NEW YORK STATE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Case 11-M-0034 – Proceeding on Motion of the Commission to Commence a Review and Evaluation of the Treatment of the State's Regulated Utilities' Site Investigation and Remediation (SIR) Costs

ANNUAL REPORT CONCERNING THE STATUS OF SITE INVESTIGATION AND REMEDIATION COSTS, SCHEDULES AND REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

KeySpan Gas East Corporation d/b/a National Grid

Prepared By: Charles Willard

Dated: March 31, 2014

ACRONYMNS

AA – Alternatives Analysis CM – Construction Manager CY – Calendar Year FER – Final Engineering Report FS – Feasibility Study MGP - Manufactured Gas Plant NAPL - Non-Aqueous Phase Liquid NYSDEC - New York State Department of Environmental Conservation NYSDOH - New York State Department of Health NYSPSC - New York State Public Service Commission O&M – Operation & Maintenance RA - Remedial Action RD - Remedial Design **RDD** – Remedial Decision Document RFP - Request For Proposal RI – Remedial Investigation ROD – Record of Decision SIR - Site Investigation & Remediation SMP – Site Management Plan USEPA – United States Environmental Protection Agency VAG - Vendor Advisory Group

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

KeySpan Gas East Corporation d/b/a National Grid (the "Company") submits this annual report (the "Report") in accordance with the New York State Public Service Commission's (the "Commission's") Order Concerning Costs for Site Investigation and Remediation, issued November 28, 2012 in Case 11-M-0034 (the "Order"). The report covers calendar year 2013. Pursuant to the requirements of the Order, the Report is organized in general accordance with the template developed by the Department of Public Service Staff ("Staff") with input from the NYS Utilities.

Section 1 provides background and an overview of the Company program, including historical background, Company responsibility and number and location of sites, along with supplemental information provided in Section 5.

Section 2 presents a summary of site investigation and remediation ("SIR") site costs, including data on the impact on customers, cost management and mitigation strategies and an overview of the procurement process. Table 1 provides total cost per site to date, both the anticipated and actual costs for 2013 and the anticipated costs for 2014.

Section 3 provides a summary of the investigation, remediation and post-construction operation, monitoring and maintenance program schedules for the SIR sites. Individual site schedules are provided in Table 2.

Section 4 provides compliance status with NYSDEC and other regulatory agreements.

Site-specific information is provided in Section 5, including location, background, general information, status, schedule and costs. Detailed information is provided in Tables 2 and 3. The Section 5 is arranged by site for ease of review in Appendix A.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. SITE INVESTIGATION AND REMEDIATION (SIR) PROGRAM OVERVIEW
 - 1.1. Historical Background
 - 1.2. Responsibilities of the Company
 - 1.3. Number and Location of Sites
- 2. OVERALL SIR COSTS
 - 2.1. Costs Incurred By Utility To Date
 - 2.2. Impacts On Ratepayers
 - 2.3. General Cost Management and Mitigation Strategies
 - 2.4. Procurement Processes Overview
- 3. ADHERENCE TO ESTABLISHED REMEDIATION SCHEDULES
 - 3.1. SIR Program Schedule Summary
 - 3.2. SIR Program Schedule Changes

4. COMPLIANCE WITH NYSDEC AND OTHER REGULATORY ORDERS AND AGREEMENTS

- 4.1. Non-compliance Sites
- 4.2. Regulatory Requirements Changes
- 5. SITE SPECIFIC REPORTS
 - 5.1. General Site Information
 - 5.2. Site Background
 - 5.3. Status of Site Investigation and Remediation
 - 5.3.1. Status of NYSDEC Remediation Program
 - 5.3.2. Scope of Work
 - 5.3.3. Schedule
 - 5.4. Project Procurement Exceptions
 - 5.5. Project Costs
 - 5.5.1. Project Costs Summary
 - 5.5.2. Changes in Cost
 - 5.5.3. Cost Management and Mitigation Strategies

1. SITE INVESTIGATION AND REMEDIATION (SIR) PROGRAM OVERVIEW

1.1. Historical Background

The Company's SIR program manages the investigation and remediation of environmentally-impacted sites for which the Company (and its predecessor companies) has responsibility. Each site is classified into one of the following two categories: 1) a former manufactured gas plants ("MGPs"), 2) a potentially responsible party ("PRP") sites where the Company allegedly shares some level of responsibility. In general, the Company's obligation to address and remediate potentially impacted sites is regulated under Federal and/or State Superfund laws and other laws and regulations related to liabilities and the control of hazardous wastes or substances.

1.2. Responsibilities of the Company

The Company's SIR role and responsibilities are governed by various environmental statutes, regulations, New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Orders on Consent or Voluntary Cleanup Orders. The Company's site investigation scope, work plans, cleanup, and field work decisions are reviewed and approved and in certain cases expanded or modified by the NYSDEC and USEPA. In particular, the MGP site schedules are controlled by the NYSDEC through its Orders on Consent.

1.3. Number and Location of Sites

The Company is involved with 29 sites, 26 of which were active at the start of 2013. Three sites were closed prior to 2012 and the issuance of the first Annual Report. During 2013 three sites received some form of NYSDEC regulatory closure, many of which have an ongoing regulatory obligation. Often, the NYSDEC regulatory closure requires a Site Management Plan (SMP) which contains conditions such as certification of site use or environmental support requirements for site activities involving soil handling and associated regulatory oversight for the particular site. for certification of site use or environmental support requirements for site activities involving soil handling as required by Site Management Plans for the respective sites. These sites which have ongoing obligations will not be considered closed for purposes of this report if spends are incurred during the calendar reporting year. Sites which have no spend in the current reporting year and have no future expected anticipated spends will be considered closed. Thus, only one site was considered closed during 2013 and 25 remain active. Of the 25 sites, only one site, Belle Harbor, is a PRP site.

The locations of the MGP sites are provided in the site-specific reports in Section 5. The MGP sites are located in the Company service territory, which consists of Nassau and Suffolk counties plus the Rockaway Peninsula in Queens County (Long Island).

2. OVERALL SIR COSTS

2.1. Costs Incurred By Utility To Date

The total SIR cost incurred by the Company in calendar year 2013 was \$46 million. The total SIR cost incurred to date is \$402 million. The total cost to date for the active sites listed in Table 1 is \$398 million. The current estimate to complete the Company SIR program is \$103 million. The estimate to complete the program is based on current assumptions to conclude the site investigations, remediation and, where required, provide post-remediation monitoring. This estimate is re-evaluated quarterly based on newly collected data and decisions by the NYSDEC or USEPA.

Table 1 presents the total SIR costs to date, projected costs for 2013, actual costs for 2013, and total projected costs for 2014 by site based on the NYSDEC schedules provided in Table 2. The spending for 2013 includes year-end accruals, consistent with Company policies. Company policies require accruing for outstanding costs in excess of \$100,000 per contract or expense. The net accruals for 2013 were \$0.6 million.

The total projected and total actual spending for 2013 in Table 1 is \$46 million. Historically, unforeseen delays in project activities have resulted in under spending select site budgets as discussed in Section 3. The difference in projected and actual spending is presented by project in Section 5. Overall, the primary drivers for the difference in spending was driven by the Company's ability to secure access to private property, secure permits and address permit conditions and achieve appropriate regulatory and or third party approvals in a timeframe consistent with the anticipated site schedule. The total projected spending for 2014 is \$15 million. More than seventy percent of the 2014 projected spending is for five sites in various stages of remedial construction. Delays or a change in scope for any of these projects, particularly for Patchogue, will substantially impact the 2014 projection. The spending is expected to be lower in 2014 as the duration and magnitude of construction projects are considerably less than in 2013 and two ongoing projects extending into 2014 are nearing completion.

In addition, spend and schedule forecasts for PRP sites have greater uncertainty given the inherent lower level of control for any one party in the group.

2.2. Impacts on Customers

The Company recovered \$3.101 million of SIR related expenses in base rates in calendar year 2013. Additionally, the Company recovered \$40.548 million through its SIR surcharge mechanism which, was approved by commission order ("Order Authorizing Recovery of Deferred Balances" Case 06-G-1185 and Case 06-G-1186, issued and effective November 28, 2102), in November 2012. The Commission order authorized an annual SIR Surcharge of \$40 million to recover environmental costs incurred prior to January 1, 2013. The Company does not currently have a rate filing pending review by the commission.

2.3. General Cost Management and Mitigation Strategies

Pursuant to the Order, the State's major electric and gas utilities (the "Utilities") were directed to formalize and file with the Commission an inventory of best practices for SIR cost containment. The Utilities filed the inventory with the Commission on March 28, 2013. In keeping with the Utilities' inventory, Company-specific best practices relative to procurement, changes in regulations and site-specific management are provided in Sections 2.4, 4.2 and 5, respectively.

Best practices not covered elsewhere in the report include:

Sharing of research with other Utilities relative to remediation and risk

In 2013, the Company continued its participation in joint research (co-funded by NYSEARCH, the research arm of the Northeast Gas Association) regarding potential vapor intrusion and bioavailability of MGP residuals in sediments. The potential for vapor intrusion into dwellings via the subslab is a relatively new contaminated site concern. Both NYSDEC and USEPA have drafted guidance and required sampling in the past 8 years in response to site specific issues at a few identified non-MGP sites. In the past 5 years, the Utilities developed a database of vapor sampling at New York State MGP sites that indicates there are no vapor issues at MGP sites under normal conditions. In 2013, the Utilities have met and continue to work with the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) to develop a position paper reflecting the Utilities' database.

Sediment remediation at MGP sites in New York State was a subject of prolonged discussion and study arising from differences of opinion regarding clean-up standards. The Company was concerned that clean-up standards for MGP residuals were overly conservative, potentially resulting in overly expensive remediation at several sites. In 2005, the Company initiated research to determine the level of contamination that affects benthic (sediment dwelling) organisms. The research was co-funded by NYSEARCH and other large industrial companies which operate in New York State. The 2011 NYSDEC remedy selection for the legacy Niagara Mohawk's Hudson (Water Street) sediments reflected this research, saving customers millions of dollars over previous standards while being equally protective of human health and the environment. In 2012, the Utilities engaged the NYSDEC Department of Fish and Wildlife to adopt these findings.

Sediment remediation technologies continue to emerge. In 2013, the Company working with other utilities through the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), participated in a pilot test to evaluate in-situ stabilization (ISS) of sediments. The pilot test field efforts were conducted in November and December 2013 in Holyoke, Massachusetts in the Connecticut River. The pilot test included the stabilization of sediment from a barge through approximately 17 feet of water. Auger mixing techniques were used to mix Portland cement, blast furnace slag, and an anti-washout additive with the sediment to create ISS columns of various lengths. The results of the ISS pilot test are currently being evaluated.

In 2013, the Utilities held a joint meeting through the Environmental Energy Alliance of NY at the Company's offices in North Albany. The purpose of the meeting was to exchange best practices and obtain an update on the research discussed above.

Use of pre-approved waste facilities

To ensure appropriate disposal of wastes, the Company only uses facilities approved by the Company's Vendor Advisory Group (VAG). The VAG is a cross-functional group comprised of National Grid employees from the SIR, Environmental Compliance, Legal and Procurement Departments that selects vendors through a prequalification and auditing process.

Environmental due diligence reviews

The Company has a process for performing due diligence prior to purchasing, leasing or divesting of property in accordance with internal procedures to minimize the risk of environmental liabilities arising from property use and/or ownership.

2.4. Procurement Processes Overview

The Company manages SIR procurement activities in accordance with Company policies and accepted industry practice. The Procurement Department, working with SIR, is responsible for procurement activities. Delegation of Authority is required prior to entering into contracts, with defined progressive delegation levels based on contract value. Delegated approval amounts are established for the SIR Area Manager, SIR Director, Vice President of Environmental Services, Vice President Customer & Business Strategy, US Sanctioning Committee and US Board. Separate, progressive approvals are also required within the Procurement Department based on contract value.

Procurement of consultants and contractors differ due to nature and scope of the work. The scope of work for contractor work is typically well defined in bid specifications based on prior field studies and approved by the NYSDEC. The scope of work for consultants is defined with the immediate project scope of work which may expand due to the progressive nature of the work. The scope changes following the collection of new data and direction set by the NYSDEC. As more data is collected, it is often advantageous to keep the same consultant on the project due to reduced transaction costs and the benefit of consultant's site knowledge.

Contractors

Contractor work relating to environmental response activities are subject to a projectspecific competitive bid selection process, with the exception of a select number of projects performed under Blanket Construction Contracts. Blanket Construction Contracts are established through competitive bids for rates and equipment and are utilized for smaller, time and material construction projects and routine site support work at select sites. During the project-specific competitive bid process, a request for proposal (RFP) is issued to usually three to six pre-qualified vendors. Final vendor selection is based on specific criteria such as cost, technical merit, and personnel qualifications.

Exceptions to these standard procedures are made in situations where it is necessary to maintain vendor consistency through multi-phased assessment/remediation projects or to implement immediate or short-term response to imminent hazards when there is not time for a competitive bid process. For work not competitively bid or performed under a Blanket Construction Contract, the reasons for not competitively bidding the work are clearly documented and must be approved by Procurement as an exception to the usual bid process.

On most large scale construction projects, a full-time construction manager actively monitors project work scope and progress to provide assurance that the remedial construction is performed in conformance with the contract documents. The construction manager is also responsible for cost control, including change order review and approval, and invoice review and approval. On most large scale remediation projects, the majority of the contractor activities are typically conducted using lump sum tasks or loaded unit rates, making direct verification of labor expended by the contractor unnecessary based on the payment structure. However, where certain activities are being billed on a time and material basis, the construction manager is required to verify the labor and/or materials expended. On small construction projects, the project manager and project consultant (engineer) are responsible for monitoring project activity, including contractor staffing levels and invoice review.

For large construction projects, Company Project Managers are typically present onsite for weekly project meetings and often at other less predictable times to observe project activities and address project business. Project Managers periodically check site entry logs, tailgate logs, attend tailgate safety meetings and generally observe construction activities at the site.

Consultants

Since August 2009, the Company has used a Master Services Agreements (MSAs) for routine consulting work with five consulting firms following a comprehensive competitive sourcing effort. Consultants were initially evaluated based on their qualifications and competitive rates. We then negotiated MSAs with the five consulting firms that offered the best value for the services we need while taking advantage of the work volume discounts afforded to the National Grid USA companies. These contracts are re-evaluated annually.

Where specialty consulting is needed, particularly in support of legal matters, interviews are conducted and rates established with a single vendor in consideration of the specialty services required.

Consultants provide site-specific proposals, along with Work Authorization Forms for new assignments, followed by Change Notifications to address changes to the scope of work. The proposed scope is reviewed by the SIR Company Project Manager and passed onto management for review and approval as described in the first paragraph. Because the Company Project Manager is in frequent communication with the consultant, receives work products and periodically observes the field work, they verify the work completed in the monthly invoice. A project analyst is tasked with verifying that the invoiced items and rates are consistent with the MSA contract.

3. ADHERENCE TO ESTABLISHED REMEDIATION SCHEDULES

3.1. SIR Program Schedule Summary

The MGP site schedules under Orders on Consent are reviewed and updated annually with the NYSDEC. Due to the inherent uncertainty with MGP sites the overall schedule through program completion is not estimated but rather reviewed on a site-by-site basis with emphasis on the prior year, current year, and upcoming year. The schedule set for the upcoming year (provided in Table 2) is estimated based on a NYS fiscal year (April 1 – March 31) prior to the fiscal year start. The annual schedule is based on an "early start" basis which does not account for many potential delays associated with the work.

Therefore, budgeting for the completion of all tasks contained in the NYSDEC schedule in a given year could result in a significant overestimation of the annual budget. To more accurately forecast spending, the budget presented in Table 3 is based on the annual NYSDEC schedule, but is adjusted (reduced) based on the likelihood of potential delays.

In general, for 2013, the Company experienced the following schedule milestones since the previous reporting date:

- Record of Decisions (RODs)/Remedial Decision Documents (RDDs) received 0 sites
- Remedial construction work completed 5 sites Bay Shore MGP, Hempstead MGP, Lynbrook Holder soil IRM, Glen Cove MGP LIPA Substation support and East Hampton Hortonsphere site.
- NYSDEC Regulatory Closure 3 sites East Hampton Hortonsphere, Glenwood Landing Holder and Lynbrook Holder.
- No Further Reporting Obligation 1 site Long Beach (DEC Regulatory Closure 2010, No spend in CY 2013, Site will no longer be tracked in this report.

Please note the following phase descriptions that are used in Table 2:

• Site Characterization - includes project investigation up to regulatory approval of the final Site Characterization report

- Remedial Investigation includes project investigation following approval of final Site Characterization report to regulatory approval of final Remedial Investigation Report
- Remedial Planning includes project activities from regulatory approval of final Remedial Investigation report to regulatory approval of final Remedial Design and retaining a Remedial Construction contractor. This includes Feasibility Study report preparation, Alternative Analysis reporting, pre-design investigation activities, remedial design preparation, and remedial construction bidding
- Remedial Action include project activities from award of remedial construction to a contractor to regulatory approval of the Final Engineering Report and Site Management Plan (including O&M Plan, please note the Environmental Easement or Deed Restriction may be pending)
- O&M includes post remediation operation & maintenance and monitoring and any work performed under a regulatory approved Site Management Plan after completion of the remediation

3.2. SIR Program Schedule Changes

For a summary of sites that experienced a schedule deviation, please see Table 2. A description of the schedule deviation is presented in Appendix A.

4. COMPLIANCE WITH NYSDEC AND OTHER REGULATORY ORDERS AND AGREEMENTS

4.1. Non-compliance Sites

None of the company sites were identified as being out of compliance with NYSDEC or other regulatory orders and agreements in the reporting period.

4.2. Regulatory Requirements Changes

There were no significant changes to regulatory requirements in 2013 that affected the Company's SIR program.

5. SITE SPECIFIC REPORTS

The site specific reports are provided in Appendix A. Acronyms referenced in this section were provided earlier in this report.

Appendix A

Section 5 – Site Specific Reports

Section 5. SITE SPECIFIC REPORTS	
5.1 General Site Information	
Site Name: Babylon MGP Site (Non-owned) NYSDEC Site ID:	152181
Site location: 55 Evergreen St., West Babylon, NY 11704	PRP: N/A
5.2 Site Background	
Scope of SIR Work for the Site:	
The Babylon MGP was constructed and began operating in 1911. Gas production was 10 N a small plant. The plant was used as an auxiliary plant and operated only in emergencies 1930s. It was used as a distribution facility until 1961. The site has been occupied by vari- businesses (e.g. Storm window manufacturer, fluorescent light manufacturer, and fuel oil owned by a third party. ROD or RDD Requirements: N/A	from circa 1913 to the ous commercial
Status of Compliance with ROD or RDD:	
Ν/Α	
5.3 Status of Site Investigation and Remediation:	
5.3.1 Status of NYSDEC Remediation Program	
NYSDEC approved the Remedial Investigation Report for the site in January of 2013. Son impacts were identified during the RI phase which will be evaluated in the FS phase to de remedy for the site.	-
5.3.2 Scope of Work	
National Grid developed the Feasibility Study in 2013 to determine the proposed remediat The FS will be submitted to NYSDEC in 2014.	ion plan for the site.
5.3.3 Schedule	
The planned activities were completed consistent with the project schedule.	
5.4 Project Procurement Exceptions	
No project awards deviated from those practices described in Section 2.4.	
5.5 Project Costs	
5.5.1 Project Costs Summary The anticipated costs for this site were \$175,000. The actual costs in 2013 were \$70,847 2014 is \$80,000.	. The projected cost for
5.5.2 Changes in Costs	
The total SIR costs were lower than the anticipated cost but exceeded the defined variance	res due to slower
progress than expected with the FS development and review process.	
5.5.3 Cost Management and Mitigation Strategies	
The company did not identify cost management or mitigation strategies for the site beyon	d those mentioned in
Section 2.3.	

5.1 General	Site In	nformation				
Site Name:		Shore MGP		NYSDEC Site ID:	152172	
Site location:		Fifth Avenue, Bay S	Shore/1 Orinoco Drive,	Brightwaters, NY	PRP:	NA
5.2 Site Bac Scope of SIR V						
The Bay Shore Suffolk county area, adjacent 2003. For pur offsite ground feedstock mat water from the	MGP Site The pl to the M poses of water plu erials and e main p	te is located on Long lant operated from 1 Montauk branch of th f management, the s ume which originated d off-site groundwat lant (OU1). All major	889-1973. The site is the Long Island Rail Ro site has been divided in d from OU1, OU3-Brig ter plume and OU4-for r remedial construction	of Bay Shore, which is loc located in a mixed comm ad. The Remedial Investig nto four operable units: Of htwaters Yard which was mer offsite cesspool area has been completed in a aintenance and monitoring	ercial and re ation was co U1-Main Site used for stou which receiv all four opera	esidential ompleted ir e, OU2- rage and ved waste
ROD or RDD R						
	ly 2008.	. A series of IRM's		npleted as well as the req in OU's 1, 2 ,3 & 4 which		
Status of Com	pliance v	with ROD or RDD: Ac	ctivities in OU-1 are in	compliance with the RAP	and OU2 wit	th the RDD
5.3 Status o	of Site I	Investigation an	d Remediation:			
		C Remediation Progr				
Phase III of th adjacent to the the OU-1 work groundwater t	e OU-1 e wester scope h reatmen in OU1 a	RAP is being discuss in boundary of the ba has been supplement t has been implement and OU3 in 2013 Th	ed with the NYSDEC. arrier wall, which resu ted with groundwater nted in OU1 & OU3. T	I and II of the OU-1 RAP I Additional source materia Ited in the Phase IV excav treatment via oxygen inje wo supplemental groundw ater Monitoring and OM&	l was identif vation. In th ction. Supp vater treatme	ied ne interim lemental ent systems
5.3.2 Scope of There have be		eviations from the N	YSDEC-approved work	plan.		
5.3.3 Schedule The planned a		were completed con	sistent with the projec	t schedule.		
5.4 Proiect	Procur	ement Exception	ns			
			actices described in Se	ection 2.4.		
5.5 Project	Costs					
5.5.1 Project C	Costs Sur					
	d costs f	for this site were \$6,	650,000. The actual of	ost in 2013 was \$5,536,9	18. The pro	jected cost
5.5.2 Changes	in Costs	5				
			nticipated cost but exc	eded the defined variance	es because	the

The total SIR costs were lower than the anticipated cost but exceeded the defined variances because the competitive bid for the oxygen injection systems provided a lower cost for the project than anticipated by the engineering estimates. Additionally, third party access to a private property along with inclement weather resulted in a phase of the work being postponed until Spring 2014.

5.5.3 Cost Management and Mitigation Strategies

The Company did not identify cost management or mitigation strategies for this site beyond those mentioned in Section 2.3.

Section 5. SITE SI	PECIFIC REPORTS		
5.1 General Site I	nformation		
Site Name: Belle	e Harbor Site (non-owned)	NYSDEC Site ID:	V00490
Site location:	112-15 Beach Channel Drive, Rockaway, New	' York	PRP: Yes (MGP)
E 2 Sito Backgrou			
5.2 Site Backgrou Scope of SIR Work for			
The Belle Harbor site is Site is managed by Th Order with the NYSDE Site is adjacent to Nat MGP site was identifie	is located on 112-15 Beach Channel Drive in Rome Great Atlantic and Pacific Tea Company (A&FC to perform a site wide investigation associated in the Site. An offsited at the Site. National Grid entered into license elated to the Rockaway Park MGP.	P). A&P entered into a ed with a chlorinated so e disposal area related	Voluntary Consent Ivent release. The to the Rockaway Park
ROD or RDD Requiren	nents:		
Status of Compliance	with ROD or RDD:		
N/A			
	The second second second second second		
	Investigation and Remediation:		
	oluntary Consent Order with the NYSDEC to pe	rform a site investigatio	n on the Belle Harbor
	e remedial investigation phase with the work be		
			· ·
5.3.2 Scope of Work			
National Grid will cont Rockaway Park MGP.	inue to support A&P in their efforts to investiga	ate the area for wastes a	associated with the
5.3.3 Schedule			
	s were completed by others.		
	· · ·		
	rement Exceptions		
No project awards dev	viated from those practices described in Section	า 2.4.	
E E Drojact Casta			
5.5 Project Costs 5.5.1 Project Costs Su	mmany		
	for this site were \$65,000. The actual cost in 2	2013 was \$36,066. The	projected cost for
F F D Changes in Cost	-		
5.5.2 Changes in Cost	s ere within the defined variances.		
5.5.3 Cost Manageme	nt and Mitigation Strategies		
	identify cost management and mitigation strate	egies for the site beyond	those mentioned in

Section 5. SITE SPECIFIC REPORTS		
5.1 General Site Information		
	NYSDEC Site ID:	130180
Site Location: Newbridge Road and Grand Ave., Bellmore, Nev	w York	PRP: No
5.2 Site Background		
Scope of SIR Work for the Site:	n The adia cant area	autian ana muimanuih (
The site is currently owned by LIPA and serves as an electric substation residential / commercial and a LIRR parking lot is located to the south		
utility operations on the property.	of the property. There	
ROD or RDD Requirements:		
N/A		
Status of Compliance with ROD or RDD:		
N/A		
E 2 Status of Site Investigation and Domodiation		
5.3 Status of Site Investigation and Remediation: 5.3.1 Status of NYSDEC Remediation Program		
A Site Characterization (SC) Report has been completed and no remedi	liation is required for th	ne site 🛛 Site
Management Plan (SMP) is required and will be completed as part of the		ic site. A site
5.3.2 Scope of Work		
There has been a delay in completing the SMP per the NYSDEC-approv		
need for the SMP. Support has been provided to LIPA in support of sul	bstation construction a	activities.
5.3.3 Schedule The SMP was not completed consistent with the project schedule due t	to oppoing discussions	with the NVCDEC to
determine if an SMP is necessary for the site.		
5.4 Project Procurement Exceptions		
No project awards deviated from those practices described in Section 2	2.4.	
5.5 Project Costs		
5.5.1 Project Costs Summary		
The anticipated cost for this site was \$64,000. The actual cost in 2013	was \$45,914. The pro	ject cost for 2014 is
\$15,000.		
5.5.2 Changes in Costs		
The total SIR costs were within the defined variances.		
5.5.3 Cost Management and Mitigation Strategies		
The Company did not identify cost management or mitigation strategies	es for this site beyond	those mentioned in
Section 2.3.	-	

Section 5. SI	TE SF	PECIFIC REPORTS				
5.1 General S	Site I	nformation				
Site Name:	-	Hampton Hortonsphere		NYSDEC Site ID:	152213	
Site Location:		Race Lane and Railroad Avenue, East H	ampto	on, New York	PRP:	No
5.2 Site Back	-					
Scope of SIR We						
compressor stat properties are p	ion an rimaril	wned by LIPA and serves as an electric so d Hortonsphere on the east side of the si y commercial and residential. The LIRR (ric and gas utility operations on the prope	ite un Monta	der an easement with	LIPA. The ad	djacent
ROD or RDD Re	quiren	nents:				
N/A						
	iance v	with ROD or RDD:				
N/A						
	C 'L-					
		Investigation and Remediation:				
		C Remediation Program (SC) has been completed for the site.	An In	torim Romodial Moacu	ro (IDM) ic n	lannad ta
		ated soils which will permit closure of the				
		not to sue was received from the NYSDE				
				-,,		
5.3.2 Scope of V	Vork					
There have been	n no d	eviations from the NYSDEC-approved SC	work	plan.		
5.3.3 Schedule						
The planned act	ivities	were completed consistent with the proj	ect sc	hedule.		
F 4 Ducie at D						
-		r ement Exceptions viated from those practices described in S	`oction			
	us uev	fated from those practices described in s	section	1 2.4.		
5.5 Project C	nsts					
5.5.1 Project Co		mmary				
		for this site were \$131,000. The actual co	ost in	2013 was \$124,266. T	The project c	ost for
E E 2 Changes i	a Cost	-				
5.5.2 Changes in		ere within the defined variances.				
	515 WC	re within the defined variances.				
5.5.3 Cost Mana	Igemei	nt and Mitigation Strategies				
		identify cost management or mitigation s	strated	gies for this site bevor	nd those men	tioned in
Section 2.3.		, 5 5	•	,		

Section 5. SITE SPECIFIC REPORTS		
5.1 General Site Information		
Site Name: East Garden City, Stewart Ave. Holder Station	NYSDEC Site ID:	Х
Site location: Stewart Ave., East Garden City, New Yor		PRP: N/A
5.2 Site Background		
Scope of SIR Work for the Site:		
The East Garden City site is located on Stewart Avenue and Eas		
Island Power Authority (LIPA). A LIPA electric substation, and a		
located on the property. A site characterization was completed	or the site and resulted	in a no further action
determination from the NYSDEC.		
ROD or RDD Requirements:		
N/A		
Status of Compliance with ROD or RDD:		
N/A		
5.3 Status of Site Investigation and Remediation:		
5.3.1 Status of NYSDEC Remediation Program		
A site characterization was completed for the site and resulted i		
NYSDEC. A Site Management Plan (SMP) and Deed Restriction		
to provide a framework for managing any future excavation and		site that may encounter
residual contaminated soil identified during the Site Characteriza	lion.	
5.3.2 Scope of Work		
The SC phase has been completed. The SMP and Deed Restrict	on are being developed	the Company is working
with LIPA to ensure any future excavation work is completed in		
with LIPA will be developed to complete the required property s		5
5.3.3 Schedule		
The planned activities were completed consistent with the proje	t schedule.	
E 4 Ducient Ducenus and Exceptions		
5.4 Project Procurement Exceptions No project awards deviated from those practices described in Se	tion 2.4	
	.0011 2.4.	
5.5 Project Costs		
5.5.1 Project Costs Summary		
The anticipated costs for this site were \$30,000. The actual costs	s in 2013 were \$23.053	3. The projected cost for
2014 is \$37,000.		
5.5.2 Changes in Costs		
The total SIR costs were within the defined variances		
5.5.3 Cost Management and Mitigation Strategies		
The company did not identify cost management and mitigation	trategies for the site be	yond those mentioned in
Section 2.3.		

Section 5. SITE SPECIFIC REPORTS		
5.1 General Site Information		
Site Name: Edgemere MGP	NYSDEC Site ID:	V00147
Site location: 53 rd Street and Rockaway Beach E	Blvd, Edgemere, New York	PRP: N/A
5.2 Site Background		
Scope of SIR Work for the Site:		
The site is an active electrical substation located on Rock		
New York. The property is currently owned by Long Islar primarily commercial. During fall of 1995, an electrical transmission of the second se		
in the release of 2,000 gallons of non-hazardous mineral		
sampling as part of the remedial activities related to the s		
material, including fill that appears to be from former ma	nufactured gas plants (MGP). Sam	
in 1997. A Voluntary Cleanup Agreement was executed of	on April 19, 1998.	
ROD or RDD Requirements:		
N/A		
Status of Compliance with ROD or RDD:		
approval/A		
5.3 Status of Site Investigation and Remediat	ion:	
5.3.1 Status of NYSDEC Remediation Program		
A series of remedial activities were performed at the Site.		
mineral oil was recovered using two three-foot diameter of		
submitted in 1998. In 1998, excavations were performed		
cover was installed. A draft Final Engineering Report (FE Arverne Substation was constructed at the Site above the		
collected at the site perimeter in accordance with the con		
clean soil cover were collected in 2012 to confirm the cap		ation samples of the
· · ·	5 /	
5.3.2 Scope of Work		
Final Site Management Plan (SMP) is pending LIPA appro		
Substation work has been approved by LIPA. Revised FE		
the SMP is approved, the next required event will be a pe FER.	riodic inspection 18 months after ti	ne approval of the
5.3.3 Schedule		
The planned activities were completed consistent with the	e project schedule.	
5.4 Project Procurement Exceptions		
No project awards deviated from those practices describe	d in Section 2.4.	
5.5 Project Costs		
5.5.1 Project Costs Summary		
The anticipated costs for Edgemere were \$35,000. The a	ctual costs in 2013 were \$38,942.	The projected cost
for 2014 is \$18,000.		
5.5.2 Changes in Costs		
The total SIR costs were within the defined variances.		
5.5.3 Cost Management and Mitigation Strategies		
The company did not identify cost management and mitig	ation strategies for the site beyond	d those mentioned in
Section 2.3.		

11 Section 5. SITE SPECIFIC REPORTS		
5.1 General Site Information		
Site Name: Far Rockaway MGP (non owned)	NYSDEC Site ID:	241032
Site location: 1200 – 1224 Brunswick Avenue, Far Rockaway	, NY	PRP: N/A
	·	
5.2 Site Background		
Scope of SIR Work for the Site:		
The Far Rockaway MGP is a one acre site located in a mixed commerc	ial and residential area	north side of
Brunswick Avenue between Beach 12th Street and Milton Avenue in Fa	ar Rockaway, Queens,	New York. The site
is flat and has three two-story buildings used for warehousing, shippin		
contains paved parking lots and landscaped areas. To the north of the		
the railroad tracks are commercial buildings. To the east and south ar	re residential properties	s. The site is not
owned by the Company.		
ROD or RDD Requirements:		
The ROD requires source material excavation including MGP related s		
below ground surface; offsite transportation and disposal of soil; back soil cover placement to allow for commercial use of the site; monitored		
necessary, using in-situ treatment to enhance natural attenuation; and		
environmental easement.	a site management p	
Status of Compliance with ROD or RDD:		
The site is in compliance with the ROD.		
5.3 Status of Site Investigation and Remediation:		
5.3.1 Status of NYSDEC Remediation Program		
The project is in the remedial action phase. The remedial field work is	s scheduled to end in F	ebruary of 2014
The SMP and FER are scheduled to be prepared in 2014. Groundwate		
		<u>qui cu ici uic citoi</u>
5.3.2 Scope of Work		
The scope of work is progressing in accordance with the ROD.		
5.3.3 Schedule		
The planned activities commenced ahead of schedule. The project pro	oceeded at a pace slow	er than anticipated
due to local permitting and community issues.	-	-
5.4 Project Procurement Exceptions		
No project awards deviated from the practices described in Section 2.4	4.	
5.5 Project Costs		
5.5.1 Project Costs Summary		
The anticipated costs for Far Rockaway were \$4,750,000. The actual	costs in 2013 were \$4,	111,196. The
projected cost for 2014 is \$1,212,991.		
5.5.2 Changes in Costs		
The total SIR costs were lower than the anticipated cost but exceeded	the defined variances	due to address local
permitting and community concerns extending the period of implement	ntation into the next ye	ar.
5.5.3 Cost Management and Mitigation Strategies		
The company did not identify cost management or mitigation strategie	es for this site beyond t	hose mentioned in
Section 2.3.		

Section 5. SITE SPECIFIC REPORTS		
5.1 General Site Information		
Site Name: Glen Cove MGP NYSD	C Site ID: 13008)
Site Location: Grove Street and Stanco Street, Glen Cove, New Yor	K PRP:	No
5.2 Site Background		
Scope of SIR Work for the Site:		Th -
The site is a former Manufactured Gas Plant site owned by LIPA and serves adjacent properties are primarily residential and commercial. There are actively adjacent properties are primarily residential and commercial.		
		ne property.
ROD or RDD Requirements:		
The site is subject to the requirements identified in the NYSDEC issued Marc	h 2010 Remedial Decisio	on Document
(RDD). The remedy includes excavation and off-site transport/treatment of		
from collection wells, if present; groundwater treatment at the site perimeter	r; institutional controls;	and
development of a Site Management Plan.		
Status of Compliance with ROD or RDD:		
The Company is in compliance with the RDD.		
5.3 Status of Site Investigation and Remediation:		
5.3.1 Status of NYSDEC Remediation Program		
The remedial action has been broken into two construction phases- the Pha	se 1 excavation was com	pleted and
the tar recovery is in progress. Phase 2 will include the installation of the gr		
LIPA's work to expand their existing electric substation. SMP activities were	completed in support of	the initial
phase of the LIPA substation construction.		
5.3.2 Scope of Work		
There have been no deviations from the approved remediation plan.		
5.3.3 Schedule		
The planned activities were completed consistent with the project schedule.		
5 4 Project Producement Exceptions		
5.4 Project Procurement Exceptions No project awards deviated from those practices described in Section 2.4.		
5.5 Project Costs		
5.5.1 Project Costs Summary		
The anticipated cost for this site was \$250,000. The actual cost in 2013 was	\$569,620. The project of	cost for 2014
is \$855,500.		
E E D Changes in Costs		
5.5.2 Changes in Costs	defined veriences due to	222222
The total SIR costs were higher than the anticipated cost but exceeded the National Grid support for LIPA substation construction activities, which took		
		anticipated.
5.5.3 Cost Management and Mitigation Strategies		
The Company did identify cost management or mitigation strategies for this	site beyond those ment	oned in
Section 2.3. They include, more frequent communication with LIPA project		
needs, identification of methods for waste minimization, and tighter schedul		
LIPA's contractors.		

Section 5 SITE SI	PECIFIC REPORTS				
5.1 General Site I					
	wood Landing Gas Plant		NYSDEC Site ID:	X V00351	
Site location:	Shore Road Glenwood Land	dina New York	NISDLE SILE ID.	PRP:	N/A
	Shore Road Glerwood Land	ang, new ronk			
5.2 Site Backgrou	ind				
Scope of SIR Work for					
	g Gas Plant is located on Sho	ore Road in Glenwo	od Landing New York	. The prope	ertv
	cation of a modern natural ga				
	ad, and a propane storage ta				
	ric generators were installed				
	ast of the National Grid prop				
	reement (VCA) for the site.				
	anating from upgradient off-s				
	ed to former operations at the				
	onal Grid. A site managemer				or the site,
which requires excava	ation work in certain areas of			'IP.	
ROD or RDD Requiren	ments				
N/A					
Status of Compliance	with ROD or RDD:				
N/A					
5.3 Status of Site	Investigation and Rem	nediation:			
5.3.1 Status of NYSDE	EC Remediation Program				
	work and soil remediation w				
	e NYSDEC. A Site Managem				
	e a framework for managing				
	ntaminated soil at the site. R	elease and covenai	nt not to sue was rec	eived from t	he NYSDEC
on 4/24/2013.					
5.3.2 Scope of Work					
•	om the VCA has been comple	ted, and the SMP a	nd deed restriction w	ere complet	ed for the
site.		,		-	
5.3.3 Schedule					
•	were completed consistent	with the project sch	nedule.		
	rement Exceptions				
	viated from those practices d	lescribed as Section	n 2.4.		
5.5 Project Costs					
5.5.1 Project Costs Su	•				
-	for this site were \$10,000.	The actual costs in 2	2013 were \$3,891. T	he projected	d cost for
2014 is \$10,000.					
E E 2 Changes in Cost					
5.5.2 Changes in Cost	s ere within the defined varian	res			
5 5 3 Cost Manageme	ent and Mitigation Strategies				
	identify cost management ar	nd mitigation strate	aies for the site hevo	nd those me	entioned in
Section 2.3.	identity cost management a		Sico for the site beyo		

Section 5. S	ITE SPECIFIC REPO	ORTS			
5.1 General	Site Information				
Site Name:	Halesite MGP		NYSDEC Site ID:	152173	
Site location:	North New York	Ave. & Youngs Hill Rd., Hun	tington, NY	PRP:	NA
5.2 Site Bac	karound				
	/ork for the Site:				
The Halesite M	GP site is located on Lon	ng Island in Northwest Suffoll residential area adjacent to H			
		ubstation on the western port			
		C approved the Remedial Act			
construction wa	as completed in 2009. T	The remediation consisted of	several excavations to	remove sou	rce materia
		reatment system to treat off-	site groundwater impa	cts and prev	ent the
discharge of co	ntaminated water into H	Huntington Harbor.			
ROD or RDD Re	equirements:				
		P have been completed. The	Final Engineering Rep	ort will be is	sued once
	ement Plan has been ap		5 5 1		
Status of Comp	liance with ROD or RDD	: Halesite MGP is in compliar	nce with the RAP		
-					
	f Site Investigation NYSDEC Remediation Pr				
			is summarity in the sum		
and OM&M pha		GP site is complete. The site	is currently in the grou	indwater mo	onitoring
5.3.2 Scope of	Work				
No deviation fro	om the Halesite RAP has	s occurred.			
5.3.3 Schedule					
The planned ac	tivities were completed	consistent with the project se	chedule.		
	Procurement Except		<u>.</u>		
No project awa	ards deviated from those	e practices described in Section	on 2.4.		
5.5 Project (Costs				
5.5.1 Project Co					
The anticipated is \$322,500.	cost for this site was \$2	255,000. The actual cost in 2	013 was \$272,228. Th	e project co	st for 2014
5.5.2 Changes	in Costs				
	osts were within the def	fined variances.			
5.5.3 Cost Man	agement and Mitigation	Strategies			
		nagement or mitigation strate	gies for this site beyor	nd those me	ntioned in
	,		/ -	-	
Section 2.3.					

Section 5. SI	TE SPECIFIC REPO	ORTS			
	Site Information				
Site Name:	Hempstead – Clinton	Road MGP	NYSDEC Site ID:	130106	
Site location:	North Clinton St	treet, Hempstead, New York		PRP:	No
5.2 Site Back					
Scope of SIR W					
	. The adjacent properti	arking garage associated wit es are primarily commercial			
ROD or RDD Re	quirements:				
N/A					
· · · ·	iance with ROD or RDD):			
N/A					
		and Remediation:			
	NYSDEC Remediation P	rogram completed and no remediatic	n is required for the site	A Cito Mon	agomont
Plan (SMP) is in				e. A Site Mail	agement
	<u></u>				
5.3.2 Scope of V	Vork				
There have bee	n no deviations from th	e NYSDEC-approved SC wor	k plan.		
5.3.3 Schedule					
Annual certificat	ions are performed wit	h the property owner and su	bmitted to NYSDEC.		
5 4 Project P	rocurement Excep	tions			
		e practices described in Section	2 A		
		produces described in Section	JI 2.1.		
5.5 Project C	osts				
5.5.1 Project Co					
		\$5,000. The actual cost in 20)13 was \$5,874. The pro	ject cost for	2014 is
\$5,000.				-	
5.5.2 Changes in					
The total SIR co	sts were within the def	fined variances.			
E E 2 Coot Marr	and Mitigation	Stratagios			
	gement and Mitigation	strategies nagement or mitigation strate	aios for this site hover	d those menti	ionod in
Section 2.3.	u not identify cost fildf	agement of milligation strate	cyres for this site beyond		
<u> </u>					

11 Section 5. SITE SPECIFIC REPORTS						
5.1 General Site Information						
Site Name:	Hem	pstead MGP Site	NYSDEC Site ID:	X 130086		
Site location: 47 Intersection St, Hempstead, NY			PRP:	N/A		
5.2 Site Background						

Scope of SIR Work for the Site:

The Hempstead MGP site is located in the villages of Garden City, and Hempstead in Nassau County, Long Island New York. The site is bordered by a mix of residential, commercial and industrial properties, and an unused railroad right-of-way with 13 KV and 69 KV LIPA overhead electric lines. The Remedial Investigation (RI) was completed in November of 2006. An excavation based Interim Remedial Measure (IRM) was completed in 2008, and two groundwater oxygenation systems were installed in 2010 and 2011 while the planning and design was completed in parallel for the In-situ Solidification (ISS) remedial phase of the project. The field work for the ISS remediation phase started in the fourth quarter of 2011 and was completed in late 2013.

ROD or RDD Requirements:

The ISS phase of the work is complete, with additional groundwater oxygenation system to be installed.

Status of Compliance with ROD or RDD:

The project is in compliance with the ROD.

5.3 Status of Site Investigation and Remediation:

5.3.1 Status of NYSDEC Remediation Program

The Remedial Investigation (RI) was completed in November of 2006. An excavation based Interim Remedial Measure (IRM) was completed in 2008, and two groundwater oxygenation systems were installed in 2010 and 2011 while the planning and design was completed in parallel for the In-situ Solidification (ISS) remedial phase of the project. The field work for the ISS remediation phase started in the fourth quarter of 2011, and was completed in late 2013. All of the major construction for the ISS work was completed in 2013, and remaining site restoration and landscaping work will be completed in 2014. The design of two additional groundwater O2 systems are planned in 2014.

5.3.2 Scope of Work

The work is progressing in accordance with the ROD.

5.3.3 Schedule

The planned activities were completed consistent with the project schedule.

5.4 Project Procurement Exceptions

No project awards deviated from the practices described in Section 2.4.

5.5 Project Costs

5.5.1 Project Costs Summary

The anticipated costs for this site were \$27,100,000. The actual costs in 2013 were \$29,890,473. The projected cost for 2014 is \$4,200,000.

5.5.2 Changes in Costs

The total SIR costs were higher than the anticipated cost but exceeded the defined variances due to contractor retainage that was released to the contractor during the reporting period ahead of the schedule that was anticipated.

5.5.3 Cost Management and Mitigation Strategies

1) There were approx. \$5M in avoided ISS spoils handling and disposal costs from generating less

nationalgrid

National Grid SIR Program – Legacy LILCO Sites

ISS spoils than estimated for the project. There was a cost mitigation strategy in place to limit the disposal costs for ISS spoils by making the contractor responsible for any spoils generated above their estimated ISS spoils volume. This helped to mitigate the cost risk for this and helped us to keep the contractor motivated to continually optimize their ISS process and mix recipe. As a result the ISS spoils production was approx. 56,000 tons lower than the Contractor's estimate.

2) We negotiated with the Village of Hempstead to not reinstall the Intersection Street leg of the Village sewer that we previously removed to allow the ISS work to be completed. This resulted in approx. \$95K in avoided costs.

Section 5. SITE	SPECIFIC REPORTS			
5.1 General Site	Information			
Site Name: Inv	wood Gas Holder	NYS	SDEC Site ID:	130121
Site Location:	Nassau Avenue and Sherida	n Boulevard, Inwood,	New York	PRP: No
5.2 Site Backgro				
Scope of SIR Work f				
	ler property is currently owned			
	the sites abuts Mott Basin a hea			
	ustrial with some residential. The residential of the source of the sector of the sect			ne property and an
			•	
ROD or RDD Require	ements:			
N/A				
Status of Compliance	e with ROD or RDD:			
N/A				
	e Investigation and Rem	ediation:		
	DEC Remediation Program			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	was submitted to the NYSDEC	in Q4 2013. An Interii	m Site Managemen	it Plan (ISMP) is
required.				
E 2 2 Coope of Work				
5.3.2 Scope of Work	deviations from the NYSDEC-a	naround DI work plan	An ISMD is in play	co and work to
	ion of an underground transmis			
	eviously completed with NYSDE		ie western euge of	the property edge of
5.3.3 Schedule				
	nned activities were not comple			
submitting the RI Re	eport and longer than anticipate	ed timeframes for regu	ulatory agency app	rovals.
	urement Exceptions			
No project awards d	leviated from those practices de	escribed in Section 2.4.		
5.5 Project Cost				
5.5.1 Project Costs S	Summary is for this site was \$148,000. Th	a actual cast in 2012	was \$27,091 The	project cost for 2014
is \$166,500.	S for this site was \$148,000. If		was \$27,081. The	project cost for 2014
15 \$100,300.				
5.5.2 Changes in Co	sts			
	were lower than the anticipated	cost but exceeded the	e defined variances	due to a delay in
	eport and longer than anticipate			
				·
	nent and Mitigation Strategies			
	ot identify cost management or	mitigation strategies for	or this site beyond	those mentioned in
Section 2.3.				

Section 5. S	ITE S	PECIFIC REPORTS				
5.1 General	Site I	nformation				
Site Name:	Long	Beach Holder		NYSDEC Site ID:	130122	
Site location:		Riverside Blvd/Water Stre	et and Park Place,	Long Beach, NY	PRP:	NA
5.2 Site Bac						
		the Site: The Final Site Ch				
	DEC is	sued a No Further Action let	tter on March 31, 3	2010. No additional wo	ork is require	d for this
site.						
ROD or RDD Re	auiror	nentci				
N/A	quirei					
	liance	with ROD or RDD:				
N/A						
5.3 Status o	f Site	Investigation and Re	mediation:			
		C Remediation Program				
		ion field work was complete				
		0 and the site is closed. Or				
and local gover	nment	officials to discuss site histo	ory, SC findings ar	nd past and expected fu	iture site use	2
5 2 2 Coorte of	\A/avl					
5.3.2 Scope of Work has been						
WORK Has Deen	compi					
5.3.3 Schedule						
Work has been	compl	eted.				
5.4 Project	Procu	rement Exceptions				
		viated from those practices	s described in Sect	ion 2.4.		
		· · ·				
5.5 Project	Costs					
5.5.1 Project C	osts Su	mmary				
The anticipated	l costs	for this site was \$0. The ac	tual cost in 2013 w	vas \$0. The project cos	t for 2014 is	\$0.
5.5.2 Changes						
The total SIR (COSTS W	ere within the defined varia	ances.			
E E 2 Cost Man	20000	nt and Mitigation Stratogics	<u> </u>			
		nt and Mitigation Strategies identify cost management		aries for this site hevon	d those mer	tioned in
Section 2.3.		identity cost management	or miligation strate		iu those mer	

Section 5. S	ITE SI	PECIFIC REPOR	TS					
5.1 General	Site I	nformation						
Site Name:	Lynt	rook Holder			NYSDEC Site ID:		130181	
Site Location:		Ocean Avenue / N	Merrick Road, Ro	ockville Centr	e, New York		PRP:	No
5.2 Site Bac								
Scope of SIR W								
		wned by National G						
		n of the property.						
		and industrial. The						
Avenue.	miliale	a land taking of				mauo		II OCEAN
Avenue.								
ROD or RDD Re	auiren	nents:						
N/A	quiren							
Status of Comp	liance	with ROD or RDD:						
N/A								
5.3 Status of	f Site	Investigation a	and Remediat	tion:				
		C Remediation Pro						
		n (SC) has been coi						
		An Interim Remedi						
		uired for the Nassa						
Avenue roadwa	y impr	ovements. Releas	e and covenant	not to sue w	as received from	the NY	SDEC on	1/30/2012.
5.3.2 Scope of	Work							
· · · · ·		eviations from the	NVSDFC-approv	ed SC and I	M work plan			
	.11 110 0							
5.3.3 Schedule								
	ctivities	were completed c	onsistent with th	he project sc	hedule.			
•		•						
		rement Exception						
No project awa	rds dev	viated from those p	ractices describe	ed in Section	2.4.			
5.5 Project C								
5.5.1 Project Co								
	cost f	or this site was \$19	95,000. The actu	ial cost in 20	13 was \$240,195.	The p	roject cos	st for 2014
is \$32,500.								
	. Cast							
5.5.2 Changes		s ere within the defin	ad variances					
	JSLS WE		eu valiances.					
553 Cost Man	ademe	nt and Mitigation S	trategies					
		identify cost manage		ation strated	ies for this site he	wond t	hose mer	ntioned in
		s incurred as part of						
		nent with Nassau C			esta estancy cond			~~
			,					

Section 5. SI	Section 5. SITE SPECIFIC REPORTS					
5.1 General S	ite Infor	nation				
Site Name:	Manhasse	: MGP Site		NYSDEC Site ID:	130182	
Site location:	43 H	igh Street, Manhasset	, New York		PRP:	N/A
5.2 Site Back	-					
•	Scope of SIR Work for the Site: The site is a former Hortonsphere site located on High Street, in Manhasset, Nassau County, New York (Long					
				perties are primarily res was completed for the s		
		ceived by the NYSDEC				
			-			
ROD or RDD Red	quirements:					
N/A						
Status of Compl	ance with F	OD or RDD:				
N/A						
		stigation and Ren	nediation:			
		nediation Program			·	
		completed for the site ant not to sue was re		action determination wa	as received	by the
NTSDEC. Releas		Idnit not to sue was re		SDLC 011 1/30/2012.		
5.3.2 Scope of V	Vork					
Work has been of						
5.3.3 Schedule						
Work has been of	completed					
		nt Exceptions				
No project awar	ds deviated	from those practices of	lescribed in Section	n 2.4.		
	-					
5.5 Project C						
5.5.1 Project Co		1				
The anticipated	costs for th	s site was \$0. The act	ual cost in 2013 wa	as \$4,617. The project of	cost for 201	14 is \$0.
5.5.2 Changes ir	Costs					
		n the defined variance	<u>د</u>			
5.5.3 Cost Mana	gement and	Mitigation Strategies				
			nd mitigation strat	egies for the site beyon	d those me	ntioned in
Section 2.3.			-	- *		

Section 5. SITE SPECIFIC REPORTS		
5.1 General Site Information		
Site Name: Oyster Bay Hortonsphere	NYSDEC Site ID:	130183
Site Location: Willow Place Oyster Bay, New York	•	PRP: No
5.2 Site Background		
Scope of SIR Work for the Site:		
The site is currently owned by LIPA and serves as an Electric subs		
residential / commercial and the western portion of the property is	a tidal creek feeding Oys	ster Bay. There are
active utility operations on the property.		
ROD or RDD Requirements:		
N/A		
Status of Compliance with BOD or BDD.		
Status of Compliance with ROD or RDD: N/A		
5.3 Status of Site Investigation and Remediation:		
5.3.1 Status of NYSDEC Remediation Program		
A SC has been completed and no remediation is required for the s	te Δ Site Management F	Plan SMP in in
preparation. Support has been provided to LIPA in support of sub		
5.3.2 Scope of Work		
There have been no deviations from the NYSDEC-approved SC wo	rk plan.	
5.3.3 Schedule		
The planned activities were completed consistent with the project	schedule.	
E 4 Droject Dresurement Exceptions		
5.4 Project Procurement Exceptions	ion 2.4	
No project awards deviated from those practices described in Sect	ION 2.4.	
5.5 Project Costs		
5.5.1 Project Costs Summary		
The anticipated costs for this site was \$52,000. The actual cost in	2013 was \$35 565 The r	project cost for 2014 is
\$15,000.	2013 was \$33,303. The p	
5.5.2 Changes in Costs		
The total SIR costs were within the defined variances.		
5.5.3 Cost Management and Mitigation Strategies		
The Company did not identify cost management or mitigation stra	tegies for this site beyond	I those mentioned in
Section 2.3.		

Section 5. SITE SPECIFIC REPORTS					
5.1 General Site Information					
Site Name:	Patchogue MGP	NYSDEC Site ID:	152182		
Site location:	234 West Main Street, Patchogue, NY		PRP:	NA	

5.2 Site Background

Scope of SIR Work for the Site:

The Patchogue MGP is located on Long Island in south-central Suffolk County, in the Village of Patchogue, within the Town of Brookhaven. The site operated between 1906-1925. The ROD was issued in March 2011. The primary elements of the ROD include a combination of off-site and on-site excavation and on-site in-situ solidification. The Remedial Investigation was completed in 2009. The draft Remedial Design Work Plan (RDWP) was submitted to NYSDEC in August 2011. The NYSDEC approved the RDWP in December 2011. The finalized RDWP was submitted to NYSDEC in February 2012. The Remedial Design Report (RDR) is currently under development. The 50-75% RDR was submitted to NYSDEC in December 2012 and comments were received from NYSDEC in June 2013. A Response to Comments letter was prepared and submitted to NYSDEC in August 2013. A clean utility corridor was installed between May-July 2012 in order to support the temporary relocation of overhead utilities required to implement the ROD. The Request For Proposal (RFP) for Remedial construction services is currently under development. Currently, there is an ongoing semi-annual groundwater monitoring program.

ROD or RDD Requirements:

The ROD was finalized and approved in March 2011. The ROD requires excavation and/or stabilization of site soils and offsite soils as well as groundwater monitoring.

Status of Compliance with ROD or RDD: The Patchogue MGP site is in compliance with the ROD.

5.3 Status of Site Investigation and Remediation:

5.3.1 Status of NYSDEC Remediation Program

The Remedial Investigation was completed in 2009. The draft Remedial Design Work Plan (RDWP) was submitted to NYSDEC in August 2011. The NYSDEC approved the RDWP in December 2011. The finalized RDWP was submitted to NYSDEC in February 2012. The Remedial Design Report (RDR) is currently under development. The 50-75% RDR was submitted to NYSDEC in December 2012 and comments were received from NYSDEC in June 2013. A Response to Comments letter was prepared and submitted to NYSDEC in August 2013. A clean utility corridor was installed between May-July 2012 in order to support the temporary relocation of overhead utilities required to implement the ROD. The Request For Proposal (RFP) is currently under development. Remedial construction is anticipated to begin in early 2015. Currently, there is an ongoing semi-annual groundwater monitoring program.

5.3.2 Scope of Work

No deviation from the ROD has occurred.

5.3.3 Schedule

A number of the Planned remedial Action activities were not completed consistent with the project schedule due to project delays resulting from unsuccessful efforts to secure property access necessary for the project.

5.4 Project Procurement Exceptions

No project awards deviated from those practices described in Section 2.4.

5.5 Project Costs

5.5.1 Project Costs Summary

The anticipated costs for Patchogue were \$2,070,000. The actual costs in 2013 were \$317,214. The projected cost for 2014 is \$364,000.

Page 23

National Grid SIR Program – Legacy LILCO Sites

5.5.2 Changes in Costs

The total SIR costs were lower than the anticipated cost but exceeded the defined variances due to project delays resulting from unsuccessful efforts to secure property access necessary for the project.

5.5.3 Cost Management and Mitigation Strategies

The Company did not identify cost management or mitigation strategies for this site beyond those mentioned in Section 2.3.

Section 5. SITE SPECIFIC REPORTS		
5.1 General Site Information		
Site Name: Pinelawn Hortonsphere	NYSDEC Site ID:	152214
Site Location: Fulton Street e/o Broadhollow Rd Pinelawn/F	armingdale, New York	PRP: No
5.2 Site Background		
Scope of SIR Work for the Site:		
The site is currently owned by LIPA and is vacant. It previously serv		
properties are primarily commercial/industrial and the LIRR (Ronkon		cated to the north of
the properly. There are no active utility operations on the property.		
ROD or RDD Requirements:		
N/A		
Status of Compliance with ROD or RDD:		
N/A		
5.3 Status of Site Investigation and Remediation:		
5.3.1 Status of NYSDEC Remediation Program		
A SC has been completed and no remediation is required for the site	. Release and covenant	not to sue was
received from the NYSDEC on 1/30/2012.		
5.3.2 Scope of Work		
There have been no deviations from the NYSDEC-approved SC work	plan.	
5.3.3 Schedule		
No further action is required for this site.		
5.4 Project Procurement Exceptions		
No project awards deviated from those practices described in Section	n 2.4.	
5.5 Project Costs		
5.5.1 Project Costs Summary		
The anticipated costs for this site was \$0. The actual cost in 2013 wa	as \$2,494. The project c	ost for 2014 is \$0.
5.5.2 Changes in Costs		
The total SIR costs were within the defined variances.		
5.5.3 Cost Management and Mitigation Strategies The Company did not identify cost management or mitigation strated	nioc for this site howard	these mentioned in
Section 2.3.	gies for this site beyond	
<u>µ</u>		

National Grid SIR Program – Legacy LILCO Sites Section 5. SITE SPECIFIC REPORTS 5.1 General Site Information Site Name: Port Jefferson Former Hortonsphere Site (non NYSDEC Site ID: 152215

 Site Name:
 Port Jefferson Former Hortonsphere Site (nonowned)
 NYSDEC Site ID:
 152215

 Site location:
 West Broadway (Rte. 25A), Port Jefferson New York
 PRP:
 N/A

5.2 Site Background

Scope of SIR Work for the Site:

The Port Jefferson former Hortonsphere gas holder site is located in the Village of Port Jefferson on the north shore of Long Island. The former Hortonsphere operated from about 1931 until 1976, and the property is currently owned by a third party who is planning to build a new residential condominium complex. A Site Characterization was completed by National Grid, and the company received a No Further Action letter and a Release from the NYSDEC consent order. There was soil contamination identified at the Site which was determined not to be the responsibility of National Grid, and a small reserve fund was retained per recommendation of the Legal Dept. to maintain the ability to address potential litigation from the property owner whose attorney has provided a letter to us.

ROD or RDD Requirements:

N/A

Status of Compliance with ROD or RDD:

N/A

5.3 Status of Site Investigation and Remediation:

5.3.1 Status of NYSDEC Remediation Program

A Site Characterization was completed for the site. Release and covenant not to sue was received from the NYSDEC on 12/29/2011.

5.3.2 Scope of Work

The SC work scope included the completion of soil and groundwater sampling to determine if there were any significant impacts requiring remediation. Monitoring wells from the SC were abandoned in 2013.

5.3.3 Schedule

The planned activities were completed consistent with the project schedule.

5.4 Project Procurement Exceptions

No project awards deviated from those practices described in Section 2.4.

5.5 Project Costs

5.5.1 Project Costs Summary

The anticipated costs for this site were \$4,000. The actual costs in 2013 were \$5,958. There projected cost for 2014 is \$0.

5.5.2 Changes in Costs

The total SIR costs were within the defined variances.

5.5.3 Cost Management and Mitigation Strategies

The company did not identify cost management and mitigation strategies for the site beyond those mentioned in Section 2.3.

Section 5. SI	TE SI	PECIFIC REPORTS			
5.1 General S	Site I	nformation			
Site Name:	Rive	rhead Hortonsphere	NYSDEC Site ID:	152216	
Site Location:	S/o West Main Street, e/o Mill Road on the south bank of the Peconic River, Riverhead, New YorkPRP:No				
5.2 Site Back Scope of SIR We					
•		wned by LIPA and is part of the Riverhead	electric substation The a	liacont nron	ortios aro
largely undevelo		when by LIFA and is part of the Riverhead			
	peur				
ROD or RDD Re	quiren	nents:			
N/A					
	iance	with ROD or RDD:			
N/A					
					
		Investigation and Remediation:			
		C Remediation Program	the Deleges and severe		
		eted and no remediation is required for the SDEC on 1/30/2012.	site. Release and covenan	t not to sue	was
		DEC 011 1/30/2012.			
5.3.2 Scope of V	Vork				
		eviations from the NYSDEC-approved SC v	vork plan.		
		••	-		
5.3.3 Schedule					
No further actio	n is re	quired for this site.			
		rement Exceptions			
		viated from those practices described in Se	ction 2.4.		
5.5 Project C					
5.5.1 Project Co		•			+0
The anticipated	costs	for this site was \$0. The actual cost in 201	3 was \$833. The project co	st for 2014 i	s \$0.
E E 2 Changes i	a Coct	<u></u>			
5.5.2 Changes in The total SIP co		s ere within the defined variances.			
5.5.3 Cost Management and Mitigation Strategies					
The Company did not identify cost management or mitigation strategies for this site beyond those mentioned in					
Section 2.3.					

11 Section 5. SITE SPECIFIC REPORTS						
5.1 General Site Information						
Site Name: Rockaway Park MGP	NYSDEC Site ID:	241029				
Site location: 309-311 Beach Channel Drive, Rockaway Pa	rk, NY	PRP:	N/A			
5.2 Site Background						
Scope of SIR Work for the Site:						
The Rockaway Park MGP is located in Rockaway Park, Queens Cour						
industrial, and residential area and encompasses approximately 8.9		s a 0.6 acre	e New York			
City bulkhead area that is separated from the main site by Beach C	nannel Drive.					
POD or PDD Doguizomente:						
ROD or RDD Requirements: The main portion of the site that is separated from the bulkhead ar	a by Boach Channel Driv	va hac haar				
remediated in accordance with the ROD. The ROD required onsite s						
barrier wall to cut off NAPL migration. In addition an offsite barrier						
Bulkhead parcel. A construction completion report and interim site						
		<u> </u>				
Status of Compliance with ROD or RDD:						
The project is in compliance with the ROD.						
5.3 Status of Site Investigation and Remediation:						
5.3.1 Status of NYSDEC Remediation Program						
The Remedial Investigation (RI) was completed in January 2004.						
Remedial activities on the main portion of the site were completed						
were installed. A DNAPL well recovery rate analysis concludes that						
recommended to the NYSDEC. Completion of remedial activities or						
anticipated in 2014. National Grid remains in discussions with New						
deteriorated bulkhead so that an additional barrier can be installed						
bulkhead repairs are required prior to the implementation of the NYSDEC-approved remedy at the Bulkhead Area.						
National Grid provided NYC a letter summarizing potential scenarios for sequencing the bulkhead work. National Grid is finalizing a memorandum of agreement with NYC which will allow National Grid to perform the additional						
remedial work within the bulkhead area.			uuluonai			
5.3.2 Scope of Work						

No deviation from the ROD has occurred.

5.3.3 Schedule

The planned activities were completed consistent with the project schedule.

5.4 Project Procurement Exceptions

No project awards deviated from the practices described in Section 2.4.

5.5 Project Costs

5.5.1 Project Costs Summary

The anticipated costs for this site were \$245,000. The actual cost in 2013 were \$515,255. The projected cost for 2014 is \$1,013,500.

5.5.2 Changes in Costs

The total SIR costs were higher than the anticipated cost but exceeded the defined variances due to National Grid support of LIPA construction activities at the adjacent substation that were not anticipated at the start of the reporting period.

5.5.3 Cost Management and Mitigation Strategies

The company continued to participate in LIPA capital project planning meetings to help identify long term project wok plans which may impact project expenditures.

Section 5. SI	TE SPECIFIC REPORTS				
5.1 General	Site Information				
Site Name:	Sag Harbor MGP	NYSDEC Site ID:	152159		
Site Location:	Bridge Street and West Water Street	eet, Sag Harbor, New York	PRP: No		
	<u> </u>				
5.2 Site Back	-				
Scope of SIR W					
	ufactured Gas Plant site is currently owned				
	jacent commercial properties, the Village				
the property. The a	djacent properties are primarily commerci	iai / residential. There are no active	utility operations on		
the property.					
ROD or RDD Re	auirements:				
	ect to the requirements identified in the N	YSDEC issued March 2006 Record of	of Decision (ROD).		
	ludes installation of an excavation suppor				
	ation and off-site transport/treatment of o				
	ndwater treatment at the site perimeter;		and development of a		
Site Manageme	nt Plan (SMP) and periodic certification of	the SMP.			
Ctatus of Comp	liance with DOD or DDD:				
	liance with ROD or RDD:				
The Project is in					
5.3 Status of	Site Investigation and Remediat	ion:			
	NYSDEC Remediation Program				
	tivities were completed in 2009. The Rev	vised Draft SMP was submitted to th	ne NYSDEC in		
February 2013 a	and awaits approved. The Final Engineering	ng Report was submitted to the NYS	SDEC in December		
	Grid will continue to coordinate environme				
	SMPs with adjacent property owners. Gro	oundwater monitoring occurs quarte	erly as part of the		
ongoing Operat	ions and Maintenance (O&M) program.				
5.3.2 Scope of V	Nork				
	constructed is consistent with the NYSDE	C's ROD A number of remedy scon	e changes were		
	pproved by NYSDEC based on findings of				
	the based on the location of tar impacted				
	mination to the west of the site beneath E	<i>i i i</i>			
5.3.3 Schedule					
The planned ac	tivities were completed consistent with th	e project schedule.			
E 4 Drojact D	vegurement Exceptions				
	Procurement Exceptions rds deviated from those practices describe	d in Section 2.4			
NO Project awai	as deviated from those practices describe				
5.5 Project C	`osts				
5.5.1 Project Co					
	cost for this site was \$155,000. The actu	al cost in 2013 was \$187,643. The	project cost for 2014		
is \$152,000.					
5.5.2 Changes in Costs					
The total SIR co	osts were within the defined variances.				
E E 2 Coot Man	agament and Mitigation Strategies				
5.5.3 COST Mana	agement and Mitigation Strategies				

The Company did not identify other cost management or mitigation strategies for this site beyond those mentioned in Section 2.3.

Section 5. S	ITE SPECIFIC REPORTS		
5.1 General	Site Information		
Site Name:	Saltaire MGP Site	NYSDEC Site ID:	152217
Site location:	Beacon Walk, Saltaire, Fire Island New York		PRP: N/A
5.2 Site Bac	-		
· · ·	Iork for the Site:		
	e is located in the resort community of Saltaire on Fire		
	ated from about 1915 until 1938 when it was destroy		
Characterizatio	n was completed for the site, and a no further action	determination was rece	ived by the NYSDEC.
ROD or RDD Re	equirements.		
Status of Comr	liance with ROD or RDD:		
N/A			
5.3 Status o	f Site Investigation and Remediation:		
	NYSDEC Remediation Program		
	erization was completed for the site, and a no further	action determination wa	as received by the
	ase and covenant not to sue was received from the N		
5.3.2 Scope of	Work		
The SC work so	cope included the completion of soil and groundwater	sampling to determine	if there were any
significant impa	acts requiring remediation.		
5.3.3 Schedule			
No further action	on is required for this site.		
	Procurement Exceptions		
No project awa	rds deviated from those practices described in Section	n 2.4.	
	0 1 -		
5.5 Project			
5.5.1 Project C		ware to 751. The proj	acted cost for 2014 is
\$0.	l costs for this site were \$0. The actual costs in 2013	were \$3,751. The proj	ected cost for 2014 is
. ,			
5.5.2 Changes	in Costs		
	osts were within the defined variances.		
5.5.3 Cost Man	agement and Mitigation Strategies		
	lid not identify cost management and mitigation strate	egies for the site bevon	d those mentioned in
Section 2.3.	,		

Section 5. SITE SPECIFIC REPORTS			
5.1 General Site Information			
Site Name: Southold Gas Plant	NYSDEC Site ID:	152218	
Site Location: Hobart Road, Southold, New York		PRP:	No
5.2 Site Background			
Scope of SIR Work for the Site:			
The site is a former Acetylene Gas Facility. The property is currently privately owned. The adjacent properties are primarily residential. There are no active utility operations on the property.			
ROD or RDD Requirements:			
N/A			
Status of Compliance with ROD or RDD:			
N/A			
E 2 Status of Site Investigation and Remediation			
5.3 Status of Site Investigation and Remediation: 5.3.1 Status of NYSDEC Remediation Program			
A SC has been completed and no remediation is required for the site. Release and covenant not to sue was			
received from the NYSDEC on 10/26/2011.			
5.3.2 Scope of Work			
There have been no deviations from the NYSDEC-approved SC work plan.			
5.3.3 Schedule			
No further action is required for this site.			
5.4 Project Procurement Exceptions			
No project awards deviated from those practices described in Section 2.4.			
5.5 Project Costs			
5.5.1 Project Costs Summary			
The anticipated costs for this site were \$0. The actual cost in 2013 v	vas \$5,866. The project	cost for 20	14 is \$0.
5.5.2 Changes in Costs			
The total SIR costs were within the defined variances.			
5.5.3 Cost Management and Mitigation Strategies			
The Company did not identify cost management or mitigation strategies for this site beyond those mentioned in			
Section 2.3.			