1,033	54	352	530	318	35	26	72	339	23	22	0.3	2,803
2013	1	1,161	62	389	555	409	59	30	74	308	31	21	0.7	3,099
2013	2	1,066	61	367	535	357	52	29	73	273	27	21	0.6	2,859
2013	3	1,034	60	369	553	390	42	28	102	308	32	16	0.4	2,934
2013	4	937	55	356	535	361	54	27	77	292	9	16	0.6	2,719
2013	5	801	46	323	496	371	67	24	82	309	43	14	0.4	2,576
2013	6	827	46	350	527	402	69	21	79	295	33	14	0.4	2,662
2013	7	1,025	52	403	577	350	60	29	81	309	27	13	0.4	2,927
2013	8	1,076	57	419	578	404	44	25	73	328	29	14	0.5	3,046
2013	9	958	51	385	559	441	50	33	82	239	31	17	0.5	2,848
2013	10	846	47	349	540	393	50	15	80	283	30	17	0.6	2,651
2013	11	852	48	330	501	361	35	37	72	169	27	19	0.5	2,451
2013	12	1,040	54	357	540	326	36	26	69	332	23	22	0.3	2,825

Year_Month		UPDATED												
		SC1	SC2ND	SC2D	SC3	SC3A	SC4	SC7	SC11_12	NYPA_R_E	NYPA_OTHER	SL	BORDERS	TOTAL
2014	1	1,168	63	396	565	419	61	31	71	301	31	21	0.7	3,125
2014	2	1,073	62	374	546	367	54	29	70	267	26	21	0.6	2,890
2014	3	1,042	61	377	566	401	43	29	99	302	32	16	0.4	2,968
2014	4	946	56	364	548	373	56	27	74	287	9	16	0.6	2,757
2014	5	809	46	332	511	383	70	24	80	304	43	14	0.4	2,617
2014	6	835	47	360	542	415	71	21	76	290	33	14	0.4	2,705
2014	7	1,033	53	414	594	362	63	30	78	305	27	13	0.4	2,973
2014	8	1,084	58	430	594	418	45	25	71	323	29	14	0.5	3,093
2014	9	967	52	397	576	455	52	34	80	235	31	17	0.5	2,897
2014	10	855	48	360	558	408	52	15	77	279	30	17	0.6	2,702
2014	11	860	49	342	519	374	36	39	70	166	27	19	0.5	2,503
2014	12	1,049	56	370	559	339	38	27	67	329	23	22	0.3	2,879
2015	1	1,176	64	408	584	434	63	32	68	297	31	21	0.7	3,180
2015	2	1,082	63	387	566	381	57	30	67	264	26	21	0.6	2,944
2015	3	1,050	62	390	586	416	45	30	96	299	32	16	0.4	3,023
2015	4	954	57	377	569	387	59	28	72	283	9	16	0.6	2,812
2015	5	818	48	345	531	398	73	25	77	300	43	14	0.4	2,671
2015	6	844	48	372	562	430	74	22	74	285	33	14	0.4	2,759
2015	7	1,042	54	427	614	375	65	31	76	301	27	13	0.4	3,026
2015	8	1,093	59	443	613	432	47	26	68	319	29	14	0.5	3,146
2015	9	976	54	408	594	470	54	35	77	231	31	17	0.5	2,948
2015	10	864	49	372	577	422	54	15	75	275	30	17	0.6	2,751
2015	11	869	50	353	536	386	38	41	68	163	27	19	0.5	2,550
2015	12	1,057	57	381	577	351	39	28	65	324	23	22	0.3	2,924
2016	1	1,185	65	418	599	446	65	33	66	291	30	21	0.7	3,223
2016	2	1,090	64	396	581	392	59	32	65	259	26	21	0.6	2,985
2016	3	1,059	63	398	600	429	47	31	93	293	32	16	0.4	3,062

Year	Month	DIFFERENCE												SL	BORDERS	TOTAL
		SC1	SC2ND	SC2D	SC3	SC3A	SC4	SC7	SC11_12	NYPA_R_E	NYPA_OTHER					
2012	1	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	(0)	(0)	0	(0)	0	0	0	-	
2012	2	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	(0)	(0)	0	(0)	0	0	0	-	
2012	3	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	(0)	(0)	0	(0)	0	0	0	-	
2012	4	0	(0)	0	14	(2)	18	3	(23)	8	(18)	0	0	0	-	
2012	5	0	0	(1)	(16)	(9)	9	3	(2)	5	11	0	0	0	-	
2012	6	0	(0)	1	(20)	(8)	(2)	(1)	13	14	2	0	0	0	-	
2012	7	0	(0)	1	(17)	(15)	6	(0)	(6)	4	27	0	0	0	-	
2012	8	0	0	(2)	(51)	(67)	(34)	(2)	(11)	144	23	0	0	0	-	
2012	9	0	0	2	22	56	4	1	14	(114)	14	0	0	0	-	
2012	10	0	0	0	10	38	(6)	(0)	4	6	(51)	0	0	0	-	
2012	11	0	0	1	6	50	0	0	6	(60)	(3)	0	0	0	-	
2012	12	0	0	0	6	(40)	(4)	(0)	(7)	52	(5)	0	0	0	-	
2013	1	0	(0)	0	(1)	0	(0)	(0)	(0)	1	(0)	0	0	0	-	
2013	2	0	(0)	0	(1)	0	(0)	(0)	(0)	0	(0)	0	0	0	-	
2013	3	0	(0)	0	(1)	0	(0)	(0)	(0)	1	(0)	0	0	0	-	
2013	4	0	(0)	0	14	(2)	19	2	(22)	7	(18)	0	0	0	-	
2013	5	0	0	(0)	(17)	(9)	10	2	(2)	6	11	0	0	0	-	
2013	6	0	(0)	0	(20)	(8)	(2)	(1)	12	14	2	0	0	0	-	
2013	7	0	(0)	1	(17)	(15)	7	(0)	(6)	4	27	0	0	0	-	
2013	8	0	0	(2)	(51)	(66)	(34)	(1)	(11)	142	23	0	0	0	-	
2013	9	0	0	2	21	57	4	1	13	(112)	14	0	0	0	-	
2013	10	0	0	0	9	39	(7)	(0)	4	6	(51)	0	0	0	-	
2013	11	0	0	1	6	51	0	0	6	(60)	(4)	0	0	0	-	
2013	12	0	0	0	6	(41)	(4)	(0)	(7)	52	(5)	0	0	0	-	

Year	Month	DIFFERENCE												TOTAL
		SC1	SC2ND	SC2D	SC3	SC3A	SC4	SC7	SC11_12	NYPA_R_E	NYPA_OTHER	SL	BORDERS	
2014	1	0	(0)	0	(1)	0	(0)	(0)	(0)	1	(0)	0	0	-
2014	2	0	(0)	0	(1)	0	(0)	(0)	(0)	1	(0)	0	0	-
2014	3	0	(0)	0	(1)	1	(0)	(0)	(0)	1	(0)	0	0	-
2014	4	0	(0)	0	14	(2)	19	2	(22)	7	(18)	0	0	-
2014	5	0	0	(0)	(17)	(9)	10	2	(1)	6	11	0	0	-
2014	6	0	(0)	0	(20)	(7)	(2)	(0)	12	15	2	0	0	-
2014	7	0	(0)	1	(17)	(15)	7	(0)	(6)	5	27	0	0	-
2014	8	0	0	(2)	(50)	(66)	(35)	(1)	(10)	142	23	0	0	-
2014	9	0	0	2	21	58	4	1	13	(112)	14	0	0	-
2014	10	0	0	0	9	40	(7)	(0)	4	5	(51)	0	0	-
2014	11	0	0	1	5	52	(0)	0	5	(60)	(4)	0	0	-
2014	12	0	0	0	6	(42)	(4)	(0)	(7)	52	(5)	0	0	-
2015	1	0	(0)	0	(1)	1	(0)	(0)	(0)	1	(0)	0	0	-
2015	2	0	(0)	0	(1)	1	(0)	(0)	(0)	1	(0)	0	0	-
2015	3	0	(0)	0	(1)	1	(0)	(0)	(0)	1	(0)	0	0	-
2015	4	0	(0)	0	13	(2)	20	1	(21)	7	(18)	0	0	-
2015	5	0	0	(0)	(17)	(9)	10	1	(1)	6	11	0	0	-
2015	6	0	(0)	0	(20)	(7)	(2)	(0)	12	15	2	0	0	-
2015	7	0	(0)	1	(18)	(16)	7	(0)	(6)	5	27	0	0	-
2015	8	0	0	(2)	(50)	(66)	(36)	(1)	(10)	142	23	0	0	-
2015	9	0	(0)	2	20	59	4	1	12	(111)	14	0	0	-
2015	10	0	0	0	8	41	(8)	(0)	4	5	(51)	0	0	-
2015	11	0	0	1	5	53	(0)	0	5	(59)	(4)	0	0	-
2015	12	0	0	0	6	(42)	(5)	(0)	(7)	53	(5)	0	0	-
2016	1	0	(0)	0	(1)	1	(0)	(0)	(0)	1	(0)	0	0	-
2016	2	0	(0)	0	(1)	1	(0)	(0)	(0)	1	(0)	0	0	-
2016	3	0	(0)	0	(2)	1	(0)	(0)	(0)	1	(0)	0	0	-

Before the New York State Public Service Commission
NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION d/b/a NATIONAL GRID
Corrections and Updates Testimony
of
Elizabeth D. Arangio

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Elizabeth D. Arangio

1 **Q. Please state your full name and business address.**

2 A. My name is Elizabeth D. Arangio. My business address is 40 Sylvan
3 Road, Waltham, MA 02451.

4

5 **Q. Are you the same Elizabeth D. Arangio who previously submitted**
6 **prepared testimony in this proceeding?**

7 A. Yes.

8

9 **Q. What is the purpose of your corrections and updates testimony?**

10 A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide an update to Exhibit __ (EDA-
11 2). In the table, listed under Winter Peaking Service, the Cogen 2 peaking
12 supply service shows an expiration date of April 30, 2012. This contract
13 has been extended and the new expiration date is April 30, 2017.
14 Attached is Exhibit __ (EDA-2CU), which sets forth the current status of
15 the contract. The extension of this contract has no impact on the
16 Company's forecast of gas costs for the Rate Year ending March 31, 2014
17 or the Data Years ending March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2016.

18

19 **Q. Does this conclude your corrections and updates testimony?**

20 A. Yes.

Exhibit __ (EDA-2CU)

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation Pipeline Transportation Contracts

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
2013/14 Capacity Portfolio
Pipeline Transportation Contracts

Pipeline Company Name	Contract Number	Rate Schedule	Daily Quantity (DT)	Expiration Date
Flowing Gas To Citygate				
Dominion Transmission Inc.	100001	FTNN	340,122	03/31/2016
Iroquois Gas Transmission	73005	RTS	51,596	10/31/2016
Dominion Transmission Inc.	200558	FT	17,700	03/31/2025
Dominion Transmission Inc.	200290	FT	10,000	03/31/2016
Upstream Pipeline Support 1/				
Transcontinental Gas Pipeline	1006514	FT	8,306	10/31/2013
Texas Gas Transmission	T003945	FT	52,194	10/31/2013
Tennessee Gas Pipeline	65075	FT	14,000	10/31/2014
Winter Peaking Service				
Cogen 1			25,500	08/31/2014
Cogen 2			14,000	04/30/2017
Industrial 1			3,000	Evergreen

1/ Capacity used to deliver gas to pipelines that deliver to the citygate.

Before the New York State Public Service Commission
NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION d/b/a NATIONAL GRID
Corrections and Updates Testimony
of the
Management Audit Panel

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Management Audit Panel

1 **Q. Please identify the members of the Management Audit Panel.**

2 A. The Panel consists of Peter T. Zschokke, Director of Regulatory Strategy
3 and Margaret M. Janzen, Director of Wholesale Electric Supply.

4

5 **Q. Is this the same panel that provided direct testimony and sponsored**
6 **associated exhibits in the Company's April 27, 2012 filing in this**
7 **proceeding?**

8 A. Yes, this is the same Panel that submitted direct testimony and sponsored
9 the following two exhibits:

10 Exhibit __ (MAP-1) – Table summarizing the Management Audit
11 recommendations and their implementation status as of March
12 2012; and

13 Exhibit __ (MAP-2) – January 31, 2012 Management Audit
14 Implementation Plan Update Report.

15

16 **Q. What is the purpose of the Panel's corrections and updates testimony?**

17 A. The purpose of the Panel's testimony is to identify and explain certain
18 corrections and/or updates to the Panel's direct testimony and exhibits in
19 the April 27, 2012 filing.

20

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Management Audit Panel

1 **Q. Please describe the corrections and updates to the Panel’s direct**
2 **testimony and associated exhibits submitted on April 27, 2012.**

3 A. The Panel has two corrections to Exhibit __ (MAP-1). First, the Panel is
4 correcting a typographical error on page 9 of that exhibit so that the
5 Evidence Submitted date for the KAT-13 Supplement associated with
6 Recommendation V-2 properly reflects the date April 26, 2011. Second,
7 the March 2012 Status for Recommendation X-1 reflected on page 25 of
8 that exhibit should be changed from “Implemented” to “Pending Review.”
9 As a result of this change, and to correctly reflect the total count of
10 Implemented and Pending Review recommendations as of March 31,
11 2012, the Panel amends its direct testimony at page 6, lines 19-20 as
12 follows: “As of March 31, 2012, the Company identified 16
13 recommendations as “Implemented,” 17 recommendations as “Pending
14 Review” and 11 recommendations as “In Progress.”

15

16 **Q. Is the Panel sponsoring any exhibits as part of its corrections and**
17 **updates testimony?**

18 A. Yes. In addition to the corrected Exhibit __ (MAP-1CU), the Panel is
19 sponsoring the following supplemental exhibit:

20 Exhibit __ (MAP-3CU) - May 31, 2012 Management Audit
21 Implementation Plan Update Report.

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Management Audit Panel

1 **Q. Please describe why Exhibit __ (MAP-3CU) is being provided.**

2 A. At the time of the April 27, 2012 filing, the January 31, 2012 Management
3 Audit Implementation Plan Update Report reflected in Exhibit __ (MAP-
4 2) was the Company's latest formal report to the Commission on the status
5 of its Management Audit implementation efforts. Since then, the
6 Company has submitted a subsequent report on its implementation efforts.
7 Thus, the Company is providing the Commission with this more current
8 update as a supplemental exhibit.

9

10 **Q. Does this conclude the Panel's corrections and updates testimony?**

11 A. Yes.

Exhibits of
Management Audit Panel

List of Exhibits

Exhibit __ (MAP-1CU)	Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits
Exhibit __ (MAP-3CU)	May 31, 2012 Management Audit Implementation Plan Update Report

Exhibit __ (MAP-1CU)

Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
III-1	Revise the corporate vision and objectives statements to more explicitly articulate the company's obligation to provide low cost, reliable and safe electric service to its customers. The revised statement should reflect the need to mitigate volatility and produce lower costs relative to some benchmark and could include a reflection of the total bill rather than the unit price. (Refers to Finding III-1)	Implemented	KAT-1 (06/11/10)	A new vision statement has been adopted for Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation's electric business: "We will be the foremost electric company, delivering unparalleled safety, reliability and efficiency, vital to the well-being of our customers and communities. We are committed to being an innovative leader in energy efficiency and management, and to mitigate total energy costs in the most reasonable manner, through minimizing energy cost volatility and achieving delivery performance efficiency."	Nominal incremental implementation costs. The primary benefits are to more clearly communicate and elevate the visibility of the Company's focus on providing safe, reliable, and efficient service and mitigating price volatility (including supply costs) for the benefit of customers.	Kenneth D. Daly
III-2	Consolidate the management of U.S. electric transmission and electric distribution into one LOB to provide greater visibility over NMPC electric transmission and distribution operations while maintaining NG's ability to achieve synergies and economies of scale. (Refers to Finding III-4)	Implemented	KAT-2 (06/11/10)	In 2010 National Grid consolidated U.S electric transmission and distribution into one LOB. Beginning in January 2011, National Grid restructured its entire US Gas and Electric businesses and moved away from a line of business model to a jurisdictional model that designated jurisdictional presidents with responsibility and accountability by operating company. The jurisdictional model	Nominal incremental implementation costs. National Grid estimated achievable annual savings of \$11 million from consolidation of the LOBs and any achieved savings are reflected in the Historic Test Year. These savings are a combination of capital and O&M savings for the total US Electric T&D business. The primary benefits to Niagara Mohawk's electric business from the organizational realignment include improved visibility, a clear line of	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
				is designed to facilitate greater visibility over the operations of each operating company. For Niagara Mohawk, this includes greater visibility over transmission and distribution operations.	responsibility, and greater coordination to drive solutions tailored to the needs of the Company's customers and other stakeholders. For example, improved investment plan coordination and cost management, and greater reflection of external stakeholder input into T&D system planning. The jurisdictional model also enables the Company to continue to benefit from the economies of scale and capabilities that National Grid's combined shared services function delivers.	
III-3	Prepare a business plan document for NMPC electric operations that combines strategic and operating activities with capital and O&M budgets, and ensures that the resulting plan documents the scope of business planning for the benefit of NMPC electric ratepayers. (Refers to Finding III-14)	Pending Review	KAT-3 (06/11/10) KAT-3 Supplement (06/08/11)	The Company prepares an annual business plan.	Nominal incremental implementation costs. The primary benefits include a more formal focus on Company-specific customer and financial performance.	Service Company Panel
III-4	Integrate supply procurement and energy portfolio management into the business planning	Implemented	KAT-4 (08/13/10)	The Company has integrated energy supply procurement into the Niagara Mohawk business planning process. In 2011, the	Nominal incremental implementation costs. Primary benefits include a more holistic approach to business planning, which integrates the role of	Management Audit Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
	processes. (Refers to Finding III-17)			Electric Procurement team was reorganized with a NY Electric Supply group in order to have a more jurisdictional focus to support the NY commodity portfolio.	energy supply procurement in the process, for the benefit of customers.	
III-5	Specify how the company is going to monitor and measure the benefits to ratepayers arising from the investment in Smart Grid technology for the pilot projects. When applying for authorization for further Smart Grid technology, include a cost benefit analysis demonstrating how the results of the project will provide a net benefit to all ratepayers. (Refers to Finding III-20)	Pending Review	KAT-5 (06/11/10) KAT-5 Supplement (03/10/11)	Implementation of the Smart Grid pilots has been withdrawn. The Company's electric infrastructure plan does include investment associated with the U.S. Department of Energy's ("DOE") Smart Grid Implementation Grant ("SGIG") program. Under the SGIG program, the Company will invest approximately \$19 million to deploy switched capacitors and Phasor Measurement Units ("PMUs"), with 50% of the investment being reimbursed by the DOE.	In February 2011, the Company withdrew the NY Smart Grid Pilot proposed in Case 09-E-310 and thus has not incurred any incremental costs for a Smart Grid Pilot in New York. The Company is a sub-recipient to the NYISO for a DOE SGIG. National Grid's share of the NYISO SGIG is a \$19 million project (\$17 million for capacitors and \$2 million for PMUs). Under the terms of the DOE grant, the Company will receive reimbursement for 50% of allowable costs up to \$9.5 million. The Commission approved the Company's investment in the SGIG project in Case 09-E-0310, finding that the PMU and capacitor investments provide statewide benefits, and would be reasonable investment in technology that improves the efficient and intelligent operation of the state's electric grid. In Case 10-E-0500, ("2010 Electric Rate Case"), the Order stated the	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
III-6	Recruit and appoint an independent member to NG's Board of Directors who is experienced in US utility operations and/or regulation. (Refers to Finding III-27)	Pending Review	KAT-50 (08/30/10)	On April 3, 2012, National Grid announced the appointment of Nora Mead Brownell to the National Grid plc Board of Directors effective June 1, 2012. Ms. Brownell has extensive US utility and regulatory experience, having served as a Commissioner with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.	following with respect to the project costs: "...the deferral accounting approach must be used and the costs can be included in rates in the Company's next rate proceeding." (Order at 56) Nominal incremental implementation costs. The benefits will include enhanced Board focus on, and understanding of, U.S. operations and regulatory issues.	Kenneth D. Daly
III-7	Dissolve Niagara Mohawk Holdings, Inc. ("NMHI") (Refers to Finding III-29)	Pending Review	KAT-7 (06/11/10) KAT-49 (07/12/10)	This recommendation is not being implemented based on an analysis of the tax effect of such a dissolution and the conclusion that, due to the manner in which NMHI was acquired, the dissolution of NMHI could cause a significantly higher federal income tax liability for National Grid if at some future point Niagara Mohawk were sold.	The Company has determined that the potential costs of implementing this recommendation would be significant, and any corresponding customer benefit would be negligible. Therefore, the Company will not be implementing this recommendation.	Management Audit Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
III-8	Consolidate the two service companies as soon as possible and as planned. (Refers to Finding III-30)	In Progress (<i>Dependency on US Foundation Program</i>)		The Company will move forward with service company consolidation following implementation of the US Foundation Program which will consolidate legacy National Grid and legacy KeySpan financial, HR, supply chain, and fleet IS applications onto a single SAP platform. Implementation of SAP is scheduled for October 2012. Revision to the National Grid US Service Company agreement was filed on March 30, 2012 to consolidate National Grid Corporate Services LLC (formerly KeySpan Corporate Services LLC) and National Grid Utility Services (formerly KeySpan Utility Services LLC) into a consolidated service company ("ServeCo") at the time US Foundation is implemented.	The US Foundation Program implementation has significant incremental cost and is a critical path to consolidating the service of companies. The benefits of consolidating the service companies include common cost allocation methodologies, greater transparency, and improved controls. Any savings facilitated by the US Foundation Program are identified in the Company's US Restructuring Program.	Service Company Panel Revenue Requirements Panel
III-9	Replace the current membership of the NMPC BOD and the NG USA BOD with members who are representative of NG's senior US management of all of its LOBs operating in the US. (Refers to Findings	Pending Review	KAT-9 (03/03/11) KAT-9 Supplement (06/23/11)	In early 2010, the National Grid USA Board elected the most seniors executives in the US as members, consistent with the recommendation. The Board remains comprised of the most senior executives in the US.	Nominal incremental implementation costs. The primary benefits include increased focus on, and visibility of, Company issues by members responsible and accountable for the performance of Niagara Mohawk for the benefit of customers.	Kenneth D. Daly

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
	III-31 and II-32)			In the 2010 Electric Rate Case, the Commission directed the Company, Staff and interested parties to collaborate to determine which provisions from the prior rate plan would continue in the current rate plan. An agreement was reached on, among other things, the structure and composition of the Niagara Mohawk Board of Directors, which is different from the structure recommended in the Management Audit. That agreement was filed in the Report on Rate Plan Provisions ("Report") dated January 31, 2012. In 2011, the Company elected a new Board consistent with the Report. If the Report is approved as filed, the Company will consider this recommendation complete. If the requirements of the Company's Board composition change as a result of the Commission's actions on the Report, the Company will re-evaluate this Recommendation.		

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
IV-1	Revise the performance management process for the US Country and NMPC operating company level to include KPIs currently missing. The performance management process should include KPIs for the: Effect of company performance on ratepayers; Effectiveness of the Energy Portfolio Management Group in acquiring reliable, low cost supply or minimizing the volatility of electric prices; Development or implementation of comprehensive system plans; Effectiveness in estimating the cost of projects or performance in managing projects to completion; Effectiveness of centralization of US electric operations on ratepayers. (Refers to Findings IV-6 and IV-12)	In Progress <i>(Dependency on history with new System Plan, US Foundation Program and, the US Cost estimating tool)</i>	KAT-10 (03/03/11)	National Grid has implemented several of the recommended Key Performance Indicators (“KPIs”) and continues to work toward developing others. The Company has implemented certain KPIs relating to reliability, safety, and customer satisfaction, which directly benefit customers. Other previously implemented field force productivity metrics are currently under review as noted in Recommendation X-1. In addition, the Energy Procurement group has implemented appropriate metrics to assess performance in the acquisition of energy supply as part of its actions to address Recommendation VI-1. A high-level scorecard for the estimating accuracy target has been developed, as indicated in Recommendation VIII-3, as well as a scorecard to track project cost estimating. The project management performance scorecard is nearly in place as part of the End-to-End Project Management initiative. Finally, the Company has begun a process	The incremental costs to implement this recommendation have been nominal. The primary benefit of implementation is improved visibility of Company performance including the effectiveness of procuring reliable, low cost power for Niagara Mohawk’s Standard Rate Service customers.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
IV-2	Utilize benchmarking in setting performance targets and establishing NG's current position against the targets. (Refers to Finding IV-14)	Implemented	KAT-11 (03/03/11)	and methodology to evaluate performance of the 15-Year System Plan as described in Recommendation VII-3. The Company has developed a comprehensive operations benchmarking plan as a means to inform target setting efforts in certain areas (for example, reliability, safety, and operations).	The incremental implementation costs of this effort have been nominal. The primary benefits of implementing this recommendation include better performance tracking, assessment, and valuation against industry peer groups; identification and adoption of best practices, as appropriate; and opportunities for improved performance for the benefit of customers.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel
V-1	Develop energy sales forecasts and peak demand forecasts that are specific to Upstate New York and the sub-areas within NMPC service territory. (Refers to Finding V-2)	Implemented	KAT-12 (06/11/10)	The Company has developed new energy and peak demand forecasts with regional detail. The sub-area regional forecasts are then provided to system planners for use in the planning processes.	The incremental implementation costs of this effort have been nominal as this work was subsumed within the Customer – Electric Sales group. Primary benefits of implementing this recommendation include improved system planning, better tailored capital investment plans, and more efficient use of capital funds in the future.	Joseph F. Gredder

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
V-2	Implement end-use data collection activities to support meaningful implementation of the SMART GRID program, enhance the development of Energy Efficiency (EE) programs and initiate efforts toward end-use modeling. (Refers to Findings V-5 and V- 6)	In Progress <i>(Dependency on the NYSERDA End-Use Customer Survey)</i>	KAT-13 (03/10/11) KAT-13 Supplement (04/26/11) KAT-13 Suppl 2 (06/23/11)	The Company implemented end-use metering as part of its small business program beginning in the third quarter of 2011. End-use metering for residential cooling will not be implemented because the Company's proposal for a modified electric HVAC program in 2010-2011 was not approved by the Commission. The Company's Residential High Efficiency Central Air Conditioning Program closed on March 31, 2010. The NYSERDA RFP, required for the EE End Use Customer Survey, has been conducted, bids have been reviewed and a consensus recommendation for procuring a contractor for the project has been made. Based on "best practices" research, the Company is not using end-use modeling at this time. The Company continues to explore the most appropriate, cost-effective means of incorporating new technologies and efficiency measures into the forecast,	Nominal incremental costs to implement as existing studies were leveraged for end-use data collection. The primary benefits of implementing this recommendation include: providing the Energy Efficiency planning team additional information regarding end uses to facilitate the selection of measures and targeting of programs, as well as evaluation of program results.	Joseph F. Gredder

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
V-3	Coordinate load forecasting activities with the customer markets group to support development of EE and Distributed Generation programs and system/supply planning, and to incorporate the projected results of those programs into the load forecasting models and results. (Refers to Findings V-10 and V-11)	In Progress <i>(Dependency on the NYSEDA End-Use Customer Survey)</i>	KAT-14 (04/26/11)	including the potential adoption of end-use modeling in the future. As reflected in the update for Recommendation III-5, the Company withdrew its Modified New York Smart Grid Program Proposal on Smart Grid on February 11, 2011. Therefore, Smart Grid data collection will not be implemented as part of this recommendation. A load forecast model that integrates adjustments for customer energy efficiency initiatives and other customer-side measures has been completed.	Nominal incremental implementation costs. The primary benefits of implementing this recommendation include improved system planning and capital investment plans, more efficient use of capital funds, and more accurate procurement of supply for customers.	Joseph F. Gredder
VI-1	Establish a comprehensive framework of performance metrics for the supply procurement and risk	Implemented	KAT-15 (06/22/10)	New performance metrics for supply procurement and risk management functions have been implemented. Performance	The incremental implementation costs of this effort have been nominal. The primary benefits of implementing this recommendation are enhanced	Management Audit Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
	management functions. The metrics should build on NG's corporate vision and goals and need to reflect the changing electric supply procurement market and NG's preferred strategy in that market. (Refers to Findings VI-7 and VI-14)			metrics regarding daily load bidding have been incorporated into personal performance objectives. Supply portfolio performance metrics on price and volatility have also been incorporated into the Company's KPIs. In addition, a metric on hedging activity was added to a scorecard that is reported monthly to senior management.	visibility of Company performance and personal accountability for supply and procurement activities for the benefit of customers.	
VI-2a	Continue activities to develop a long-term strategy and short-term tactical supply procurement plan as laid out in the Collaborative meetings and incorporate these plans into the corporate business plan. (Refers to Findings VI-10, VI-11, VI-13 and VI-14)	Pending Review	KAT-16 (06/14/10)	A revised long-term strategy and short-term tactical implementation plan for supply procurement has been completed. Also, the Electric Supply team is developing process improvements to increase the accuracy of the daily electric load forecast.	The incremental implementation costs of this effort have been nominal. The primary benefits of implementing this recommendation are improved supply risk management strategies and processes.	Management Audit Panel
VI-3	Define and restructure the risk management policies, procedures and functions to assure appropriate monitoring of risk factors as the transition and long-term supply procurement plans are implemented.	Pending Review	KAT-17 (06/21/10)	The revised risk management framework for supply procurement is complete. Also, the Energy Procurement Risk Management Committee and expanded Commodity Management Committee have been restructured. These two committees review and	The incremental implementation costs of this effort have been nominal. The primary benefits of implementing this recommendation are improved supply risk management strategies.	Management Audit Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
	The risk management tools should incorporate appropriate market monitoring to know when contingencies are needed. (Refers to Finding VI-12)			approve the Electric Supply procurement strategies. The Energy Procurement Risk Management Committee continues to meet approximately monthly and includes senior management from Regulatory, Legal and Treasury. The Commodity Management Committee also continues to meet approximately monthly, and is comprised of management staff from Regulatory Pricing, Risk Management, Legal, Supplier Services, Credit and Accounting.		
VII-1	Develop an integrated NMPC Transmission and Distribution system-wide plan. (Refers to Findings VII -4,VII-8, VII-14, VII-15, VII-16, VII-17, VII-18, and VII-31)	Pending Review	KAT-18 (06/18/10) KAT-18 Supplement (06/08/11)	Coordinated, combined long-term T&D system plan produced on a periodic basis.	Nominal incremental implementation costs. The primary benefits of an integrated T&D system plan include consolidate results of near- and long-term planning processes and activities including coordinated process changes and improvements that affect the Company's integrated transmission and distribution system. The ultimate objective of the plan is to develop new, and strengthen existing, integrated plans to provide customers with safe, reliable and efficient service. The integrated system	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
VII-2	Utilize annual operational reports such as the Transmission System Reliability Performance Report and the Distribution Reliability Report as inputs to asset health/strategy and subsequently recommended projects. Demonstrate how the annual integrated system plans directly address reliability issues raised in the two reports. Show progress against known system deficiencies such as “worst-performing circuits” and outage causal factors. Identify and relate capital programs and projects to specific reliability performance issues and measure effect.	Pending Review		The Company utilizes the Transmission System Reliability Performance Report as an input into the development of capital projects and programs. The data from this report is a driver in developing asset refurbishment projects. The Company also considers the number of customers impacted in its review for a complete assessment of the reliability impact. The Distribution Reliability Report is utilized in developing actions for the worst performing feeder list, Engineering Reliability Review and recloser selection, and for identifying opportunities to create feeder tie benefits. The transmission and distribution sources are widely used in project and program planning.	planning process has resulted in reductions to the capital investment plan compared to prior year levels. The capital plan has been reduced approximately \$1.3 billion over three years (FY12-FY14) from the 2009 Capital Investment Plan. The incremental implementation costs associated with this effort are nominal. The primary benefit in utilizing the data in these reviews is improved planning, which may improve reliability and customer service performance.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
VII-3	(Refers to Findings VII -3, VII-4, VII-5, VII-25, and VII-26) Evaluate the effectiveness of system plans each year to determine how well they are meeting system planning objectives such as reliability goals, and directing capital resources to specific issue areas and performance trends. (Refers to Findings VII-8, VII -11, VII-18, and VII-29)	In Progress <i>(Dependency on history with new System Plan)</i>		The Company is developing a process and methodology to evaluate the performance of the 15-Year System Plan (to be prepared every two years) and Capital Investment Plan (prepared annually) which will be focused on metrics related to reliability, plan adherence / performance, spending rationale review, etc. This review will take place upon the completion of the fiscal year plan and be incorporated into the annual Capital Investment Plan submitted in January. The Company recognizes that in some cases evaluation may be focused on trending data.	Nominal incremental implementation costs. The primary benefit of implementing this recommendation is to improve the long-term planning process by incorporating lessons learned from the previous fiscal year.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel
VII-4	Evaluate the causal factors and impact on capital budgets and system planning of projects “walked in” and “walked out” of the system plans. Identify why the projects walked in were not initially	Pending Review	KAT-21 (09/02/10)	The Company produces a quarterly report and analysis of emergent and deferred projects as part of its quarterly capital investment plan updates.	Nominal incremental implementation costs. The primary benefits of implementing this recommendation include improved understanding of the drivers and impacts of intra-year changes in the capital investment plan, and more effective management of the capital budget.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
	planned, what will be done in future planning cycles to remediate these issues and how projects displaced into future planning periods will be accommodated. (Refers to Findings VII -11 and VII-29)					
VII-5	Perform economic studies to identify more efficient system modifications that can reduce the costs of service and increase utilization of resources. (Refers to Findings VII -26 and VII-29)	Implemented	KAT-22 (05/19/11)	The Company has adopted a formal process for evaluating non-wires alternatives to meet system needs. Additionally, the review of wire projects has been enhanced to promote a broader scope of possible solutions to ensure that low cost solutions are utilized where feasible.	Nominal incremental implementation costs. The primary benefits of implementing this recommendation are optimizing the use of existing assets, more effectively managing the capital budget, and reducing capital costs.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel
VII-6	Evaluate outages that were avoidable due to improved system planning (capital) and preventive maintenance (O&M) such as vegetation and failed equipment. Determine the budget necessary to provide the level of maintenance that would have prevented the outages and compare against the current maintenance	Pending Review	KAT-23 (07/01/11)	Because of the relatively limited number of transmission outages that occur and the potential impact of each outage, a process for weekly evaluation of outages on the transmission system has been established. Information from these discussions is utilized by asset management in development of asset strategies and projects where needed. For Distribution, several reviews are completed throughout the year. On a daily	Nominal incremental implementation costs. The primary benefit of implementing this recommendation is an enhanced process for identifying avoidable outages. This information is then used to identify opportunities to improve reliability performance through efficient system planning and maintenance efforts for the benefit of customers.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
	budget. Analyze the costs associated with the outages with incremental increases in maintenance programs. (Refers to Findings VII -3, VII-4, VII-5 and VII-25)			basis the Chair of the Divisional Reliability Council reviews all Interruption Disturbance System (“IDS”) morning report outages to determine if further investigation is necessary into an event. Any lessons learned or action plans from these reviews are discussed at monthly reliability meetings. Also monthly, Field engineering reviews IDS events for accuracy and then annually analyze all the reliability information in developing the reliability report filed each March. The reliability information maintained in IDS forms the basis for the justification of many strategies and programs, as well as the action plans on Poor Performing Circuits and associated Engineering Reliability Reviews.		
VII-7	Establish a traditional transmission utility system planning function that results in industry accepted planning products such as: system-wide studies not just area studies; five-year, ten-year, 15-year and 20-year system layouts;	Pending Review	KAT-24 (06/08/11)	Incorporation of traditional transmission utility planning function into integrated T&D planning function is in place. The results of this effort are included in the Capital Investment Plan (current to five years) and 15-Year System Plans (current to fifteen years). The Company’s review	Nominal incremental implementation costs. The primary benefit of implementing this recommendation is that the integration of transmission planning into a broader T&D system plan may lead to more effective management of capital budgets.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
	integrated ten to twenty year system plans; and timelines of system needs. (Refers to Findings VII-8, VII-11, VII-13, VII-24, and VII-26)			includes not only smaller area studies, but also takes into account summarizing these studies across the service territory, state studies (New York ISO), and discussion with other utilities.		
VII-8	Evaluate the boundaries for continuity between the integrated transmission and the integrated distribution plans to assess whether the entire “wires” business is adequately planned. (Refers to Finding VII-8, VII-10, VII-13, and VII-25)	Implemented	KAT-25 (02/23/11)	The Company has established eight transmission planning study areas and 43 distribution planning areas within those transmission study areas to facilitate performance of system studies and project plans. The Company implements plans that support appropriate solutions to regional study concerns on a T&D system review basis.	Nominal incremental implementation costs. The primary benefit of implementing this recommendation is that it facilitates the Company’s system studies.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel
VII-9	Adopt a results oriented approach to drive the development and implementation of asset management strategies by their relationship to equipment failure causal factors and system performance: Prioritize asset management strategies by their relationship to outage causal factors	Pending Review		The Company continues to review existing asset strategies and has included many of these in the existing 15-Year System Plan, such as replacement of certain types and vintage of breakers based on known reliability concerns by performance to ensure reliability of the system. Some strategies are being or have been revised based on the requirement to ensure value is achieved by the investment. Longer term asset strategies such	Nominal incremental implementation costs. Proper asset management requires timely reviews and revisions to ensure proper planning. The primary benefit of implementing this recommendation is improved asset management strategies. Many of these strategies are incorporated in the Company’s integrated system planning process, which has resulted in a reduction in the capital investment plan compared to prior year levels, as noted in VII-1.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
	and their ability to directly affect reliability performance measures; - Evaluate this stratification annually to maintain focus; - Differentiate long term asset strategies from those dealing with specific reliability problems and their incorporation into the annual system plans; - Evaluate the effectiveness of asset management strategies in terms of the number of capital projects and maintenance programs actually executed. (Refers to Findings VII- 18 and VII-25)			as transmission asset refurbishment, provide improved recognition and definition in identifying current needs for replacement while utilizing assets in place if in good condition while under refurbishment review. Asset strategies will be reviewed on a two year cycle to ensure they are current with plan needs, conditions and objectives. Additionally, the Company, in developing the annual Capital Investment Plan, will be determining the value by inclusion or exclusion to the plan.		
VII-10	Initiate or partner with NYISO on appropriate studies regarding the effect and needed response to increased application of Distributed Generation, Renewable Resources,	Implemented	KAT-27 (06/21/10) KAT-27 Supplement (07/09/10)	The Company participates with the NYISO, as well as other transmission owning utilities, on the NY State Transmission Assessment and Reliability Study (“STARS”) study and other planning processes, such as the	Nominal incremental implementation costs. The primary benefits of implementing this recommendation include planning coordination with within the state and shared knowledge among the utilities and system operator.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
VIII-1	SMART GRID and other trends in utility system operations. (Refers to Finding VII-19) Ensure that projects are managed in accordance with PMP requirements, including: making Quality Assurance an integral part of the project management process for both in-house and regional delivery venture work forces; having project managers actively monitor overall project progress against the baseline schedule and review cost versus progress and budget; adhering to policies and procedures regarding project cost control and re-sanctioning requirements; and maintaining comprehensive project management files; (Refers to Findings VIII-4, VIII-10, VIII-11, and VIII-	In Progress <i>(Dependency on US Foundations, US Cost estimating tool and E2E efforts)</i>	KAT-29 (05/04/11) KAT-31 (02/23/11) KAT-32 (02/23/11)	Comprehensive System Planning Process (“CSPP”), Reliability Needs Assessment (“RNA”), Congestion Analysis and Resource Integration Study (“CARIS”), as well as other specialized studies (e.g., NYISO Wind Study). The Company has revised its Project Management Playbook (“PMP”) as part of its comprehensive End-to-End (“E2E”) Project Management process review, and is developing a framework for application of the PMP to different project levels based on complexity. Quality assurance is integrated in the PMP process, and the Company has improved its formal project documentation process. The revised PMP process includes a role for active management of projects above a certain threshold level.	Nominal incremental implementation costs associated with revision of the PMP, re-sanctioning training, or maintaining comprehensive project management files. Total non-recurring consultancy costs associated with National Grid’s E2E Project were \$4.3 million, including costs in calendar years 2010-2011. The E2E process is applicable to the management of all projects (i.e., electric and gas) in all jurisdictions. All E2E-related consultancy costs allocated to Niagara Mohawk have been removed from the Historic Test Year. The primary benefits of implementing this recommendation include better processes for managing capital investment projects which enhance our ability to deliver projects to scope, schedule and cost, as well as greater visibility with improved project controls and records. For	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
	19)				example, of the twenty-three quality assurance Project Management Desk Audits conducted for capital projects in New York over the twelve-month period ending November 2011, the Quality Assurance team identified only three instances of non-conformance with the PMP within the first six months and zero non-conformances identified in the second six months. The E2E project also facilitated the Company restructuring its Operations and Network Strategy functions as a part of the US Restructuring Program.	
VIII-2	Implement a WBS to organize and manage projects. Use of a WBS should improve project cost and schedule management, monitoring, reporting and feedback. (Refers to Finding VIII-13)	In Progress (<i>Dependency on US Foundation Program</i>)	KAT-33 (07/09/10) KAT-33 Supplement (06/08/11)	A WBS has been implemented into the Company's Primavera P6 system for future projects. Other elements of this recommendation (e.g., capturing costs for lower level WBS and work force time reporting, etc.) will be implemented following deployment of the US Foundation Program.	Nominal incremental costs are attributed to implementing this recommendation because these initiatives were planned prior to the findings / recommendations of the audit. The primary benefits from implementing this recommendation include better visibility of projects, more detailed cost estimates, improved work management controls, enhanced capital program decision-making, and more efficient use of capital funds.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
VIII-3	Complete implementation of ECoE roles and responsibilities including establishing estimating tools, metrics and policies, creating estimating units and identifying and resolving areas of estimating deviations. (Refers to Findings VIII-16, VIII-18, and, VIII-26)	In Progress <i>(Dependency on US Foundation Program and US Cost estimating tool)</i>		Various elements of the Estimating Center of Excellence (“ECoE”) have been implemented. For example, the ECoE is staffed, as noted in Recommendation VIII-4; the US Cost estimating application (US Cost) is being used; and a high-level scorecard for the estimating accuracy target is currently in use. The estimating unit libraries are being standardized, US Cost is being reconfigured to a unit-based system, and an interface with Primavera P6 is in the testing stages. Additional metrics will be developed as data and reports become available from the new systems.	The capital cost for the US Cost estimating application is approximately \$0.972 million (original cost plus modifications and interfaces). These costs are included in the Historic Test Year as they are not incremental and were planned prior to the Management Audit recommendations. The primary benefits from implementing this recommendation are more effective management of electric capital project estimates, better capital estimates, and more efficient use of capital funds.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel
VIII-4	Establish groups of professional estimators for U.S. transmission and distribution that will develop estimates for planning, engineering and construction. Use these internal estimators to set and validate baseline estimates established for the RDV contractors.	In Progress <i>(Dependency on US Cost estimating tool)</i>	KAT-35 (09/02/10) KAT-35 Supplement (02/23/11)	Professional estimators were hired between October 2009 and June 2010 for substation and transmission line capital projects, and other staffing selections became effective September 26, 2011 as part of the US Restructuring Program. The ECoE is currently staffed with one Director, two Managers, four Analysts, and sixteen Estimators	The total estimated staffing cost of the ECoE is \$2.8 million. The cost of the Manager and eight estimators dedicated to NY is \$1.2 million. Because of the dates of hire, the costs of the estimators and other ECoE staff are embedded in the Historic Test Year. The primary benefits of implementing this recommendation include improved capital estimates, and more efficient use of capital	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
	(Refers to Findings VIII-16, VIII-17, VIII-18, VIII-23 and VIII-26)			with responsibility for developing estimates for all electric and gas capital projects in New York and New England. A Manager and eight of the Estimators are located in and dedicated to New York.	funds.	
VIII-5	Have Internal Audit or an outside firm audit the RDV joint venture parent entities on a regular basis. (Refers to Finding VIII-23)	Implemented	KAT-36 (06/18/10)	The Company has completed the recommended audit and has also moved away from the RDV capital plan delivery model.	There are no incremental implementation costs associated with this recommendation as the audit was conducted as part of Internal Audit's annual audit plan.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel
VIII-6	Ensure that all capital work orders are closed to plant in-service (FERC Account 101) within 90-days of equipment being energized. (Refers to Finding VIII-25)	In Progress (Dependency on US Foundation Program)	KAT-37 (06/15/11)	The Company dedicated resources to reduce the existing backlog of open work orders and has developed monthly reporting on the backlog status. Additionally, short term system and process improvements have been implemented, and related training has been rolled out. Implementation of the US Foundation Program / SAP is expected to improve work order processing and reduce close out delays. The Company is profiling its work order types to determine whether the mandated closeout of all work orders from FERC Account 106 to Account 101 within 90 days from energization	Approximately \$0.136 million was spent in 2010 for PowerPlant system modifications to address unitization issues. The primary benefits of implementing this recommendation include more timely close out of work orders and improved accuracy in reporting fixed assets and depreciation expense in the Company's accounting records.	Revenue Requirements Panel Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
				can be accommodated in all cases.		
IX-1	Conduct formal reviews of a sample of projects monthly for overall project cost control. The review should include the project manager, system planner, construction supervisor, and appropriate LOB management and include a review of estimates, cost tracking by work break down structure, progress versus cost, and forecast cost. (Refers to Finding IX-9)	Implemented	KAT-38 (06/21/10)	A formal process for review of sample projects is in place.	Nominal incremental implementation costs. The primary benefits from implementing this recommendation include improved project visibility and work management controls.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel
IX-2	Reconcile the differences between planned work identified in the Resource Allocation Committee (RAC) reports and expenditures proposed in the January 2009 Transmission and Distribution Capital Investment Plan. (refers to Finding IX-10)	Implemented	KAT-39 (06/18/10)	The reconciliation was provided to the Commission as an Appendix to the Implementation Plan Update filed on May 27, 2010.	Nominal incremental implementation costs.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
IX-3	Revise capital investment levels for projects and programs planned as part of the NMPC Transmission and Distribution Capital Investment Plan filed in January 2009 and obtain the necessary commitment for the funds required by NMPC. (Refers to Finding IX-11)	Implemented	KAT-40 (06/22/10)	The capital investment plan was revised and filed on January 29, 2010.	Nominal incremental implementation costs. The Company's 2010 Capital Investment Plan filing in January 2010 reflected aggregate 5-year capital investment of \$2.86 billion, a reduction of \$710 million compared to the 5-year aggregate investment reflected in the 2009 Capital Investment Plan.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel Management Audit Panel
IX-4	Set specific target dates and complete the development and execution of Service Level Agreements between the U.S. Transmission and ED&G LOBs and each of the organizational groups and departments that provide shared services to these LOBs as outlined by NG in the collaborative process. (Refers to Findings IX-13 and IX-15)	Pending Review		Service Level Agreements ("SLAs") between Niagara Mohawk and internal service providers have been agreed and executed. In addition, a supporting SLA governance framework has been developed and implemented.	A one-time incremental cost to achieve of approximately \$1 million was incurred over a one-year period to develop and implement the SLA governance framework, and was removed from the Historic Test Year. The primary benefits are that SLAs provide a tool to evaluate services provided to Niagara Mohawk and establish ways of working under the new jurisdictional model. The SLAs and supporting governance framework formalize communication between the Service Provider and the Service Recipient and provide visibility into the performance of the service providers.	Service Company Panel
IX-5	Amend the service contracts so as to refer to	Pending Review		Work is currently underway to align service descriptions between	Nominal incremental implementation costs. The primary benefits of	Service Company

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
	and incorporate as appropriate, the master SLAs and the functional SLAs, which will provide full disclosure about the service levels and costs as well as the types of services provided and the cost methodologies for services provided. (Refers to Finding IX-17)			service company contracts, National Grid's Cost Allocation Manual and SLAs. The revised National Grid USA Service Company Agreement ("Service Agreement") was filed with the Commission on March 30, 2012. In addition, revisions to the Company's cost allocation methodologies and the general allocator were filed with the Commission on March 30, 2012, as noted in Recommendation III-8.	implementing this recommendation include alignment between the three documents as well as increased transparency and ability to manage services provided to Niagara Mohawk.	Panel
IX-6	Include applicable master and functional SLAs with the annual update of service contracts filed with the PSC. (Refers to Finding IX-17)	In Progress <i>(Dependency on US Foundation Program)</i>		The Company will implement this recommendation with the annual filing of the service contracts (after the service companies are consolidated).	Nominal incremental implementation costs. The primary benefit of implementing this recommendation is alignment of documents.	Service Company Panel
X-1	Complete implementation of improvements to the work management program for field forces as identified in the collaborative process. Improvements required include establishing an internal distribution construction workforce, completing the remaining	Pending Review	KAT-44 (04/07/11)	The Company's internal Distribution Line Construction pilot was completed and subsequently dissolved per agreement between the Company and IBEW Local Union 97. In addition, substation on-site reporting was completed, distribution design roles and the creation of the customer order fulfillment group were rolled out,	The incremental implementation costs of this effort have been nominal. The primary benefits include improved construction estimates, more efficient use of capital funds and resources, and improved ability to track and manage field force productivity.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
	three elements in the EDOT work management initiative, improving its work time standards, and tracking all 29 value metrics for measuring field force productivity. (Refers to Findings X-1, X-22, and X-24)			and the strategic planning tool, Primavera Portfolio Management, which is integrated with the work management application, Primavera P6, was deployed. Lastly, the Company undertook a review of the initially identified 29 value metrics for measuring field force productivity and had implemented a sub-set of those metrics. The Company regularly reviews its metrics and revises them where appropriate to produce KPIs that provide value and transparency. As such, the original field force metrics discussed at the time of the audit have been modified after actual experience indicated the metrics were not effective in reporting actual performance. A full review of appropriate metrics to measure and enhance field force productivity is currently underway.		
X-2	Deliver preliminary annual work plans, especially for mandatory projects, to the construction work forces 90 days prior to the start of the fiscal year so that	Implemented	KAT-45 (07/09/10)	A process is in place to deliver preliminary annual work plans at least 90 days prior to the start of the fiscal year.	Additional training for users of the PPM tool occurred in 2010 and the one-time incremental cost is estimated to be \$0.070 million. In 2010, one additional Program Manager was added for the Stations Work Plan	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
	materials can be ordered and staffing/resource schedules prepared in a timely manner. (Refers to Finding X-10)				build and implementation, and another for Transmission Lines and Stations at a total estimated cost of \$0.4 million, which is a recurring cost and included in the Historic Test Year. The primary benefits of this recommendation are more timely visibility of annual construction work plans and improved resource planning.	
X-3	Eliminate the remaining in-house tree trimmer positions. (Refers to Finding X-11)	Implemented	KAT-46 (07/09/10) KAT-46 Supplement (04/27/11)	The Company has eliminated the remaining in-house tree trimmer positions.	Nominal incremental costs. The primary benefit of this recommendation is a reduction in the overall cost associated with the vegetation management function. The positions were eliminated prior to April 1, 2011. The Company estimated annual O&M savings of approximately \$0.100 million, which is 20% of total estimated annual savings (O&M and capital). During the 2010 timeframe, all cycle trimming activity was outsourced to contractors.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel
X-4	Separate the EDOT project into elements and evaluate them as individual projects in the business planning process, rather than treating them as an on-going mega project. At a	Pending Review	KAT-47 (07/27/10)	The Company has separated the evaluation of EDOT projects and has integrated EDOT into the business planning and performance management process.	Nominal incremental implementation costs. The primary benefit of implementing this recommendation is improved visibility of individual initiatives within the EDOT project.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Comprehensive Management Audit Recommendations – Case 08-E-0827
Summary of Implementation Status, Costs, and Benefits

Rec. No.	Recommendation	March 2012 Status	Evidence Submitted	Summary of Implementation Actions / Deliverables	Summary of Implementation Costs and Benefits	Rate Case Panel or Witness
	minimum, integrate the current EDO T into the business planning and performance management process. (Refers to Finding X-18)					
X-5	Review the practicality of the new storm response plans to ensure that NMPC ratepayers will be provided with timely and qualified services in the event of a storm emergency. (Refers to Finding X-23)	Implemented	KAT-48 (07/26/10)	The Company has reviewed and validated the storm response procedures for New York. The Company has a long standing Electric Emergency Procedure (“EEP”) that is updated periodically as necessary. Employees are assigned storm duties within the structure of the EEP and train / drill annually so that they may respond in a timely and effective manner to major storm events.	There are no incremental implementation costs. The primary benefits of implementing this recommendation are additional scrutiny of the Company’s storm response procedures and appropriate adjustments and improvements as a result. Additionally, the annual training and drills for employees assigned storm response roles ensure that the Company is effectively prepared to respond to major storm events.	Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel

Exhibit __ (MAP-3CU)

May 31, 2012 Management Audit Implementation Plan Update Report



Carlos Gavilondo
Senior Counsel II

VIA ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

May 31, 2012

Honorable Jaclyn Brilling, Secretary
New York State Department of Public Service
3 Empire State Plaza
Albany, New York 12223-1350

Re: Case 08-E-0827, Comprehensive Management Audit of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid's Electric Business; Implementation Plan Update 7 Report.

Dear Secretary Brilling:

In accordance with the Commission's December 18, 2009 Order in Case 08-E-0827, attached please find the Implementation Plan Update 7 report of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid ("National Grid" or "the Company"). Implementation updates for individual Recommendations are grouped into three status categories: (1) "In Progress"; (2) "Pending Review"; and (3) "Implemented." In addition, the report highlights implementation progress from the prior update report. To the extent additional background information on particular Recommendations or implementation actions is desired, readers are referred to previously submitted reports.

A copy of this filing is being provided directly to Kate Tallmadge of Department of Public Service Staff.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Carlos Gavilondo

Carlos Gavilondo
Senior Counsel II

Enc.

cc: K. Tallmadge, DPS

Comprehensive Management Audit of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid Electric Business

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

UPDATE 7

PREPARED FOR:

THE STATE OF NEW YORK PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

THREE EMPIRE STATE PLAZA

ALBANY, NY 12223

MAY 31, 2012



TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
A.	Background.....	1
B.	Implementation Plan Progress Summary.....	1
C.	Update 7 Report Highlights.....	7
D.	Format of Report.....	8
CHAPTER 2	RECOMMENDATIONS IN PROGRESS	9
A.	Corporate Mission, Objectives, Goals and Planning.....	11
	Recommendation III-8.....	11
B.	Performance and Results Measurement.....	12
	Recommendation IV-1.....	12
C.	Load Forecasting.....	14
	Recommendation V-2.....	14
	Recommendation V-3.....	16
D.	System Planning.....	18
	Recommendation VII-3.....	18
E.	Program and Project Planning and Management.....	19
	Recommendation VIII-1.....	19
	Recommendation VIII-1-1.....	21
	Recommendation VIII-1-2.....	23
	Recommendation VIII-1-3.....	25
	Recommendation VIII-1-4.....	26
	Recommendation VIII-2.....	27
	Recommendation VIII-3.....	28
	Recommendation VIII-4.....	30
	Recommendation VIII-6.....	31
F.	Capital and Operating & Maintenance Budgeting.....	33
	Recommendation IX-6.....	33
CHAPTER 3	IMPLEMENTED RECOMMENDATIONS PENDING REVIEW	34
A.	Corporate Mission, Objectives, Goals and Planning.....	37
	Recommendation III-3.....	37
	Recommendation III-5.....	38
	Recommendation III-6.....	39
	Recommendation III-7.....	40
	Recommendation III-9.....	41
B.	Supply Procurement.....	42
	Recommendation VI-2a.....	42
	Recommendation VI-3.....	43
C.	System Planning.....	44
	Recommendation VII-1 through VII-10, excluding VII-3, VII-5, VII-8, & VII-10.....	44
D.	Capital and Operating & Maintenance Budgeting.....	47
	Recommendation IX-4.....	47
	Recommendation IX-5.....	48
E.	Work Management.....	49

	Recommendation X-1	49
	Recommendation X-4	50
CHAPTER 4	IMPLEMENTED RECOMMENDATIONS	51
A.	Corporate Mission, Objectives, Goals and Planning	53
	Recommendation III-1	53
	Recommendation III-2	54
	Recommendation III-4	55
B.	Performance and Results Measurement	56
	Recommendation IV-2	56
C.	Load Forecasting	57
	Recommendation V-1	57
D.	Supply Procurement	58
	Recommendation VI-1	58
E.	System Planning	60
	Recommendation VII-5	60
	Recommendation VII-8	61
	Recommendation VII-10	62
F.	Program and Project Planning and Management	63
	Recommendation VIII-5	63
G.	Capital and Operating & Maintenance Budgeting	64
	Recommendation IX-1	64
	Recommendation IX-2	65
	Recommendation IX-3	66
H.	Work Management	67
	Recommendation X-2	67
	Recommendation X-3	68
	Recommendation X-5	69

CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION

A. Background

In accordance with the Commission’s December 18, 2009 order in this proceeding,¹ Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, d/b/a National Grid (“National Grid” or “the Company”), submits this update report on the status of the Company’s efforts to implement the audit recommendations included in the Report on the Comprehensive Management Audit of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, d/b/a National Grid Electric Business.² This is the Company’s seventh update report since the Implementation Plan was submitted January 29, 2010. The goal of the Implementation Plan is to help the Company improve its ability to provide safe, reliable and efficient service to customers. The Company has made significant headway on the Implementation Plan. It has successfully completed actions to implement several recommendations, and is making good progress on implementing the remaining recommendations.

B. Implementation Plan Progress Summary

The Company continues to make progress on implementing the recommendations in the Audit Report. Table 1 provides a snapshot of implementation progress:³

Table 1—Implementation Progress--Aggregate

Total Recommendations	44
Implemented	16
Pending Review	17
In Progress	11

¹ Case 08-E-0827, *Comprehensive Management Audit of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid’s Electric Business*, Order Directing the Submission of an Implementation Plan (issued December 18, 2009) (“Management Audit Order”).

² Case 08-E-0827, *Comprehensive Management Audit of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid’s Electric Business*, Final Report by NorthStar Consulting Group, dated December 3, 2009.

³ In the Audit Report, Recommendation VI-2 was deemed no longer needed as a result of progress made during the collaborative process. Although Staff has indicated this recommendation is “Completed & Accepted,” the Company does not include progress updates for this recommendation. Therefore, the Company’s count of 44 total recommendations and count of implemented recommendations are each one less than the Staff’s counts.

The three implementation status categories in Table 1, above, are defined as follows:

- **Implemented** – Action steps associated with implementing a recommendation have been completed, reviewed and accepted by Staff.
- **Pending Review** - Action steps associated with implementing a recommendation have been completed, and are pending review by Staff. Acceptance of a recommendation as complete will be determined following Staff's review.
- **In Progress** – Actions associated with implementing a recommendation are on-going or on hold pending other decisions or activities.⁴

Table 2 provides a status summary for each individual recommendation.⁵

Table 2—Implementation Progress—Individual Recommendations

Rec Number	Recommendation	May 2012 Status
III-1	Revise the corporate vision and objectives statements to more explicitly articulate the company's obligation to provide low cost, reliable and safe electric service to its customers. The revised statement should reflect the need to mitigate volatility and produce lower costs relative to some benchmark and could include a reflection of the total bill rather than the unit price. (Refers to Finding III-1)	Implemented
III-2	Consolidate the management of U.S. electric transmission and electric distribution into one LOB to provide greater visibility over NMPC electric transmission and distribution operations while maintaining NG's ability to achieve synergies and economies of scale. (Refers to Finding III-4)	Implemented
III-3	Prepare a business plan document for NMPC electric operations that combines strategic and operating activities with capital and O&M budgets, and ensures that the resulting plan documents the scope of business planning for the benefit of NMPC electric ratepayers. (Refers to Finding III-14)	Pending Review
III-4	Integrate supply procurement and energy portfolio management into the business planning processes. (Refers to Finding III-17)	Implemented
III-5	Specify how the company is going to monitor and measure the benefits to ratepayers arising from the investment in Smart Grid technology for the pilot projects. When applying for authorization for further Smart Grid technology, include a cost benefit analysis demonstrating how the results of the project will provide a net benefit to all ratepayers. (Refers to Finding III-20)	Pending Review

⁴ This category also includes implementation steps that require action by Staff or the Commission in order to progress the recommendation.

⁵ In two instances, implementation of recommendations has been indefinitely postponed, or action steps associated with implementation will not be undertaken; i.e., Recommendations III-5 (relating to Smart Grid implementation) and III-7 (Dissolution of Niagara Mohawk Holdings, Inc.).

III-6	Recruit and appoint an independent member to NG's Board of Directors who is experienced in US utility operations and/or regulation. (Refers to Finding III-27)	Pending Review (new status)
III-7	Dissolve Niagara Mohawk Holdings, Inc. (Refers to Finding III-29)	Pending Review
III-8	Consolidate the two service companies as soon as possible and as planned. (Refers to Finding III-30)	In Progress
III-9	Replace the current membership of the NMPC BOD and the NG USA BOD with members who are representative of NG's senior US management of all of its LOBs operating in the US. (Refers to Findings III-31 and II-32)	Pending Review
IV-1	Revise the performance management process for the US Country and NMPC operating company level to include KPIs currently missing. The performance management process should include KPIs for the: Effect of company performance on ratepayers; Effectiveness of the Energy Portfolio Management Group in acquiring reliable, low cost supply or minimizing the volatility of electric prices; Development or implementation of comprehensive system plans; Effectiveness in estimating the cost of projects or performance in managing projects to completion; Effectiveness of centralization of US electric operations on ratepayers. (Refers to Findings IV-6 and IV-12)	In Progress
IV-2	Utilize benchmarking in setting performance targets and establishing NG's current position against the targets. (Refers to Finding IV-14)	Implemented
V-1	Develop energy sales forecasts and peak demand forecasts that are specific to Upstate New York and the sub-areas within NMPC service territory. (Refers to Finding V-2)	Implemented
V-2	Implement end-use data collection activities to support meaningful implementation of the SMART GRID program, enhance the development of Energy Efficiency (EE) programs and initiate efforts toward end-use modeling. (Refers to Findings V-5 and V- 6)	In Progress
V-3	Coordinate load forecasting activities with the customer markets group to support development of EE and Distributed Generation programs and system/supply planning, and to incorporate the projected results of those programs into the load forecasting models and results. (Refers to Findings V-10 and V-11)	In Progress
VI-1	Establish a comprehensive framework of performance metrics for the supply procurement and risk management functions. The metrics should build on NG's corporate vision and goals and need to reflect the changing electric supply procurement market and NG's preferred strategy in that market. (Refers to Findings VI-7 and VI-14)	Implemented
VI-2a	Continue activities to develop a long-term strategy and short-term tactical supply procurement plan as laid out in the Collaborative meetings and incorporate these plans into the corporate business plan. (Refers to Findings VI-10, VI-11, VI-13 and VI-14)	Pending Review

VI-3	Define and restructure the risk management policies, procedures and functions to assure appropriate monitoring of risk factors as the transition and long-term supply procurement plans are implemented. The risk management tools should incorporate appropriate market monitoring to know when contingencies are needed. (Refers to Finding VI-12)	Pending Review
VII-1	Develop an integrated NMPC Transmission and Distribution system-wide plan. (Refers to Findings VII -4, VII-8, VII-14, VII-15, VII-16, VII-17, VII-18, and VII-31)	Pending Review
VII-2	Utilize annual operational reports such as the Transmission System Reliability Performance Report and the Distribution Reliability Report as inputs to asset health/strategy and subsequently recommended projects. Demonstrate how the annual integrated system plans directly address reliability issues raised in the two reports. Show progress against known system deficiencies such as “worst-performing circuits” and outage causal factors. Identify and relate capital programs and projects to specific reliability performance issues and measure effect. (Refers to Findings VII -3, VII-4, VII-5, VII-25, and VII-26)	Pending Review
VII-3	Evaluate the effectiveness of system plans each year to determine how well they are meeting system planning objectives such as reliability goals, and directing capital resources to specific issue areas and performance trends. (Refers to Findings VII-8, VII -11, VII-18, and VII-29)	In Progress
VII-4	Evaluate the causal factors and impact on capital budgets and system planning of projects “walked in” and “walked out” of the system plans. Identify why the projects walked in were not initially planned, what will be done in future planning cycles to remediate these issues and how projects displaced into future planning periods will be accommodated. (Refers to Findings VII -11 and VII-29)	Pending Review
VII-5	Perform economic studies to identify more efficient system modifications that can reduce the costs of service and increase utilization of resources. (Refers to Findings VII -26 and VII-29)	Implemented
VII-6	Evaluate outages that were avoidable due to improved system planning (capital) and preventive maintenance (O&M) such as vegetation and failed equipment. Determine the budget necessary to provide the level of maintenance that would have prevented the outages and compare against the current maintenance budget. Analyze the costs associated with the outages with incremental increases in maintenance programs. (Refers to Findings VII -3, VII-4, VII-5 and VII-25)	Pending Review
VII-7	Establish a traditional transmission utility system planning function that results in industry accepted planning products such as: system-wide studies not just area studies; five-year, ten-year, 15-year and 20-year system layouts; integrated ten to twenty year system plans; and timelines of system needs. (Refers to Findings VII-8, VII-11, VII-13, VII-24, and VII-26)	Pending Review

VII-8	Evaluate the boundaries for continuity between the integrated transmission and the integrated distribution plans to assess whether the entire “wires” business is adequately planned. (Refers to Finding VII-8, VII-10, VII-13, and VII-25)	Implemented
VII-9	Adopt a results oriented approach to drive the development and implementation of asset management strategies by their relationship to equipment failure causal factors and system performance: Prioritize asset management strategies by their relationship to outage causal factors and their ability to directly affect reliability performance measures; Evaluate this stratification annually to maintain focus; Differentiate long term asset strategies from those dealing with specific reliability problems and their incorporation into the annual system plans; Evaluate the effectiveness of asset management strategies in terms of the number of capital projects and maintenance programs actually executed. (Refers to Findings VII-18 and VII-25)	Pending Review
VII-10	Initiate or partner with NYISO on appropriate studies regarding the effect and needed response to increased application of Distributed Generation, Renewable Resources, SMART GRID and other trends in utility system operations. (Refers to Finding VII-19)	Implemented
VIII-1	Ensure that projects are managed in accordance with PMP requirements, including: making Quality Assurance an integral part of the project management process for both in-house and regional delivery venture work forces; having project managers actively monitor overall project progress against the baseline schedule and review cost versus progress and budget; adhering to policies and procedures regarding project cost control and re-sanctioning requirements; and maintaining comprehensive project management files; (Refers to Findings VIII-4, VIII-10, VIII-11, and VIII-19)	In Progress
VIII-2	Implement a WBS to organize and manage projects. Use of a WBS should improve project cost and schedule management, monitoring, reporting and feedback. (Refers to Finding VIII-13)	In Progress
VIII-3	Complete implementation of ECoE roles and responsibilities including establishing estimating tools, metrics and policies, creating estimating units and identifying and resolving areas of estimating deviations. (Refers to Findings VIII-16, VIII-18, and, VIII-26)	In Progress
VIII-4	Establish groups of professional estimators for U.S. transmission and distribution that will develop estimates for planning, engineering and construction. Use these internal estimators to set and validate baseline estimates established for the RDV contractors. (Refers to Findings VIII-16, VIII-17, VIII-18, VIII-23 and VIII-26)	In Progress
VIII-5	Have Internal Audit or an outside firm audit the RDV joint venture parent entities on a regular basis. (Refers to Finding VIII-23)	Implemented
VIII-6	Ensure that all capital work orders are closed to plant in-service (FERC Account 101) within 90-days of equipment being energized. (Refers to Finding VIII-25)	In Progress

IX-1	Conduct formal reviews of a sample of projects monthly for overall project cost control. The review should include the project manager, system planner, construction supervisor, and appropriate LOB management and include a review of estimates, cost tracking by work break down structure, progress versus cost, and forecast cost. (Refers to Finding IX-9)	Implemented
IX-2	Reconcile the differences between planned work identified in the Resource Allocation Committee (RAC) reports and expenditures proposed in the January 2009 Transmission and Distribution Capital Investment Plan. (refers to Finding IX-10)	Implemented
IX-3	Revise capital investment levels for projects and programs planned as part of the NMPC Transmission and Distribution Capital Investment Plan filed in January 2009 and obtain the necessary commitment for the funds required by NMPC. (Refers to Finding IX-11)	Implemented
IX-4	Set specific target dates and complete the development and execution of Service Level Agreements between the U.S. Transmission and ED&G LOBs and each of the organizational groups and departments that provide shared services to these LOBs as outlined by NG in the collaborative process. (Refers to Findings IX-13 and IX-15)	Pending Review
IX-5	Amend the service contracts so as to refer to and incorporate as appropriate, the master SLAs and the functional SLAs, which will provide full disclosure about the service levels and costs as well as the types of services provided and the cost methodologies for services provided. (Refers to Finding IX-17)	Pending Review (new status)
IX-6	Include applicable master and functional SLAs with the annual update of service contracts filed with the PSC. (Refers to Finding IX-17)	In Progress
X-1	Complete implementation of improvements to the work management program for field forces as identified in the collaborative process. Improvements required include establishing an internal distribution construction workforce, completing the remaining three elements in the EDOT work management initiative, improving its work time standards, and tracking all 29 value metrics for measuring field force productivity. (Refers to Findings X-1, X-22, and X-24)	Pending Review (correction)
X-2	Deliver preliminary annual work plans, especially for mandatory projects, to the construction work forces 90 days prior to the start of the fiscal year so that materials can be ordered and staffing/resource schedules prepared in a timely manner. (Refers to Finding X-10)	Implemented
X-3	Eliminate the remaining in-house tree trimmer positions. (Refers to Finding X-11)	Implemented

X-4	Separate the EDOT project into elements and evaluate them as individual projects in the business planning process, rather than treating them as an on-going mega project. At a minimum, integrate the current EDOT into the business planning and performance management process. (Refers to Finding X-18)	Pending Review (<i>correction</i>)
X-5	Review the practicality of the new storm response plans to ensure that NMPC ratepayers will be provided with timely and qualified services in the event of a storm emergency. (Refers to Finding X-23)	Implemented

C. Update 7 Report Highlights

Highlights of activities appearing in this update report include:

- Changes in Implementation Status:** The following changes in implementation status have taken place since the last update report:
 - From “*Implemented*” to “*Pending Review*”:
 - Recommendations X-1 and X-4 (*Corrections*)
 - From “*In Progress*” to “*Pending Review*”:
 - Recommendations III-6 and IX-5
- Work Management and Electric Transformation Initiatives:** In the January 31, 2012 Implementation Plan Update 6 Report, the Company had indicated that Recommendation X-1 relating to the Company’s work management program and Recommendation X-4 dealing with the segregation of previously combined efficiency initiatives were accepted by Staff as Implemented. However, upon further review, the Company has determined that Staff has not yet accepted these recommendations and, thus, the status of these recommendations has been revised to Pending Review.
- Recruit and appoint an independent member to National Grid’s Board of Directors:** On April 3, 2012, National Grid announced the appointment of Nora Mead Brownell to the National Grid plc Board of Directors effective June 1, 2012. The appointment of Ms. Brownell meets the criterion set forth in Recommendation III-6.
- Amend the service contracts and incorporate as appropriate the master SLAs and the functional SLAs:** The Company submitted filings with the Commission on March 30, 2012 which revised the National Grid USA Service Company Agreement, and the Company’s cost allocation methodologies and general allocator.

In addition to these highlights, the Company continues to make strides towards implementing open audit recommendations. Progress summaries for several recommendations are provided in Table 3 in the “Recommendations In Progress” chapter of this report. More detailed progress descriptions are presented in the recommendation-specific reports. The Company is committed to continuous improvement as part of our culture and appreciates the on-going dialog with Staff as we progress through implementation of the audit recommendations.

D. Format of Report

The remainder of this Update 7 Report contains further information regarding the implementation status of individual recommendations: Chapter 2 describes recommendations whose implementation is “In Progress”; Chapter 3 provides detail on recommendations that are “Pending Review”; and Chapter 4 describes recommendations that have been “Implemented” to date.

CHAPTER 2 - RECOMMENDATIONS IN PROGRESS

Currently, there are eleven (11) of the forty-four (44) Management Audit recommendations for which Company Actions associated with implementing a recommendation are on-going or on hold pending other decisions or activities.⁶ The individual recommendations in the “In Progress” status category are indicated in Table 3.

Table 3--Update 7 Recommendations In Progress

Rec Number	Recommendation/Progress Summary	May 2012 Status
III-8	Consolidate the two service companies as soon as possible and as planned. (Refers to Finding III-30)	In Progress
	<i>Filings that revise the Service Company Agreement, and proposed common allocation methodology and general allocators were filed on March 30, 2012. Service company consolidation and adoption of a common allocation approach will ultimately be achieved through the implementation of the US Foundation Program in 2012.</i>	
IV-1	Revise the performance management process for the US Country and NMPC operating company level to include KPIs currently missing. The performance management process should include KPIs for the: Effect of company performance on ratepayers; Effectiveness of the Energy Portfolio Management Group in acquiring reliable, low cost supply or minimizing the volatility of electric prices; Development or implementation of comprehensive system plans; Effectiveness in estimating the cost of projects or performance in managing projects to completion; Effectiveness of centralization of US electric operations on ratepayers. (Refers to Findings IV-6 and IV-12)	In Progress
	<i>Several of the recommended KPIs have been implemented and work continues on developing others. Full completion targeted with the implementation of the US Foundation Program in 2012.</i>	
V-2	Implement end-use data collection activities to support meaningful implementation of the SMART GRID program, enhance the development of Energy Efficiency (EE) programs and initiate efforts toward end-use modeling. (Refers to Findings V-5 and V-6)	In Progress
	<i>The NYSEERDA RFP, required for the EE End Use Customer Survey, has been conducted, bids have been reviewed and a contractor for the project has been selected.</i>	
V-3	Coordinate load forecasting activities with the customer markets group to support development of EE and Distributed Generation programs and system/supply planning, and to incorporate the projected results of those programs into the load forecasting models and results. (Refers to Findings V-10 and V-11)	In Progress
	<i>The final component of this recommendation is tied to completion of the EE End-Use Customer Survey noted in Recommendation V-2 above. All other components are complete.</i>	

⁶ This category also includes implementation steps that require action by Staff or the Commission in order for further progress to occur.

VII-3	Evaluate the effectiveness of system plans each year to determine how well they are meeting system planning objectives such as reliability goals, and directing capital resources to specific issue areas and performance trends. (Refers to Findings VII-8, VII -11, VII-18, and VII-29)	In Progress
	<i>The Company has provided its 15-Year Plan to Staff and has begun a process and methodology to evaluate performance of the plan.</i>	
VIII-1	Ensure that projects are managed in accordance with PMP requirements, including: making Quality Assurance an integral part of the project management process for both in-house and regional delivery venture work forces; having project managers actively monitor overall project progress against the baseline schedule and review cost versus progress and budget; adhering to policies and procedures regarding project cost control and re-sanctioning requirements; and maintaining comprehensive project management files; (Refers to Findings VIII-4, VIII-10, VIII-11, and VIII-19)	In Progress
	<i>The majority of sub-recommendations to implement this recommendation are completed. Complete implementation targeted with finalization of the PMP; deployment of the US Foundation Program, full functionality of the estimating tool; and End-to-End Project Management efforts.</i>	
VIII-2	Implement a WBS to organize and manage projects. Use of a WBS should improve project cost and schedule management, monitoring, reporting and feedback. (Refers to Finding VIII-13)	In Progress
	<i>The WBS has been implemented into the Company's Primavera P6 system for future projects. Other elements of this recommendation will be implemented following deployment of the US Foundation Program.</i>	
VIII-3	Complete implementation of ECoE roles and responsibilities including establishing estimating tools, metrics and policies, creating estimating units and identifying and resolving areas of estimating deviations. (Refers to Findings VIII-16, VIII-18, and, VIII-26)	In Progress
	<i>The ECoE has been staffed effective September 2011; the estimating tool (Success Enterprise) is in use; and a high-level scorecard for the estimating accuracy target has been developed. Additional metrics will be developed as data and reports become available from the new systems.</i>	
VIII-4	Establish groups of professional estimators for U.S. transmission and distribution that will develop estimates for planning, engineering and construction. Use these internal estimators to set and validate baseline estimates established for the RDV contractors. (Refers to Findings VIII-16, VIII-17, VIII-18, VIII-23 and VIII-26)	In Progress
	<i>The ECoE has been staffed effective September 2011; A Success Enterprise to Primavera P6 interface solution has been developed and implementation is targeted for July 2012.</i>	
VIII-6	Ensure that all capital work orders are closed to plant in-service (FERC Account 101) within 90-days of equipment being energized. (Refers to Finding VIII-25)	In Progress
	<i>The Company continues to finalize the long term process and application configurations and anticipates implementation by October 2012 as part of the US Foundation Program integration.</i>	
IX-6	Include applicable master and functional SLAs with the annual update of service contracts filed with the PSC. (Refers to Finding IX-17)	In Progress
	<i>The Company will be implementing this recommendation with the annual filing of service contracts that occurs after the service company consolidation and implementation of the US Foundation Program.</i>	

A. Corporate Mission, Objectives, Goals and Planning

Recommendation III-8

Consolidate the two service companies as soon as possible and as planned.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Alan Foster, SVP US Financial Services
Team Lead	Sharon Partridge, VP Service Company and Regulatory Accounting

Current Status

The Company will move forward with service company consolidation following implementation of the US Foundation Program which will consolidate legacy National Grid and legacy KeySpan financial, HR, supply chain, and fleet IS applications onto a single SAP platform. Implementation of the US Foundation Program is scheduled for October 2012. Revision to the National Grid US Service Company agreement was filed on March 30, 2012 to consolidate National Grid Corporate Services LLC (formally KeySpan Corporate Services LLC) and National Grid Utility Services (formally KeySpan Utility Services LLC) into a consolidated service company (“ServeCo”) at the time the US Foundation Program is implemented. Revisions to the Company’s cost allocation methodologies and the general allocator were also filed with the Commission on March 30, 2012.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities / Milestones	Estimated Start Date	Estimated Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Current Status
Implementation of a common accounting system	Feb 2010	Fall 2012		In Progress
Develop a recommended common allocation methodology	Feb 2010	Jan 2012	Mar 2012	Pending Review
Obtain regulatory approvals as may be needed for single allocation methodology	Fall 2011	Target filing Mar 2012	Mar 2012	Pending Review

B. Performance and Results Measurement

Recommendation IV-1

Revise the performance management process for the US Country and NMPC operating company level to include KPIs currently missing. The performance management process should include KPIs for the:

- **Effect of company performance on ratepayers,**
- **Effectiveness of the Energy Portfolio Management Group in acquiring reliable, low cost supply or minimizing the volatility of electric prices,**
- **Development or implementation of comprehensive system plans,**
- **Effectiveness in estimating the cost of projects or performance in managing projects to completion,**
- **Effectiveness of centralization of US electric operations on ratepayers. (Refers to Findings IV-6 and IV-12).**

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	Mallikarjun Angalakudati, Director Operations Performance

Current Status

National Grid has implemented several of the recommended Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and continues to work towards development of others.

- The Company has implemented certain KPIs relating to reliability, safety, and customer satisfaction, which directly benefit customers.
- Appropriate metrics have been implemented by the Energy Portfolio Management group to assess its performance in the acquisition of energy supply as part of its actions to address Recommendation VI-1.
- With regard to metrics associated with system plans, the Company provided Staff with its 15-Year System Plan (see Recommendation VII-1) and has begun a process and methodology to evaluate performance of the 15-Year System Plan as described in Recommendation VII-3.
- A high-level scorecard for the estimating accuracy target has been developed, as indicated in Recommendation VIII-3, as well as a scorecard to track project costs estimating. The project management performance scorecard is nearly in place as part of the End-to-End Project Management initiative.
- The previously implemented field force productivity metrics are currently under review as noted in Recommendation X-1.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities / Milestones	Estimated Start Date	Estimated Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Current Status
Kick-off session to identify desired performance measures	Apr 2010	Apr 2010	May 2010	Complete
Develop detailed delivery plans for each major area	May 2010	May 2010	May 2010	Complete
Develop and implement recommended KPIs in alignment with Audit recommendations	Jun 2010	Summer 2012		In Progress / Substantially Complete

C. Load Forecasting

Recommendation V-2

Implement end-use data collection activities to support implementation of the SMART GRID program, enhance the development of Energy Efficiency (EE) programs and initiate efforts toward end-use modeling.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	James Madej, SVP Chief Customer Officer
Team Lead	James Cross, Jr., VP Customer Analytics and Risk Management

Current Status

This recommendation is in progress, with several aspects already completed. The Company implemented end-use metering as part of its small business program beginning in the third quarter of 2011, and installation of end-use metering technologies began in October 2011. Information collected from this program will be evaluated pursuant to the work plan and the final evaluation report will be prepared following the accumulation of twelve months of data and billing analysis; this report is expected in the January 2013 timeframe. In addition, energy efficiency targets have been established pursuant to Commission mandate. The Company's approach to achievement of these targets may be further refined based on the evaluation results.

The NYSERDA RFP, required for the "EE End Use Customer Survey," has been conducted, bids have been reviewed and a consensus recommendation for procuring a contractor for the project has been made.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities / Milestones	Estimated Start Date	Estimated Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Current Status
Option 1: "Planned" EE End-Use Metering Implement and collect end-use small commercial lighting data per EE plan.	Jun 2010	TBD	Oct 2011	Complete
Implement and collect end-use residential cooling data per EE plan.	May 2010		N/A	No action to be taken
Incorporate information into EE program	Nov 2010	TBD		Complete and on-going

evaluation and projected future targets.				
Incorporate EE targets into forecasts.	Jan 2011	Oct 2010	Oct 2010	Complete
Option 2: End-Use Customer Survey Collect end-use customer survey information	Apr 2010	TBD		In Progress
Use survey data to inform / update models, if appropriate	Jan 2011	Jun 2012		Pending collection of data above
Option 3: “Best Practices” Conduct research on alternative models and methods	Apr 2010	Sep 2010	Sep 2010	Complete
Cost / Benefit Assessment	Oct 2010	Oct 2010	Oct 2010	Complete
Software/Training (if go)	Apr 2010	Apr 2010	Apr 2010	Complete
Implement (if go)	Oct 2011	Apr 2010	Apr 2010	Complete

Recommendation V-3

Coordinate load forecasting activities with the Customer Markets group to support development of EE and Distributed Generation programs and system/supply planning, and to incorporate the projected results of those programs into the load forecasting models and results.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	James Madej, SVP Chief Customer Officer
Team Lead	James Cross, Jr., VP Customer Analytics and Risk Management

Current Status

This recommendation is substantially complete with one aspect pending. The Option 3: “Customer Usage Trends” action noted below is tied to the Option 2: “EE End Use Customer Survey” action for Recommendation V-2 – i.e., that survey will also be used for purposes of meeting the requirements under this recommendation. As indicated in Recommendation V-2, the NYSERDA RFP has been conducted, bids have been reviewed and a consensus recommendation for procuring a contractor for the survey has been made.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities / Milestones	Estimated Start Date	Estimated Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Current Status
Option 1: “Post Model Adjustments” Collect projections from customer groups	Jan 2010	Jun 2010	Feb 2010	Complete
Implement “below the line” adjustments to modeled forecasts to capture EE/DG projections.	Jul 2010	Dec 2010	Feb 2010	Complete
Option 2: “Alternative Model / Methods” Conduct research on alternative models and methods	Apr 2010	Dec 2010	Oct 2010	Complete
Cost / Benefit Assessment	Jan 2011	Jun 2011	Oct 2010	Complete
Software/Training (if go)	Jul 2011	Sep 2011	Apr 2010	Complete

Implement (if go)	Oct 2011		Apr 2010	Complete
Option 3: “Customer Usage Trends” Analyze customer use trends	Apr 2010	Sep 2010	Sep 2010	Complete
Collect end-use customer survey information (can use the same survey as in rec’d 2, option 3 above)	Apr 2010	TBD		In Progress
Use survey data to inform / update models, if appropriate	Jan 2011	TBD		Pending collection of data above

D. System Planning

Recommendation VII-3

Evaluate the effectiveness of system plans each year to determine how well they are meeting system planning objectives such as reliability goals, and directing capital resources to specific issue areas and performance trends.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Christopher E. Root, SVP Network Strategy
Team Lead	Cheri Warren, VP Asset Management

Current Status

Asset Management strategies are reviewed and revised periodically or as assumptions change. The Company also undertakes a number of annual system studies (e.g., Asset Condition Report and Five-Year Capital Investment Plan) that each involve the evaluation of the Company's Asset Management strategies. The Company submitted its first 15-Year System Plan in draft form to the Staff in April 2011, and had a series of meetings with Staff to discuss plan revisions. Changes were made to the 15-Year Plan based on Staff's feedback, and a revised plan was submitted on February 28, 2012.

The Company is developing a process and methodology to evaluate the performance of the 15-Year System Plan (to be prepared every two years) and Capital Investment Plan (prepared annually) which will be focused on metrics related to reliability, plan adherence / performance, spending rationale review, etc. This review will take place upon the completion of the fiscal year plan and be incorporated into the next annual Capital Investment Plan submitted in January.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities / Milestones	Estimated Start Date	Estimated Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Current Status
Asset Management Strategies will be reviewed and revised accordingly over a two-year period beginning in January 2010. (VII-3)	Jan 2010	Jan 2013		In Progress and on-going

E. Program and Project Planning and Management

Recommendation VIII-1

Ensure that projects are managed in accordance with PMP requirements.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	David Way, VP Project Management and Complex Construction

Current Status

The Project Management Playbook (“PM Playbook” or “PMP”) has been revised as part of the End-to-End Project Management initiative to define the level of management and oversight provided to projects of various complexities. The Project Complexity Action Team has established specific criteria for the method and process of managing projects whereby projects will be scored based on numerous factors that drive complexity including: cost, project components, outages, project duration, community outreach, land/rights requirements, etc. Most projects undertaken by National Grid will fall under one of three levels: Levels 1, 2 and 3, with Level 1 projects being the most complex and Level 3 being the least complex. Each project will follow a set of Project Management guidelines specific to its complexity as defined in the PM Playbook.

Version 5.0 of the combined PM Playbook, including documentation of the process for non-PMP managed projects (i.e., Level 3), has been developed. The PMP managed projects (Level 1 & Level 2) are finalized and approved with the PM Playbook, while non-PMP managed projects (Level 3) are in beta status. The redefined role and associated procedures for the management of Level 3 projects will be implemented in the Spring 2012 timeframe. This implementation is primarily dependent on the continued training and rollout of the Company’s complexity scoring model, which determines whether a project is Level 1, 2, or 3. Also, Level 3 Step 0, which are activities leading up to a Level 3 project’s first delegation of authority approval for preliminary engineering, is still in development. Established roles and responsibilities within this step are required to fully implement the Level 3 procedures.

In order to manage implementation, the Company has broken down this overarching recommendation to ensure projects are managed in accordance with PMP requirements into four “sub-recommendations”; i.e., Recommendations VIII-1-1, VIII-1-2, VIII-1-3, and VIII-1-4. The progress for the sub-recommendations is reported separately and Recommendation VIII-1 will only be deemed “Implemented” at such time as all its elements and associated sub-elements have been completed. At this time, the Company considers sub-Recommendations VIII-1-1, VIII-1-3, and VIII-1-4 completed, and only sub-Recommendation VIII-1-2 remains in-progress.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities / Milestones	Estimated Start Date	Estimated Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Current Status
Publish criteria document that defines the level of project management discipline that will be applied to a project.	Apr 2010	Mar 2011	Mar 2011	Complete
Fully document process for non PMP managed projects.	Jan 2010	Spring 2012		In Progress

Recommendation VIII-1-1

Make Quality Assurance an integral part of the project management process for both in-house and regional delivery venture work forces.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	David Way, VP Project Management and Complex Construction

Current Status

National Grid has taken steps necessary to make Quality Assurance an integral part of the project management process. For example, Quality Assurance conducts Project Management Desk Audits on a monthly basis to review the Project Manager's adherence to the steps in the PM Playbook with the goal of verifying conformance with the PM Playbook and identifying any non-conformances, opportunities for improvement, corrective/preventive actions, etc. A Quality Manual defining the scope, requirements, authorities, responsibilities and documentation of the Quality Management System ("QMS") for Operations Performance has been developed, and QMS testing and gap analysis conducted in early 2010 helped identify gaps in the process versus the PM Playbook. In addition, a Quality Council Manual has been established which describes the Quality Council's scope, the Quality Council Management System ("QCMS"), including the processes needed for the QCMS; as well as the monitoring of the operation, control and resource management of these processes through bi-monthly Quality Council meetings.

This recommendation and associated activities are part of the overarching recommendation to ensure that projects are managed in accordance with PMP requirements (Recommendation VIII-1). Details supporting the implementation of this "sub"-recommendation have been provided to Staff for review and will be deemed "Implemented" at such time as all elements and sub-elements associated with Recommendation VIII-1 have been completed.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities / Milestones	Estimated Start Date	Estimated Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Current Status
Project Management Quality System				
Quality Manual	Jul 2009	Mar 2010	Mar 2010	Complete

PM Playbook Work & Sub-work Instructions	Jul 2009	May 2011	Mar 2011	Complete and on-going (PM Playbook Work & Subwork Instructions are updated as needed)
Project Mgmt Audits	Mar 2010	On-going	Apr 2010	Complete and on-going
QMS Testing & Gap Analysis	Apr 2010	Dec 2010	Jun 2010	Complete
Quality Council				
Bi-Monthly Quality Council Meetings	Apr 2009	On-going	Apr 2010	Complete and on-going
Construction Audits				Complete and on-going

Recommendation VIII-1-2

Have project managers actively monitor overall project progress against the baseline schedule and review cost versus progress and budget. (Refers to Finding VIII-11)

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	David Way, VP Project Management and Complex Construction

Current Status

Based on a review of National Grid governance boards and project review committees, the Company has established a framework whereby one committee will be responsible for monitoring progress of capital projects with respect to cost and schedule. The Portfolio Calibration Meeting (“PCM”) meets monthly, with the first meeting held May 31, 2011. The PCM also conducts a monthly review of sample projects for overall costs and schedule adherence. The PCM replaces the Transmission Resource Allocation Committee (“TRAC”) and the System Resource Allocation Committee (“SRAC”), and will promote greater coordination in project development and management.

The implementation of formal monitoring of non-PMP projects is currently underway. Project management responsibility of all non-PMP projects was assigned to Operations Resource Planning in the fall 2011. Distribution Line non-PMP projects have been converted into the new Level 3 complexity Work Breakdown Structure. Conversion to the new templates for Transmission Line and Distribution and Transmission substation projects are currently underway, with an anticipated completion date of summer 2012. The last component of the formal monitoring of these projects will be implementation of the Level 3 Complexity non-PMP Playbook, as noted in Recommendation VIII-1.

National Grid’s efforts to develop a Work Breakdown Structure (“WBS”), establish an Estimating Center of Excellence (“ECoE”), including a centralized estimating group, and implement a common estimating tool will assist project managers to actively monitor and manage projects with respect to schedule, scope, and budget. Additional detail regarding the WBS and the estimating group and tools are described in Recommendation VIII-2 and VIII-3, respectively.

Details supporting implementation of this “sub”-recommendation VIII-1-2 will be provided to Staff for review when available. “Parent” Recommendation VIII-1 will be deemed “Implemented” when all associated elements and sub-elements are completed.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities / Milestones	Estimated Start Date	Estimated Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Current Status
Formal project reviews at governance boards	Apr 2010	Jul 2011	May 2011	Complete & on-going
Formal monitoring of non PMP projects	Jun 2011	Summer 2012		In Progress

Recommendation VIII-1-3

Adhere to policies and procedures regarding project cost control and re-sanctioning requirements. (Refers to Finding VIII-19).

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	David Way, VP Project Management and Complex Construction

Current Status

Training sessions associated with the sanctioning and re-sanctioning of transmission and distribution projects were held in June and July 2010 for staff members that are involved in the management of project delivery. Additional training sessions on sanctioning and re-sanctioning will be delivered as part of the End to End Project Management rollout and revised Project Management Playbook distribution.

This recommendation is also part of the overarching recommendation to ensure that projects are managed in accordance with PMP requirements (Recommendation VIII-1). Details supporting the implementation of this “sub”-recommendation have been provided to Staff for review and will be deemed “Implemented” at such time as all elements and sub-elements associated with Recommendation VIII-1 have been completed.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities / Milestones	Estimated Start Date	Estimated Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Current Status
Roll out training for all staff involved in management of project delivery (for re-sanctioning of projects).	Apr 2010	Jun 2010	Jul 2010	Complete

Recommendation VIII-1-4

Maintain comprehensive project management files. (Refers to Finding VIII-4)

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	David Way, VP Project Management and Complex Construction

Current Status

National Grid has developed a Project Management Project Planner which provides the requirements on the project documentation required to be retained and the location for such document retention. Additionally, a Document Control Job Aid has been prepared to train staff on the new policy. This training was rolled-out to Project Managers as part of the Company's overall Quality Management System training.

This recommendation is also part of the overarching recommendation to ensure that projects are managed in accordance with PMP requirements (Recommendation VIII-1). Details supporting the implementation of this "sub"-recommendation have been provided to Staff for review and will be deemed "Implemented" at such time as all elements and sub-elements associated with Recommendation VIII-1 have been completed.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities / Milestones	Estimated Start Date	Estimated Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Current Status
Complete review and develop policy for retention requirements for project documentation.	Apr 2010	Oct 2010	May 2010	Complete
Training staff on the new policy	Jan 2011	Jun 2011	Jun 2010	Complete

Recommendation VIII-2

Implement a Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) system to organize and manage projects. Use of a WBS should improve project cost and schedule management, monitoring, reporting, and feedback. (Refers to Finding VIII-13)

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	Thomas Bennett, Director Resource Planning

Current Status

This recommendation is in progress, with several aspects already completed. A WBS has been implemented into the Company's Primavera P6 system for future projects. Other elements of this recommendation (e.g., capturing costs for lower level WBS and workforce time reporting) will be implemented following deployment of the US Foundation Program.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities / Milestones	Estimated Start Date	Estimated Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Current Status
Continue with existing P6 rollout schedule.	On-going	Jul 2010	Jul 2010	Complete
Best Practices & System Assessment	Jan 2010	Feb 2010	Mar 2010	Complete
Process and Systems Specification Requirements	Feb 2010	Mar 2010	Mar 2010	Complete
Implementation Plan	May 2010	Jun 2010	Jun 2010	Complete
Detail Design & Project Type/WBS Matrix	Jun 2010	Feb 2011	Mar 2011	Complete
Systems Modification Plan	Aug 2010	Feb 2011	Mar 2011	Complete
Training Plan and Job Aids	Aug 2010	Mar 2011	Feb 2011	Complete and on-going
System Modification and Testing	Sep 2010	Jun 2011	Jul 2011	Complete
User Training (PgM & PM)	Oct 2010	Sept 2011	Sept 2011	Complete and On-Going
Final Documentation & Close Out	Jan 2011	TBD		Pending

Recommendation VIII-3

Complete implementation of ECoE roles and responsibilities including establishing estimating tools, metrics and policies, creating estimating units and identifying and resolving areas of estimating deviations. (Refers to Findings VIII-16, VIII-18, and, and VIII-26).

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	Thomas Bennett, Director Resource Planning

Current Status

Estimating Center of Excellence (“ECoE”) roles and responsibilities have been defined and further definition will occur as part of the End-to-End Project Management initiative and transition to the new jurisdictional organization model. Appropriate performance metrics and KPIs in connection with project estimating are also being developed in conjunction with this initiative. A high level scorecard for the estimating accuracy target is currently in use. Additional metrics will be developed as data and reports become available from the new systems.

The US Cost estimating application (i.e., Success Enterprise) is available for use and being used by the substation, transmission line, and planning groups; its use in distribution line is limited and will evolve as the Company further develops the End-to-End process and procedures. A STORMS data extract has been developed to help facilitate the use of Success Enterprise for distribution line estimating. An Estimating Procedures Team has been established within the ECoE and is meeting regularly to discuss systems interface details and testing, to develop estimating units, and to create process and procedure documentation, from which training will follow. The Transmission Line and Substation unit cost libraries have been standardized and updated. The new libraries will be implemented in June 2012 in conjunction with other system enhancements. These enhancements include new standardized estimating templates and an updated version of Success Enterprise (Version 10.2) that is currently in the testing phase. A Success Enterprise to Primavera P6 interface solution has been developed and is scheduled for testing in June 2012 and implementation in July 2012. Continued system enhancement and systems interface alignment is on-going and needed (along with supplemental resources as noted in Recommendation VIII-4) to achieve adequate configuration, functionality, and full implementation of the estimating tool.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities / Milestones	Estimated Start Date	Estimated Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Current Status
US Cost Estimating Application	In progress	Mar 2011	Mar 2011	Complete (available for use)
Transmission Line Estimating Process	In progress	Sep 2010	May 2010	Complete
Implement US Cost application (including start of estimating variance analysis)	Apr 2010	Jul 2012		In Progress (in use by substation, transmission line, and planning groups; distribution line use pending)

Recommendation VIII-4

Establish groups of professional estimators for US transmission and distribution that will develop estimates for planning, engineering and construction. Use these internal estimators to set and validate baseline estimates established for the RDV contractors.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	Thomas E. Bennett, Director Resource Planning

Current Status

The Estimating Center of Excellence (“ECoE”) staff includes a group of professional estimators to support estimation of capital projects. The team has been focused on system interfaces, developing the policies and procedures documentation, developing metrics, and the continued development of estimating units in order to achieve adequate configuration, functionality, and full implementation of the estimating tool.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities / Milestones	Estimated Start Date	Estimated Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Current Status
Issue transmission estimating process	In progress	Sep 2010	May 2010	Complete
Hire professional estimators for substation and transmission line projects	In progress	Sep 2010	Jun 2010	Complete
Implement US Cost application	In progress	Jul 2012		In Progress – See VIII-3
Determine Distribution line estimator requirements	Sep 2010	Jun 2011	Sept 2011	Complete

Recommendation VIII-6

Ensure that all capital work orders are closed to plant in-service (FERC Account 101) within 90 days of equipment being energized. (Refers to Finding VIII-25).

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Christopher E. Root, SVP Network Strategy
Team Leads	Marie Jordan, VP Electric Systems Engineering

Current Status

The Company has taken several actions to address work order closeout issues. These include: (1) an effort to reduce the backlog of outstanding work orders, including identifying factors that lead to prolonged open work orders and developing process improvements to reduce such work orders; (2) education and training of personnel on factors that affect timely work order processing; and (3) development of metrics to measure work order processing performance. In addition, the Company is developing: (i) validation guidelines that the business will follow to avoid future backlogs; (ii) prototype reporting to identify work orders that fall outside the aforementioned guidelines; and (iii) profiles of work order types to determine whether the mandated closeout of all work orders from FERC Account 106 to Account 101 within 90 days from energization can be accommodated in all cases.

Importantly, many of the factors leading to prolonged open work orders relate to interfaces between personnel and the Company's work management and plant accounting systems and the functionality of those systems. As part of the implementation of the US Foundation Program, many of the work management system characteristics that can lead to prolonged open work orders are being addressed. Thus, following implementation of the US Foundation Program, the Company anticipates that the prolonged open work order issue will be significantly mitigated.

The Company continues to finalize the long term process and application configurations and anticipates implementing the associated recommendations by October 2012 as part of the US Foundation Program integration.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities / Milestones	Estimated Start Date	Estimated Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Current Status
Short Term cross functional team initiatives	In progress	Jul 2011	July 2011	Complete
Initiate Long Term Solutions Study	Feb 2010	Jul 2011	July 2011	Complete
Present LT Study Recommendations and secure approvals	June 2010	Oct 2012		In Progress

F. Capital and Operating & Maintenance Budgeting

Recommendation IX-6

Include applicable master and functional SLAs with the annual update of service contracts filed with the PSC. (Refers to Finding IX-17)

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Rudy Wynter, SVP Shared Services
Team Lead	Michael Ioanilli, Project Manager

Current Status

The Company will implement this recommendation with the annual filing of the service contracts after the service companies are consolidated (following implementation of the US Foundation Program).

CHAPTER 3—IMPLEMENTED RECOMMENDATIONS PENDING REVIEW

Currently, there are seventeen (17) of the forty-four (44) Management Audit recommendations for which the Company has completed action steps associated with full implementation, but for which Staff review and acceptance is not yet completed. The individual recommendations in this status category are indicated in Table 4.

Table 4 --Update 7 Implemented Recommendations Pending Review

Rec Number	Recommendation	May 2012 Status
III-3	Prepare a business plan document for NMPC electric operations that combines strategic and operating activities with capital and O&M budgets, and ensures that the resulting plan documents the scope of business planning for the benefit of NMPC electric ratepayers. (Refers to Finding III-14)	Pending Review
III-5	Specify how the company is going to monitor and measure the benefits to ratepayers arising from the investment in Smart Grid technology for the pilot projects. When applying for authorization for further Smart Grid technology, include a cost benefit analysis demonstrating how the results of the project will provide a net benefit to all ratepayers. (Refers to Finding III-20)	Pending Review
III-6	Recruit and appoint an independent member to NG's Board of Directors who is experienced in US utility operations and/or regulation. (Refers to Finding III-27)	Pending Review (new status)
III-7	Dissolve Niagara Mohawk Holdings, Inc. (Refers to Finding III-29)	Pending Review
III-9	Replace the current membership of the NMPC BOD and the NG USA BOD with members who are representative of NG's senior US management of all of its LOBs operating in the US. (Refers to Findings III-31 and II-32)	Pending Review
VI-2a	Continue activities to develop a long-term strategy and short-term tactical supply procurement plan as laid out in the Collaborative meetings and incorporate these plans into the corporate business plan. (Refers to Findings VI-10, VI-11, VI-13 and VI-14)	Pending Review
VI-3	Define and restructure the risk management policies, procedures and functions to assure appropriate monitoring of risk factors as the transition and long-term supply procurement plans are implemented. The risk management tools should incorporate appropriate market monitoring to know when contingencies are needed. (Refers to Finding VI-12)	Pending Review

VII-1	Develop an integrated NMPC Transmission and Distribution system-wide plan. (Refers to Findings VII-4,VII-8, VII-14, VII-15, VII-16, VII-17, VII-18, and VII-31)	Pending Review
VII-2	Utilize annual operational reports such as the Transmission System Reliability Performance Report and the Distribution Reliability Report as inputs to asset health/strategy and subsequently recommended projects. Demonstrate how the annual integrated system plans directly address reliability issues raised in the two reports. Show progress against known system deficiencies such as “worst-performing circuits” and outage causal factors. Identify and relate capital programs and projects to specific reliability performance issues and measure effect. (Refers to Findings VII -3, VII-4, VII-5, VII-25, and VII-26)	Pending Review
VII-4	Evaluate the causal factors and impact on capital budgets and system planning of projects “walked in” and “walked out” of the system plans. Identify why the projects walked in were not initially planned, what will be done in future planning cycles to remediate these issues and how projects displaced into future planning periods will be accommodated. (Refers to Findings VII -11 and VII-29)	Pending Review
VII-6	Evaluate outages that were avoidable due to improved system planning (capital) and preventive maintenance (O&M) such as vegetation and failed equipment. Determine the budget necessary to provide the level of maintenance that would have prevented the outages and compare against the current maintenance budget. Analyze the costs associated with the outages with incremental increases in maintenance programs. (Refers to Findings VII -3, VII-4, VII-5 and VII-25)	Pending Review
VII-7	Establish a traditional transmission utility system planning function that results in industry accepted planning products such as: system-wide studies not just area studies; five-year, ten-year, 15-year and 20-year system layouts; integrated ten to twenty year system plans; and timelines of system needs. (Refers to Findings VII-8, VII-11, VII-13, VII-24, and VII-26)	Pending Review
VII-9	Adopt a results oriented approach to drive the development and implementation of asset management strategies by their relationship to equipment failure causal factors and system performance: Prioritize asset management strategies by their relationship to outage causal factors and their ability to directly affect reliability performance measures; Evaluate this stratification annually to maintain focus; Differentiate long term asset strategies from those dealing with specific reliability problems and their incorporation into the annual system plans; Evaluate the effectiveness of asset management strategies in terms of the number of capital projects and maintenance programs actually executed. (Refers to Findings VII-18 and VII-25)	Pending Review

IX-4	Set specific target dates and complete the development and execution of Service Level Agreements between the U.S. Transmission and ED&G LOBs and each of the organizational groups and departments that provide shared services to these LOBs as outlined by NG in the collaborative process. (Refers to Findings IX-13 and IX-15)	Pending Review
IX-5	Amend the service contracts so as to refer to and incorporate as appropriate, the master SLAs and the functional SLAs, which will provide full disclosure about the service levels and costs as well as the types of services provided and the cost methodologies for services provided. (Refers to Finding IX-17)	Pending Review <i>(new status)</i>
X-1	Complete implementation of improvements to the work management program for field forces as identified in the collaborative process. Improvements required include establishing an internal distribution construction workforce, completing the remaining three elements in the EDOT work management initiative, improving its work time standards, and tracking all 29 value metrics for measuring field force productivity. (Refers to Findings X-1, X-22, and X-24)	Pending Review <i>(correction)</i>
X-4	Separate the EDOT project into elements and evaluate them as individual projects in the business planning process, rather than treating them as an on-going mega project. At a minimum, integrate the current EDOT into the business planning and performance management process. (Refers to Finding X-18)	Pending Review <i>(correction)</i>

Information regarding the implementation status of these recommendations appears below, with further details and specifics available in the Company's previously submitted Update Reports.

A. Corporate Mission, Objectives, Goals and Planning

Recommendation III-3

Prepare a business plan document for NMPC electric operations that combines strategic and operating activities with capital and O&M budgets, and ensures that the resulting plan documents the scope of business planning for the benefit of NMPC electric ratepayers. (Refers to Finding III-14).

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ken Daly, President New York
Team Lead	David B. Doxsee, VP Finance New York

Implementation of Recommendation

The Company completed the first annual five-year Niagara Mohawk electric operations business plan document in April 2010, and the 2011 business plan document was provided to Staff May 20, 2011.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities and Milestones	Current Status
Initial submission of Business Plan information by legal entity data	Complete
Final submission of the Business Plan detail by legal entity	Complete Apr 2010
Initial draft of the first NMPC Electric 5-year business plan document completed and approved for FY2010/11 through FY2014/15	Complete Apr 2010
Ongoing process for development, review, and completion of the NMPC 5-year business plan agreed with North Star and PSC Staff	Complete May 2010
Kick-off of the NMPC 5-year annual business plan. A full business plan cycle will include development and documentation of: a.) NMPC strategic plan b.) NMPC priorities (near and long term) c.) 5 year financial forecast including: 1.) Profit and loss statements 2.) Capital Investment Plans 3.) Operating and Maintenance expense forecasts 4.) Revenues forecasts	Complete (on-going)
Completion of the annual NMPC business plan	Complete (on-going)

Recommendation III-5

Specify how the company is going to monitor and measure the benefits to ratepayers arising from the investment in Smart Grid technology for the pilot projects. When applying for authorization for further Smart Grid technology, include a cost benefit analysis demonstrating how the results of the project will provide a net benefit to all ratepayers (Refers to Finding III-20).

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Tom King, Executive Director, US
Team Lead	Cheri Warren, VP, Asset Management

Implementation of Recommendation

National Grid withdrew its Modified New York Smart Program Proposal on February 11, 2011, and is not implementing the Smart Grid pilot projects at this time. To the extent the Company proposes Smart Grid investments or activities in the future, it will include information on the relative benefits of the investment or activity at that time.

Recommendation III-6

Recruit and appoint an independent member to NG's Board of Directors who is experienced in US utility operations and/or regulation.

Implementation Plan Sponsor and Lead

The implementation of this recommendation is the responsibility of the National Grid Board of Directors of National Grid plc.

Current Status

In April 2012, National Grid announced the appointment of Nora Mead Brownell to the National Grid plc Board of Directors effective June 1, 2012. Ms. Brownell has extensive US utility and regulatory experience, having served as a Commissioner with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

Recommendation III-7

Dissolve Niagara Mohawk Holdings, Inc. (NMHI)

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Colin Owyang, SVP and US General Counsel
Team Lead	Timothy McAllister, Assistant General Counsel & Director Corporate

Implementation of Recommendation

Significant future potential tax implications do not favor dissolving Niagara Mohawk Holdings at this time. Given the minimal oversight required, customers receive no benefit from dissolution of the company. Accordingly, this recommendation will not be implemented.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities and Milestones	Current Status
Check with Treasury, Tax and Legal regarding ability to eliminate separate entity	Complete
Corporate actions to merge NMHoldings into NGUSA	No action is to be taken based on results of evaluation

Recommendation III-9

Replace the current membership of the NMPC BOD and the NG USA BOD with members who are representative of NG's US senior management of all of its LOBs operating in New York and the US.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Colin Owyang, SVP & US General Counsel
Team Lead	Catherine L. Nesser, VP & Deputy General Counsel

Current Status

In early 2010, the National Grid USA Board elected the most senior executives in the US as members, consistent with the recommendation. The Board remains comprised of the most senior executives in the U.S. In the 2010 Electric Rate Case (Case 10-E-0500), the Commission directed the Company, Staff and interested parties to collaborate to determine which provisions from the prior rate plan would be continued in the current rate plan. An agreement was reached on, among other things, the structure and composition of the Niagara Mohawk Board of Directors, which is different from the structure recommended in the Management Audit. That agreement was filed in the Report on Rate Plan Provisions ("Report") dated January 31, 2012. In 2011, the Company elected a new Board consistent with the Report. If the Report is approved as filed, the Company will consider this recommendation complete. If the requirements of the Company's Board composition change as a result of the Commission's actions on the Report, the Company will re-evaluate this Recommendation.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities / Milestones	Estimated Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Current Status
Exec paper with recommendation	Dec 2009	Dec 2009	Complete
Petition for change in Attachment 23 of MJP	Jun 2010	Jun 2010	Complete (Petition reversed due to MJP Collaborative Process)
Submit report and petition to Commission that addresses composition of NMPC Board of Directors	June 2012	Jan 2012	Complete (Report on Rate Plan Provisions filed)
Appoint/ Elect new Directors (45 days after approval of above)	Only necessary if PSC orders other requirements	Jun & Aug 2011	Complete (new election per MJP Collaborative Process)

B. Supply Procurement

Recommendation VI-2a

Continue activities to develop a long-term strategy and short-term tactical supply procurement plan as laid out in the Collaborative meetings and incorporate these plans into the corporate business plan.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	James S. Madej, SVP Chief Customer Officer
Team Lead	John V. Vaughn, VP Energy Procurement

Implementation of Recommendation

A revised long-term strategy and short-term tactical implementation plan for supply procurement has been completed. Also, the Electric Supply team is developing process improvements to increase the accuracy of the daily electric load forecast.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities and Milestones	Current Status
Commence Internal Audit of electric supply procurement.	Complete Jan 2010
Receive and review final report from Internal Audit.	Complete Mar 2010
Develop implementation plan to address gaps identified in Internal Audit report.	Complete May 2010
Implement changes to address gaps from the Internal Audit report.	Complete Aug 2010

Recommendation VI-3

Define and restructure the risk management policies, procedures and functions to assure appropriate monitoring of risk factors as the transition and long-term supply procurement plans are implemented. The risk management tools should incorporate appropriate market monitoring to know when contingencies are needed.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	James S. Madej, SVP Chief Customer Officer
Team Lead	James A. Cross, Jr., VP Customer Analytics and Risk Management

Implementation of Recommendation

The revised risk management framework for supply procurement is complete. In addition, the Energy Procurement Risk Management Committee (“EPRMC”) and the expanded Commodity Management Committee (“CMC”) have been restructured.

These two committees review and approve the Electric Supply procurement strategies. The EPRMC continues to meet approximately monthly and includes senior management from Regulatory, Legal, and Treasury. The CMC also continues to meet approximately monthly, and is comprised of management staff from Regulatory Pricing, Risk Management, Legal, Supplier Services, Credit and Accounting.

Schedule

Since the elements of the implementation plan are similar, refer to implementation schedule for Recommendation VI-2a.

C. System Planning

Recommendation VII-1 through VII-10, excluding VII-3, VII-5, VII-8, & VII-10

There are six (6) recommendations relating to system planning whose status is “Pending Review.” For those six recommendations (see Table 5, below), the Company provides this consolidated response in light of the plan to produce an integrated system plan that addresses all system planning recommendations.

Table 5--System Planning Recommendations Pending Review

VII-1	Develop an integrated NMPC Transmission and Distribution system-wide plan. (Refers to Findings VII -4, VII-8, VII-14, VII-15, VII-16, VII-17, VII-18, and VII-31)
VII-2	Utilize annual operational reports such as the Transmission System Reliability Performance Report and the Distribution Reliability Report as inputs to asset health/strategy and subsequently recommended projects. Demonstrate how the annual integrated system plans directly address reliability issues raised in the two reports. Show progress against known system deficiencies such as “worst-performing circuits” and outage causal factors. Identify and relate capital programs and projects to specific reliability performance issues and measure their effect. (Refers to Findings VII -3, VII-4, VII-5, VII-25, and VII-26)
VII-4	Evaluate the causal factors and impact on capital budgets and system planning of projects “walked in” and “walked out” of the system plans. Identify why the projects walked in were not initially planned, what will be done in future planning cycles to remediate these issues and how projects displaced into future planning periods will be accommodated. (Refers to Findings VII -11 and VII-29)
VII-6	Evaluate outages that were avoidable due to improved system planning (capital) and preventive maintenance (O&M) such as vegetation and failed equipment. Determine the budget necessary to provide the level of maintenance that would have prevented the outages and compare against the current maintenance budget. Analyze the costs associated with the outages with incremental increases in maintenance programs. (Refers to Findings VII -3, VII-4, VII-5 and VII-25)
VII-7	Establish a traditional transmission utility system planning function that results in industry accepted planning products such as: system-wide studies not just area studies; five-year, ten-year, 15-year and 20-year system layouts; integrated ten to twenty year system plans; and timelines of system needs. (Refers to Findings VII-8, VII-11, VII-13, VII-24, and VII-26)
VII-9	Adopt a results oriented approach to drive the development and implementation of asset management strategies by their relationship to equipment failure causal factors and system performance. • Prioritize asset management strategies by their relationship to outage causal factors and their ability to directly affect reliability performance measures. • Evaluate this stratification annually to maintain focus. • Differentiate long term asset strategies from those dealing with specific reliability problems and their incorporation into the annual system plans. • Evaluate the effectiveness of asset management strategies in terms of the number of capital projects and maintenance programs actually executed. (Refers to Findings VII-18 and VII-25)

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Christopher E. Root, SVP Network Strategy
Team Lead	Cheri Warren, VP Asset Management

Implementation of Recommendation

In April 2011, the Company provided Staff its draft 15-Year System Plan. The integrated System Plan has a 15-year outlook and will be updated annually. It evaluates the present state of the system and technology today and considers possible future state(s) of the system and technology as conditions evolve over the planning timeframe. The scope of the Plan reaches into all parts of National Grid, thus requiring significant and coordinated input from several departments including Transmission and Distribution Planning, Asset Management, Energy Procurement, Customer & Markets, Smart Grid and Regulation. Since the April 2011 submission, the Company and Staff have had a series of meetings to discuss plan revisions. Changes were made to the 15-Year Plan based on Staff's feedback, and a revised plan was submitted on February 28, 2012.

Besides the report itself, there are a number of related individual management audit recommendations which will be addressed either as part of the main report itself or, in some cases, by reference to other documents or procedures. The updated status of those management audit recommendations is reported below.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities and Milestones	Estimated Start Date	Estimate Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Current Status
Deliver Integrated NMPC Transmission and Distribution Strategic System Plan. (SSP) (VII-1)	Feb 2010	Apr 2011	Apr 2011	Complete
Develop a schedule for completing the Implementation Plan as set forth herein for filing a SSP by April 2011.	Feb 2010	Feb 2010	Mar 2010	Complete
Determine process to review methods to use information derived from annual operational reports. (VII-2)	Feb 2010	Sep 2010	Dec 2010	Complete and on-going
Project Walk-in Report – Addition of Spending Rationale Classification and incorporation of project walkout	Apr 2010	May 2010	May 2010	Complete

information. (VII-4)				
Perform economic studies to identify more efficient system modifications that can reduce the costs of service and increase utilization of resources. (VII-5)		Dec 2010	Dec 2010	Complete and on-going
Develop process in order to evaluate outages that were avoidable due to improved system planning and preventive maintenance. (VII-6)	Feb 2010	Dec 2010	Dec 2010	Complete and on-going
Incorporate the results of a traditional transmission utility system planning function. (VII-7)	Feb 2010	Apr 2011	Apr 2011	Complete
Adopt a results oriented approach to drive the development and implementation of asset management strategies by their relationship to equipment failure causal factors and system performance. (VII-9)	Feb 2010	Sep 2010	Dec 2010	Complete and on-going

D. Capital and Operating & Maintenance Budgeting

Recommendation IX-4

Set specific target dates and complete the development and execution of Service Level Agreements between the US Transmission and ED&G LOBs and each of the organizational groups and departments that provide shared services to these LOBs as outlined by NG in the collaborative process.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Rudy Wynter, SVP Shared Services
Team Lead	Michael Ioanilli, Project Manager

Current Status

Service Level Agreements between Niagara Mohawk and internal service providers have been agreed and executed with: Corporate Affairs, Customer, Finance, Human Resources, Insurance, Information Services, Legal, Network Strategy, Operations, Procurement, Regulation and Pricing, Security, Shared Services, SHE (Safety, Health and Environment), Tax and Treasury.

Recommendation IX-5

Amend the service level contracts so as to refer to and incorporate as appropriate, the master SLAs and the functional SLAs, which will provide full disclosure about the service levels and costs as well as the types of services provided and the costs methodologies for services provided. (Refers to Finding IX-17)

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Rudy Wynter, SVP Shared Services
Team Lead	Michael Ioanilli, Project Manager

Current Status

The revised National Grid USA Service Company Agreement was filed with the Commission on March 30, 2012. In addition, revisions to the Company's cost allocation methodologies and the general allocator were filed with the Commission on March 30, 2012. Work is currently underway to align service descriptions between service company contracts, National Grid's Cost Allocation Manual and SLAs.

E. Work Management

Recommendation X-1

Complete implementation of improvements to the work management program for field forces as identified in the collaborative process. Improvements include establishing an internal distribution construction workforce, completing the remaining three elements in the EDOT work management initiative, improving its work time standards, and tracking all 29 value metrics for measuring field force productivity.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	Mallikarjun Angalakudati, Director Operations Performance

Implementation of Recommendation

As part of the July 29, 2009 labor agreement extension, a Memorandum of Agreement was established between Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation and IBEW Local Union 97 regarding a “Pilot on Distribution Line Construction (DLC).” During the term of the pilot, the DLC group constructed larger distribution project and program work, consistent with the type of work done by the contracted workforce, and was measured on the same Key Performance Indicators (“KPIs”). In April 2011, the Company and the Union agreed to dissolve the DLC, and the former DLC workforce returned to the divisions within the Maintenance and Construction function of the new organizational model.

As indicated previously, the Company undertook a review of the initially identified 29 value metrics for measuring field force productivity and implemented a sub-set of those metrics. The Company regularly reviews its metrics and revises them where appropriate to produce KPIs that provide value and transparency. As such, the original field force metrics discussed at the time of the audit have been modified after actual experience indicated the metrics were not effective. A full review of appropriate metrics to measure and enhance field force productivity is currently underway.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities and Milestones	Current Status
Analyze and design the remaining field productivity metrics	Complete Mar 2010
Develop solution and process change requirements and details	Complete Apr 2010
Develop cost and effort estimates to deliver each metrics	Complete Jul 2010
Finalize direction based on costs benefit analysis	Complete Jul 2010

Recommendation X-4

Separate the EDOT project into elements and evaluate them as individual projects in the business planning process, rather than treating them as an on-going mega project. At a minimum, integrate the current EDOT into the business planning and performance management process.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	Mallikarjun Angalakudati, Director Operations Performance

Implementation of Recommendation

In March 2010, National Grid announced organizational changes to align the Transformation Program with the overall Operations Performance organization in Electric Operations, with the intent that Transformation and all other projects would be supported through the new Project Office role in Operations Performance. The Project Office responsibilities were expanded to provide a consistent framework for managing ongoing change initiatives and for delivering US Electricity Operations Transformation activities across key value drivers (i.e., safety, efficiency, reliability and customer satisfaction).

National Grid further integrated the EDO Transformation effort into the business planning process by incorporating the initiatives into annual priorities, and including financial planning and visibility within the business planning framework. Costs and benefits anticipated from the EDO Transformation program were incorporated into the financial business planning process for US Electricity Operations.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities and Milestones	Current Status
Align Transformation with Performance Management (organizationally)	Complete Mar 2010
Align Transformation with Business Planning Process	Complete Mar 2010

CHAPTER 4—IMPLEMENTED RECOMMENDATIONS

To date, sixteen (16) of the forty-four (44) recommendations in the Management Audit report have been implemented by the Company and accepted by Staff as complete.⁷ The individual recommendations in this status category are indicated in Table 6.

Table 6--Update 7 Implemented Recommendations

Rec Number	Recommendation	May 2012 Status
III-1	Revise the corporate vision and objectives statements to more explicitly articulate the company's obligation to provide low cost, reliable and safe electric service to its customers. The revised statement should reflect the need to mitigate volatility and produce lower costs relative to some benchmark and could include a reflection of the total bill rather than the unit price. (Refers to Finding III-1)	Implemented
III-2	Consolidate the management of U.S. electric transmission and electric distribution into one LOB to provide greater visibility over NMPC electric transmission and distribution operations while maintaining NG's ability to achieve synergies and economies of scale. (Refers to Finding III-4)	Implemented
III-4	Integrate supply procurement and energy portfolio management into the business planning processes. (Refers to Finding III-17)	Implemented
IV-2	Utilize benchmarking in setting performance targets and establishing NG's current position against the targets. (Refers to Finding IV-14)	Implemented
V-1	Develop energy sales forecasts and peak demand forecasts that are specific to Upstate New York and the sub-areas within NMPC service territory. (Refers to Finding V-2)	Implemented
VI-1	Establish a comprehensive framework of performance metrics for the supply procurement and risk management functions. The metrics should build on NG's corporate vision and goals and need to reflect the changing electric supply procurement market and NG's preferred strategy in that market. (Refers to Findings VI-7 and VI-14)	Implemented

⁷ In the Audit Report, Recommendation VI-2 was deemed no longer needed as a result of progress made during the collaborative process. Although Staff has indicated this recommendation is "Completed & Accepted," the Company does not include progress updates for this recommendation. Therefore, the Company's total count of 44 recommendations and implemented recommendations are each one less than the Staff's counts.

VII-5	Perform economic studies to identify more efficient system modifications that can reduce the costs of service and increase utilization of resources. (Refers to Findings VII -26 and VII-29)	Implemented
VII-8	Evaluate the boundaries for continuity between the integrated transmission and the integrated distribution plans to assess whether the entire “wires” business is adequately planned. (Refers to Finding VII-8, VII-10, VII-13, and VII-25)	Implemented
VII-10	Initiate or partner with NYISO on appropriate studies regarding the effect and needed response to increased application of Distributed Generation, Renewable Resources, SMART GRID and other trends in utility system operations. (Refers to Finding VII-19)	Implemented
VIII-5	Have Internal Audit or an outside firm audit the RDV joint venture parent entities on a regular basis. (Refers to Finding VIII-23)	Implemented
IX-1	Conduct formal reviews of a sample of projects monthly for overall project cost control. The review should include the project manager, system planner, construction supervisor, and appropriate LOB management and include a review of estimates, cost tracking by work break down structure, progress versus cost, and forecast cost. (Refers to Finding IX-9)	Implemented
IX-2	Reconcile the differences between planned work identified in the Resource Allocation Committee (RAC) reports and expenditures proposed in the January 2009 Transmission and Distribution Capital Investment Plan. (refers to Finding IX-10)	Implemented
IX-3	Revise capital investment levels for projects and programs planned as part of the NMPC Transmission and Distribution Capital Investment Plan filed in January 2009 and obtain the necessary commitment for the funds required by NMPC. (Refers to Finding IX-11)	Implemented
X-2	Deliver preliminary annual work plans, especially for mandatory projects, to the construction work forces 90 days prior to the start of the fiscal year so that materials can be ordered and staffing/resource schedules prepared in a timely manner. (Refers to Finding X-10)	Implemented
X-3	Eliminate the remaining in-house tree trimmer positions. (Refers to Finding X-11)	Implemented
X-5	Review the practicality of the new storm response plans to ensure that NMPC ratepayers will be provided with timely and qualified services in the event of a storm emergency. (Refers to Finding X-23)	Implemented

Information regarding the implementation of these recommendations appears below, with further details and specifics available in the Company’s previously submitted Update Reports.

A. Corporate Mission, Objectives, Goals and Planning

Recommendation III-1

Revise the corporate vision and objectives statements to more explicitly articulate the company's obligation to provide low cost, reliable and safe electric service to its customers. The revised statement should reflect the need to mitigate volatility and produce lower costs relative to some benchmark and could include a reflection of the total bill rather than the unit price.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Tom King, Executive Director, US
Team Lead	Joel Semel, Executive Advisor

Implementation of Recommendation

National Grid has adopted the following vision statement for Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (Electric).

We will be the foremost electric company, delivering unparalleled safety, reliability and efficiency, mitigating total energy costs and minimizing energy cost volatility, all of which are vital to the well-being of our customers and communities. We are committed to being an innovative leader in energy efficiency and management.

Recommendation III-2

Consolidate the management of US electric transmission and electric distribution into one LoB to provide greater visibility over NMPC electric transmission and distribution operations while maintaining NG's ability to achieve synergies and economies of scale.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	Thomas Bennett, Director Resource Planning

Implementation of Recommendation

The Company initially combined Distribution and Transmission work delivery and operations under the oversight of the Chief Operating Officer, with budgetary control for all capital and operational activities for Transmission and Distribution. Recently, the Company launched its transition from a Line-of-Business (LoB) model to a jurisdictional model, which is expected to provide improved focus on local needs and regulatory priorities.

Within the new jurisdictional model, the New York President represents the interests of Niagara Mohawk, is accountable for the performance of the New York business, and is focused on the local needs of customers, communities, and regulatory priorities. Under the President, various functions (e.g., Operations, Maintenance and Construction, Network Strategy, etc.) are accountable for New York focus and dedicated support.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities and Milestones	Current Status
Case for Change: Determine if a compelling case exists to change the status quo.	Complete Jun 2009
Gap Analysis: Examine whether NG's US Transmission & Electric Distribution businesses are best positioned to deliver.	Complete Jul 2009
High Level Design: Using a cross functional working team identify whether alternative organizational designs exist that would address objectives.	Complete Sep 2009
Detailed Design	Complete Dec 2009
Implementation	Complete Mar 2010

Recommendation III-4

Integrate supply procurement and energy portfolio management into the business planning processes. (Refers to Finding III-16)

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Alison Wood, Global Director Strategy and Business Development
Team Lead	James Cross, Jr., VP Customer Analytics and Risk Management David B. Doxsee, VP Finance New York

Implementation of Recommendation

The Energy Portfolio Management (“EPM”) team has developed its own five-year Strategic Plan to enhance the supply procurement process. The strategic plan better tracks EPM’s objectives, achievements and financial performance related to supply planning and commodity procurement functions.

The EPM Strategic Plan is aligned with corporate objectives and outlines EPM annual priorities as they support the objectives. The plan incorporates EPM strategic drivers and issues, risks and opportunities and an action plan section. As part of the strategic plan, the EPM group will drive specific initiatives such as a long-term commodity procurement plan to protect and promote ratepayer benefits. Key elements of the EPM Strategic Plan have been provided for integration into NMPC’s business plan.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities/Milestones	Completion Date
Develop EPM Strategic Plan	Oct 2009
Communicate Plan to ED&G Group for inclusion in Business Plan	Dec 2009
Included in ED&G Business Plan	Mar 2010

B. Performance and Results Measurement

Recommendation IV-2

Utilize benchmarking in setting performance targets and establishing NG's current position against the targets.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	Mallikarjun Angalakudati, Director Operations Performance

Implementation of Recommendation

The Company has developed a comprehensive benchmarking plan that describes benchmarking activities in which the Company participates, as well as how they are incorporated into the goal setting processes.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities/Milestones	Completion Date
Develop a draft comprehensive benchmark plan	Dec 2009
Issue draft for comment and feedback	May 2010
Develop final draft of comprehensive benchmark plan	May 2010
Receive business sanction of new plan and process	May 2010
Ensure all performance measure and target setting processes are updated to reflect benchmarking and the plan	May 2010

C. Load Forecasting

Recommendation V-1

Develop energy sales forecasts and peak demand forecasts that are specific to Upstate New York and the sub-areas within NMPC service territory.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	James S. Madej, SVP Chief Customer Officer
Team Lead	James Cross, Jr., VP Customer Analytics and Risk Management

Implementation of Recommendation

The Company implemented this recommendation using a two-pronged approach: (1) “top-down”; and (2) “bottom-up.” The top-down approach primarily used econometric forecasts to inform a “bottom-up” trend analysis of peak/energy data for the sub areas served by the Company. The developed sub-area regional forecasts are then provided to system planners for use in their planning processes. The bottom-up approach uses a combination of sub-area forecasts (e.g., county-level econometric) and bottom-up peak/energy (e.g., substation trend analysis). The Company completed peak load growth forecasts down to the county level for the 36 counties in the Company’s service territory, in addition to the information developed for the six NYISO regional areas under the top-down approach.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities / Milestones	Completion Date
“Top-Down” approach Collect available information on a regional basis from existing systems.	Feb 2010
“Top-Down” approach Conduct forecast modeling on a regional basis using existing techniques.	Feb 2010
“Bottom-Up” approach Collect available information on a distribution planning level from existing systems.	Oct 2010
“Bottom-Up” approach Conduct forecast modeling on a regional basis using existing techniques. (if go)	Oct 2010

D. Supply Procurement

Recommendation VI-1

Establish a comprehensive framework of performance metrics for the supply procurement and risk management functions. The metrics should build on NG's corporate vision and goals and need to reflect the changing electric supply procurement market and NG's preferred strategy in that market.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	James S. Madej, SVP Chief Customer Officer
Team Lead	James Cross, Jr., VP Customer Analytics and Risk Management

Implementation of Recommendation

To implement this recommendation, the Company established a comprehensive framework of performance metrics for the supply procurement and risk management functions. The Electric Supply group has overseen implementation of a Load Bidding Report with metrics to limit exposure to Real Time NYISO price volatility. The metrics are to be measured on a calendar year basis and reported on a fiscal year basis, as described below.

Metric #1: Limit the quantity of Real Time NYISO purchases to +/- 10% of the total Niagara Mohawk Commodity Load.

Metric #2: Limit the net cost of Real Time NYISO purchases to <3% of the total energy (LBMP only) costs.

These metrics were instituted as group and individual goals for the Electric Supply group relating to their variable pay component in the Performance for Growth (P4G) system beginning with fiscal year 2009-2010. The metrics are also reviewed and reported by the Risk Management middle office group, which creates an appropriate check and balance on the metric results. In addition, the Electric Supply front office has begun reporting several other relevant metrics to the Risk Management middle office on a monthly basis.

Performance metrics regarding New York utilities were also incorporated in the Energy Portfolio Management (EPM) department's Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for fiscal year 2009-10. Niagara Mohawk reports quarterly volatility metrics in compliance with the Commission's Order in Phase II of Case 06-M-1017, and also collects this quarterly data from the other reporting New York utilities for comparison.

The Company is also implementing process improvements on a comprehensive, long term supply procurement policy and plan, has integrated supply procurement planning within the Company's business plan process, and has developed a process for monitoring and updating the long term supply procurement strategy and short term tactical plans.

The Company has also undertaken a consultant review of current procurement strategies and an assessment of different procurement approaches, as well as the development of a systematic framework to facilitate corporate decision-making and better formulation of regulatory strategies. This review and implementation of the resulting recommendations are significant steps towards developing a comprehensive, long term supply procurement policy.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities / Milestones	Completion Date
Develop performance metrics and input them into the P4G system.	Sep 2009
Begin monthly reporting of performance metrics starting Jan 2010.	Feb 2010
Incorporate supply portfolio performance metrics (prices and volatility metrics from the quarterly NYPSC filings, as well as available comparative data on other NY utilities) into KPIs for FY 2009-10.	Feb 2010

E. System Planning

Recommendation VII-5

Perform economic studies to identify more efficient system modifications that can reduce the costs of service and increase utilization of resources. (Refers to Findings VII -26 and VII-29).

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	Cheri Warren, VP Asset Management

Implementation of Recommendation

When evaluating system needs, the Company's planning engineers consider alternative solutions to identify the most efficient means to address the need. In addition to evaluating traditional wires-based alternatives, the Company recently (February 2011) adopted a formal process for evaluating "non-wires alternatives" to meet system needs. The goal in each case is to optimize the use of existing assets and satisfy the system needs safely, reliably and in the most efficient manner, for the benefit of customers; and the Company will continue to enhance and apply its alternatives consideration practices to future projects.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities/Milestones	Completion Date
Incorporate formal process for considering "non-wires alternatives" as part of electric system planning	Jul 2011

Recommendation VII-8

Evaluate the boundaries for continuity between the integrated transmission and the integrated distribution plans to assess whether the entire “wires” business is adequately planned.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	Cheri Warren, VP Asset Management

Implementation of Recommendation

The boundaries of the Transmission study areas and Distribution study areas for the three operational divisions in New York, as well as specific areas of overlap for continuity between the integrated transmission and distribution plans have been established. As detailed in the draft 15-Year System Plan submitted to Staff in April 2011, the Company has segmented the Company’s service territory into eight (8) transmission planning study areas, and within those areas, forty-three (43) distribution planning areas to facilitate the completion of system studies and project plans. Subdividing the system into study areas enables those areas to be studied in parallel, and to more efficiently and quickly complete the task of covering the entire system.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities/Milestones	Completion Date
Establish the boundaries for continuity between the integrated transmission and the integrated distribution plans. (VII-8)	Jun 2010

Recommendation VII-10

Initiate or partner with NYISO on appropriate studies regarding the effect and needed response to increased application of Distributed Generation, Renewable Resources, SMART GRID and other trends in utility system operations.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	Cheri Warren, VP Asset Management

Implementation of Recommendation

The Company participates in the NY STARS study and is actively engaged in many of the NYISO planning processes including the CSPP, RNA, CARIS as well as special studies such as the NYISO Wind Study. National Grid is also working with the NYISO and other Transmission Owners on implementing Phasor Measurement Units and installing capacitor banks as part of NYISO's successful stimulus proposal to the DOE. In addition National Grid has provided comments on the NY State Energy Plan. Participation will be ongoing.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities/Milestones	Completion Date
Initiate or partner with NYISO on appropriate studies regarding the effect and needed response to increased application of Distributed Generation, Renewable Resources, SMART GRID and other trends in utility system operations. (VII-10)	Jan 2010 (on-going)

F. Program and Project Planning and Management

Recommendation VIII-5

Have Internal Audit or an outside firm audit the RDV joint venture and parent entities on a regular basis.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	William J. Akley, SVP Maintenance & Construction
Team Lead	Keith McAfee, VP New York (Electric) Maintenance & Construction

Implementation of Recommendation

The Company completed the indicated RDV audit. As part of the Cap Ex/Op Ex Stipulation adopted in the Company's recent electric rate case (10-E-0050), the Company is transitioning away from the RDV as a means for delivering its Transmission capital investment plan, and ceased releasing new work to the RDV last fall. Accordingly, regular audits of the RDV to assess performance of on-going work are no longer intended.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities/Milestones	Completion Date
Issue terms of reference	Dec 2009
Undertake audit and issue final report	May 2010

G. Capital and Operating & Maintenance Budgeting

Recommendation IX-1

Conduct formal reviews of a sample of projects monthly for overall project cost control. The review should include the project manager, system planner, construction supervisor, and appropriate LOB management and include a review of estimates, cost tracking by work break down structure, progress versus cost, and forecast cost.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	David Way, VP Project Management and Complex Construction

Implementation of Recommendation

The Company began formal reviews of a sample of projects at TRAC (Transmission Resource Allocation Committee) and SRAC (System Resource Allocation Committee) meetings in December 2009, which include monthly reviews of a select sample of projects (as well as deep dive reviews of a set list of Transmission projects). Process updates, including modifications to the respective meeting review templates to identify key project delivery team members that were consulted/provided input, as well as the distribution of TRAC and SRAC meeting minutes (with templates and action items attached) to all meeting attendees, including construction supervisors, are being implemented. Additional review process updates and full implementation of actions to address this recommendation will occur as part of the End-to-End Project Management initiative.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities/Milestones	Completion Date
Short Term AM and PM team initiatives	Dec 2009
Update process documentation	Oct 2010

Recommendation IX-2

Reconcile the differences between planned work identified in the Resource Allocation Committee's reports and expenditures proposed in the January 2009 Transmission and Distribution Capital Investment Plan.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	Pat Hogan, Senior Vice President Distribution Asset Management Paul Renaud, VP Transmission Asset Management

Implementation of Recommendation

The Company provided a reconciliation of the information in May 27, 2010 Update 1 report.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities/Milestones	Completion Date
Provide reconciliation files	May 2010

Measures of Success

The PSC Staff and NorthStar agree the January 2009 CIP and the November TRAC files have been reconciled.

Recommendation IX-3

Revise capital investment levels for projects and programs planned as part of the NMPC Transmission and Distribution Capital Investment Plan filed in January 2009 and obtain the necessary commitment for the funds required by NMPC.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	Pat Hogan, Senior Vice President Distribution Asset Management Paul Renaud, VP Transmission Asset Management

Implementation of Recommendation

The Company filed a revised Capital Investment Plan on January 29, 2010 which encompassed this review.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities/Milestones	Completion Date
Develop Capital Investment Plan	Jan 2010
File CIP and Rate Case	Jan 2010
Conclude Rate Case	Jan 2011

H. Work Management

Recommendation X-2

Deliver preliminary annual work plans, especially for mandatory projects, to the construction work forces 90 days prior to the start of the fiscal year so that materials can be ordered and staffing/resource schedules prepared in a timely manner.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	Thomas Bennett, Director Resource Planning

Implementation of Recommendation

Construction work plans for FY12 were rolled-out to construction work forces in the New York East, Central, and West Divisions in early/mid December 2010 in accordance with the management audit recommendation timeline and process.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities/Milestones	Completion Date
Short Term Asset Management, Program Management and Project Management team initiatives (refine process)	Apr 2010
System Upgrade (Primavera P6)	Jul 2010
System Upgrade (US Cost)	Mar 2010
System Upgrade (PPM)	Jun 2010
Update process documentation	Dec 2010

Recommendation X-3

Eliminate the remaining in-house tree trimmer positions.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	William J. Akley, SVP Maintenance & Construction
Team Lead	Jerry Convery, Director Vegetation Management & Inspections

Implementation of Recommendation

In accordance with National Grid's Transformation initiative and consistent with the PSC Management Audit recommendation, all internal tree trimming activities have successfully been transitioned to contractors through our Strategic Contracting Model. Additionally, all internal tree trimming equipment (i.e., Forestry aerial lift and associated equipment) has been removed from service. This transition was completed on April 1, 2011.

With regard to personnel, since the Company's last Implementation Plan Update 3 provided to the Commission on January 31, 2011, six (6) additional tree trimmers have retired effective March 31, 2011 and one (1) has been placed into a new role within the organization. The remaining ten (10) tree trimmers in the Forestry group have transitioned to other support type roles including capital project support and customer requests. These 10 individuals are still subject to placement in accordance with the labor contract security clause, and will be placed in appropriate roles as individual positions become available.

Recommendation X-5

Review the practicality of the new storm response plans to ensure that NMPC ratepayers will be provided with timely and qualified services in the event of a storm emergency.

Implementation Plan Leads

Executive Sponsor	Ellen Smith, Chief Operating Officer
Team Lead	Jackie Christian, Director, Emergency Planning PMO

Implementation of Recommendation

Network Strategy designers and T&D Services employees have been assigned to new storm roles and have been trained. Designers supplement the work of operations supervisors in overseeing the response of line crews during storms. T&D Services' employees assist in operating the Outage Management System (PowerOn/PORD) and provide general office support. As personnel were trained, the Storm Emergency Assignment Listing ("SEAL") database was updated to reflect revised assignments and training dates. Examples of program success include the successful deployments of T&D Services' employees to remote divisions in the Central region in response to a snow storm in December 2009, and of Designers to Long Island in March, 2010 to assist in supervising line crews in response to a major rain and wind storm.

Major Activities and Schedule

Major Activities/Milestones	Completion Date
Identify and train centralized clerical staff to deploy to remote "impacted" areas to perform "storm board" functions.	Jan 2010
Identify and train Design Investigators and Supervisors remaining in the divisions to perform "storm board" functions	Mar 2010

Before the New York State Public Service Commission
NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION d/b/a NATIONAL GRID
Corrections and Updates Testimony
of the
Shared Services and Customer Panel

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Shared Services and Customer Panel

1 **Q. Are you the same Shared Services and Customer Panel, consisting of**
2 **Rudolph L. Wynter, Jr., Evelyn Kaye, and Edward H. White, Jr., who**
3 **testified previously in this proceeding?**

4 A. Yes. The Panel submitted direct testimony as part of the Company's April
5 27, 2012 filing.

7 **Q. What is the purpose of the Panel's corrections and updates testimony?**

8 A. The purpose of this testimony is to make corrections to the Panel's Exhibit
9 __ (SSCP-10), to update the direct testimony and exhibits to provide
10 updated uncollectibles expense and to provide an updated list of job
11 vacancies in the Customer organization. The Panel sponsors the following
12 exhibits, which were prepared under its direction and supervision:

- 13 • Exhibit __ (SSCP-10CU) - Proposed Changes to Customer Service-
14 Related Metrics
- 15 • Exhibit __ (SSCP-16CU) - Job Vacancies in the Customer
16 Organization
- 17 • Exhibit __ (SSCP-18CU) – Company's response to Information
18 Request DPS-13 (GRW-1)

19 The Company started with the exhibits submitted on April 27, 2012 to
20 prepare the corrected and/or updated exhibits. The Company has only
21 included exhibits where corrections and/or updates were made.

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Shared Services and Customer Panel

1 **Q. Please describe the corrections to the Panel’s direct testimony.**

2 A. The Panel has corrections for the tables on pages 3 and 4 of Exhibit __
3 (SSCP-10) where the proposed Small and Medium C&I Transaction
4 Satisfaction Index threshold and minimum negative revenue adjustments
5 are presented. The proposed change in the Small and Medium C&I
6 Transaction Satisfaction Index minimum threshold from 69.5 to 75.1 is
7 described correctly in the Panel’s direct testimony, but is not correctly
8 reflected in Exhibit __ (SSCP-10). Nor does the exhibit reflect the
9 corresponding change in the maximum threshold from 65.5 to 71.1.
10 Exhibit __ (SSCP-10) also does not reflect the Company’s proposal to
11 keep the total minimum negative revenue adjustment level unchanged
12 from the level in the 2010 Electric Rate Case and 2008 Gas Rate Case.
13 When added together, the minimum negative revenue adjustment levels in
14 Exhibit __ (SSCP-10) equal \$2,700,000. However, the minimum negative
15 revenue adjustment levels from the prior cases add up to \$2,850,000. To
16 maintain the existing levels, the minimum negative revenue adjustments
17 shown on the exhibit have been changed from \$1,080,000 to \$1,117,500
18 for the complaints per 100,000 customers metric, and from \$540,000 to
19 \$577,500 for the remaining three customer service quality metrics. This
20 makes the total minimum negative revenue adjustments equal to
21 \$2,850,000, the sum from the prior cases, as described in the Panel’s direct

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Shared Services and Customer Panel

1 testimony. Starting with the tables in Exhibit __ (SSCP-10) of the Panel's
2 April 27, 2012 testimony, the Company revised the threshold values and
3 the minimum negative revenue adjustments on pages 3 and 4 of the exhibit
4 and relabeled it as Exhibit __ (SSCP-10CU).

5
6 **Q. Please describe the updates to the Panel's direct testimony.**

7 A. The Panel has the following updates to its direct testimony and exhibits:

- 8 • The net write off forecast for the Rate Year shown on p. 28, line 17 of the
9 direct testimony has been updated from \$29.7 million to \$37.9 million
10 for electric and from \$13.8 million to \$18.5 million for gas. The
11 Company has also included updated net write off forecast information for
12 Data Years One and Two in Exhibit __ (RRP-3CU), Schedule 44, page 5
13 of 5. These amounts were calculated by applying the adjusted forecast
14 write off rates found in the monthly updated response to Information
15 Request DPS-13 (GRW-1), which includes Attachment 1 GRW-1 (Jun12
16 updt) [through May 31, 2012] on page 1 for electric -- and Attachment 2
17 GRW-1 (Jun12 updt) [through May 31, 2012] on page 1 for gas. As of
18 May 31, 2012, based on the most recently available data, the rolling 12-
19 month uncollectible rates have been updated from 1.271 percent to
20 1.6116 percent for electric and from 2.297 percent to 3.0483 percent for
21 gas.

Corrections and Updates Testimony of Shared Services and Customer Panel

1 • On page 79, line 9 of the Panel's direct testimony, the number of job
2 vacancies in the customer organization should be changed from 24 to 21.
3 This change will affect the listing of jobs presented in Exhibit __ (SSCP-
4 16). The table in Exhibit __ (SSCP-16) has been updated to remove the
5 three job vacancies described above and relabeled Exhibit __ (SSCP-
6 16CU). The three jobs that were eliminated are: (1) Business Partner,
7 Customer & Product Experience, New Products & Services, (2) Analyst,
8 LIPA Evaluation, and (3) Representative, Inside Sales, Gas, Small
9 Medium Business Gas Sales. The Business Partner position was
10 eliminated when accountabilities for the Business Partner role were
11 shifted to the existing Analyst role in the Customer & Product
12 Experience group. The LIPA Analyst role was moved from LIPA
13 Planning, Evaluation & Support to the LIPA Business group based upon
14 business need and workload. The Inside Sales, Gas, Small Medium
15 Business Gas Sales was moved to Gas Sales Support based upon
16 workload and a look at how the organization and resources aligned.

17
18 **Q. Does this conclude the Panel's corrections and updates testimony?**

19 **A. Yes it does.**

List of Exhibits

Exhibit __ (SSCP-10CU)	Proposed Changes to Customer Service-Related Metrics
Exhibit __ (SSCP-16CU)	Job Vacancies in the Customer Organization
Exhibit __ (SSCP-18CU)	Response to Information Request DPS-13 (GRW-1)

Exhibit __ (SSCP-10CU)

Proposed Changes to Customer Service-Related Metrics

**Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
Current vs. Proposed
Customer Service Quality Metrics**

Current Metrics

I. Complaints Per 100,000 Customers

For the electric business, the measure is as follows:

Rate Interval (Complaints per 100,000 customers)	Negative Revenue Adjustment Linear Within Ranges
<1.5	\$0
=1.5	\$880,000
>1.5 – 2.5	\$880,000 to \$6,080,000
>2.5	\$6,080,000

For the gas business, the measure is as follows:

Rate Interval (Complaints per 100,000 customers)	Negative Revenue Adjustment Linear Within Ranges
<3.0	\$0
=3.0	\$200,000
>3.0 – 5.0	\$200,000 to \$1,600,000
>5.0	\$1,600,000

II. Residential Transaction Satisfaction Index

Residential Transaction Satisfaction Index Interval	Negative Revenue Adjustment Linear Within Ranges
>82.0	\$0
=82.0	\$540,000
<82.0 – 78.0	\$540,000 to \$3,840,000
<78.0	\$3,840,000

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
Current vs. Proposed
Customer Service Quality Metrics

Current Metrics (cont't)

III. Small and Medium C&I Transaction Satisfaction Index

C&I Transaction Satisfaction Index Interval	Negative Revenue Adjustment Linear Within Ranges
>69.5	\$0
=69.5	\$540,000
<69.5 to 65.5	\$540,000 to \$3,840,000
<65.5	\$3,840,000

IV. Percent of Meters Read

Percent Meters Read	Negative Revenue Adjustment Linear Within Ranges
>96.0	\$0
=96.0	\$50,000
<96.0 – 95.0	\$50,000 to \$400,000
<95.0	\$400,000

V. Percent of Calls Answered within 30 Seconds

% Calls Answered Within 30 Seconds	Negative Revenue Adjustment Linear Within Ranges
>78.0	\$0
=78.0	\$540,000
<78.0 – 72.0	\$540,000 to \$3,840,000
<72.0	\$3,840,000

VI. AffordAbility Program measures:

Annual Enrollment (No. of Customers)	Negative Revenue Adjustment Linear Within Ranges
>3,591	\$0
=3,591	\$100,000
3,591 – 3,402	\$100,000 to \$200,000
<3,402	\$200,000

**Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
Current vs. Proposed
Customer Service Quality Metrics**

Proposed Metrics

I. Complaints Per 100,000 Customers

The measure for all customers will be as follows:

Rate Interval (Complaints per 100,000 customers)	Negative Revenue Adjustment Linear Within Ranges
<1.5	\$0
=1.5	\$1,117,500
>1.5 – 2.5	\$1,117,500 to \$7,830,000
>2.5	\$7,830,000

II. Residential Transaction Satisfaction Index - unchanged

Residential Transaction Satisfaction Index Interval	Negative Revenue Adjustment Linear Within Ranges
>82.0	\$0
=82.0	\$577,500
<82.0 – 78.0	\$577,500 to \$3,990,000
<78.0	\$3,990,000

III. Small and Medium C&I Transaction Satisfaction Index

C&I Transaction Satisfaction Index Interval	Negative Revenue Adjustment Linear Within Ranges
>75.1	\$0
=75.1	\$577,500
<75.1 to 71.1	\$577,500 to \$3,990,000
<71.1	\$3,990,000

IV. Percent of Meters Read – eliminated

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
Current vs. Proposed
Customer Service Quality Metrics

Proposed Metrics (cont't)

V. Percent of Calls Answered within 30 Seconds - unchanged

% Calls Answered Within 30 Seconds	Negative Revenue Adjustment Linear Within Ranges
>78.0	\$0
=78.0	\$577,500
<78.0 – 72.0	\$577,500 to \$3,990,000
<72.0	\$3,990,000

VI. AffordAbility Program measures - eliminated

Exhibit __ (SSCP-16CU)

Job Vacancies in the Customer Organization

The following 21 Customer organization positions were created during the Company's restructuring. Jobs filled determined as of June 26, 2012.

Title	Role	Filled	Reports to
Sales Support Representative, Sales Support, Albany	Helps National Grid expand its gas availability to more customers by proactively reaching out to and assisting new gas customers through the gas expansion and conversion process.	Filled	Manager of Sales Support
Sales Processing Assoc Analyst, Sales Support, Albany	Responsible for processing energy efficiency applications, for both gas and electric. This position will follow up with customers and vendors to collect appropriate documentation and track jobs to completion to ensure the customers receive their energy efficiency incentives in a timely manner. Directing application data entry from the sales personnel to an analyst will allow National Grid's Field Sales Representatives to spend more time in the field, working directly with commercial customers to identify potential energy efficiency projects.	Filled	Manager of Sales Support
Program Manager, Market Strategy, Energy Efficiency Strategy	Seeks to integrate the next generation of energy efficiency opportunities, including enhanced products, energy management, and program delivery platform, to increase savings for customers through energy efficiency.	Filled	Director of Market Strategy
Program Manager, Market Strategy, Customer Technology & Sustainability	Seeks to gather customer insights and intelligence regarding emerging energy technology to formulate a customer product and service platform that delivers the most efficient, safe, and reliable energy service available to customers.	Filled	Director of Market Strategy

Title	Role	Filled	Reports to
Representative, Account Development, Large Commercial Sales, Upstate New York	Seeks to develop and manage ongoing relationships with large customers and other stakeholders to identify and deliver gas growth opportunities as well as gas and electric energy efficiency efforts.	Filled	Vice President of Sales
Technical Support Consultant, Technical Sales & Engineering Support, New England	Is the distributed generation applicants' primary point of contact with the Company through the unit's interconnection process. The Technical Support Consultant also helps distributed generators interconnect to the distribution system by answering a variety of customer inquiries, such as but not limited to, tariff requirements, company standards and policies, timeframes, capacity availability and net metering.	Filled	Manager of Technical Sales & Engineering Support
Director, Alliance / Vendor Strategy	Helps devise strategic alliances and vendor strategy. The alliance strategy team will help engage customers and communities more deeply through efforts such as BNMC. The position is also responsible for seeking to leverage strategic alliances with third-party channel partners or vendors across jurisdictions for the benefit of customers in all jurisdictions.	Filled	Vice President of Customer and Business Strategy
Quantitative Analyst, Analytics, Modeling & Energy Forecasting	Works with mathematicians and statisticians to develop detailed gas and electric forecasts, and quantitative models and to optimize business processes to create value for customers and provide safe, reliable energy service.	Not Filled	Director, Analytics, Modeling & Energy Forecasting
Representative, Inside Sales, Gas, Small Medium Business Gas Sales	Responsible for working with builders, plumbers and customers on facilitating the use of natural gas in new projects by performing a flow analysis to determine if gas pressure is adequate for new customers and to understand the potential impact of the new service on existing accounts.	Filled	Manager of Gas Sales Support

Title	Role	Filled	Reports to
Sales Support Representative, Gas Sales Support, New England	Helps the Company to expand its gas availability to more customers by pro-actively reaching out to and assisting new gas customers through the gas expansion and conversion process. These positions also provide support to plumbers, builders, contractors and developers by identifying energy efficiency opportunities and supporting them through the service request process.	Filled	Manager of Gas Sales Support, NE
Sales Support Representative, Gas Sales Support, New England	Helps The Company to expand its gas availability to more customers by pro-actively reaching out to and assisting new gas customers through the gas expansion and conversion process. These positions also provide support to plumbers, builders, contractors and developers by identifying energy efficiency opportunities and supporting them through the service request process.	Filled	Manager of Gas Sales Support, NE
Gas Scheduler, Gas Scheduling	Performs gas scheduling functions including nominations and capacity release on the interstate pipelines that provide firm gas transportation and storage services to gas customers. These nomination and capacity release functions are crucial to providing firm gas supplies for National Grid' gas customers, facilitating National Grid's Retail Choice Programs and optimizing surplus interstate pipeline and underground storage capacity.	Filled	Manager of Gas Scheduling
Financial / Multi Commodity Trader, Origination & Price Volatility Mgmt	Manage and execute financial transactions that mitigate volatility in the customers' gas and electric commodity and supply costs.	Not Filled	Director of Origination & Price volatility Management

Title	Role	Filled	Reports to
Electric Trader, Wholesale Electric Supply, New England	Performs energy financial trading to meet the commodity and supply service needs of the customer. The financial multi-commodity trader is responsible to help manage and execute financial transactions that mitigate volatility in the customer's gas and electric commodity and supply costs. This position is cross-trained with the Trader, Financial Multi Commodity above.	Filled	Director of Wholesale Electric Supply
Energy Efficiency Program Strategy Analyst, Program Strategy, LIPA, C&I	Supports strategies to meet customer expectations and regulatory objectives for C&I energy efficiency and comprehensive sustainable solutions for customers.	Not Filled	Director, Program Strategy
Analyst, LIPA Business, Project Management	Provides project management services for small/medium projects within the Long Island Power Authority ("LIPA") Commercial Efficiency Program, thus helping commercial customers reduce energy usage and save on their energy bills.	Filled	Manager of LIPA Business
Analyst, LIPA Residential	Implements residential energy efficiency incentive programs for LIPA customers.	Not Filled	Director of Program Strategy, LIPA
Vendor Specialist, Customer Communications, Commercial	Works with our customer-facing energy trade allies, contractors and vendors to deliver energy efficiency programs and to help our customers identify energy efficiency and energy management opportunities available from National Grid. The work may include site visits for energy audits or contract work and installation.	Filled	Director of Customer Communications
Manager, Customer Communications, Internet Experience	Manage the development of new channels of communication such as mobile enablement, two-way text messaging, streamlining the web, and improving customer experience on the website.	Not Filled	Director of Customer Communications

Title	Role	Filled	Reports to
Sr. Specialist- Graphic Designer- Communications Development & Production	The owner and gatekeeper of communications, including design and content, for National Grid's communication with customers. Specifically, this position is responsible for the customer newsletter inserted in bills, letters to customers, social media and mandated and non-mandated communications, such as gas and electric safety.	Filled	Manager of Communications Development & Production
Program Manager, Customer Insights	Analyzing both internal and external customer data to develop customer insights and resulting areas for business improvement. These insights will be used to help drive improvement in customer satisfaction and the overall customer experience.	Filled	Manager, Customer Insights & Targeting

Exhibit __ (SSCP-18CU)

Response to Information Request DPS-13 (GRW-1)

Date of Request: May 9, 2012
Due Date: June 21, 2012

Request No. DPS-13(GRW-1)
NMPC Req. No. NM 13

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION

Case No. 12-E-0201 and 12-G-0202 - Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
d/b/a National Grid - Electric and Gas Rates

Request for Information

FROM: Gerald Wojcinski

TO: Shared Services and Customer Panel (SSCP)

SUBJECT: Uncollectibles

Request: Testimony of SSCP

1. Please refer to Exhibits __ (SSCP-4) and (SSCP-5), both exhibits includes two pages. Beginning with January 2012, provide for every month to present and continue to update for every month thereafter, the latest 12-months-to-date information in a similar format for both exhibits and both pages in each.
2. Page 22 of the SSCP discusses the "Transfer-to-Active Project" that reinstated previously written –off accounts and transferred the unpaid balance to active account. During the period 2010 through 2011 what was the total increase in recoveries from this project? Also include the per month recoveries.
3. Page 22 SSCP also discusses, how the project significantly increased recoveries and reduced write-offs, however the testimony does not address the impacts to future periods from writing off these amounts again. Please quantify the impact to net-write offs and the impact to the uncollectible rate if the Transfer-to-Active Project was not implemented. Such impacts should be in the format as shown on exhibits SSCP-4 and SSCP-5 for both pages in each exhibit, beginning with January 2012, for every month to present and continue to update for every month thereafter, the latest 12-months-to-date information.

Response:

1. Please see Attachment 1 GRW-1 (Jun12 updt) for electric and Attachment 2 GRW-1 (Jun12 updt) for gas. [Note that the uncollectible 12-month rates on the 2nd page of each attachment will not differ from the 1st page for months after January 31, 2012. The 12 month period ending February 29, 2012 is after the completion of the manual phase of the Transfer to Active project that ran from November 2010 through February, 2011.]

3. Please see Attachment 4 GRW-1 (Jun12 updt) for electric and Attachment 5 GRW-1 (Jun12 updt) for gas. The 2nd page of both attachments indicates the impacts of removing both the manual phase of the transfer to active project that occurred from Nov'10 through Mar'11 and the manual test phase of this project (alluded to in the response to # 2 of GRW-1 submitted May 21) that occurred from mid-Feb'10 through mid-Apr'10. Furthermore, as indicated in the May 21 response to #2, the Company has assumed the full increment of the reinstatements that occurred from Feb'10-Apr'10 over those that happened from Feb'09 – Apr'09 as being project related. (However, account level detail is not available for this time period. Assumptions based on a high-level study conducted on all reinstated customers were used to allocate these dollars from the 1st quarter of 2010.) The analysis is conservative in that it only focuses on the impacts to net write-off and does not consider the payments received on the newly established active accounts since these are not technically recoveries, but reductions to accounts receivable and arrears. Also, since the automated phase of the transfer to active project sets a new norm, the analysis focuses only on the manual implementation of this project.

Name of Respondent:

Paul S. Leo

Date of Reply:

June 18, 2012

UNCOLLECTIBLE RATE CALCULATION

ELECTRIC													
Rolling 12-Mo Ending													
(\$000's)													
31-Jan-09	28-Feb-09	31-Mar-09	30-Apr-09	31-May-09	30-Jun-09	31-Jul-09	30-Sep-09	31-Oct-09	30-Nov-09	31-Dec-09	31-Jan-10	28-Feb-10	31-Mar-10
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 45,164.9	\$ 46,635.5	\$ 47,788.6	\$ 49,170.7	\$ 50,054.7	\$ 51,485.6	\$ 52,386.9	\$ 52,523.1	\$ 52,925.8	\$ 55,135.6	\$ 54,543.7	\$ 53,914.5	\$ 53,914.5
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 3,081,245.9	\$ 3,076,984.3	\$ 3,060,266.1	\$ 3,028,875.3	\$ 2,998,174.9	\$ 2,950,238.7	\$ 2,912,193.9	\$ 2,834,349.1	\$ 2,830,718.7	\$ 2,824,630.1	\$ 2,824,675.0	\$ 2,824,675.0	\$ 2,824,675.0
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 16,405.2	\$ 16,322.3	\$ 16,276.4	\$ 16,420.3	\$ 16,322.3	\$ 15,798.3	\$ 15,420.2	\$ 15,356.5	\$ 14,793.9	\$ 14,431.8	\$ 14,570.3	\$ 14,570.3	\$ 14,570.3
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 349,756.9	\$ 352,276.4	\$ 349,087.9	\$ 343,409.7	\$ 336,950.9	\$ 327,739.9	\$ 312,052.6	\$ 294,037.8	\$ 287,904.5	\$ 284,009.1	\$ 282,672.0	\$ 282,672.0	\$ 282,672.0
	\$ 3,447,408.0	\$ 3,445,583.0	\$ 3,425,630.5	\$ 3,388,705.3	\$ 3,351,368.5	\$ 3,293,776.8	\$ 3,239,986.7	\$ 3,159,145.6	\$ 3,137,197.5	\$ 3,130,800.1	\$ 3,123,071.0	\$ 3,121,917.2	\$ 3,121,917.2
Uncollectible Rate	1.3101%	1.3535%	1.3950%	1.4510%	1.4936%	1.5631%	1.6169%	1.6626%	1.6870%	1.7611%	1.7465%	1.7270%	1.7270%
31-Jan-10	28-Feb-10	31-Mar-10	30-Apr-10	31-May-10	30-Jun-10	31-Jul-10	30-Sep-10	31-Oct-10	30-Nov-10	31-Dec-10	31-Jan-11	28-Feb-11	31-Mar-11
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 52,443.1	\$ 51,334.4	\$ 49,235.9	\$ 47,039.7	\$ 45,545.5	\$ 45,365.0	\$ 45,554.5	\$ 44,243.0	\$ 43,707.3	\$ 40,072.4	\$ 37,123.7	\$ 35,712.6	\$ 35,712.6
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 2,837,570.5	\$ 2,844,630.0	\$ 2,875,640.5	\$ 2,901,351.0	\$ 2,918,745.7	\$ 2,952,145.3	\$ 3,006,347.7	\$ 3,066,735.2	\$ 3,083,228.4	\$ 3,097,873.9	\$ 3,107,702.2	\$ 3,116,097.8	\$ 3,116,097.8
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 14,345.3	\$ 14,280.1	\$ 14,373.4	\$ 14,068.0	\$ 14,095.5	\$ 14,283.0	\$ 14,564.7	\$ 14,333.6	\$ 14,844.2	\$ 15,153.3	\$ 15,463.7	\$ 15,519.1	\$ 15,519.1
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 285,682.9	\$ 286,552.7	\$ 290,639.6	\$ 292,910.3	\$ 296,946.4	\$ 304,162.6	\$ 317,773.4	\$ 332,474.1	\$ 339,667.1	\$ 342,470.2	\$ 342,481.7	\$ 344,580.4	\$ 344,580.4
	\$ 3,137,598.8	\$ 3,145,462.7	\$ 3,180,653.5	\$ 3,208,329.3	\$ 3,229,787.6	\$ 3,270,591.0	\$ 3,338,385.8	\$ 3,413,542.9	\$ 3,437,739.7	\$ 3,455,497.4	\$ 3,465,647.6	\$ 3,476,197.2	\$ 3,476,197.2
Uncollectible Rate	1.6714%	1.6320%	1.5480%	1.4662%	1.4102%	1.3871%	1.3586%	1.2961%	1.2714%	1.1597%	1.0712%	1.0273%	1.0273%
31-Jan-11	28-Feb-11	31-Mar-11	30-Apr-11	31-May-11	30-Jun-11	31-Jul-11	30-Sep-11	31-Oct-11	30-Nov-11	31-Dec-11	31-Jan-12	28-Feb-12	31-Mar-12
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 32,093.3	\$ 28,951.9	\$ 29,638.7	\$ 31,538.0	\$ 31,234.6	\$ 31,431.9	\$ 31,396.3	\$ 32,165.1	\$ 33,068.9	\$ 35,684.7	\$ 39,160.3	\$ 42,558.0	\$ 42,558.0
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 3,104,656.9	\$ 3,115,788.6	\$ 3,112,799.1	\$ 3,111,821.6	\$ 3,114,069.6	\$ 3,084,319.3	\$ 3,065,335.0	\$ 3,041,588.7	\$ 3,037,782.3	\$ 3,022,599.5	\$ 2,999,929.5	\$ 2,999,929.5	\$ 2,999,929.5
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 15,638.4	\$ 15,709.2	\$ 15,970.8	\$ 16,055.3	\$ 16,030.0	\$ 16,045.9	\$ 16,078.1	\$ 16,191.1	\$ 16,141.0	\$ 16,068.9	\$ 15,691.5	\$ 15,691.5	\$ 15,691.5
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 343,719.4	\$ 346,643.5	\$ 347,173.7	\$ 349,747.0	\$ 349,326.4	\$ 347,008.1	\$ 340,695.6	\$ 338,437.5	\$ 334,773.4	\$ 334,347.6	\$ 335,533.8	\$ 333,065.6	\$ 333,065.6
	\$ 3,464,014.6	\$ 3,478,141.3	\$ 3,475,943.6	\$ 3,477,623.9	\$ 3,479,438.2	\$ 3,477,152.7	\$ 3,441,058.9	\$ 3,392,503.0	\$ 3,388,320.9	\$ 3,374,202.2	\$ 3,348,686.6	\$ 3,348,686.6	\$ 3,348,686.6
Uncollectible Rate	0.9265%	0.8324%	0.8527%	0.9069%	0.8977%	0.9040%	0.9124%	0.9405%	0.9748%	1.0532%	1.1606%	1.2709%	1.2709%
3-Yr Aggregated Elec Uncol Rate:	1.2907%	1.2605%	1.2563%	1.2680%	1.2607%	1.2775%	1.2889%	1.2903%	1.3013%	1.3123%	1.3131%	1.3289%	1.3289%
31-Jan-12	28-Feb-12	31-Mar-12	30-Apr-12	31-May-12	30-Jun-12	31-Jul-12	30-Sep-12	31-Oct-12	30-Nov-12	31-Dec-12	31-Jan-13	28-Feb-13	31-Mar-13
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 46,139.6	\$ 48,965.5	\$ 48,737.5	\$ 47,083.4	\$ 48,238.7	\$ 48,238.7	\$ 48,238.7	\$ 48,238.7	\$ 48,238.7	\$ 48,238.7	\$ 48,238.7	\$ 48,238.7	\$ 48,238.7
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 2,946,068.8	\$ 2,870,173.6	\$ 2,800,982.2	\$ 2,726,282.2	\$ 2,672,835.3	\$ 2,672,835.3	\$ 2,672,835.3	\$ 2,672,835.3	\$ 2,672,835.3	\$ 2,672,835.3	\$ 2,672,835.3	\$ 2,672,835.3	\$ 2,672,835.3
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 15,435.3	\$ 15,009.2	\$ 14,680.6	\$ 14,390.7	\$ 14,242.4	\$ 14,242.4	\$ 14,242.4	\$ 14,242.4	\$ 14,242.4	\$ 14,242.4	\$ 14,242.4	\$ 14,242.4	\$ 14,242.4
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 326,292.2	\$ 318,635.6	\$ 314,396.4	\$ 308,724.3	\$ 306,157.6	\$ 306,157.6	\$ 306,157.6	\$ 306,157.6	\$ 306,157.6	\$ 306,157.6	\$ 306,157.6	\$ 306,157.6	\$ 306,157.6
	\$ 3,287,796.3	\$ 3,203,818.4	\$ 3,130,059.2	\$ 3,049,397.2	\$ 2,993,235.4	\$ 2,993,235.4	\$ 2,993,235.4	\$ 2,993,235.4	\$ 2,993,235.4	\$ 2,993,235.4	\$ 2,993,235.4	\$ 2,993,235.4	\$ 2,993,235.4
Uncollectible Rate	1.4034%	1.5283%	1.5571%	1.5440%	1.6116%	1.6116%	1.6116%	1.6116%	1.6116%	1.6116%	1.6116%	1.6116%	1.6116%
3-Yr Aggregated Elec Uncol Rate:	1.3214%	1.3152%	1.3039%	1.2908%	1.2885%	1.2885%	1.2885%	1.2885%	1.2885%	1.2885%	1.2885%	1.2885%	1.2885%

(1) Beginning Jan'11, the electric-gas net write-off allocation was changed from 72%-28% to 69%-31% per the outcome of the NIMO electric rate case 10-E-0050.
(2) For the month of Nov'11, the electric gas net write-off allocation was changed from 69%-31% to 70%-30% per the outcome of the new annual assessment completed after the Oct'11.
(3) LICAP Arrears forgiveness is imbedded within the net write-off row and allocated between electric and gas as noted in (1) & (2) above.
(4) For the month of Sept '09, total electric & gas net write-off has been normalized by removing about \$4.1 million that had been inadvertently accelerated to write-off due to a system issue. These amounts would have been written off during Oct '09 and Nov '09.
(5) For the months of Oct&Nov '09, total electric & gas net write-off has been increased by the allocated portion of the \$4.1 million that had been inadvertently accelerated in Sept'09 due to a system issue.
Also, written off during Oct '09 was another \$1.5 accelerated amount that should have gone to Nov '09. Both Oct'09 & Nov'09 have been normalized by the additional figure.

ELECTRIC UNCOLLECTIBLE RATE ADJUSTED FOR IMPACTS OF THE MANUAL PHASE OF THE TRANSFER TO ACTIVE PROJECT												
Rolling 12-Mo Ending (\$000's)												
	31-Jan-09	28-Feb-09	31-Mar-09	30-Apr-09	31-May-09	30-Jun-09	31-Jul-09	31-Aug-09	30-Sep-09	31-Oct-09	30-Nov-09	31-Dec-09
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 45,164.9	\$ 46,635.5	\$ 47,788.6	\$ 49,170.7	\$ 50,054.7	\$ 51,485.6	\$ 52,386.9	\$ 52,523.1	\$ 52,925.8	\$ 55,135.6	\$ 54,543.7	\$ 53,914.5
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 3,081,245.9	\$ 3,076,984.3	\$ 3,060,266.1	\$ 3,028,875.3	\$ 2,998,174.9	\$ 2,950,238.7	\$ 2,912,193.9	\$ 2,849,751.4	\$ 2,834,349.1	\$ 2,830,718.7	\$ 2,824,630.1	\$ 2,824,675.0
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 16,405.2	\$ 16,322.3	\$ 16,276.4	\$ 16,420.3	\$ 16,242.7	\$ 15,798.3	\$ 15,740.2	\$ 15,356.5	\$ 14,943.8	\$ 14,793.9	\$ 14,431.8	\$ 14,570.3
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 349,756.9	\$ 352,276.4	\$ 349,087.9	\$ 343,409.7	\$ 336,950.9	\$ 327,739.9	\$ 312,052.6	\$ 294,037.8	\$ 287,904.5	\$ 285,287.5	\$ 284,009.1	\$ 282,672.0
	\$ 3,447,408.0	\$ 3,445,583.0	\$ 3,425,630.5	\$ 3,388,705.3	\$ 3,351,368.5	\$ 3,293,776.8	\$ 3,239,986.7	\$ 3,159,145.6	\$ 3,137,197.5	\$ 3,130,800.1	\$ 3,123,071.0	\$ 3,121,917.2
Uncollectible Rate	1.3101%	1.3535%	1.3950%	1.4510%	1.4936%	1.5631%	1.6169%	1.6626%	1.6870%	1.7611%	1.7465%	1.7270%
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 52,443.1	\$ 51,334.4	\$ 49,235.9	\$ 47,039.7	\$ 45,545.5	\$ 45,365.0	\$ 45,354.5	\$ 44,243.0	\$ 43,707.3	\$ 40,072.4	\$ 37,743.5	\$ 38,169.8
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 2,837,570.5	\$ 2,844,630.0	\$ 2,875,640.5	\$ 2,901,351.0	\$ 2,918,745.7	\$ 2,952,145.3	\$ 3,006,347.7	\$ 3,066,735.2	\$ 3,083,228.4	\$ 3,107,702.2	\$ 3,116,097.8	
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 14,345.3	\$ 14,280.1	\$ 14,373.4	\$ 14,068.0	\$ 14,095.5	\$ 14,283.0	\$ 14,564.7	\$ 14,333.6	\$ 14,844.2	\$ 15,153.3	\$ 15,463.7	\$ 15,519.1
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 285,682.9	\$ 286,552.7	\$ 290,639.6	\$ 292,910.3	\$ 296,946.4	\$ 304,162.6	\$ 317,773.4	\$ 332,474.1	\$ 339,667.1	\$ 342,470.2	\$ 342,481.7	\$ 344,580.4
	\$ 3,137,596.8	\$ 3,145,462.7	\$ 3,180,633.5	\$ 3,208,329.3	\$ 3,229,787.6	\$ 3,270,591.0	\$ 3,338,585.8	\$ 3,413,542.9	\$ 3,437,739.7	\$ 3,455,497.4	\$ 3,465,647.6	\$ 3,476,197.2
Uncollectible Rate	1.6714%	1.6320%	1.5480%	1.4662%	1.4102%	1.3871%	1.3586%	1.2961%	1.2714%	1.1597%	1.0891%	1.0980%
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 36,601.0	\$ 35,232.7	\$ 35,919.5	\$ 37,818.8	\$ 37,515.4	\$ 37,712.7	\$ 37,677.1	\$ 38,445.9	\$ 39,348.7	\$ 41,965.5	\$ 44,821.3	\$ 46,381.5
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 3,104,656.9	\$ 3,115,788.6	\$ 3,112,799.1	\$ 3,111,821.6	\$ 3,114,081.8	\$ 3,114,069.6	\$ 3,084,319.3	\$ 3,065,335.0	\$ 3,041,588.7	\$ 3,037,782.3	\$ 3,022,599.5	\$ 2,999,929.5
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 15,638.4	\$ 15,709.2	\$ 15,970.8	\$ 16,055.3	\$ 16,030.0	\$ 16,075.0	\$ 16,043.9	\$ 16,078.1	\$ 16,141.0	\$ 16,191.1	\$ 16,068.9	\$ 15,691.5
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 343,719.4	\$ 346,643.5	\$ 347,173.7	\$ 349,747.0	\$ 349,326.4	\$ 347,008.1	\$ 340,695.6	\$ 338,437.5	\$ 334,773.4	\$ 334,347.6	\$ 335,533.8	\$ 333,065.6
	\$ 3,464,014.6	\$ 3,478,141.3	\$ 3,475,943.6	\$ 3,477,623.9	\$ 3,479,438.2	\$ 3,477,152.7	\$ 3,441,058.9	\$ 3,419,850.6	\$ 3,392,503.0	\$ 3,388,320.9	\$ 3,374,202.2	\$ 3,348,686.6
Uncollectible Rate	1.0566%	1.0130%	1.0334%	1.0875%	1.0782%	1.0846%	1.0949%	1.1242%	1.1599%	1.2385%	1.3284%	1.3851%
3-Yr Aggregated Elec Uncoll Rate:	1.3355%	1.3229%	1.3186%	1.3304%	1.3231%	1.3401%	1.3516%	1.3531%	1.3643%	1.3752%	1.3762%	1.3921%
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 47,912.8	\$ 48,965.5	\$ 48,737.5	\$ 47,083.4	\$ 48,238.7							
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 2,946,068.8	\$ 2,870,173.6	\$ 2,800,982.2	\$ 2,726,282.2	\$ 2,672,835.3							
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 15,435.3	\$ 15,009.2	\$ 14,680.6	\$ 14,390.7	\$ 14,242.4							
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 326,292.2	\$ 318,635.6	\$ 314,396.4	\$ 308,724.3	\$ 306,157.6							
	\$ 3,287,796.3	\$ 3,203,818.4	\$ 3,130,059.2	\$ 3,049,397.2	\$ 2,993,235.4							
Uncollectible Rate	1.4573%	1.5283%	1.5571%	1.5440%	1.6116%							
3-Yr Aggregated Elec Uncoll Rate:	1.3849%	1.3791%	1.3881%	1.3553%	1.3533%							

(6) The manual phase of the transfer to active project increased recoveries by a total of \$8,954,239 for both electric and gas for the months of Nov'10 through Feb'11. This decreased the net write-offs in the rolling 12-mo analysis for each of the 12-mo periods ending Nov'10 through Jan'12. The figures below have been updated following a more precise study of the data conducted in preparation for the response to GRW-1 #3. The total of \$8.9 million remains the same, however the Uncollectible Rates above have been adjusted slightly compared to pg 2 of Exhibit (SSCP-4) to accommodate this new cashflow.

The revenue months (not-rolling 12-mo's) were impacted by this project as follows:

(\$000's)				
30-Nov-10	31-Dec-10	31-Jan-11	28-Feb-11	Total
\$ 860.9	\$ 2,551.9	\$ 2,971.7	\$ 2,569.8	\$ 8,954.2
Elec	\$ 619.9	\$ 1,837.4	\$ 2,050.4	\$ 1,773.1
Gas	\$ 241.1	\$ 714.5	\$ 921.2	\$ 796.6
	\$ 860.9	\$ 2,551.9	\$ 2,971.7	\$ 2,569.8
				\$ 8,954.2

UNCOLLECTIBLE RATE CALCULATION

		GAS																							
		Rolling 12-Mo Ending (\$000's)																							
		31-Jan-09	28-Feb-09	31-Mar-09	30-Apr-09	31-May-09	30-Jun-09	31-Jul-09	31-Aug-09	30-Sep-09	31-Oct-09	30-Nov-09	31-Dec-09												
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$	17,564.1	\$	18,136.0	\$	18,584.5	\$	19,121.9	\$	19,465.7	\$	20,022.2	\$	20,372.7	\$	20,425.7	\$	20,582.3	\$	21,441.6	\$	21,211.4	\$	20,966.8	
	Total Tariff Revenue	\$	839,607.5	\$	848,499.4	\$	837,487.4	\$	819,734.1	\$	803,816.6	\$	785,426.2	\$	775,087.7	\$	767,827.2	\$	763,533.7	\$	763,769.7	\$	757,565.1	\$	730,782.2
	Late Payment Revenue	\$	3,712.2	\$	3,801.7	\$	3,859.5	\$	3,951.0	\$	3,993.1	\$	3,820.9	\$	3,796.6	\$	3,707.3	\$	3,637.4	\$	3,594.9	\$	3,537.0	\$	3,542.1
	POR Receivable Revenue	\$	159,256.8	\$	168,279.2	\$	169,933.9	\$	168,444.5	\$	166,677.3	\$	162,960.1	\$	160,654.9	\$	158,229.2	\$	156,282.9	\$	150,195.2	\$	146,768.9	\$	139,006.2
		\$	1,002,576.5	\$	1,020,580.2	\$	1,011,280.7	\$	992,129.6	\$	974,387.0	\$	952,207.2	\$	939,539.2	\$	929,763.8	\$	923,454.0	\$	917,559.8	\$	907,871.0	\$	873,330.5
Uncollectible Rate		1.7519%	1.7770%	1.8377%	1.9274%	1.9977%	2.1027%	2.1684%	2.1969%	2.2288%	2.3368%	2.3364%	2.4008%												
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$	20,394.5	\$	19,963.4	\$	19,147.3	\$	18,293.2	\$	17,712.2	\$	17,641.9	\$	17,637.9	\$	17,205.6	\$	16,997.3	\$	15,583.7	\$	14,437.0	\$	13,888.2	
	Total Tariff Revenue	\$	703,008.1	\$	681,183.9	\$	666,195.7	\$	649,333.3	\$	648,898.4	\$	652,224.5	\$	654,378.5	\$	656,263.1	\$	658,641.9	\$	657,928.5	\$	656,989.5	\$	664,496.1
	Late Payment Revenue	\$	3,405.9	\$	3,266.7	\$	3,247.8	\$	3,038.9	\$	2,972.1	\$	2,966.0	\$	2,917.5	\$	2,900.5	\$	2,934.8	\$	2,946.9	\$	2,949.9	\$	2,926.6
	POR Receivable Revenue	\$	130,365.0	\$	122,297.0	\$	117,496.2	\$	111,943.6	\$	111,393.1	\$	111,475.6	\$	111,945.9	\$	112,226.3	\$	112,491.6	\$	112,179.8	\$	112,043.2	\$	113,655.0
		\$	836,779.0	\$	806,747.6	\$	786,939.6	\$	764,315.8	\$	763,263.6	\$	766,666.1	\$	769,241.9	\$	771,389.9	\$	774,068.3	\$	773,055.2	\$	771,982.6	\$	781,077.7
Uncollectible Rate		2.4373%	2.4745%	2.4331%	2.3934%	2.3206%	2.3011%	2.2929%	2.2305%	2.1958%	2.0159%	1.8701%	1.7781%												
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	(1)	12,526.2	(1)	11,234.7	(1)	11,620.8	(1)	12,510.2	(1)	12,486.8	(1)	12,822.5	(1)	13,068.0	(1)	13,726.7	(1)	14,458.9	(1)	15,849.1	(1)	17,407.4	(2)	18,915.1	
	Total Tariff Revenue	\$	662,135.8	\$	669,593.6	\$	682,623.5	\$	705,997.0	\$	717,768.4	\$	718,219.1	\$	717,707.3	\$	717,656.5	\$	717,386.3	\$	715,918.2	\$	712,758.1	\$	698,760.1
	Late Payment Revenue	\$	2,950.2	\$	2,955.0	\$	3,010.6	\$	3,082.7	\$	3,137.0	\$	3,200.0	\$	3,205.9	\$	3,238.0	\$	3,255.0	\$	3,272.7	\$	3,273.1	\$	3,217.6
	POR Receivable Revenue	\$	112,221.2	\$	113,807.1	\$	115,668.1	\$	121,290.9	\$	123,468.0	\$	123,768.5	\$	123,891.7	\$	123,992.2	\$	124,117.9	\$	124,090.0	\$	124,064.7	\$	121,455.3
		\$	777,307.3	\$	786,355.7	\$	801,302.2	\$	830,370.6	\$	844,373.4	\$	845,187.6	\$	844,804.9	\$	844,886.7	\$	844,759.2	\$	843,280.9	\$	840,095.9	\$	823,433.1
Uncollectible Rate		1.6115%	1.4287%	1.4502%	1.5066%	1.4788%	1.5171%	1.5469%	1.6247%	1.7116%	1.8795%	2.0721%	2.2971%												
3-Yr Aggregated Gas Uncoll Rate:		1.9294%	1.8875%	1.8985%	1.9300%	1.9235%	1.9690%	2.0003%	2.0172%	2.0469%	2.0867%	2.1054%	2.1700%												
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	(2)	20,434.6	(2)	21,669.6	(2)	21,531.1	(2)	20,770.5	(2)	21,233.2															
	Total Tariff Revenue	\$	675,677.5	\$	649,501.8	\$	621,971.1	\$	598,344.9	\$	593,600.5														
	Late Payment Revenue	\$	3,147.6	\$	3,031.1	\$	2,941.9	\$	2,850.7	\$	2,835.5														
	POR Receivable Revenue	\$	117,086.9	\$	112,235.3	\$	107,300.4	\$	101,174.6	\$	100,114.1														
		\$	795,912.1	\$	764,768.2	\$	732,213.4	\$	702,370.2	\$	696,550.1	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Uncollectible Rate		2.5674%	2.8335%	2.9405%	2.9572%	3.0483%																			
3-Yr Aggregated Gas Uncoll Rate:		2.2139%	2.2422%	2.2538%	2.2462%	2.2321%																			

- (1) Beginning Jan'11, the electric-gas net write-off allocation was changed from 72%-28% to 69%-31% per the outcome of the NIMO electric rate case 10-E-0050.
- (2) For the month of Sept '09, the electric gas net write-off allocation was changed from 69%-31% to 70%-30% per the outcome of the new annual assessment completed after the Oct'11.
- (3) LICAP Arrers forgiveness is imbedded within the net write-off row and allocated between electric and gas as noted in (1) & (2) above.
- (4) For the month of Sept '09, total electric & gas net write-off has been normalized by removing about \$4.1 million that had been inadvertently accelerated to write-off due to a system issue. These amounts would have been written off during Oct '09 and Nov '09.
- (5) For the months of Oct&Nov '09, total electric & gas net write-off has been increased by the allocated portion of the \$4.1 million that had been inadvertently accelerated in Sept'09 due to a system issue. Also, written off during Oct '09 was another \$1.5 accelerated amount that should have gone to Nov '09. Both Oct'09 & Nov'09 have been normalized by the additional figure.

Rolling 12-Mo Ending

3-Yr Aggregated Gas Uncoll Rate:	2.3248%	2.3556%	2.3690%	2.3616%	2.3481%					
----------------------------------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	--	--	--	--	--

The revenue months (not-rolling 12-mo's) were impacted by this project as follows:

	30-Nov-10	31-Dec-10	31-Jan-11	28-Feb-11	Total
\$	\$ 860.9	\$ 2,551.9	\$ 2,971.7	\$ 2,569.8	\$ 8,954.2
Electricity	\$ 619.9	\$ 1,837.4	\$ 2,050.4	\$ 1,773.1	\$ 6,280.8
Gas	\$ 241.1	\$ 714.5	\$ 921.2	\$ 796.6	\$ 2,673.4
	\$ 860.9	\$ 2,551.9	\$ 2,971.7	\$ 2,569.8	\$ 8,954.2

UNCOLLECTIBLE RATE CALCULATION

ELECTRIC													
Rolling 12-Mo Ending													
(\$000's)													
	31-Jan-09	28-Feb-09	31-Mar-09	30-Apr-09	31-May-09	30-Jun-09	31-Jul-09	31-Aug-09	30-Sep-09	31-Oct-09	30-Nov-09	31-Dec-09	
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 45,164.9	\$ 46,635.5	\$ 47,788.6	\$ 49,170.7	\$ 50,054.7	\$ 51,485.6	\$ 52,386.9	\$ 52,523.1	\$ 52,925.8	\$ 55,135.6	\$ 54,543.7	\$ 53,914.5	
									(4)	(5)	(5)		
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 3,081,245.9	\$ 3,076,984.3	\$ 3,060,266.1	\$ 3,028,875.3	\$ 2,998,174.9	\$ 2,950,238.7	\$ 2,912,193.9	\$ 2,849,751.4	\$ 2,834,349.1	\$ 2,830,718.7	\$ 2,824,630.1	\$ 2,824,675.0	
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 16,405.2	\$ 16,322.3	\$ 16,276.4	\$ 16,420.3	\$ 16,242.3	\$ 15,798.3	\$ 15,740.2	\$ 15,356.5	\$ 14,793.9	\$ 14,431.8	\$ 14,431.8	\$ 14,570.3	
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 349,756.9	\$ 352,276.4	\$ 349,087.9	\$ 343,409.7	\$ 336,950.9	\$ 327,739.9	\$ 312,052.6	\$ 294,037.8	\$ 287,904.5	\$ 285,287.5	\$ 284,009.1	\$ 282,672.0	
	\$ 3,447,408.0	\$ 3,445,583.0	\$ 3,425,630.5	\$ 3,388,705.3	\$ 3,351,368.5	\$ 3,293,776.8	\$ 3,239,986.7	\$ 3,159,145.6	\$ 3,137,197.5	\$ 3,130,800.1	\$ 3,123,071.0	\$ 3,121,917.2	
Uncollectible Rate	1.3101%	1.3535%	1.3950%	1.4510%	1.4936%	1.5631%	1.6169%	1.6626%	1.6870%	1.7611%	1.7465%	1.7270%	
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 52,443.1	\$ 51,334.4	\$ 49,235.9	\$ 47,039.7	\$ 45,545.5	\$ 45,365.0	\$ 45,554.5	\$ 44,243.0	\$ 43,707.3	\$ 40,072.4	\$ 37,123.7	\$ 35,712.6	
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 2,837,570.5	\$ 2,844,630.0	\$ 2,875,640.5	\$ 2,901,351.0	\$ 2,918,745.7	\$ 2,952,145.3	\$ 3,006,347.7	\$ 3,066,735.2	\$ 3,083,228.4	\$ 3,097,873.9	\$ 3,107,702.2	\$ 3,116,097.8	
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 14,345.3	\$ 14,280.1	\$ 14,373.4	\$ 14,068.0	\$ 14,095.5	\$ 14,283.0	\$ 14,564.7	\$ 14,333.6	\$ 14,844.2	\$ 15,153.3	\$ 15,463.7	\$ 15,519.1	
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 285,682.9	\$ 286,552.7	\$ 290,639.6	\$ 292,910.3	\$ 296,946.4	\$ 304,162.6	\$ 317,773.4	\$ 332,474.1	\$ 339,667.1	\$ 342,470.2	\$ 342,481.7	\$ 344,580.4	
	\$ 3,137,598.8	\$ 3,145,462.7	\$ 3,180,653.5	\$ 3,208,329.3	\$ 3,229,787.6	\$ 3,270,591.0	\$ 3,338,385.8	\$ 3,413,542.9	\$ 3,437,739.7	\$ 3,455,497.4	\$ 3,465,647.6	\$ 3,476,197.2	
Uncollectible Rate	1.6714%	1.6320%	1.5480%	1.4662%	1.4102%	1.3871%	1.3586%	1.2961%	1.2714%	1.1597%	1.0712%	1.0273%	
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 32,093.3	\$ 28,951.9	\$ 29,638.7	\$ 31,538.0	\$ 31,234.6	\$ 31,431.9	\$ 31,396.3	\$ 32,165.1	\$ 33,068.9	\$ 35,684.7	\$ 39,160.3	\$ 42,558.0	
	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(2)	
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 3,104,656.9	\$ 3,115,788.6	\$ 3,112,799.1	\$ 3,111,821.6	\$ 3,114,069.6	\$ 3,084,319.3	\$ 3,065,335.0	\$ 3,041,588.7	\$ 3,037,782.3	\$ 3,022,599.5	\$ 2,999,929.5		
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 15,638.4	\$ 15,709.2	\$ 16,055.3	\$ 16,030.0	\$ 16,075.0	\$ 16,043.9	\$ 16,078.1	\$ 16,191.1	\$ 16,141.0	\$ 16,068.9	\$ 15,691.5		
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 343,719.4	\$ 346,643.5	\$ 347,173.7	\$ 349,747.0	\$ 349,326.4	\$ 347,008.1	\$ 340,695.6	\$ 338,437.5	\$ 334,773.4	\$ 334,347.6	\$ 335,533.8	\$ 333,065.6	
	\$ 3,464,014.6	\$ 3,478,141.3	\$ 3,475,943.6	\$ 3,477,623.9	\$ 3,479,438.2	\$ 3,477,152.7	\$ 3,441,058.9	\$ 3,419,850.6	\$ 3,392,303.0	\$ 3,388,320.9	\$ 3,374,202.2	\$ 3,348,686.6	
Uncollectible Rate	0.9265%	0.8324%	0.8527%	0.9069%	0.8977%	0.9040%	0.9124%	0.9405%	0.9748%	1.0532%	1.1606%	1.2709%	
3-Yr Aggregated Elec Uncol Rate:	1.2907%	1.2605%	1.2563%	1.2680%	1.2607%	1.2775%	1.2889%	1.2903%	1.3013%	1.3123%	1.3131%	1.3289%	
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 46,139.6	\$ 48,965.5	\$ 48,737.5	\$ 47,083.4	\$ 48,238.7								
	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)								
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 2,946,068.8	\$ 2,870,173.6	\$ 2,800,982.2	\$ 2,726,282.2	\$ 2,672,835.3								
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 15,435.3	\$ 15,009.2	\$ 14,680.6	\$ 14,390.7	\$ 14,242.4								
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 326,292.2	\$ 318,635.6	\$ 314,396.4	\$ 308,724.3	\$ 306,157.6								
	\$ 3,287,796.3	\$ 3,203,818.4	\$ 3,130,059.2	\$ 3,049,397.2	\$ 2,993,235.4	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Uncollectible Rate	1.4034%	1.5283%	1.5571%	1.5440%	1.6116%								
3-Yr Aggregated Elec Uncol Rate:	1.3214%	1.3152%	1.3039%	1.2908%	1.2885%								

(1) Beginning Jan'11, the electric-gas net write-off allocation was changed from 72%-28% to 69%-31% per the outcome of the NIMO electric rate case 10-E-0050.

(2) For the month of Sept '09, the electric gas net write-off allocation was changed from 69%-31% to 70%-30% per the outcome of the new annual assessment completed after the Oct'11.

(3) LICAP Arrears forgiveness is imbedded within the net write-off row and allocated between electric and gas as noted in (1) & (2) above.

(4) For the month of Sept '09, total electric & gas net write-off has been normalized by removing about \$4.1 million that had been inadvertently accelerated to write-off due to a system issue. These amounts would have been written off during Oct '09 and Nov '09.

(5) For the months of Oct&Nov '09, total electric & gas net write-off has been increased by the allocated portion of the \$4.1 million that had been inadvertently accelerated in Sept'09 due to a system issue.

Also, written off during Oct '09 was another \$1.5 accelerated amount that should have gone to Nov '09. Both Oct'09 & Nov'09 have been normalized by the additional figure.

ELECTRIC UNCOLLECTIBLE RATE ADJUSTED FOR IMPACTS OF THE MANUAL PHASE OF THE TRANSFER TO ACTIVE PROJECT												
Rolling 12-Mo Ending (\$000's)												
	31-Jan-09	28-Feb-09	31-Mar-09	30-Apr-09	31-May-09	30-Jun-09	31-Jul-09	31-Aug-09	30-Sep-09	31-Oct-09	30-Nov-09	31-Dec-09
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 45,164.9	\$ 46,635.5	\$ 47,788.6	\$ 49,170.7	\$ 50,054.7	\$ 51,485.6	\$ 52,386.9	\$ 52,523.1	\$ 52,925.8	\$ 55,135.6	\$ 54,543.7	\$ 53,914.5
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 3,081,245.9	\$ 3,076,984.3	\$ 3,060,266.1	\$ 3,028,875.3	\$ 2,998,174.9	\$ 2,950,238.7	\$ 2,912,193.9	\$ 2,849,751.4	\$ 2,834,349.1	\$ 2,830,718.7	\$ 2,824,630.1	\$ 2,824,675.0
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 16,405.2	\$ 16,322.3	\$ 16,276.4	\$ 16,420.3	\$ 16,242.7	\$ 15,798.3	\$ 15,740.2	\$ 15,356.5	\$ 14,793.9	\$ 14,793.9	\$ 14,431.8	\$ 14,570.3
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 349,756.9	\$ 352,276.4	\$ 349,087.9	\$ 343,409.7	\$ 336,950.9	\$ 327,739.9	\$ 312,052.6	\$ 294,037.8	\$ 287,904.5	\$ 285,287.5	\$ 284,009.1	\$ 282,672.0
	\$ 3,447,408.0	\$ 3,445,583.0	\$ 3,425,630.5	\$ 3,388,705.3	\$ 3,351,368.5	\$ 3,293,776.8	\$ 3,239,986.7	\$ 3,159,145.6	\$ 3,137,197.5	\$ 3,130,800.1	\$ 3,123,071.0	\$ 3,121,917.2
Uncollectible Rate	1.3101%	1.3535%	1.3950%	1.4510%	1.4936%	1.5631%	1.6169%	1.6626%	1.6870%	1.7611%	1.7465%	1.7270%
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 52,443.1	\$ 52,593.3	\$ 52,799.5	\$ 52,319.6	\$ 50,760.4	\$ 50,471.6	\$ 50,354.6	\$ 49,106.5	\$ 48,418.5	\$ 44,641.5	\$ 42,181.9	\$ 42,486.4
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 2,837,570.5	\$ 2,844,630.0	\$ 2,875,640.5	\$ 2,901,351.0	\$ 2,918,745.7	\$ 2,952,145.3	\$ 3,006,347.7	\$ 3,066,735.2	\$ 3,083,228.4	\$ 3,097,873.9	\$ 3,107,702.2	\$ 3,116,097.8
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 14,345.3	\$ 14,280.1	\$ 14,373.4	\$ 14,068.0	\$ 14,095.5	\$ 14,283.0	\$ 14,564.7	\$ 14,333.6	\$ 14,844.2	\$ 15,153.3	\$ 15,463.7	\$ 15,519.1
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 285,682.9	\$ 286,552.7	\$ 290,639.6	\$ 292,910.3	\$ 296,946.4	\$ 304,162.6	\$ 317,273.4	\$ 332,474.1	\$ 339,667.1	\$ 342,470.2	\$ 342,481.7	\$ 344,580.4
	\$ 3,137,596.8	\$ 3,145,462.7	\$ 3,180,633.5	\$ 3,208,329.3	\$ 3,229,787.6	\$ 3,270,591.0	\$ 3,338,385.8	\$ 3,413,542.9	\$ 3,437,739.7	\$ 3,455,497.4	\$ 3,465,647.6	\$ 3,476,197.2
Uncollectible Rate	1.6714%	1.6720%	1.6600%	1.6307%	1.5716%	1.5432%	1.5084%	1.4386%	1.4084%	1.2919%	1.2171%	1.2222%
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 40,730.8	\$ 37,969.1	\$ 36,169.7	\$ 36,233.2	\$ 35,905.8	\$ 36,062.9	\$ 35,987.8	\$ 36,706.1	\$ 37,553.4	\$ 40,116.6	\$ 42,921.3	\$ 44,434.1
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 3,104,656.9	\$ 3,115,788.6	\$ 3,112,799.1	\$ 3,111,821.6	\$ 3,114,081.8	\$ 3,114,069.6	\$ 3,084,319.3	\$ 3,065,335.0	\$ 3,041,588.7	\$ 3,037,782.3	\$ 3,022,599.5	\$ 2,999,929.5
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 15,638.4	\$ 15,709.2	\$ 15,970.8	\$ 16,055.3	\$ 16,030.0	\$ 16,075.0	\$ 16,043.9	\$ 16,078.1	\$ 16,141.0	\$ 16,191.1	\$ 16,068.9	\$ 15,691.5
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 343,719.4	\$ 346,643.5	\$ 347,173.7	\$ 349,747.0	\$ 349,326.4	\$ 347,008.1	\$ 340,695.6	\$ 338,437.5	\$ 334,773.4	\$ 334,347.6	\$ 335,533.8	\$ 333,065.6
	\$ 3,464,014.6	\$ 3,478,141.3	\$ 3,475,943.6	\$ 3,477,623.9	\$ 3,479,438.2	\$ 3,477,152.7	\$ 3,441,058.9	\$ 3,419,850.6	\$ 3,392,503.0	\$ 3,388,320.9	\$ 3,374,202.2	\$ 3,348,686.6
Uncollectible Rate	1.1758%	1.0916%	1.0406%	1.0419%	1.0319%	1.0371%	1.0458%	1.0733%	1.1070%	1.1840%	1.2720%	1.3269%
3-Yr Aggregated Elec Uncoll Rate:	1.3766%	1.3626%	1.3564%	1.3670%	1.3590%	1.3745%	1.3846%	1.3844%	1.3935%	1.4025%	1.4017%	1.4159%
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 46,030.9	\$ 47,160.7	\$ 47,050.9	\$ 45,479.8	\$ 46,699.9							
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 2,946,068.8	\$ 2,870,173.6	\$ 2,800,982.2	\$ 2,726,282.2	\$ 2,672,835.3							
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 15,435.3	\$ 15,009.2	\$ 14,680.6	\$ 14,390.7	\$ 14,242.4							
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 326,292.2	\$ 318,635.6	\$ 314,396.4	\$ 308,724.3	\$ 306,157.6							
	\$ 3,287,796.3	\$ 3,203,818.4	\$ 3,130,059.2	\$ 3,049,397.2	\$ 2,993,235.4							
Uncollectible Rate	1.4001%	1.4720%	1.5032%	1.4914%	1.5602%							
3-Yr Aggregated Elec Uncoll Rate:	1.4076%	1.4014%	1.3899%	1.3768%	1.3746%							

(6) The manual phase of the transfer to active project increased recoveries by a total of \$8,954,239 for both electric and gas for the months of Nov'10 through Feb'11. This decreased the net write-offs in the rolling 12-mo analysis for each of the 12-mo periods ending Nov'10 through Jan'12. The figures below have been updated following a more precise study of the data conducted in preparation for the response to GRW-1 #3. The total of \$8.9 million remains the same, however the Uncollectible Rates above have been adjusted slightly compared to pg 2 of Exhibit (SSCP-4) to accommodate this new cashflow.

(7) It is assumed for this illustration that the test phase of the manual project involved the full incremental dollars indicated in Attachment 3 GRW-1 for the months of Feb'10 through Apr'10 vs. those for the same months in 2009. As indicated in that attachment, a total of \$7,454,403 between electric and gas affected Feb'1- thru Apr'10.

UNCOLLECTIBLE RATE CALCULATION

GAS													
Rolling 12-Mo Ending (\$000's)													
31-Jan-09	28-Feb-09	31-Mar-09	30-Apr-09	31-May-09	30-Jun-09	31-Jul-09	31-Aug-09	30-Sep-09	31-Oct-09	30-Nov-09	31-Dec-09		
								(4)	(5)	(5)			
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 17,564.1	\$ 18,136.0	\$ 18,584.5	\$ 19,121.9	\$ 19,465.7	\$ 20,022.2	\$ 20,372.7	\$ 20,425.7	\$ 20,582.3	\$ 21,441.6	\$ 21,211.4	\$ 20,966.8	
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 839,607.5	\$ 848,499.4	\$ 837,487.4	\$ 819,734.1	\$ 803,816.6	\$ 785,426.2	\$ 775,087.7	\$ 767,827.2	\$ 763,533.7	\$ 763,769.7	\$ 757,565.1	\$ 730,782.2	
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 3,712.2	\$ 3,801.7	\$ 3,859.5	\$ 3,951.0	\$ 3,993.1	\$ 3,820.9	\$ 3,796.6	\$ 3,707.3	\$ 3,637.4	\$ 3,594.9	\$ 3,537.0	\$ 3,542.1	
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 159,256.8	\$ 168,279.2	\$ 169,933.9	\$ 166,677.3	\$ 162,960.1	\$ 160,654.9	\$ 158,229.2	\$ 156,282.9	\$ 150,195.2	\$ 146,768.9	\$ 139,006.2	\$ 139,006.2	
	\$ 1,002,576.5	\$ 1,020,580.2	\$ 1,011,280.7	\$ 992,129.6	\$ 974,387.0	\$ 952,207.2	\$ 939,539.2	\$ 929,763.8	\$ 923,454.0	\$ 917,559.8	\$ 907,871.0	\$ 873,330.5	
Uncollectible Rate	1.7519%	1.7770%	1.8377%	1.9274%	1.9977%	2.1027%	2.1604%	2.1969%	2.2288%	2.3368%	2.3364%	2.4008%	
31-Jan-10	28-Feb-10	31-Mar-10	30-Apr-10	31-May-10	30-Jun-10	31-Jul-10	31-Aug-10	30-Sep-10	31-Oct-10	30-Nov-10	31-Dec-10		
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 20,394.5	\$ 19,963.4	\$ 19,147.3	\$ 18,293.2	\$ 17,712.2	\$ 17,641.9	\$ 17,637.9	\$ 17,205.6	\$ 16,997.3	\$ 15,583.7	\$ 14,437.0	\$ 13,888.2	
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 703,008.1	\$ 681,183.9	\$ 666,195.7	\$ 649,333.3	\$ 648,898.4	\$ 652,224.5	\$ 654,378.5	\$ 656,263.1	\$ 658,641.9	\$ 657,928.5	\$ 656,989.5	\$ 664,496.1	
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 3,405.9	\$ 3,266.7	\$ 3,247.8	\$ 3,038.9	\$ 2,972.1	\$ 2,966.0	\$ 2,917.5	\$ 2,900.5	\$ 2,934.8	\$ 2,946.9	\$ 2,949.9	\$ 2,926.6	
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 130,365.0	\$ 122,297.0	\$ 117,496.2	\$ 111,943.6	\$ 111,393.1	\$ 111,475.6	\$ 111,945.9	\$ 112,226.3	\$ 112,491.6	\$ 112,179.8	\$ 112,043.2	\$ 113,655.0	
	\$ 836,779.0	\$ 806,747.6	\$ 786,939.6	\$ 764,315.8	\$ 763,263.6	\$ 766,666.1	\$ 769,241.9	\$ 771,389.9	\$ 774,068.3	\$ 773,055.2	\$ 771,982.6	\$ 781,077.7	
Uncollectible Rate	2.4373%	2.4745%	2.4331%	2.3934%	2.3206%	2.3011%	2.2929%	2.2305%	2.1958%	2.0159%	1.8701%	1.7781%	
31-Jan-11	28-Feb-11	31-Mar-11	30-Apr-11	31-May-11	30-Jun-11	31-Jul-11	31-Aug-11	30-Sep-11	31-Oct-11	30-Nov-11	31-Dec-11		
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 12,526.2	\$ 11,234.7	\$ 11,620.8	\$ 12,510.2	\$ 12,486.8	\$ 12,822.5	\$ 13,068.0	\$ 13,726.7	\$ 14,458.9	\$ 15,849.1	\$ 17,407.4	\$ 18,915.1	
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 662,135.8	\$ 669,593.6	\$ 682,623.5	\$ 705,997.0	\$ 717,768.4	\$ 718,219.1	\$ 717,707.3	\$ 717,656.5	\$ 717,386.3	\$ 715,918.2	\$ 712,758.1	\$ 698,760.1	
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 2,950.2	\$ 2,955.0	\$ 3,010.6	\$ 3,082.7	\$ 3,137.0	\$ 3,200.0	\$ 3,205.9	\$ 3,238.0	\$ 3,255.0	\$ 3,272.7	\$ 3,273.1	\$ 3,217.6	
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 112,221.2	\$ 113,807.1	\$ 115,668.1	\$ 121,290.9	\$ 123,468.0	\$ 123,768.5	\$ 123,891.7	\$ 123,992.2	\$ 124,117.9	\$ 124,090.0	\$ 124,064.7	\$ 121,455.3	
	\$ 777,307.3	\$ 786,355.7	\$ 801,302.2	\$ 830,370.6	\$ 844,373.4	\$ 845,187.6	\$ 844,804.9	\$ 844,886.7	\$ 844,759.2	\$ 843,280.9	\$ 840,095.9	\$ 823,433.1	
Uncollectible Rate	1.6115%	1.4287%	1.4502%	1.5066%	1.4788%	1.5171%	1.5469%	1.6247%	1.7116%	1.8795%	2.0721%	2.2971%	
3-Yr Aggregated Gas Uncoll Rate:	1.9294%	1.8875%	1.8985%	1.9300%	1.9235%	1.9690%	2.0003%	2.0172%	2.0469%	2.0867%	2.1054%	2.1700%	
31-Jan-12	29-Feb-12	31-Mar-12	30-Apr-12	31-May-12	30-Jun-12	31-Jul-12	31-Aug-12	30-Sep-12	31-Oct-12	30-Nov-12	31-Dec-12		
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off	\$ 20,434.6	\$ 21,669.6	\$ 21,531.1	\$ 20,770.5	\$ 21,233.2								
Total Tariff Revenue	\$ 675,677.5	\$ 649,501.8	\$ 621,971.1	\$ 598,344.9	\$ 593,600.5								
Late Payment Revenue	\$ 3,147.6	\$ 3,031.1	\$ 2,941.9	\$ 2,850.7	\$ 2,835.5								
POR Receivable Revenue	\$ 117,086.9	\$ 112,235.3	\$ 107,300.4	\$ 101,174.6	\$ 100,114.1								
	\$ 795,912.1	\$ 764,768.2	\$ 732,213.4	\$ 702,370.2	\$ 696,550.1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Uncollectible Rate	2.5674%	2.8335%	2.9405%	2.9572%	3.0483%								
3-Yr Aggregated Gas Uncoll Rate:	2.2139%	2.2422%	2.2538%	2.2462%	2.2321%								

- (1) Beginning Jan'11, the electric-gas net write-off allocation was changed from 72%-28% to 69%-31% per the outcome of the NIMO electric rate case 10-E-0050.
- (2) For the month of Sept '09, total electric & gas net write-off has been normalized by removing about \$4.1 million that had been inadvertently accelerated to write-off due to a system issue. These amounts would have been written off during Oct '09 and Nov '09.
- (3) For the months of Oct&Nov '09, total electric & gas net write-off has been increased by the allocated portion of the \$4.1 million that had been inadvertently accelerated in Sept'09 due to a system issue.
- (4) Also, written off during Oct '09 was another \$1.5 accelerated amount that should have gone to Nov '09. Both Oct'09 & Nov'09 have been normalized by the additional figure.

GAS UNCOLLECTIBLE RATE ADJUSTED FOR IMPACTS OF THE MANUAL PHASE OF THE TRANSFER TO ACTIVE PROJECT												
Rolling 12-Mo Ending (\$000's)												
31-Jan-09	28-Feb-09	31-Mar-09	30-Apr-09	31-May-09	30-Jun-09	31-Jul-09	31-Aug-09	30-Sep-09	31-Oct-09	30-Nov-09	31-Dec-09	
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off												
\$ 17,564.1	\$ 18,136.0	\$ 18,584.5	\$ 19,121.9	\$ 19,465.7	\$ 20,022.2	\$ 20,372.7	\$ 20,425.7	\$ 20,582.3	\$ 21,441.6	\$ 21,211.4	\$ 20,966.8	
Total Tariff Revenue												
\$ 839,607.5	\$ 848,499.4	\$ 837,487.4	\$ 819,734.1	\$ 803,816.6	\$ 785,426.2	\$ 775,087.7	\$ 767,827.2	\$ 763,533.7	\$ 763,769.7	\$ 757,565.1	\$ 730,782.2	
Late Payment Revenue												
\$ 3,712.2	\$ 3,801.7	\$ 3,859.5	\$ 3,951.0	\$ 3,993.1	\$ 3,820.9	\$ 3,796.6	\$ 3,707.3	\$ 3,637.4	\$ 3,594.9	\$ 3,537.0	\$ 3,542.1	
POR Receivable Revenue												
\$ 159,256.8	\$ 168,279.2	\$ 169,933.9	\$ 169,677.3	\$ 169,677.3	\$ 160,654.9	\$ 158,229.2	\$ 156,282.9	\$ 156,282.9	\$ 150,952.2	\$ 146,768.9	\$ 139,006.2	
\$ 1,002,576.5	\$ 1,020,580.2	\$ 1,011,280.2	\$ 992,129.6	\$ 974,387.0	\$ 952,207.2	\$ 939,539.2	\$ 929,763.8	\$ 923,454.0	\$ 917,559.8	\$ 907,871.0	\$ 873,330.5	
Uncollectible Rate												
1.7519%	1.7770%	1.8377%	1.9274%	1.9977%	2.1027%	2.1684%	2.1969%	2.2288%	2.3368%	2.3364%	2.4008%	
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off												
\$ 20,394.5	\$ 20,452.9	\$ 20,533.2	\$ 20,346.5	\$ 19,740.2	\$ 19,627.8	\$ 19,582.3	\$ 19,097.0	\$ 18,829.4	\$ 17,360.6	\$ 16,404.1	\$ 16,522.5	
Total Tariff Revenue												
\$ 703,008.1	\$ 681,183.9	\$ 666,195.7	\$ 649,333.3	\$ 648,898.4	\$ 652,224.5	\$ 654,378.5	\$ 656,263.1	\$ 658,641.9	\$ 657,928.5	\$ 656,989.5	\$ 664,496.1	
Late Payment Revenue												
\$ 3,405.9	\$ 3,266.7	\$ 3,247.8	\$ 3,038.9	\$ 2,972.1	\$ 2,966.0	\$ 2,917.5	\$ 2,900.5	\$ 2,934.8	\$ 2,946.9	\$ 2,949.9	\$ 2,926.6	
POR Receivable Revenue												
\$ 130,565.0	\$ 122,297.0	\$ 117,496.2	\$ 111,943.6	\$ 111,393.1	\$ 111,475.6	\$ 111,945.9	\$ 112,226.3	\$ 112,491.6	\$ 112,179.8	\$ 112,043.2	\$ 113,655.0	
\$ 836,779.0	\$ 806,747.6	\$ 786,939.6	\$ 764,315.8	\$ 763,283.6	\$ 766,666.1	\$ 769,241.9	\$ 771,389.9	\$ 774,068.3	\$ 773,055.2	\$ 771,982.6	\$ 781,077.7	
Uncollectible Rate												
2.4373%	2.5352%	2.6092%	2.6621%	2.5063%	2.5602%	2.5457%	2.4757%	2.4325%	2.2457%	2.1249%	2.1153%	
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off												
\$ 15,997.8	\$ 14,952.9	\$ 14,361.2	\$ 14,529.4	\$ 14,491.3	\$ 14,802.4	\$ 15,023.8	\$ 15,651.5	\$ 16,349.1	\$ 17,707.1	\$ 18,997.3	\$ 19,765.3	
Total Tariff Revenue												
\$ 662,135.8	\$ 669,593.6	\$ 682,623.5	\$ 705,997.0	\$ 717,768.4	\$ 718,219.1	\$ 717,707.3	\$ 717,656.5	\$ 717,386.3	\$ 715,918.2	\$ 712,758.1	\$ 698,760.1	
Late Payment Revenue												
\$ 2,950.2	\$ 2,950.0	\$ 3,010.6	\$ 3,082.7	\$ 3,137.0	\$ 3,200.0	\$ 3,205.9	\$ 3,238.0	\$ 3,255.0	\$ 3,272.7	\$ 3,273.1	\$ 3,217.6	
POR Receivable Revenue												
\$ 112,221.2	\$ 113,807.1	\$ 115,668.1	\$ 121,290.9	\$ 123,468.0	\$ 123,768.3	\$ 123,891.7	\$ 123,992.2	\$ 124,117.9	\$ 124,090.0	\$ 124,064.7	\$ 121,455.3	
\$ 777,307.3	\$ 786,355.7	\$ 801,302.2	\$ 830,370.6	\$ 844,373.4	\$ 845,187.6	\$ 844,804.9	\$ 844,886.7	\$ 844,759.2	\$ 843,280.9	\$ 840,095.9	\$ 823,433.1	
Uncollectible Rate												
2.0581%	1.9015%	1.7922%	1.7498%	1.7163%	1.7514%	1.7704%	1.8525%	1.9354%	2.0998%	2.2613%	2.4004%	
3-Yr Aggregated Gas Uncoll Rate:												
2.0620%	2.0485%	2.0573%	2.0874%	2.0797%	2.1237%	2.1530%	2.1671%	2.1933%	2.2301%	2.2466%	2.3107%	
Normalized Bad Debt Net Write-Off												
\$ 20,395.5	\$ 20,869.7	\$ 20,785.6	\$ 20,063.0	\$ 20,555.4								
Total Tariff Revenue												
\$ 675,677.5	\$ 649,501.8	\$ 621,971.1	\$ 598,344.9	\$ 593,600.5								
Late Payment Revenue												
\$ 3,147.6	\$ 3,031.1	\$ 2,941.9	\$ 2,850.7	\$ 2,835.5								
POR Receivable Revenue												
\$ 117,086.9	\$ 112,235.3	\$ 107,300.4	\$ 101,174.6	\$ 100,114.1								
\$ 795,912.1	\$ 764,768.2	\$ 732,213.4	\$ 702,370.2	\$ 696,550.1								
Uncollectible Rate												
2.5625%	2.7289%	2.8387%	2.8565%	2.9510%								
3-Yr Aggregated Gas Uncoll Rate:												
2.3563%	2.3867%	2.3995%	2.3917%	2.3777%								

(6) The manual phase of the transfer to active project increased recoveries by a total of \$8,954,239 for both electric and gas for the months of Nov'10 through Feb'11. This decreased the net write-offs in the rolling 12-mo analysis for each of the 12-mo periods ending Nov'10 through Jan'12. The figures below have been updated following a more precise study of the data conducted in preparation for the response to GRW-1 #3. The total of \$8.9 million remains the same, however the Uncollectible Rates above have been adjusted slightly compared to pg 2 of Exhibit (SSCP-5) to accommodate this new cashflow.

(7) It is assumed for this illustration that the test phase of the manual project involved the full incremental dollars indicated in Attachment 3 GRW-1 for the months of Feb'10 through Apr'10 vs. those for the same months in 2009. As indicated in that attachment, a total of \$7,454,403 between electric and gas affected Feb'10 - thru Apr'10.