617.20

Appendix A State Environmental Quality Review FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

Purpose: The full EAF is designed to help applicants and agencies determine, in an orderly manner, whether a project or action may be significant. The question of whether an action may be significant is not always easy to answer. Frequently, there are aspects of a project that are subjective or unmeasurable. It is also understood that those who determine significance may have little or no formal knowledge of the environment or may not be technically expert in environmental analysis. In addition, many who have knowledge in one particular area may not be aware of the broader concerns affecting the question of significance.

The full EAF is intended to provide a method whereby applicants and agencies can be assured that the determination process has been orderly, comprehensive in nature, yet flexible enough to allow introduction of information to fit a project or action.

Full EAF Components: The full EAF is comprised of three parts:

Part 1: Provides objective data and information about a given project and its site. By identifying basic project data, it assists a reviewer in the analysis that takes place in Parts 2 and 3.

 $\frac{2}{2}$

- Part 2: Focuses on identifying the range of possible impacts that may occur from a project or action. It provides guidance as to whether an impact is likely to be considered small to moderate or whether it is a potentially-large matches. The form also identifies whether an impact can be mitigated or reduced.
- Part 3: If any impact in Part 2 is identified as potentially-large, then Part 3 is used to evaluate whether or not the impact is actually important.

C	DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICAN	ICE Type 1 a	and Unlisted Ac	tions			
Identify the Portio	ns of EAF completed for this project:	x Part 1	x Part 2	Part 3			
	e information recorded on this EAF (Par considering both the magnitude and imp nat:						
X A.	The project will not result in any larg will not have a significant impact on prepared.						
В.	B. Although the project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect for this Unlisted Action because the mitigation measures described in PART 3 have been required, therefore a CONDITIONED negative declaration will be prepared.*						
c.	The project may result in one or more impact on the environment, therefore						
*A Conditi	ioned Negative Declaration is only valid	for Unlisted Action	ons				
	Petition of Saratoga Water Services, Inc. an ision of temporary water service to a propo						
	Name o	f Action					
		e Commission					
		ead Agency Chief, Rene	wable Energy &	Environmental			
	Christina Palmero	Compliance	••				
(puste	ne of Besponsible Officer in Lead Agency	Pular	Vitle/of Responsible				
Signature of	Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Şignature of F	Preparer (If different	from responsible officer)			
	C	ate		—			

PART 1--PROJECT INFORMATION

PART 1--PROJECT INFORMATION Prepared by Project Sponsor

NOTICE: This document is designed to assist in determining whether the action proposed may have a significant effect on the environment. Please complete the entire form, Parts A through E. Answers to these questions will be considered as part of the application for approval and may be subject to further verification and public review. Provide any additional information you believe will be needed to complete Parts 2 and 3.

It is expected that completion of the full EAF will be dependent on information currently available and will not involve new studies, research or investigation. If information requiring such additional work is unavailable, so indicate and specify each instance.

Name of Action Cold Spring Water Main-Extension

Location of Action (include Street Address, Municipality and County)

Cold Spring Rd., Town of Stillwater, Saratoga County

Name of Applicant/Sponsor Saratoga Water Services Inc. - Alexander Mackay, President

Address PO Box 2109

City / PO Ballston Spa

Business Telephone (518) 899-6001

Name of Owner (if different)	same as above			
Address	۱ 			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
City / PO		State	Zip Code	
Business Telephone				

State New York

Zip Code 12020

Description of Action:

Construction of a new 10,300 LF (approx) water main to serve properties along Cold Spring Rd., including the Luther Forest Technology Campus, and the Town of Stillwater.

Please Complete Each Question--Indicate N.A. if not applicable

A. SITE DESCRIPTION

Physical setting of overall project, both developed and undeveloped areas.

гų	vsical second of overall project, body developed and difference area:	s.	
1.	Present Land Use: Urban Industrial Commercial	Residential (suburban)	Rural (non-farm)
	Forest Agriculture Other Water	supply and storage facility	
2.	Total acreage of project area: <u>10</u> acres.		
	APPROXIMATE ACREAGE	PRESENTLY	AFTER COMPLETION
	Meadow or Brushland (Non-agricultural)	4.0 acres	4.0 acres
	Forested	<u>1.0</u> acres	1.0 acres
	Agricultural (Includes orchards, cropland, pasture, etc.)	acres	acres
	Wetland (Freshwater or tidal as per Articles 24,25 of ECL)	acres	acres
	Water Surface Area	acres	acres
	Unvegetated (Rock, earth or fill)	acres	acres
	Roads, buildings and other paved surfaces	5.0 acres	<u>5.0</u> acres
	Other (Indicate type)	acres ,	acres
3.	What is predominant soil type(s) on project site?		
	a. Soil drainage: Vell drained 100% of site	Moderately well drained%	of site.
	Poorly drained% of site		Χ
	 If any agricultural land is involved, how many acres of soil are classification System? <u>NA</u> acres (see 1 NYCRR 370). 	assified within soil group 1 throu	ugh 4 of the NYS Land
4.	Are there bedrack outcroppings on project site? Yes No)	
	a. What is depth to bedrock _ 50 - 300 (in feet)		
5.	Approximate percentage of proposed project site with slopes:		
	0-10% <u>100</u> % 10- 15% % 15% or gre	eater%	·
6.	Is project substantially contiguous to, or contain a building, site, or di Historic Places? Yes No	strict, listed on the State or Nati	ional Registers of
7.	Is project substantially contiguous to a site listed on the Register of N	ational Natural Landmarks?	Yes No
8.	What is the depth of the water table? <u>64</u> (in feet)		
9.	Is site located over a primary, principal, or sole source aquifer?	Yes No	
10.	Do hunting, fishing or shell fishing opportunities presently exist in the	project area? 🚺 Yes	No

.

11. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal life that is identified as threatened or endangered? Yes No

According to: See attached "Supplemental Information for Long EAF." Identify each species: 12. Are there any unique or unusual land forms on the project site? (i.e., cliffs, dunes, other geological formations? Yes No Describe: 13. Is the project site presently used by the community or neighborhood as an open space or recreation area? Yes If yes, explain: 14. Does the present site include scenic views known to be important to the community? No Yes 15. Streams within or contiguous to project area: Tributary P28-1 a. Name of Stream and name of River to which it is tributary Tributary 7 of Saratoga Lake 16. Lakes, ponds, wetland areas within or contiguous to project area: a) Saratoga Lake b) Black Pond c) NYSFWW ME-10 b. Size (in acres): a) > 5.000 acres b) 12 acres c) 57 acres Page 4 of 21

17. Is the site served by existing public utilities?	
a. If YES, does sufficient capacity exist to allow connection?	
b. If YES, will improvements be necessary to allow connection?	No
18. Is the site located in an agricultural district certified pursuant to Agriculture and Markets Law, Artic 304?	le 25-AA, Section 303 and
19. Is the site located in or substantially contiguous to a Critical Environmental Area designated pursual and 6 NYCRR 617? Yes	nt to Article 8 of the ECL,
20. Has the site ever been used for the disposal of solid or hazardous wastes? Yes B. Project Description	No
1. Physical dimensions and scale of project (fill in dimensions as appropriate).	
a. Total contiguous acreage owned or controlled by project sponsor:0 acres.	
 b. Project acreage to be developed: <u>2.0</u> acres initially; <u>2.0</u> acres ultimately. 	
c. Project acreage to remain undeveloped: <u>8.0</u> acres.	
d. Length of project, in miles: <u>1.7</u> (if appropriate)	
e. If the project is an expansion, indicate percent of expansion proposed. 4 %	
f. Number of off-street parking spaces existing 0 ; proposed 0	
g. Maximum vehicular trips generated per hour:0 (upon completion of project)?	
h. If residential: Number and type of housing units:	
One Family Two Family Multiple Family	Condominium
Initially <u>NA NA NA</u>	NA
Ultimately <u>NA</u> NA NA	NA
i. Dimensions (in feet) of largest proposed structure: <u>NA</u> height; <u>NA</u> width;	NA length.
j. Linear feet of frontage along a public thoroughfare project will occupy is?NA_ft.	
2. How much natural material (i.e. rock, earth, etc.) will be removed from the site?0 tons/cub	ic yards.
3. Will disturbed areas be reclaimed Yes No N/A	
a. If yes, for what intended purpose is the site being reclaimed?	
b. Will topsoil be stockpiled for reclamation?	
c. Will upper subsoil be stockpiled for reclamation?	

	·
5.	Will any mature forest (over 100 years old) or other locally-important vegetation be removed by this project?
	Yes No
6.	If single phase project: Anticipated period of construction: <u>2</u> months, (including demolition)
7.	If multi-phased:
	a. Total number of phases anticipated1 (number)
	b. Anticipated date of commencement phase 1: month 2007 year, (including demolition)
	c. Approximate completion date of final phase: month2008 year.
	d. Is phase 1 functionally dependent on subsequent phases? 🚺 Yes 🛄 No
8.	Will blasting occur during construction?
9.	Number of jobs generated: during construction5; after project is complete
10	. Number of jobs eliminated by this project 0
11	. Will project require relocation of any projects or facilities?
	If yes, explain:
12.	Is surface liquid waste disposal involved?
	a. If yes, indicate type of waste (sewage, industrial, etc) and amount <u>NA</u>
	b. Name of water body into which effluent will be discharged NA
	Is subsurface liquid waste disposal involved? Yes No Type
14.	Will surface area of an existing water body increase or decrease by proposal?
	If yes, explain:
15.	Is project or any portion of project located in a 100 year flood plain?
16.	Will the project generate solid waste? Yes No
	a. If yes, what is the amount per month? <u>NA</u> tons
	b. If yes, will an existing solid waste facility be used? Yes INO
	c. If yes, give name; location;
	d. Will any wastes not go into a sewage disposal system or into a sanitary landfill? Yes . No

Page 6 of 21

e. If yes, explain:

17. Will the project involve the disposal of solid waste?	an a
 a. If yes, what is the anticipated rate of disposal? tons/month. 	
b. If yes, what is the anticipated site life? years.	
18. Will project use herbicides or pesticides?	
9. Will project routinely produce odors (more than one hour per day)?	
20. Will project produce operating noise exceeding the local ambient noise levels?	
1. Will project result in an increase in energy use? 📕 Yes 🔜 No	
If yes, indicate type(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Electric usage	
 If water supply is from wells, indicate pumping capacity <u>see attached</u> gallons/minute. See attached 	
3. Total anticipated water usage per day gallons/day.	
4. Does project involve Local, State or Federal funding? L Yes 🛄 No	
If yes, explain:	

ł

25. A	pprovals	Required:
-------	----------	-----------

				Туре	Submittal Date
		F 21	L	Franchise Area Approval -	4/9/07
	City, Town, Village Board	Yes	No	Town Board	
				Town Planning Board	4/2/07
	City, Town, Village Planning Board	Yes	No	(Site Plan Approval)	
		-			
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	City, Town Zoning Board	Yes	No	, 	
				•	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
				<u></u>	
	City, County Health Department	Yes	No		
					·
	Other Local Agencies	Yes	No	·····	
	Outer Local Agencies	have 10.5			
		Yes	No	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Other Regional Agencies	L] Yes			<u></u>
			F 7	NYSDOH	Pending
	State Agencies	■ Yes	No	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	·		۲ 		
	Federal Agencies	Yes	No		<u> </u>
				<u> </u>	
				······································	
C.	Zoning and Planning Information				
1,	Does proposed action involve a plan	ning or zoning	g decision?	es No	
	If Yes, indicate decision required:				
	Zoning amendment	Zoning vari	ance	New/revision of master plan	Subdivision
	Site plan	Special use	e permit	Resource management plan	Other

2. What is the zoning classification(s) of the site?

N/A - Cold Spring Rd. ROW

3. What is the maximum potential development of the site if developed as permitted by the present zoning?

N/A

N/A

4. What is the proposed zoning of the site?

Rural Residential with Business Park

5. What is the maximum potential development of the site if developed as permitted by the proposed zoning?

6. Is the proposed action consistent with the recommended uses in adopted local land use plans?

Yes No

7. What are the predominant land use(s) and zoning classifications within a ¼ mile radius of proposed action?

10.	Will pro	posed action re-	quire any	authorization(s)	for the	formation of	f sewer or	water c	listricts?	Yes	No

Franchise Area Approval		
Vill the proposed action create a demand for any community provided s	ervices (recreation,	education, police, fire protect
 If yes, is existing capacity sufficient to handle projected demand? 	TYes	

12.	Will	the proposed action result in the generation of traffic significantly above present	levels?	Yes No	
	а.	If yes, is the existing road network adequate to handle the additional traffic.	Yes	No	
					-

D. Informational Details

Attach any additional information as may be needed to clarify your project. If there are or may be any adverse impacts associated with your proposal, please discuss such impacts and the measures which you propose to mitigate or avoid them.

E. Verification

I certify that the information provided above is true to the best of my knowledge.

Applicant/Sponsor Name Saratoga Water Services, Inc.

Date 3(30/07

Signature <u>CCC</u>

Title President

If the action is in the Coastal Area, and you are a state agency, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Cold Spring Water Main Extension

Supplemental Information for Long EAF

Part 1.A. – Site Description

- 4 and 8. Depth to Bedrock and Water Table information is from well exploration data at the SWS Cold Spring Wellfield.
- 6 and 7. It is our understanding that the project area was been reviewed for items of Cultural and Historical Significance as part of the Cold Spring Road Improvement Project and the Luther Forest Technology Campus Project and that nothing of significance was found.
- 11. Based on responses from State and Federal agencies for the SWS Cold Spring Tank Project, the Cold Spring Road Improvement Project, and the Luther Forest Technology Campus, no endangered or threatened species are known to be at the project site.

Part 1.B. - Project Description

- 22. The total peak delivery capacity of the system (with the largest pump out of service) will be 3625 gpm upon completion of the Cold Spring Pumping System Improvements. Of this total, 2675 gpm will be from the Cold Spring Pumping Station and 950 gpm will be from the Fox Wander Pumping Station.
- 23. The maximum anticipated water usage resulting from this project is summarized as follows:

Luther Forest Technology Campus	3.000 MGD
SWS Franchise Area	0.250 MGD
Town of Stillwater Water District	0.350 MGD
Total ≕	3.600 MGD

2007025/corr/003o

AUGUST 20, 2007

X

TOWN OF STILLWATER PLANNING BOARD MEETING

TOWN OF STILLWATER PLANNING BOARD MEETING MINUTES OF 08/20/07

Present: Vice-Chairman Michael Van Patten, Patricia Paduano, Peter Buck, Paul Tompkins

Also Present: Scott Ronda, Town Attorney and Joel Bianchi, Town Engineer

Excused: John Girard, Tom Rinaldi, David Connors

7:30 pm Vice-Chairman Van Patten called the meeting to order.

John Shook County Route 76 Pre-Application Consultation

Mr. Shook informed the Board that he was looking to subdivide his property located on County Route 76 into two lots. The electrical, cable and phone lines are already present on the property. Mr. Shook has met with David Connors and discussed this proposal to ensure that all zoning requirements for this district (LDR) can be met. There is 150' of road frontage and each proposed lot conforms to zoning. One perc test has been completed with good results. There is an existing wetland located in the back of one of the proposed lots; the applicant stated he will not be going near that area with construction. Mr. Shook indicated that his proposal has a shared driveway and each driveway is in excess of 300 ft. He indicated that a turn-around area is planned and is also aware that a driveway agreement would be required and has one in his possession that was published by the Saratoga County Planning Board. S. Ronda informed Mr. Shook that the driveway agreement would need to be put on the subdivision map. The Planning Board encouraged Mr. Shook to file a formal application for this project with J. Bianchi explaining to Mr. Shook the Town of Stillwater requirements for a final plat. Mr. Shook indicated he will complete the application and return to the next meeting.

> 7:40 PUBLIC HEARING - Saratoga Water Services PB2007-08-652.89-999-239.910-1002 Site Plan Review - Franchise Expansion

Alec Mackay addressed the Board, representing himself. Mr. Mackay stated that Saratoga Water Services applied to the Town Board to expand their franchise area to include Luther Forest lands

and LFTC area. His application for expansion was granted by the Board and Mr. Mackay is here to seek Site Plan Review of the actual waterline. The construction of the waterline extends from their pumping facilities on the north end of Cold Springs Road and approximately 10,000 ft. down and will end before Robinson Road. Saratoga Water Services has been approved for 3.2 million gallons a day. Mr. Mackay explained that his staff has been in contact with Joel Bianchi to address some issues with the storm water permit which they are required to have. Traffic issues were also discussed with the Mr. Mackay stating that proper signage will be posted. DOH approvals were required and they will be acquired as well the applicant confirmed. Mr. Mackay also indicated that the construction of this line would be done prior to the work scheduled for repairing Cold Springs Road. J. Bianchi indicated that the Department of Transportation would like the actual road construction to be underway early to mid-summer next year.

J. Bianchi stated that he recently sent a letter to the Planning Board regarding the SEQR and this project being an unlisted action and that historically the Planning Board has done an uncoordinated review. Also included in that letter was a list of the approvals that would be required.

Vice-Chairman Van Patten opened the floor at 7:47 pm for public comment.

Donald Zee

Mr. Zee informed the Board that he is an attorney representing Saratoga Glen Hallow Water Supply Corporation. Mr. Zee indicated that his client has no objection to this project and that he wanted to go on record stating that in the future, they may seek to put waterlines on the same roads and did not want to be precluded from doing so. Mr. Zee also stated that when his client previously applied to expand his water territory, Mr. Mackay wrote a letter to one of the potential purchasers that during the review process he (Mr. Mackay) wanted an analysis done on the potential draw on the aquifer. Mr. Zee indicated that his client also feels the same way. His client is concerned and does not want this project to adversely impact his current business operation. Mr. Zee also noted that he disagreed that this project is an unlisted action and it should require DOH and DEC approvals.

Alec Mackay stated Mr. Zee's comment about opposing the expansion of the Saratoga Glen Hallow Water Supply company was in regard to an additional draw without appropriate documentation. Mr. Mackay acknowledged that the proper documentation was finally submitted. Mr. Mackay also stated that counsel for Saratoga Glen Hallow Water Supply Corporation should be aware of the fact that private water utilities have a non-exclusive franchise and any private water company also has the right to go into this area as well.

Hearing no further comment from the floor, M. Van Patten closed the public hearing portion of the meeting at 7:57 pm and called for a resolution with regard to SEQRA.

X

TOWN OF STILLWATER PLANNING BOARD MEETING

MOTION to approve Resolution 2007-11 which determines that the proposed action by the applicant for Site Plan approval to extend the water district will not have a significant impact on the environment. Made by P. Buck, seconded by P. Tompkins.

A roll call vote was taken:

P. Buck	Yes
P. Paduano	Yes
P. Tompkins	Yes
M. Van Patten	Yes

Resolution 2007-11 was approved.

MOTION to approve Resolution 2007-12 approving the application of Saratoga Water Services Inc. for site plan approval to extend its private water system. Made by P. Paduano, seconded by P. Tompkins.

A roll call vote was taken:

P. Buck	Yes
P. Paduano	Yes
P. Tompkins	Yes
M. Van Patten	Yes

Resolution 2007-12 was approved.

Revolutionary Heights PB2005-01 Site Plan Review – PDD

Eric Bernard, representing the Camelot Associates, who is the applicant addressed the Board. Mr. Bernard informed the Board that Army Corp submissions have been completed and filed and they are here before the Board tonight seeking site plan review so they can go ahead and file their final submissions to the Department of Health and the Department of Environmental Conservation for water and sewer. M. Van Patten inquired about the three conditions that were to be addressed by Camelot. Mr. Bernard stated that there were three conditions and listed them as 1) the sewer line will be 6' deep instead of the current Town of Stillwater subdivision regulations which call for 8', 2) the water retention basins will be flattened with steps and 4:1 slopes, and 3) sidewalks, Mr. Bernard states that the plans show sidewalks, they will be in this project.

J. Bianchi stated that he has been in contact with Mr. Bernard several times discussing the project and did request that when the applicant was ready to submit to DEC and DOH that they submit it to the Town first for a final review/cursory check.

S. Ronda noted that the water and sewer districts have been established and everything is in place.

MOTION to approve Resolution 2007-13 giving preliminary conditional approval to the application of Camelot Associates for 146 single family homes for the Revolutionary Heights major subdivision. Made by P. Buck, seconded by P. Tompkins.

A roll call vote was taken:

P. Buck	Yes
P. Paduano	Yes
P. Tompkins	Yes
M. Van Patten	Yes

Resolution 2007-13 was approved.

J. Bianchi informed the Board that a SEQRA for this application isn't necessary because it has already been done, but it does need to be reaffirmed

MOTION to approve Resolution 2007-13 granting approval for site plan review to construct 48 town home residences within the Revolutionary Heights major subdivision. Made by P. Buck, seconded by P. Paduano

A roll call vote was taken:

Ρ.	Buck	Yes
P.	Paduano	Yes

P. Tompkins Yes M. Van Patten Yes

Resolution 2007-13 was approved.

Old Business

There was no Old Business presented this evening.

New Business

There was no New Business presented this evening.

MOTION to adjourn the 08/20/07 Planning Board meeting. Made by P. Tompkins, seconded by P. Paduano.

MOTION CARRIED 4-0

The meeting adjourned at 8:12 PM.

Respectfully submitted,

Tammy Russell

PART 2 - PROJECT IMPACTS AND THEIR MAGNITUDE

Responsibility of Lead Agency

General Information (Read Carefully)

- In completing the form the reviewer should be guided by the question: Have my responses and determinations been reasonable? The reviewer is not expected to be an expert environmental analyst.
- 1 The Examples provided are to assist the reviewer by showing types of impacts and wherever possible the threshold of magnitude that would trigger a response in column 2. The examples are generally applicable throughout the State and for most situations. But, for any specific project or site other examples and/or lower thresholds may be appropriate for a Potential Large Impact response, thus requiring evaluation in Part 3.
- I The impacts of each project, on each site, in each locality, will vary. Therefore, the examples are illustrative and have been offered as guidance. They do not constitute an exhaustive list of impacts and thresholds to answer each question.
- The number of examples per question does not indicate the importance of each question.
- In identifying impacts, consider long term, short term and cumulative effects.

Instructions (Read carefully)

- a. Answer each of the 20 questions in PART 2. Answer Yes if there will be any impact.
- b. Maybe answers should be considered as Yes answers.
- c. If answering Yes to a question then check the appropriate box(column 1 or 2)to indicate the potential size of the impact. If impact threshold equals or exceeds any example provided, check column 2. If impact will occur but threshold is lower than example, check column 1.
- d. Identifying that an Impact will be potentially large (column 2) does not mean that it is also necessarily significant. Any large impact must be evaluated in PART 3 to determine significance. Identifying an impact in column 2 simply asks that it be looked at further.
- e. If reviewer has doubt about size of the impact then consider the impact as potentially large and proceed to PART 3.
- f. If a potentially large impact checked in column 2 can be mitigated by change(s) in the project to a small to moderate impact, also check the Yesbox in column 3. A No response indicates that such a reduction is not possible. This must be explained in Part 3.

1	2	3
Small to	Potential	Can Impact Be
Moderate	Large	Mitigated by
Impact	Impact	Project Change

Impact on Land

1. Will the Proposed Action result in a physical change to the project



NO YES

Examples that would apply to column 2

- Any construction on slopes of 15% or greater, (15 foot rise per 100 foot of length), or where the general slopes in the project area exceed 10%.
- Construction on land where the depth to the water table is less than 3 feet.
- Construction of paved parking area for 1,000 or more vehicles.
- Construction on land where bedrock is exposed or generally within 3 feet of existing ground surface.
- Construction that will continue for more than 1 year or involve more than one phase or stage.
- Excavation for mining purposes that would remove more than 1,000 tons of natural material (i.e., rock or soil) per year.

	Yes No
	Yes No

			1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
	•	Construction or expansion of a santary landfill.			Yes No
	•	Construction in a designated floodway.			Yes No
	•	Other impacts:			Yes No
2.		there be an effect to any unique or unusual land forms found on site? (i.e., cliffs, dunes, geological formations, etc.)			
	•	Specific land forms:			
		Impact on Water			
3.		Proposed Action affect any water body designated as protected? der Articles 15, 24, 25 of the Environmental Conservation Law,			
	Exa •	mples that would apply to column 2 Developable area of site contains a protected water body.			Yes No
	•	Dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from channel of a protected stream.			Yes No
	•	Extension of utility distribution facilities through a protected water body.			Yes No
	•	Construction in a designated freshwater or tidal wetland.			Yes No
	•	Other impacts:			Yes No
	·				
4.	wate	Proposed Action affect any non-protected existing or new body of er? XNO			
	•	mples that would apply to column 2 A 10% increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease.			Yes No
	٠	Construction of a body of water that exceeds 10 acres of surface area.			Yes No
	• .	Other impacts:			Yes No

	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
Will Proposed Action affect surface or groundwater quality or quantity? NO TO YES			
 Examples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action will require a discharge permit. 			Yes N
 Proposed Action requires use of a source of water that does not have approval to serve proposed (project) action. 			Yes N
 Proposed Action requires water supply from wells with greater than 45 gallons per minute pumping capacity. 			Yes N
 Construction or operation causing any contamination of a water supply system. 			Yes N
Proposed Action will adversely affect groundwater.			Yes N
 Liquid effluent will be conveyed off the site to facilities which presently do not exist or have inadequate capacity. 			Yes N
 Proposed Action would use water in excess of 20,000 gallons per day. 			Yes N
 Proposed Action will likely cause siltation or other discharge into an existing body of water to the extent that there will be an obvious visual contrast to natural conditions. 			Yes N
 Proposed Action will require the storage of petroleum or chemical products greater than 1,100 gallons. 			Yes N
 Proposed Action will allow residential uses in areas without water and/or sewer services. 			Yes N
 Proposed Action locates commercial and/or industrial uses which may require new or expansion of existing waste treatment and/or storage facilities. 			Yes N
• Other impacts:			Yes N

		1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
6.	Will Proposed Action alter drainage flow or patterns, or surface water runoff?			
	Examples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action would change flood water flows 			Yes No
	Proposed Action may cause substantial erosion.			Yes No
	 Proposed Action is incompatible with existing drainage patterns. 			Yes No
	 Proposed Action will allow development in a designated floodway. 			Yes No
	Other impacts:			Yes No
	IMPACT ON AIR			
7.	Will Proposed Action affect air quality?			
	 Examples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action will induce 1,000 or more vehicle trips in any given hour. 			Yes No
	 Proposed Action will result in the incineration of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour. 			Yes No
	 Emission rate of total contaminants will exceed 5 lbs. per hour or a heat source producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour. 			Yes No
	 Proposed Action will allow an increase in the amount of land committed to industrial use. 			Yes No
	 Proposed Action will allow an increase in the density of industrial development within existing industrial areas. 			Yes No
	Other impacts:			Yes No
	IMPACT ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS			
0				
8.	Will Proposed Action affect any threatened or endangered species?			
	 Examples that would apply to column 2 Reduction of one or more species listed on the New York or Federal list, using the site, over or near the site, or found on the site. 			Yes No

			1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Miligated by Project Change
	•	Removal of any portion of a critical or significant wildlife habitat.			Yes No
	•	Application of pesticide or herbicide more than twice a year, other than for agricultural purposes.			
	•	Other impacts:			
9.		Il Proposed Action substantially affect non-threatened or non- dangered species? NO YES	•		
	Ex:	amples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action would substantially interfere with any resident or migratory fish, shellfish or wildlife species.			Yes No
	•	Proposed Action requires the removal of more than 10 acres of mature forest (over 100 years of age) or other locally important vegetation.			Yes No
	•	Other impacts:	<u> </u>		
10.	Wil	IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES			-
	Exa	amples that would apply to column 2			
	•	The Proposed Action would sever, cross or limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.)			Yes No
	•	Construction activity would excavate or compact the soil profile of agricultural land.			Yes No
	•	The Proposed Action would irreversibly convert more than 10 acres of agricultural land or, if located in an Agricultural District, more than 2.5 acres of agricultural land.			Yes No

	•	The Proposed Action would disrupt or prevent installation of agricultural land management systems (e.g., subsurface drain lines, outlet ditches, strip cropping); or create a need for such measures (e.g. cause a farm field to drain poorly due to increased workf)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
	•	increased runoff). Other impacts:	, ·		
			,		
		IMPACT ON AESTHETIC RESOURCES			·
11.		Proposed Action affect aesthetic resources? (If necessary, use Visual EAF Addendum in Section 617.20, Appendix B.)			
	Exa •	mples that would apply to column 2 Proposed land uses, or project components obviously different from or in sharp contrast to current surrounding land use patterns, whether man-made or natural.			Yes No
	•	Proposed land uses, or project components visible to users of aesthetic resources which will eliminate or significantly reduce their enjoyment of the aesthetic qualities of that resource.			Yes No
	•	Project components that will result in the elimination or significant screening of scenic views known to be important to the area.			Yes No
	•	Other impacts:			Yes No
				<u> </u>	
	·U	IPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
12.		Proposed Action impact any site or structure of historic, historic or paleontological importance? NO YES			
	Exa •	mples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action occurring wholly or partially within or substantially contiguous to any facility or site listed on the State or National Register of historic places.			
	•	Any impact to an archaeological site or fossil bed located within the project site.			Yes No
	•	Proposed Action will occur in an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NYS Site Inventory.			Yes No

	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
Other impacts:			Yes No
IMPACT ON OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION			
3. Will proposed Action affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities?			
 Examples that would apply to column 2 The permanent foreclosure of a future recreational opportunity. 			Yes No
 A major reduction of an open space important to the community. 			Yes No
Other impacts:			
IMPACT ON CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS			
4. Will Proposed Action Impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA) established pursuant to subdivision 6NYCRR 617.14(g)?			
List the environmental characteristics that caused the designation of the CEA.			
 Examples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action to locate within the CEA? 			Yes No
 Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource? 			Yes No
 Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quality of the resource? 			Yes No
 Proposed Action will impact the use, function or enjoyment of the resource? 			Yes No
Other impacts:			Yes No

	IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
15. V	Vill there be an effect to existing transportation systems?			
E	xamples that would apply to column 2 Alteration of present patterns of movement of people and/or goods.			Yes No
•	Proposed Action will result in major traffic problems.			
٠	Other impacts:			
	IMPACT ON ENERGY			
	/iii Proposed Action affect the community's sources of fuel or nergy supply?			
E: •	xamples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action will cause a greater than 5% increase in the use of any form of energy in the municipality.			Yes No
•	Proposed Action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two family residences or to serve a major commercial or industrial use.			Yes No
	Other impacts:			Yes No
	NOISE AND ODOR IMPACT	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	ill there be objectionable odors, noise, or vibration as a result of e Proposed Action?			
E) •	camples that would apply to column 2 Blasting within 1,500 feet of a hospital, school or other sensitive facility.			Yes No
•	Odors will occur routinely (more than one hour per day).			Yes No
•	Proposed Action will produce operating noise exceeding the local ambient noise levels for noise outside of structures.			Yes No
•	Proposed Action will remove natural barriers that would act as a noise screen.			Yes No
•	Other impacts:			

		1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
	IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH			
18. W	fill Proposed Action affect public health and safety?			
•	Proposed Action may cause a risk of explosion or release of hazardous substances (i.e. oil, pesticides, chemicals, radiation, etc.) in the event of accident or upset conditions, or there may be a chronic low level discharge or emission.			Yes No
•	Proposed Action may result in the burial of "hazardous wastes" in any form (i.e. toxic, poisonous, highly reactive, radioactive, irritating, infectious, etc.)			Yes No
•	Storage facilities for one million or more gallons of liquefied natural gas or other flammable liquids.			
•	Proposed Action may result in the excavation or other disturbance within 2,000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.			Yes No
•	Other impacts:			□ _{Yes} □ _{No}
	IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD			<u> </u>
19. W	Il Proposed Action affect the character of the existing community?			
Ex •	amples that would apply to column 2 The permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located is likely to grow by more than 5%.			Yes No
•	The municipal budget for capital expenditures or operating services will increase by more than 5% per year as a result of this project.			Yes No
•	Proposed Action will conflict with officially adopted plans or goals.			Yes No
•	Proposed Action will cause a change in the density of land use.			
٠	Proposed Action will replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures or areas of historic importance to the community.			Yes No
•	Development will create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire, etc.)			Yes No

.

		1 Smali to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
•	Proposed Action will set an important precedent for future projects.			Yes No
•	Proposed Action will create or eliminate employment.			Yes No
•	Other impacts:			Yes No
	ere, or is there likely to be, public controversy related to potential			
adv	erse environment impacts?			

If Any Action in Part 2 is identified as a Potential Large Impact or If you Cannot Determine the Magnitude of Impact, Proceed to Part 3