



November 15, 2010

**VIA EMAIL**

Honorable Jaclyn A. Brillling  
Secretary  
New York State Public Service Commission  
Three Empire State Plaza  
Albany, New York 12223

Re: Case 07-M-0548 – Proceeding on Motion of the Commission Regarding an Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard

Case 08-E-1129 – Petition of New York State Electric & Gas Corporation for Approval of an Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (EEPS) Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program

Case 08-E-1130 – Petition of Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation for Approval of an Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (EEPS) Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program

Case 09-G-0363 – Petitions for Approval of Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (EEPS) Gas Energy Efficiency Programs

Dear Secretary Brillling:

Pursuant to the New York State Public Service Commission's Order Approving Certain Commercial and Industrial Customer Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications in Cases 08-E-1129, 08-E-1130 and 08-G-0363<sup>1</sup> and Pursuant to the New York State Public Service Commission's November 13, 2009 Order Approving Certain Commercial and Industrial Customer Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications and Addressing Independent Program Administrator Filings in Cases 08-E-1129, 08-E-1130 and 09-G-0363<sup>2</sup>, New York State Electric & Gas Corporation and Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation hereby file the "Monthly Scorecard Report" of key Non-Residential Commercial and Industrial Prescriptive Rebate

---

<sup>1</sup> Cases 08-E-1129, 08-E-1130 - Petitions of New York State Electric & Gas Corporation and Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation for Approval of an Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program, and Case 09-G-0363, Petitions for Approval of Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (EEPS) Gas Energy Efficiency Programs, Order Approving Certain Commercial and Industrial Customer Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications, at 41 (October 23, 2009).

<sup>2</sup> Cases 08-E-1129, 08-E-1130 - Petitions of New York State Electric & Gas Corporation and Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation for Approval of an Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program, Order Approving Certain Commercial and Industrial Customer Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications and Addressing Independent Program Administrator Filings, at 35 (November 13, 2009).

**Honorable Jaclyn A. Brillling, Secretary**  
**November 15, 2010**

**Page 2**

Programs and Non-Residential Commercial and Industrial Custom Rebate Programs achievements for the month ending October 31, 2010.

Please direct any questions to me at telephone number 607-725-3936 or via email at [jjfishgertz@nyseg.com](mailto:jjfishgertz@nyseg.com).

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Joni Fish-Gertz". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a large initial "J" and a stylized "G" at the end.

Joni Fish-Gertz  
Manager, Programs

Enclosure

**Combined Report for  
New York State Electric & Gas Corporation  
&  
Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation**

**NYSEG/RG&E Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program**

Including:

Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Prescriptive Electric Program  
Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Prescriptive Gas Program  
Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Custom Electric Program  
Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Custom Gas Program

**Savings from New York Standard Approach for Estimating  
Energy Savings from Energy Efficiency Programs**

Monthly Program Report

**November 15, 2010**

Prepared for

**New York State Department of Public Service**

## **Table of Contents**

INTRODUCTION .....	3
SECTION I. BASIC PROGRAM INFORMATION.....	3
SECTION II. EVALUATION SUPPORT INFORMATION .....	3
SECTION III. REPORTING TEMPLATE FOR MONTHLY NON-RESIDENTIAL COMMERCIAL & INDUSTRIAL REBATE PROGRAM REPORT.....	3
SECTION IV. NARRATIVE REPORT TO BE INCLUDED WITH SPREADSHEET .....	3

## **Introduction**

No changes since September 2010 Scorecard.

## **Section I. Basic Program Information**

No changes since September 2010 Scorecard.

## **Section II. Evaluation Support Information**

No changes since September 2010 Scorecard.

## **Section III. Reporting Template for Monthly Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program Report**

Refer to Attachment 1.

- ⇒ Table 2a, Variables Reported Monthly – NYSEG Prescriptive Electric
- ⇒ Table 2b, Variables Reported Monthly – NYSEG Prescriptive Gas
- ⇒ Table 2c, Variables Reported Monthly – NYSEG Custom Electric
- ⇒ Table 2d, Variables Reported Monthly – NYSEG Custom Gas
- ⇒ Table 2e, Variables Reported Monthly – RG&E Prescriptive Electric
- ⇒ Table 2f, Variables Reported Monthly – RG&E Prescriptive Gas
- ⇒ Table 2g, Variables Reported Monthly – RG&E Custom Electric
- ⇒ Table 2h, Variables Reported Monthly – RG&E Custom Gas

## **Section IV. Narrative Report to be Included with Spreadsheet**

Program Administrator: NYSEG/RG&E  
Program/Project: **NYSEG/RG&E Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program**  
Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Prescriptive Electric Program  
Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Prescriptive Gas Program  
Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Custom Electric Program  
Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Custom Gas Program  
Reporting Period: **October 2010**  
Report Contact Person: Yvonne Selbig

## 1. Program Status

### Program Performance Goals

**(a) Describe and discuss circumstances that may have an impact on the achievement of project performance goals (positive or negative).**

The C&I Rebate Programs have been operating for four months.

Natural gas projects have been submitted for Prescriptive rebates program at both companies although rebates had not been processed at the time of reporting. Therefore, a disparity may be noted between “Percent of Monthly Goal Acquired” and “Percent of total budget spent to date”. Over the last month, the Companies have continued to identify and mitigate potential market barriers, such as the 100 kW demand restriction for the Electric portion of the Custom Rebate Program.

**(b) Describe and discuss other key aspects of program performance goals that were not discussed in (a).**

Forecasts of C&I Rebate Program net energy impacts (“Annual Energy Savings”) were recalculated in September 2010. Please refer to the tables below in 1(c). Although “Annual Energy Savings” in 2010 are expected to be short of stated Implementation Plan goals, overall program goals (total 2010 and 2011) are expected to be achieved by the end of 2011.

**(c) Forecast of net energy impacts.**

#### **NYSEG and RG&E Annual Energy Savings - Prescriptive Rebate Program**

<b>Year</b>	<b>NYSEG MWh</b>	<b>RG&amp;E MWh</b>	<b>NYSEG Dt</b>	<b>RG&amp;E Dt</b>
2010	833	605	1,959	2,021
2011	4,718	3,431	11,103	11,451
Total 2010-2011	5,550	4,036	13,062	13,472

*Source: 2010 & 2011 - Projected year end savings;  
Total 2010- 2011: Goal as reflected in the C&I Prescriptive Implementation Plan, December 22, 2009*

#### **NYSEG and RG&E Annual Energy Savings - Custom Rebate Program**

<b>Year</b>	<b>NYSEG MWh</b>	<b>RG&amp;E MWh</b>	<b>NYSEG Dt</b>	<b>RG&amp;E Dt</b>
2010	2,345	1,438	1,849	1,807
2011	13,289	8,148	10,479	10,241
Total 2010-2011	15,634	9,586	12,328	12,048

*Source: 2010 & 2011 - Projected year end savings;  
Total 2010-2011: Goal as reflected in the C&I Custom Implementation Plan, January 6, 2010*

## 2) Program Implementation Activities

### (a) Marketing Activities

During October, the Companies' Marketing and Sales (M&S) field personnel contacted 212 customers and trade allies to introduce the C&I Rebate Program. Since July 1, M&S field personnel have made over 1,500 contacts - comprised of both field visits and telephone calls - with customers and trade allies.

In an effort to extend energy efficiency opportunities to as many qualifying customers as possible, the Companies continue co-marketing efforts in conjunction with other EEPS program offerings. For example, when Small Business Direct Installation (SBDI) Program field representatives identify a facility that falls outside of the 100 kW SBDI threshold, the customer is advised to contact the NYSEG or RG&E M&S representative regarding other energy efficiency programs, including the C&I Rebate Program. C&I Rebate Program overview sheets are provided. Additionally, C&I Rebate Program overview sheets, as well as two other more specific cut sheets - one for natural gas furnaces, boilers, and controls, and one for air conditioning and heat pumps – are provided to potentially interested Multi-family Program property owners/managers.

Following is a summary of community outreach events conducted during October:

Date	Topic	Location	Utility	Audience Type	Number of Attendees
10/26/10	C&I Rebate/ Block Bidding	Clifton Park	NYSEG/RGE	Trade Allies	13
10/27/10	C&I Rebate/ Block Bidding	Brewster	NYSEG/RGE	Trade Allies	10
10/28/10	C&I Rebate/ Block Bidding	Owego	NYSEG/RGE	Trade Allies	13

The C&I Rebate Programs were featured stories in the October 6, 2010 Tioga County Chamber Email Blast which goes out to weekly approximately 750 members and individuals in Broome and Tioga Counties.

The Companies will continue direct customer contact, monitor customer participation and consider additional introductory contacts, bill inserts, and advertising and/or general community presentations as needed to achieve program goals.

Extensive information about the program appears on the Companies' energy efficiency Web pages at:

<http://nyseg.com/UsageAndSafety/usingenergywisely/eeps/cirp.html>

<http://www.rge.com/UsageAndSafety/usingenergywisely/eeps/cirp.html>

**(b) Evaluation Activities**

Itron has been selected as the evaluation contractor for this program. A kick-off meeting was held with Itron, the evaluation manager, DPS staff and the program implementation coordinator. Itron has issued an initial data request for documents related to this program. Itron is preparing a detailed evaluation plan for submission to DPS staff.

**(c) Other Activities**

None at this time.

**3. Customer Complaints and/or Disputes**

No NYS PSC complaints have been reported. Customer disputes are handled as they occur.

**4. Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing**

None at this time.

**5. Additional Issues**

None at this time.



<b>Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID<sup>1</sup></b>	NYSEG Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program
<b>Program Name</b>	NYSEG Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial PRESCRIPTIVE Program
<b>Program Type<sup>2</sup></b>	Prescriptive ELECTRIC Rebate
<b>Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month<sup>3,15</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this Month <sup>4</sup>	0
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year annual <sup>5</sup> kWh Goal)	462,500
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	0.00%
<b>Net Peak<sup>6</sup> kW acquired this Month</b>	0
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	NA
<b>Net First-year annual therms acquired this month</b>	NA
Monthly Net Therm Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	NA
<b>Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month</b>	0
<b>Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month</b>	NA
<b>Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date<sup>15,17</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date <sup>15</sup>	0
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	0.00%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	0
<b>Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date</b>	0
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
<b>Net first-year annual therms acquired to date</b>	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	NA
<b>Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date<sup>7,15,17</sup></b>	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	0
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	NA
<b>Committed<sup>8</sup> Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month<sup>15</sup></b>	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	0
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	0
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	NA
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	NA
Funds committed at this point in time	\$0
<b>Overall Impacts (Acquired &amp; Committed)<sup>15</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	0
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	0
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	NA

<b>Costs<sup>9,16</sup></b>	
Total program budget	\$2,610,016
General Administration <sup>13</sup>	Portfolio
Program Planning <sup>13</sup>	Portfolio
Program Marketing <sup>18</sup>	\$277
Trade Ally Training <sup>18</sup>	\$0
Incentives and Services <sup>14,18</sup>	\$0
Direct Program Implementation <sup>18</sup>	\$6,287
Program Evaluation <sup>18</sup>	\$0
Total expenditures to date <sup>17</sup>	\$106,434
Percent of total budget spent to date	4.08%
<b>Participation<sup>15,17</sup></b>	
Number of program applications received to date	5
Number of program applications processed to date	3
Number of processed applications approved to date	0
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	60.00%
<b>DPS Staff Notes:</b>	
<p><sup>1</sup>DPS Staff needs to work with utilities and NYSERDA to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p><sup>2</sup>There is not currently a consistent list of program type but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed</p> <p><sup>3</sup>First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation (See Definition #1 in <i>Savings Definitions</i> Tab). The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. <b>Acquired</b> kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p><sup>4</sup>Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p><sup>5</sup>Program Administrators should make a best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the monthly goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p><sup>6</sup> Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p> <p><sup>7</sup>The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the year in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will differ for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life are no longer accumulated.</p> <p><sup>8</sup> Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best estimate of what they have committed. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and forecasts should get more precise with program experience, i.e., the difference between achieved and committed should narrow over time.</p> <p><sup>9</sup>These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.</p> <p>Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).</p>	

<sup>10</sup> An application is *processed* once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

<sup>11</sup> The application is *approved* once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

<sup>12</sup> See *CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction Values* tab.

**Utility Notes:**

<sup>13</sup> Portfolio Costs are not included in the program budget or actual costs. Consistent with the Companies' July 9, 2009 letter to the Secretary in Cases 07-M-0548 et al. ("July 9 letter") and the Companies' prior and subsequent energy efficiency program submittals, Portfolio Costs are incremental and are incurred as a result of the Companies' ongoing active participation in the New York State Public Service Commission's ("Commission") energy efficiency proceedings. Portfolio Costs allow the Companies to jointly provide efficient support for the complete suite of specific energy efficiency programs ultimately approved by the Commission.

<sup>14</sup> Incentives and services costs are reported based on Company payment of invoices received from program implementation contractors for incentive payments made to program participants. They will thus lag the actual issuance of incentive payments to program participants.

<sup>15</sup> Impacts are calculated using actual installed measure information for all measures.

<sup>16</sup> All program costs identified in this section are recovered through the SBC surcharge unless otherwise noted.

<sup>17</sup> Information presented here may include changes to previously filed monthly scorecard tables based on: review of additional information, reconsideration of eligibility decisions made by the implementation contractor during prior reporting periods, or other factors.

<sup>18</sup> Data contained in these fields reflects the most recent month's expenditures in the respective category, as reported in the companies' accounting systems, not "to date" information.

<b>Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID<sup>1</sup></b>	NYSEG Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program
<b>Program Name</b>	NYSEG Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial PRESCRIPTIVE Program
<b>Program Type<sup>2</sup></b>	Prescriptive GAS Rebate
<b>Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month<sup>3,15</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this Month <sup>4</sup>	NA
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year annual <sup>5</sup> kWh Goal)	NA
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	NA
Net Peak <sup>6</sup> kW acquired this Month	NA
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	18,693
Monthly Net Therm Goal	10,885
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	171.73%
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	NA
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	373,865
<b>Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date<sup>15,17</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date <sup>15</sup>	NA
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	NA
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	18,693
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	28.62%
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	18,693
<b>Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date<sup>7,15,17</sup></b>	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	NA
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	373,865
<b>Committed<sup>8</sup> Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month<sup>15</sup></b>	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	NA
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	NA
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	NA
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	0
Funds committed at this point in time	\$0
<b>Overall Impacts (Acquired &amp; Committed)<sup>15</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	NA
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	18,693

<b>Costs<sup>9,16</sup></b>	
Total program budget	\$614,218
General Administration <sup>13</sup>	Portfolio
Program Planning <sup>13</sup>	Portfolio
Program Marketing <sup>18</sup>	\$66
Trade Ally Training <sup>18</sup>	\$0
Incentives and Services <sup>14,18</sup>	\$0
Direct Program Implementation <sup>18</sup>	\$1,339
Program Evaluation <sup>18</sup>	\$0
Total expenditures to date <sup>17</sup>	\$25,698
Percent of total budget spent to date	4.18%
<b>Participation<sup>15,17</sup></b>	
Number of program applications received to date	10
Number of program applications processed to date	8
Number of processed applications approved to date	8
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	80.00%
<b>DPS Staff Notes:</b>	
<p><sup>1</sup>DPS Staff needs to work with utilities and NYSERDA to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p><sup>2</sup>There is not currently a consistent list of program type but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed</p> <p><sup>3</sup>First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation (See Definition #1 in <i>Savings Definitions</i> Tab). The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. <b>Acquired</b> kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p><sup>4</sup>Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p><sup>5</sup>Program Administrators should make a best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the monthly goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p><sup>6</sup> Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p> <p><sup>7</sup>The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the year in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will differ for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life are no longer accumulated.</p> <p><sup>8</sup> Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best estimate of what they have committed. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and forecasts should get more precise with program experience, i.e., the difference between achieved and committed should narrow over time.</p> <p><sup>9</sup>These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.</p> <p>Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).</p>	

<sup>10</sup> An application is *processed* once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

<sup>11</sup> The application is *approved* once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

<sup>12</sup> See *CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction Values* tab.

#### **Utility Notes:**

<sup>13</sup> Portfolio Costs are not included in the program budget or actual costs. Consistent with the Companies' July 9, 2009 letter to the Secretary in Cases 07-M-0548 et al. ("July 9 letter") and the Companies' prior and subsequent energy efficiency program submittals, Portfolio Costs are incremental and are incurred as a result of the Companies' ongoing active participation in the New York State Public Service Commission's ("Commission") energy efficiency proceedings. Portfolio Costs allow the Companies to jointly provide efficient support for the complete suite of specific energy efficiency programs ultimately approved by the Commission.

<sup>14</sup> Incentives and services costs are reported based on Company payment of invoices received from program implementation contractors for incentive payments made to program participants. They will thus lag the actual issuance of incentive payments to program participants.

<sup>15</sup> Impacts are calculated using actual installed measure information for all measures.

<sup>16</sup> All program costs identified in this section are recovered through the SBC surcharge unless otherwise noted.

<sup>17</sup> Information presented here may include changes to previously filed monthly scorecard tables based on: review of additional information, reconsideration of eligibility decisions made by the implementation contractor during prior reporting periods, or other factors.

<sup>18</sup> Data contained in these fields reflects the most recent month's expenditures in the respective category, as reported in the companies' accounting systems, not "to date" information.

<b>Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID<sup>1</sup></b>	NYSEG Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program
<b>Program Name</b>	NYSEG Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial CUSTOM Program
<b>Program Type<sup>2</sup></b>	Custom ELECTRIC Rebate
<b>Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month<sup>3,15</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this Month <sup>4</sup>	0
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year annual <sup>5</sup> kWh Goal)	1,116,667
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	0.00%
<b>Net Peak<sup>6</sup> kW acquired this Month</b>	0
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	NA
<b>Net First-year annual therms acquired this month</b>	NA
Monthly Net Therm Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	NA
<b>Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month</b>	0
<b>Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month</b>	NA
<b>Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date<sup>15,17</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date <sup>15</sup>	0
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	0.00%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	0
<b>Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date</b>	0
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
<b>Net first-year annual therms acquired to date</b>	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	NA
<b>Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date<sup>7,15,17</sup></b>	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	0
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	NA
<b>Committed<sup>8</sup> Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month<sup>15</sup></b>	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	0
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	0
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	NA
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	NA
Funds committed at this point in time	\$0
<b>Overall Impacts (Acquired &amp; Committed)<sup>15</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	0
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	0
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	NA

<b>Costs<sup>9,16</sup></b>	
Total program budget	\$5,862,000
General Administration <sup>13</sup>	Portfolio
Program Planning <sup>13</sup>	Portfolio
Program Marketing <sup>18</sup>	\$486
Trade Ally Training <sup>18</sup>	\$0
Incentives and Services <sup>14,18</sup>	\$0
Direct Program Implementation <sup>18</sup>	\$34,085
Program Evaluation <sup>18</sup>	\$0
Total expenditures to date <sup>17</sup>	\$178,069
Percent of total budget spent to date	3.04%
<b>Participation<sup>15,17</sup></b>	
Number of program applications received to date	14
Number of program applications processed to date	11
Number of processed applications approved to date	0
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	78.57%
<b>DPS Staff Notes:</b>	
<p><sup>1</sup>DPS Staff needs to work with utilities and NYSERDA to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p><sup>2</sup>There is not currently a consistent list of program type but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed</p> <p><sup>3</sup>First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation (See Definition #1 in <i>Savings Definitions</i> Tab). The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. <b>Acquired</b> kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p><sup>4</sup>Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p><sup>5</sup>Program Administrators should make a best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the monthly goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p><sup>6</sup> Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p> <p><sup>7</sup>The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the year in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will differ for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life are no longer accumulated.</p> <p><sup>8</sup> Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best estimate of what they have committed. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and forecasts should get more precise with program experience, i.e., the difference between achieved and committed should narrow over time.</p> <p><sup>9</sup>These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.</p> <p>Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).</p>	



<sup>10</sup> An application is *processed* once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

<sup>11</sup> The application is *approved* once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

<sup>12</sup> See *CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction Values* tab.

#### **Utility Notes:**

<sup>13</sup> Portfolio Costs are not included in the program budget or actual costs. Consistent with the Companies' July 9, 2009 letter to the Secretary in Cases 07-M-0548 et al. ("July 9 letter") and the Companies' prior and subsequent energy efficiency program submittals, Portfolio Costs are incremental and are incurred as a result of the Companies' ongoing active participation in the New York State Public Service Commission's ("Commission") energy efficiency proceedings. Portfolio Costs allow the Companies to jointly provide efficient support for the complete suite of specific energy efficiency programs ultimately approved by the Commission.

<sup>14</sup> Incentives and services costs are reported based on Company payment of invoices received from program implementation contractors for incentive payments made to program participants. They will thus lag the actual issuance of incentive payments to program participants.

<sup>15</sup> Impacts are calculated using actual installed measure information for all measures.

<sup>16</sup> All program costs identified in this section are recovered through the SBC surcharge unless otherwise noted.

<sup>17</sup> Information presented here may include changes to previously filed monthly scorecard tables based on: review of additional information, reconsideration of eligibility decisions made by the implementation contractor during prior reporting periods, or other factors.

<sup>18</sup> Data contained in these fields reflects the most recent month's expenditures in the respective category, as reported in the companies' accounting systems, not "to date" information.

<b>Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID<sup>1</sup></b>	NYSEG Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program
<b>Program Name</b>	NYSEG Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial CUSTOM Program
<b>Program Type<sup>2</sup></b>	Custom GAS Rebate
<b>Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month<sup>3,15</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this Month <sup>4</sup>	NA
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year annual <sup>5</sup> kWh Goal)	NA
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	NA
Net Peak <sup>6</sup> kW acquired this Month	NA
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	0
Monthly Net Therm Goal	8,805
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	0.00%
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	NA
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	0
<b>Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date<sup>15,17</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date <sup>15</sup>	NA
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	NA
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	0
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	0.00%
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	0
<b>Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date<sup>7,15,17</sup></b>	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	NA
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	0
<b>Committed<sup>8</sup> Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month<sup>15</sup></b>	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	NA
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	NA
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	NA
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	0
Funds committed at this point in time	\$0
<b>Overall Impacts (Acquired &amp; Committed)<sup>15</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	NA
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	0

<b>Costs<sup>9,16</sup></b>	
Total program budget	\$552,062
General Administration <sup>13</sup>	Portfolio
Program Planning <sup>13</sup>	Portfolio
Program Marketing <sup>18</sup>	\$51
Trade Ally Training <sup>18</sup>	\$0
Incentives and Services <sup>14,18</sup>	\$0
Direct Program Implementation <sup>18</sup>	\$1,034
Program Evaluation <sup>18</sup>	\$0
Total expenditures to date <sup>17</sup>	\$16,404
Percent of total budget spent to date	2.97%
<b>Participation<sup>15,17</sup></b>	
Number of program applications received to date	3
Number of program applications processed to date	1
Number of processed applications approved to date	0
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	33.33%
<b>DPS Staff Notes:</b>	
<p><sup>1</sup>DPS Staff needs to work with utilities and NYSERDA to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p><sup>2</sup>There is not currently a consistent list of program type but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed</p> <p><sup>3</sup>First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation (See Definition #1 in <i>Savings Definitions</i> Tab). The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. <b>Acquired</b> kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p><sup>4</sup>Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p><sup>5</sup>Program Administrators should make a best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the monthly goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p><sup>6</sup> Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p> <p><sup>7</sup>The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the year in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will differ for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life are no longer accumulated.</p> <p><sup>8</sup> Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best estimate of what they have committed. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and forecasts should get more precise with program experience, i.e., the difference between achieved and committed should narrow over time.</p> <p><sup>9</sup>These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.</p> <p>Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).</p>	

<sup>10</sup> An application is *processed* once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

<sup>11</sup> The application is *approved* once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

<sup>12</sup> See *CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction Values* tab.

#### **Utility Notes:**

<sup>13</sup> Portfolio Costs are not included in the program budget or actual costs. Consistent with the Companies' July 9, 2009 letter to the Secretary in Cases 07-M-0548 et al. ("July 9 letter") and the Companies' prior and subsequent energy efficiency program submittals, Portfolio Costs are incremental and are incurred as a result of the Companies' ongoing active participation in the New York State Public Service Commission's ("Commission") energy efficiency proceedings. Portfolio Costs allow the Companies to jointly provide efficient support for the complete suite of specific energy efficiency programs ultimately approved by the Commission.

<sup>14</sup> Incentives and services costs are reported based on Company payment of invoices received from program implementation contractors for incentive payments made to program participants. They will thus lag the actual issuance of incentive payments to program participants.

<sup>15</sup> Impacts are calculated using actual installed measure information for all measures.

<sup>16</sup> All program costs identified in this section are recovered through the SBC surcharge unless otherwise noted.

<sup>17</sup> Information presented here may include changes to previously filed monthly scorecard tables based on: review of additional information, reconsideration of eligibility decisions made by the implementation contractor during prior reporting periods, or other factors.

<sup>18</sup> Data contained in these fields reflects the most recent month's expenditures in the respective category, as reported in the companies' accounting systems, not "to date" information.

<b>Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID<sup>1</sup></b>	RG&E Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program
<b>Program Name</b>	RG&E Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial PRESCRIPTIVE Program
<b>Program Type<sup>2</sup></b>	Prescriptive ELECTRIC Rebate
<b>Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month<sup>3,15</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this Month <sup>4</sup>	1,587
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year annual <sup>5</sup> kWh Goal)	336,333
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	0.47%
<b>Net Peak<sup>6</sup> kW acquired this Month</b>	0.25
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	NA
<b>Net First-year annual therms acquired this month</b>	NA
Monthly Net Therm Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	NA
<b>Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month</b>	23,800
<b>Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month</b>	NA
<b>Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date<sup>15,17</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date <sup>15</sup>	9,321
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	0.46%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	9,321
<b>Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date</b>	3
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
<b>Net first-year annual therms acquired to date</b>	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	NA
<b>Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date<sup>7,15,17</sup></b>	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	139,817
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	NA
<b>Committed<sup>8</sup> Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month<sup>15</sup></b>	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	0
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	0
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	NA
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	NA
Funds committed at this point in time	\$0
<b>Overall Impacts (Acquired &amp; Committed)<sup>15</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	1,587
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	0.25
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	NA

<b>Costs<sup>9,16</sup></b>	
Total program budget	\$1,714,652
General Administration <sup>13</sup>	Portfolio
Program Planning <sup>13</sup>	Portfolio
Program Marketing <sup>18</sup>	\$85
Trade Ally Training <sup>18</sup>	\$0
Incentives and Services <sup>14,18</sup>	\$0
Direct Program Implementation <sup>18</sup>	\$4,340
Program Evaluation <sup>18</sup>	\$0
Total expenditures to date <sup>17</sup>	\$65,112
Percent of total budget spent to date	3.80%
<b>Participation<sup>15,17</sup></b>	
Number of program applications received to date	3
Number of program applications processed to date	2
Number of processed applications approved to date	2
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	66.67%
<b>DPS Staff Notes:</b>	
<p><sup>1</sup>DPS Staff needs to work with utilities and NYSERDA to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p><sup>2</sup>There is not currently a consistent list of program type but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed</p> <p><sup>3</sup>First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation (See Definition #1 in <i>Savings Definitions</i> Tab). The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. <b>Acquired</b> kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p><sup>4</sup>Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p><sup>5</sup>Program Administrators should make a best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the monthly goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p><sup>6</sup> Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p> <p><sup>7</sup>The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the year in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will differ for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life are no longer accumulated.</p> <p><sup>8</sup> Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best estimate of what they have committed. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and forecasts should get more precise with program experience, i.e., the difference between achieved and committed should narrow over time.</p> <p><sup>9</sup>These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.</p> <p>Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).</p>	

<sup>10</sup> An application is *processed* once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

<sup>11</sup> The application is *approved* once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

<sup>12</sup> See *CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction Values* tab.

#### **Utility Notes:**

<sup>13</sup> Portfolio Costs are not included in the program budget or actual costs. Consistent with the Companies' July 9, 2009 letter to the Secretary in Cases 07-M-0548 et al. ("July 9 letter") and the Companies' prior and subsequent energy efficiency program submittals, Portfolio Costs are incremental and are incurred as a result of the Companies' ongoing active participation in the New York State Public Service Commission's ("Commission") energy efficiency proceedings. Portfolio Costs allow the Companies to jointly provide efficient support for the complete suite of specific energy efficiency programs ultimately approved by the Commission.

<sup>14</sup> Incentives and services costs are reported based on Company payment of invoices received from program implementation contractors for incentive payments made to program participants. They will thus lag the actual issuance of incentive payments to program participants.

<sup>15</sup> Impacts are calculated using actual installed measure information for all measures.

<sup>16</sup> All program costs identified in this section are recovered through the SBC surcharge unless otherwise noted.

<sup>17</sup> Information presented here may include changes to previously filed monthly scorecard tables based on: review of additional information, reconsideration of eligibility decisions made by the implementation contractor during prior reporting periods, or other factors.

<sup>18</sup> Data contained in these fields reflects the most recent month's expenditures in the respective category, as reported in the companies' accounting systems, not "to date" information.

<b>Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID<sup>1</sup></b>	RG&E Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program
<b>Program Name</b>	RG&E Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial PRESCRIPTIVE Program
<b>Program Type<sup>2</sup></b>	Prescriptive GAS Rebate
<b>Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month<sup>3,15</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this Month <sup>4</sup>	NA
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year annual <sup>5</sup> kWh Goal)	NA
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	NA
Net Peak <sup>6</sup> kW acquired this Month	NA
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	38,094
Monthly Net Therm Goal	11,227
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	339.31%
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	NA
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	761,871
<b>Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date<sup>15,17</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date <sup>15</sup>	NA
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	NA
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	38,094
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	56.55%
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	38,094
<b>Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date<sup>7,15,17</sup></b>	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	NA
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	761,871
<b>Committed<sup>8</sup> Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month<sup>15</sup></b>	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	NA
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	NA
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	NA
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	206
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	4,119
Funds committed at this point in time	\$0
<b>Overall Impacts (Acquired &amp; Committed)<sup>15</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	NA
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	38,300



<b>Costs<sup>9,16</sup></b>	
Total program budget	\$606,014
General Administration <sup>13</sup>	Portfolio
Program Planning <sup>13</sup>	Portfolio
Program Marketing <sup>18</sup>	\$30
Trade Ally Training <sup>18</sup>	\$0
Incentives and Services <sup>14,18</sup>	\$0
Direct Program Implementation <sup>18</sup>	\$1,861
Program Evaluation <sup>18</sup>	\$0
Total expenditures to date <sup>17</sup>	\$24,977
Percent of total budget spent to date	4.12%
<b>Participation<sup>15,17</sup></b>	
Number of program applications received to date	6
Number of program applications processed to date	3
Number of processed applications approved to date	3
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	50.00%
<b>DPS Staff Notes:</b>	
<p><sup>1</sup>DPS Staff needs to work with utilities and NYSERDA to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p><sup>2</sup>There is not currently a consistent list of program type but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed</p> <p><sup>3</sup>First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation (See Definition #1 in <i>Savings Definitions</i> Tab). The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. <b>Acquired</b> kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p><sup>4</sup>Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p><sup>5</sup>Program Administrators should make a best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the monthly goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p><sup>6</sup> Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p> <p><sup>7</sup>The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the year in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will differ for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life are no longer accumulated.</p> <p><sup>8</sup> Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best estimate of what they have committed. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and forecasts should get more precise with program experience, i.e., the difference between achieved and committed should narrow over time.</p> <p><sup>9</sup>These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.</p> <p>Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).</p>	

<sup>10</sup> An application is *processed* once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

<sup>11</sup> The application is *approved* once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

<sup>12</sup> See *CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction Values* tab.

#### **Utility Notes:**

<sup>13</sup> Portfolio Costs are not included in the program budget or actual costs. Consistent with the Companies' July 9, 2009 letter to the Secretary in Cases 07-M-0548 et al. ("July 9 letter") and the Companies' prior and subsequent energy efficiency program submittals, Portfolio Costs are incremental and are incurred as a result of the Companies' ongoing active participation in the New York State Public Service Commission's ("Commission") energy efficiency proceedings. Portfolio Costs allow the Companies to jointly provide efficient support for the complete suite of specific energy efficiency programs ultimately approved by the Commission.

<sup>14</sup> Incentives and services costs are reported based on Company payment of invoices received from program implementation contractors for incentive payments made to program participants. They will thus lag the actual issuance of incentive payments to program participants.

<sup>15</sup> Impacts are calculated using actual installed measure information for all measures.

<sup>16</sup> All program costs identified in this section are recovered through the SBC surcharge unless otherwise noted.

<sup>17</sup> Information presented here may include changes to previously filed monthly scorecard tables based on: review of additional information, reconsideration of eligibility decisions made by the implementation contractor during prior reporting periods, or other factors.

<sup>18</sup> Data contained in these fields reflects the most recent month's expenditures in the respective category, as reported in the companies' accounting systems, not "to date" information.

<b>Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID<sup>1</sup></b>	RG&E Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program
<b>Program Name</b>	RG&E Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial CUSTOM Program
<b>Program Type<sup>2</sup></b>	Custom ELECTRIC Rebate
<b>Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month<sup>3,15</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this Month <sup>4</sup>	0
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year annual <sup>5</sup> kWh Goal)	684,667
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	0.00%
<b>Net Peak<sup>6</sup> kW acquired this Month</b>	0
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	NA
<b>Net First-year annual therms acquired this month</b>	NA
Monthly Net Therm Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	NA
<b>Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month</b>	0
<b>Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month</b>	NA
<b>Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date<sup>15,17</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date <sup>15</sup>	0
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	0.00%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	0
<b>Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date</b>	0
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
<b>Net first-year annual therms acquired to date</b>	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	NA
<b>Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date<sup>7,15,17</sup></b>	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	0
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	NA
<b>Committed<sup>8</sup> Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month<sup>15</sup></b>	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	0
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	0
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	NA
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	NA
Funds committed at this point in time	\$0
<b>Overall Impacts (Acquired &amp; Committed)<sup>15</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	0
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	0
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	NA

<b>Costs<sup>9,16</sup></b>	
Total program budget	\$3,712,000
General Administration <sup>13</sup>	Portfolio
Program Planning <sup>13</sup>	Portfolio
Program Marketing <sup>18</sup>	\$147
Trade Ally Training <sup>18</sup>	\$0
Incentives and Services <sup>14,18</sup>	\$0
Direct Program Implementation <sup>18</sup>	\$15,482
Program Evaluation <sup>18</sup>	\$0
Total expenditures to date <sup>17</sup>	\$106,109
Percent of total budget spent to date	2.86%
<b>Participation<sup>15,17</sup></b>	
Number of program applications received to date	5
Number of program applications processed to date	3
Number of processed applications approved to date	0
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	60.00%
<b>DPS Staff Notes:</b>	
<p><sup>1</sup>DPS Staff needs to work with utilities and NYSERDA to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p><sup>2</sup>There is not currently a consistent list of program type but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed</p> <p><sup>3</sup>First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation (See Definition #1 in <i>Savings Definitions</i> Tab). The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. <b>Acquired</b> kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p><sup>4</sup>Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p><sup>5</sup>Program Administrators should make a best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the monthly goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p><sup>6</sup> Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p> <p><sup>7</sup>The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the year in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will differ for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life are no longer accumulated.</p> <p><sup>8</sup> Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best estimate of what they have committed. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and forecasts should get more precise with program experience, i.e., the difference between achieved and committed should narrow over time.</p> <p><sup>9</sup>These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.</p> <p>Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).</p>	

<sup>10</sup> An application is *processed* once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

<sup>11</sup> The application is *approved* once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

<sup>12</sup> See *CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction Values* tab.

#### **Utility Notes:**

<sup>13</sup> Portfolio Costs are not included in the program budget or actual costs. Consistent with the Companies' July 9, 2009 letter to the Secretary in Cases 07-M-0548 et al. ("July 9 letter") and the Companies' prior and subsequent energy efficiency program submittals, Portfolio Costs are incremental and are incurred as a result of the Companies' ongoing active participation in the New York State Public Service Commission's ("Commission") energy efficiency proceedings. Portfolio Costs allow the Companies to jointly provide efficient support for the complete suite of specific energy efficiency programs ultimately approved by the Commission.

<sup>14</sup> Incentives and services costs are reported based on Company payment of invoices received from program implementation contractors for incentive payments made to program participants. They will thus lag the actual issuance of incentive payments to program participants.

<sup>15</sup> Impacts are calculated using actual installed measure information for all measures.

<sup>16</sup> All program costs identified in this section are recovered through the SBC surcharge unless otherwise noted.

<sup>17</sup> Information presented here may include changes to previously filed monthly scorecard tables based on: review of additional information, reconsideration of eligibility decisions made by the implementation contractor during prior reporting periods, or other factors.

<sup>18</sup> Data contained in these fields reflects the most recent month's expenditures in the respective category, as reported in the companies' accounting systems, not "to date" information.

<b>Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID<sup>1</sup></b>	RG&E Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial Rebate Program
<b>Program Name</b>	RG&E Non-Residential Commercial & Industrial CUSTOM Program
<b>Program Type<sup>2</sup></b>	Custom GAS Rebate
<b>Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month<sup>3,15</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this Month <sup>4</sup>	NA
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year annual <sup>5</sup> kWh Goal)	NA
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	NA
Net Peak <sup>6</sup> kW acquired this Month	NA
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	NA
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	0
Monthly Net Therm Goal	8,605
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	0.00%
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	NA
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	0
<b>Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date<sup>15,17</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date <sup>15</sup>	NA
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	NA
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	NA
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	NA
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	0
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	0.00%
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	NA
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	0
<b>Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date<sup>7,15,17</sup></b>	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	NA
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	0
<b>Committed<sup>8</sup> Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month<sup>15</sup></b>	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	NA
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	NA
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	NA
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	0
Funds committed at this point in time	\$0
<b>Overall Impacts (Acquired &amp; Committed)<sup>15</sup></b>	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	NA
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	NA
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	0

<b>Costs<sup>9,16</sup></b>	
Total program budget	\$553,100
General Administration <sup>13</sup>	Portfolio
Program Planning <sup>13</sup>	Portfolio
Program Marketing <sup>18</sup>	\$24
Trade Ally Training <sup>18</sup>	\$0
Incentives and Services <sup>14,18</sup>	\$0
Direct Program Implementation <sup>18</sup>	\$1,034
Program Evaluation <sup>18</sup>	\$0
Total expenditures to date <sup>17</sup>	\$15,887
Percent of total budget spent to date	2.87%
<b>Participation<sup>15,17</sup></b>	
Number of program applications received to date	0
Number of program applications processed to date	0
Number of processed applications approved to date	0
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	0.00%
<b>DPS Staff Notes:</b>	
<p><sup>1</sup>DPS Staff needs to work with utilities and NYSERDA to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p><sup>2</sup>There is not currently a consistent list of program type but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed</p> <p><sup>3</sup>First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation (See Definition #1 in <i>Savings Definitions</i> Tab). The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. <b>Acquired</b> kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p><sup>4</sup>Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p><sup>5</sup>Program Administrators should make a best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the monthly goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p><sup>6</sup> Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p> <p><sup>7</sup>The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the year in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will differ for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life are no longer accumulated.</p> <p><sup>8</sup> Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best estimate of what they have committed. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and forecasts should get more precise with program experience, i.e., the difference between achieved and committed should narrow over time.</p> <p><sup>9</sup>These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.</p> <p>Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).</p>	

<sup>10</sup> An application is *processed* once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

<sup>11</sup> The application is *approved* once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are cases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

<sup>12</sup> See *CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction Values* tab.

#### **Utility Notes:**

<sup>13</sup> Portfolio Costs are not included in the program budget or actual costs. Consistent with the Companies' July 9, 2009 letter to the Secretary in Cases 07-M-0548 et al. ("July 9 letter") and the Companies' prior and subsequent energy efficiency program submittals, Portfolio Costs are incremental and are incurred as a result of the Companies' ongoing active participation in the New York State Public Service Commission's ("Commission") energy efficiency proceedings. Portfolio Costs allow the Companies to jointly provide efficient support for the complete suite of specific energy efficiency programs ultimately approved by the Commission.

<sup>14</sup> Incentives and services costs are reported based on Company payment of invoices received from program implementation contractors for incentive payments made to program participants. They will thus lag the actual issuance of incentive payments to program participants.

<sup>15</sup> Impacts are calculated using actual installed measure information for all measures.

<sup>16</sup> All program costs identified in this section are recovered through the SBC surcharge unless otherwise noted.

<sup>17</sup> Information presented here may include changes to previously filed monthly scorecard tables based on: review of additional information, reconsideration of eligibility decisions made by the implementation contractor during prior reporting periods, or other factors.

<sup>18</sup> Data contained in these fields reflects the most recent month's expenditures in the respective category, as reported in the companies' accounting systems, not "to date" information.