



Greens Corners Solar LLC

## **Greens Corners Solar**

**Matter Number 21-00982**

**§900-2.10 Exhibit 9 (Revised)**

**Cultural Resources**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXHIBIT 9 CULTURAL RESOURCES ..... 1

(a) Impacts on Archaeological Resources..... 1

(1) Summary of Archaeological Impacts and Avoidance or Mitigation Measures..... 1

(2) Phase IA Archaeological Resources Study ..... 2

(3) Phase IB Archaeological Resources Study..... 3

(4) Phase II Archaeological Site Evaluations..... 4

(5) Unanticipated Discovery Plan ..... 4

(b) Historic Resources and Potential Impacts ..... 5

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

Revised Appendix 9-A. OPRHP Correspondence

Appendix 9-B. Phase IA Archaeological Investigation Report

Appendix 9-C. Phase IB Archaeological Investigation Report

Appendix 9-D. Unanticipated Discovery Plan

Appendix 9-E. Historic Architecture Investigation Report

**LIST OF TABLES**

Table 9-1. Summary of Archaeological Sites Identified During the Phase IB Survey ..... 2

Table 9-2. S/NRHP- Historic Properties Within Five Miles of the Facility Area ..... 7

Table 9-3. Results of Historic Resources Study ..... 10

## **EXHIBIT 9 CULTURAL RESOURCES**

Consistent with 18 New York Codes, Rules, and Regulations (NYCRR) § 900-1.3(h); Section 14.09 of the New York State Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation Law of 1980; and the New York Archaeological Council's (NYAC) *Standards for Cultural Resource Investigations and Curation of Collections* (NYAC 1994; "NYAC Standards"), Greens Corners Solar LLC (the Applicant) has consulted with the New York State Office of Parks, Recreation, and Historic Preservation (OPRHP) to develop the scope and methodology for cultural resources studies for the Greens Corners Solar Facility (the Facility). Correspondence between the Applicant and the OPRHP are provided in Appendix 9-A: *OPRHP Correspondence*. To date, formal consultation with the OPRHP has included initiating Facility review, consultation, and submission of technical reports through the OPRHP's Cultural Resources Information System (CRIS) website.<sup>1</sup>

### **(a) Impacts on Archaeological Resources**

#### **(1) Summary of Archaeological Impacts and Avoidance or Mitigation Measures**

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) was contracted by the Applicant to conduct a Phase I Archaeological Resources Investigation for the Greens Corners Solar Facility, on an approximately 2,656-acre area in the Towns of Watertown and Hounsfield, Jefferson County, New York (the Facility Area<sup>2</sup>). Tetra Tech initiated consultation with the OPRHP on April 24, 2020 (Appendix 9-A: *OPRHP Correspondence*), and OPRHP confirmed that a Phase I investigation was required. A Phase IA Archaeological Investigation was conducted, and the report was submitted to the OPRHP on July 23, 2020 (Appendix 9-B: *Phase IA Archaeological Investigation Report*). No previously identified archaeological resources were known to be located within the Facility Area. Due to the general cultural history of the region and the presence of favorable environmental features, the Facility Area was considered archaeologically sensitive for both historic and precontact archaeological sites, and, as a result, was assessed by Tetra Tech to have the potential for adverse effects on archaeological resources. OPRHP agreed and requested a Phase IB Archaeological Investigation.

A Phase IB Archaeological Survey was initiated on November 3, 2020 and was completed on December 10, 2020. Tetra Tech, with guidance from the OPRHP, determined the archaeological Area of Potential Effect (APE) for the Facility to consist of all areas of intensive ground disturbing activities, including

---

<sup>1</sup>OPRHP's Cultural Resources Information System is accessible at: <http://www.nysparks.com/shpo/online-tools/>.

<sup>2</sup>Note that the 2,656-acre Facility Area in this document differs from the overall Facility Area of 3,031 acres because land parcels that host sections of overhead collector line were not included.

approximately 217 acres of tree clearing areas, inverter pads, switching stations, temporary laydown areas, and trenching for subsurface transmission lines. During the field work, five isolated historic period artifacts were recovered, none of which was recommended as eligible for the State Register of Historic Places or the National Register of Historic Places (together referred to as S/NRHP). The survey did not recover any precontact period artifacts. The archaeological resources identified during the Phase IB survey are summarized in Table 9-1 and discussed in detail in Appendix 9-C: *Phase IB Archaeological Investigation Report*.

**Table 9-1. Summary of Archaeological Sites Identified During the Phase IB Survey**

Site Name	Description	Recommended Status
SU001	Isolated Finds	Not Eligible for S/NRHP; no further work

No potentially significant archaeological resources were identified within the archaeological APE during the Phase IB investigation.

If unanticipated archaeological resources are encountered during construction, the Facility’s *Unanticipated Discovery Plan* will include provisions to stop all work in the vicinity of the archaeological finds until those resources can be evaluated and documented by a Registered Professional Archaeologist (RPA). The *Unanticipated Discovery Plan* is attached to this Exhibit as Appendix 9-D.

**(2) Phase IA Archaeological Resources Study**

Tetra Tech prepared the Appendix 9-B: *Phase IA Archaeological Investigation* report, which was submitted through the CRIS website on July 23, 2020 as summarized below. The purpose of the Phase IA Archaeological Investigation was to: 1) define the Facility’s APE relative to archaeological resources based on the anticipated area of disturbance for Facility components; 2) determine whether previously identified archaeological resources are located within the APE; and 3) develop a sensitivity model for the presence of undocumented archaeological resources within the direct APE.

Following submission and approval of the Phase IA report by the OPRHP, a Phase IB archaeological survey was initiated following methodology developed in consultation with the OPRHP. The Phase IA report was prepared by professionals who satisfy the qualification criteria per the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Archaeology (36 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] Part 61) and in accordance with the NYAC

Standards (NYAC 1994) and applicable portions of the OPRHP's Phase I Archaeological Report Format Requirements (OPRHP 2005). A summary of the Phase IA Archaeological Investigation report is provided below:

- Relative to the potential for archaeological sites to be located within the Facility Area, the results of the Phase IA archaeological investigation for the Facility can be summarized as follows: there are two previously reported archaeological sites located within 1 mile of the Facility, as summarized in Table 5 of the Phase IA Archaeological Investigation report:
  - Site 99A-Locus 99 (Unique Site Number [USN] 04510.000020) consists of an historic period artifact scatter. The site has not been evaluated for S/NRHP eligibility. It is located 0.7 mile (1.1 km) south of the Facility Area and will not be impacted by any Facility-related activities.
  - Site 92A-Locus 92 (USN 04520.000006) consists of an historic period artifact scatter. The site has not been evaluated for S/NRHP eligibility. It is located 0.2-mile (0.3 km) northeast of the Facility Area and will not be impacted by any Facility-related activities.
- Sensitivity for precontact Native American archaeological resources within the Facility Area was considered to be moderate to high on well-drained upland terrain adjacent to the Beaver Meadows wetland. These wetlands may have been an attractive setting for precontact hunter-gatherers to procure faunal and floral resources.
- Sensitivity for historic archaeology was considered to be moderate to high based on the identification of map-documented structures on historic maps (Figures 3-5 of Appendix 9-B: *Phase IA Archaeological Investigation Report*). Archaeological resources associated with these sites could include foundations, structural remains, artifact scatters, and/or other features.

In addition, the Phase IA report acknowledges that construction of the Facility will include ground-disturbing activities with the potential to impact archaeological resources. The APE for direct effects (i.e., archaeological resources) was not defined at the time of submission of the Phase IA Archaeological Investigation report, but was assumed to comprise areas sited for grading, inverter pads, switching stations, tree clearing, laydown areas, and trenching for subsurface transmission lines. Any archaeological sites located within the Facility Area, but not within the archaeological APE, would not be directly affected by the Facility.

### **(3) Phase IB Archaeological Resources Study**

On September 1, 2020, the OPRHP provided a response to the Phase IA Archaeological Investigation report indicating that the proposed Facility location is considered archaeologically sensitive and that a Phase IB archaeological survey would be required (Appendix 9-A: *OPRHP Correspondence*). The goal of the Phase IB survey was to determine whether potentially significant archaeological sites are present in areas of proposed ground disturbance for the Facility. The Phase IB survey was conducted under the supervision of a Registered Professional Archaeologist (RPA) in a manner consistent with NYAC Standards (NYAC 1994), and a Phase IB report was prepared in accordance with the OPRHP's Phase 1 Archeological Report Format Requirements (OPRHP 2005). The primary methods used during the archaeological survey included: (1) shovel tests in agricultural fields, woodlots, and shrubland that exhibited less than 70 percent ground visibility; (2) close-interval (15-foot [5-m]) pedestrian survey in areas that had been recently plowed and rained upon and that exhibited at least 70 percent ground visibility; and (3) wide-interval (50-foot [15-m]) pedestrian reconnaissance in forested wetlands. The Phase IB survey was completed in accordance with the work plan developed in consultation with the OPRHP.

Fieldwork was initiated on November 3, 2020 and was completed on December 10, 2020. The completed field survey comprised 810 shovel test pits, 169 acres of close-interval pedestrian survey, and 20 acres of wide-interval pedestrian reconnaissance. Five historic period find spots were identified from the close-interval pedestrian survey, with none recommended as eligible for the S/NRHP. The Phase IB survey recovered no precontact period artifacts. The completed Phase IB Archaeological Investigation report was submitted to OPRHP on February 9, 2021, and OPRHP concurred with Tetra Tech's conclusions and recommendations in a letter dated February 18, 2021 (Appendix 9-A: *OPRHP Correspondence*).

#### **(4) Phase II Archaeological Site Evaluations**

As no sites meeting or potentially meeting criteria for listing in for the S/NRHP were identified through the Facility's Phase IB survey, avoidance measures and Phase II evaluations are not required for the Facility.

#### **(5) Unanticipated Discovery Plan**

The *Unanticipated Discovery Plan* is attached to this Exhibit as Appendix 9-D. The Unanticipated Discovery Plan identifies the actions to be taken in the unexpected event that resources of cultural, historical, or archaeological importance are encountered during Facility construction. The plan includes a provision for work stoppage upon the discovery of possible archaeological or human remains. Evaluation of such discoveries, if warranted, will be conducted by an RPA, qualified according to the NYAC Standards (NYAC 1994).

**(b) Historic Resources and Potential Impacts**

Tetra Tech has prepared an Historic Architecture Investigation report, which was submitted through the CRIS website on May 7, 2021 (Appendix 9-E: *Historic Architecture Investigation Report*) and comments were received back from OPRHP on May 24, 2021 requesting additional analysis and recommendations on the annotated list. The applicant provided a response to those comments via the CRIS website on May 28, 2021. OPRHP requested further information regarding assessment of potential effects to selected historic properties on July 30, 2021. The Applicant provided a response to those comments on September 13, 2021, and OPRHP requested project plan views of solar arrays and access roads in the vicinity of three historic properties on October 7, 2021. The Applicant submitted this requested information to OPRHP via the CRIS website on October 27, 2021 (see Appendix 9-A: *OPRHP Correspondence*). The submitted materials show each of the three historic resources in relation to Facility setbacks and proposed vegetated screening. The Facility setbacks have been increased uniformly across the Facility, including increasing the setbacks to non-participating residences from 250-ft (the minimum setback established in Table 2 of §900-2.6) to 400 ft, along Route 3 from 50 ft (see Table 2 of §900-2.6) to 500 ft, and to public roads from 50 feet from the center-line (see Table 2 of §900-2.6) to 100 feet from the edge of road right-of-way. The vegetated screening has also been proposed uniformly across the Facility to address potential visual impacts to adjacent properties. The Facility design features applicable to these three properties include the following:

- 19137 NY Rt. 3 (Hounsfield Christian Church): Facility setback at least 250 ft from property line, which is greater than the 100-ft minimum setback established in Table 2 of §900-2.6. Vegetated screening proposed between Church and solar arrays.
- 18319 Old Rome Road (Residence): Facility setback at least 400 ft from residence, which is greater than the 250 ft minimum setback established in Table 2 of §900-2.6. Vegetated screening proposed between residence and solar arrays to the northwest (where the solar arrays are on the same side of the road as the residence).
- 20141 Beutel Road (Residence): Facility setback at least 400 ft from residence, which is greater than the 250 ft minimum setback established in Table 2 of §900-2.6. Vegetated screening proposed between residence and solar arrays to the north (where the solar arrays are on the same side of the road as the residence).

At the time of this Application submission no further response has been received. The purpose of this survey was to define the indirect APE relative to historic resources; determine whether previously identified aboveground historic resources are located within the indirect APE; identify previously undocumented

historic resources within the indirect APE; evaluate their eligibility for the S/NRHP; and assess the potential effect of the Facility on those resources. The *Historic Architecture Investigation Report* (Appendix 9-E) defined the indirect APE as the “geographic area or areas within which an undertaking may indirectly cause changes in the character of or use of historic properties, if any such properties exist” (36 CFR § 800.16(d)) (CFR 2014b). Tetra Tech documented 129 historic properties and architectural properties within the APE for indirect effects:

- Eight previously identified S/NRHP-listed properties are located within the APE for indirect effects;
- Two S/NRHP-eligible properties are located within the APE for indirect effects;
- Six newly identified architectural properties were recommended by Tetra Tech to be S/NRHP-eligible within the APE for indirect effects;
- 52 newly documented architectural properties were recommended by Tetra Tech to be not eligible for the S/NRHP within the APE for indirect effects;
- 61 newly documented architectural properties within the APE for indirect effects lacked sufficient data to make an eligibility recommendation.
- The Village of Brownville contains four S/NRHP-listed and eight S/NRHP-eligible historic properties within the APE for indirect effects; documentation of these historic properties was conducted via streetscape photography.

A copy of all OPRHP correspondence related to the historic resources survey is attached as Appendix 9-A to this Section 94-c Application.

The Facility will have no direct impact on any historic properties or architectural resources (i.e., no historic structures will be damaged or removed as a result of Facility construction or operation). The Facility’s potential effect on a historic property would be a change in said property’s character-defining qualities resulting from the introduction of solar arrays and the removal of vegetation. The APE for visual effects on historic resources must include those areas where Facility components (including solar arrays and substations) could be visible and, therefore, there is a potential for an indirect visual effect.

The indirect APE relative to historic properties and architectural resources includes the areas of potential Facility visibility based on the viewshed of the Facility. This area represents a conservative, “worst case” assessment of potential Facility visibility.

The field survey for aboveground historic resources was conducted from March 15-March 18, 2021. The survey indicated that the topography, forested lots, existing buildings, street trees, yard vegetation, utility poles, and other objects obstruct views on the periphery as well as portions of the Facility interior. Due to rolling topography and abundant surrounding vegetation, potential views of the Facility from multiple locations within the Project APE will be screened. The Project is not expected to have any adverse effects associated with the character-defining qualities that qualify an architectural property for NRHP eligibility.

At the request of the OPRHP, a review of previously recorded historic properties was conducted within a five-mile radius of the proposed Facility. As summarized in Table 9-2, 39 S/NRHP-listed properties are located within 5 miles of the Facility. Ten S/NRHP historic properties are located within the Facility’s APE for indirect (visual) effects, one historic property was determined upon field inspection to be demolished, and 28 historic properties occur outside the APE for indirect effects.

**Table 9-2. S/NRHP- Historic Properties Within Five Miles of the Facility Area**

<b>NR Number</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Municipality</b>	<b>Potential Facility Visibility</b>
90NR01154	Paddock Arcade	Watertown	Not Visible
90NR01152	Watertown Masonic Temple	Watertown	Not Visible
90NR01156	Paddock Mansion	Watertown	Not Visible
90NR01153	Jefferson County Courthouse Complex	Watertown	Not Visible
90NR03205	St. Paul's Church (Episcopal)	Brownville	Not Visible
90NR01151	Flower, Roswell P., Memorial Library	Watertown	Not Visible
90NR01155	Public Square Historic District	Watertown	Not Visible
90NR01190	Sackets Harbor Battlefield	Sackets Harbor	Not Visible
90NR01139	Resseguie Farm	Hounsfield	Visible
90NR01135	Conklin Farm	Hounsfield	Not Visible
90NR01137	District School No. 20	Hounsfield	Visible
90NR01134	Bedford Creek Bridge	Hounsfield	Not Visible
90NR01138	East Hounsfield Church	Hounsfield	Not Visible
90NR01136	District School No. 19	Hounsfield	Visible
97NR01176	St. Paul's Episcopal Church	Watertown	Not Visible
90NR01163	Brownville Hotel	Brownville	Demolished
90NR01165	Walrath, Arthur, House	Brownville	Visible
90NR01161	Archer, William, House	Brownville	Visible
90NR01162	Brown, Gen. Jacob, Mansion	Brownville	Visible

<b>NR Number</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Municipality</b>	<b>Potential Facility Visibility</b>
90NR01164	Vogt House	Brownville	Not Visible
90NR02995	Read, Simon, Farm	Hounsfield	Visible
99NR01473	Trinity Episcopal Church and Parish House	Watertown	Not Visible
90NR01142	Star Grange No. 9	Hounsfield	Visible
90NR01144	Stephenson/Frink Farm	Hounsfield	Visible
90NR01140	Samuel Guthrie House	Hounsfield	Not Visible
90NR01141	Shore Farm	Hounsfield	Not Visible
90NR01145	Sulphur Springs Cemetery	Hounsfield	Visible
90NR01143	Stephen Simmons Farmhouse	Hounsfield	Not Visible
96NR01109	Thomas Memorial AME Zion Church	Watertown	Not Visible
90NR01187	Union Hotel	Sackets Harbor	Not Visible
90NR01189	Madison Barracks	Sackets Harbor	Not Visible
90NR01186	Camp, Elisha, House	Sackets Harbor	Not Visible
90NR01188	Sackets Harbor Village Historic District	Sackets Harbor	Not Visible
02NR04954	Emma Flower Taylor Mansion	Watertown	Not Visible
02NR04955	Emerson Place	Watertown	Not Visible
02NR04999	Dexter Universalist Church (former)	Dexter	Not Visible
13NR06504	John Losee House	Watertown	Not Visible
17NR00022	Taylor Flats	Watertown	Not Visible
18NR00026	Abingdon & New Abingdon Apartments	Watertown	Not Visible

Historically significant properties are defined herein to include buildings, districts, objects, structures, and/or sites that have been listed on the S/NRHP, as well as those properties that the OPRHP has formally determined are eligible for listing on the S/NRHP. Under criteria set forth by the National Park Service for evaluating historic properties (36 CFR § 60.4), a historic building, district, object, structure or site is significant (i.e., eligible for listing on the S/NRHP):

“The quality of significance in American history, architecture, archeology, engineering, and culture is present in districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects that possess integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association and:

(A) that are associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad

patterns of our history; or

(B) that are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past; or

(C) that embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; or

(D) that have yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history” (NPS 1990).”

The historic resources survey included a review of previous historic architectural surveys within the study area (described above and in Section 4 of the *Historic Architecture Investigation Report* attached as Appendix 9-E), consultation with the OPRHP (described above and in Section 1.3 of Appendix 9-E: *Historic Architecture Investigation Report*), site visits to identify and evaluate potential historic resources within the study area, and supplemental research on specific historic properties (as necessary). All historic resources fieldwork was conducted by qualified architectural historians who meet the Secretary of Interior’s Standards for Historic Preservation Projects (36 CFR Part 61).

All buildings and structures within the Project APE that were style-dated as 50 years old or older were documented by the Tetra Tech architectural historian on OPRHP’s Trekker forms using an enabled iPad. This documentation included photographs of the building(s) (and associated structures or properties when necessary) and field notes describing the style, physical characteristics and materials (e.g., number of stories, plan, external siding, roof, foundation, and sash), condition, physical integrity, and other noteworthy characteristics for each resource. Tetra Tech’s evaluation of historic resources within the study area focused on the physical condition and integrity (with respect to design, materials, feeling, and association) to assess the potential architectural significance of each resource. In addition, an assessment of Project effects to each S/NRHP-listed, eligible, and recommended eligible property was included in the evaluation.

Note that the condition and integrity of all resources were evaluated based solely on the visible exterior of the structures. No inspections or evaluations requiring access to the interior of buildings or on private property were conducted as part of this assessment. In accordance with the NHPA and OPRHP guidelines, buildings less than 50 years in age were not documented during the survey.

Properties inventoried and evaluated as part of the historic architectural survey included resources that had been identified in previous architectural surveys in the study area and resources newly identified during this

survey. The locations of all properties surveyed (including previously surveyed and newly identified properties) are listed in Table 9-3 and shown on Appendix B of Appendix 9-E: *Historic Architecture Investigation Report*. Photographs of all properties surveyed are included in Appendix A of Appendix 9-E: *Historic Architecture Investigation Report*.

Ten previously identified properties (i.e., properties already included in the OPRHP CRIS database as either S/NRHP-listed or eligible) were re-visited and evaluated as part of the survey. In addition, Tetra Tech identified 119 properties within the APE for indirect impacts that had not been previously surveyed, for a total of 129 resources evaluated in this survey (Table 9-3). Six of these newly surveyed properties are S/NRHP-eligible, as recommended by Tetra Tech. Fifty-two architectural properties were recommended by Tetra Tech to be not eligible for the S/NRHP due to lack of significance or integrity, and 61 architectural properties lacked sufficient information to make an eligibility recommendation. Assessment of Facility effects to historic resources within the indirect APE in the nucleated village of Brownville were conducted via streetscape photographs. These resources included four S/NRHP-listed and eight S/NRHP-eligible properties. Tetra Tech concluded that the village of Brownville would have no Facility visibility due to intervening vegetation, topography, and built environment.

**Table 9-3. Results of Historic Resources Study**

<b>Address</b>	<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Town</b>	<b>Build Date</b>	<b>NRHP Status</b>	<b>Recommended NRHP Status</b>
20141 Buetel Rd	-	Watertown	1750-1819	none	eligible
23235 Butts Rd	-	Brownville	1945-1969	none	undetermined
18479 Cady Rd	Simon Read Farm	Hounsfield	1820-1859	listed	retains significance
19049 Cady Rd	-	Hounsfield	1820-1859	none	undetermined
15302 County Rt 62	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
15500 County Rt 62	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
15868 County Rt 62	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
16031 County Rt 62	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
16072 County Rt 62	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
16765 County Rt 62	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
16852 County Rt 62	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
16914 County Rt 62	District School No. 19	Hounsfield	1884	listed	retains significance

<b>Address</b>	<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Town</b>	<b>Build Date</b>	<b>NRHP Status</b>	<b>Recommended NRHP Status</b>
17151 County Rt 62	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
17170 County Rt 62	Star Grange No. 9	Hounsfield	1860-1889	listed	retains significance
17822 County Rt 62	-	Hounsfield	1939	none	undetermined
18251 County Rt 62	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
18283 County Rt 62	-	Hounsfield	1860-1889	none	undetermined
18494 County Rt 62	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
18782 County Rt 62	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
19251 County Rt 62	-	Hounsfield	1920-1944	none	undetermined
County Rt 62	Sulphur Springs Cemetery	Hounsfield		listed	retains significance
17602 County Rt 63	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
18134 County Rt 63	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
15118 County Rt 64	Samuel F. Ballard House	Watertown	1820-1859	eligible	retains significance
16611 County Rt 64	-	Watertown	1820-1859	none	eligible
16923 County Rt 64	-	Watertown	1890-1919	none	undetermined
16932 County Rt 64	-	Watertown	1890-1919	none	not eligible
18669 County Rt 66	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
18692 County Rt 66	-	Hounsfield	1920-1944	none	not eligible
18728 County Rt 66	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
18743 County Rt 66	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
18762 County Rt 66	-	Hounsfield	1820-1859	none	eligible
18876 County Rt 66	-	Hounsfield	1860-1889	none	undetermined
19137 County Rt 66	Stephenson/ Frink Farm	Hounsfield	1890-1919	listed	retains significance
16838 Evans Rd	-	Hounsfield	1860-1889	none	not eligible
16838 Evans Rd	-	Hounsfield	1860-1889	none	not eligible
18100 Evans Rd	-	Hounsfield	1820-1859	none	not eligible
17348 Fields Rd	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible

<b>Address</b>	<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Town</b>	<b>Build Date</b>	<b>NRHP Status</b>	<b>Recommended NRHP Status</b>
17979 Fields Rd	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
18398 Fields Rd	-	Hounsfield	1860-1889	none	undetermined
18576 Fields Rd	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
15523 Fuller Rd	Talcott Farms	Adams	1824	listed	retains significance
15994 Ives Street Rd	-	Watertown	1890-1919	none	undetermined
16896 Ives Street Rd	-	Watertown	1890-1919	none	not eligible
17848 Ives Street Rd	-	Watertown	1890-1919	none	undetermined
18279 Ives Street Rd	-	Watertown	1820-1859	none	eligible
18543 Jericho Rd	-	Hounsfield	1920-1944	none	not eligible
18967 Jericho Rd	-	Hounsfield	1945-1969	none	undetermined
19863 Jericho Rd	-	Hounsfield	1860-1889	none	undetermined
20930 Jericho Rd	-	Hounsfield	1920-1944	none	not eligible
19280 Lloyd Rd	-	Hounsfield	1860-1889	none	not eligible
19456 Lloyd Rd	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
17367 Martin Rd	-	Hounsfield	1860-1889	none	not eligible
17582 Martin Rd	-	Hounsfield	1860-1889	none	not eligible
16261 Martin Rd West	-	Hounsfield	1860-1889	none	undetermined
16402 Martin Rd West	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
16449 Martin Rd West	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
19021 Massey St	-	Watertown	1890-1919	none	not eligible
19609 Massey St	-	Hounsfield	1860-1889	none	not eligible
19709 Massey St	-	Watertown	1890-1919	none	undetermined
20190 Massey St	-	Watertown	1860-1889	none	undetermined
17995 Maxon Rd	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
18035 NY Rt 12F	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
18255 NY Rt 12F	-	Hounsfield	1920-1944	none	undetermined
18531 NY Rt 12F	-	Hounsfield	1920-1944	none	not eligible
18683 NY Rt 12F	-	Hounsfield	1920-1944	none	not eligible
18953 NY Rt 12F	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined

<b>Address</b>	<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Town</b>	<b>Build Date</b>	<b>NRHP Status</b>	<b>Recommended NRHP Status</b>
19037 NY Rt 12F	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
19231 NY Rt 12F	-	Hounsfield	1860-1889	none	undetermined
19365 NY Rt 12F	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
19468 NY Rt 12F	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
20875 NY Rt 12F	-	Watertown	1890-1919	none	undetermined
20407 NY Rt 180	-	Hounsfield	1860-1889	none	undetermined
20450 NY Rt 180	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
20726 NY Rt 232	-	Watertown	1860-1889	none	undetermined
20949 NY Rt 232	-	Watertown	1890-1919	none	undetermined
21400 NY Rt 232	-	Watertown	1890-1919	none	not eligible
15387 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
15578 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
16210 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
16269 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1920-1944	none	not eligible
16504 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
17011 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1920-1944	none	not eligible
17072 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1945-1969	none	undetermined
17459 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1860-1889	none	eligible
17726 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
17937 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
18289 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1945-1969	none	not eligible
18351 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1860-1889	none	undetermined
18916 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1820-1859	none	not eligible
18932 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1920-1944	none	undetermined
19058 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
19137 NY Rt 3	East Hounsfield Christian Church	Hounsfield	1860-1889	listed	retains significance
19219 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1860-1889	none	not eligible
19297 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1920-1944	none	undetermined

<b>Address</b>	<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Town</b>	<b>Build Date</b>	<b>NRHP Status</b>	<b>Recommended NRHP Status</b>
19321 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1860-1889	none	not eligible
19438 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1970-1989	none	not eligible
19451 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1920-1944	none	undetermined
20077 NY Rt 3	-	Watertown	1890-1919	none	undetermined
20829 NY Rt 3	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
17606 Old Rices Rd	-	Watertown	1890-1919	none	undetermined
17324 Old Rome Rd	-	Watertown	1890-1919	none	undetermined
17428 Old Rome Rd	-	Watertown	1820-1859	none	undetermined
17726 Old Rome Rd	-	Watertown	1890-1919	none	not eligible
17747 Old Rome Rd	-	Watertown	1860-1889	none	not eligible
18319 Old Rome Rd	-	Watertown	1820-1859	none	eligible
19032 Old Rome Rd	-	Watertown	1860-1889	none	undetermined
19057 Old Rome Rd	-	Watertown	1820-1859	none	undetermined
20121 Old Rome Rd	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
20447 Old Rome Rd	-	Hounsfield	1820-1859	none	undetermined
20598 Old Rome Rd	-	Hounsfield	1860-1889	none	not eligible
20917 Old Rome Rd	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
20987 Old Rome Rd	-	Hounsfield	1945-1969	none	undetermined
21371 Old Rome Rd	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
21388 Old Rome Rd	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
16221 Parker Rd	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
16475 Parker Rd	Resseguie Farm	Hounsfield	1820-1859	listed	retains significance
16812 Parker Rd	-	Hounsfield	1920-1944	none	undetermined
17529 Spencer Rd	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible
17540 Spencer Rd	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	undetermined
15822 US Rt 11	Orchard Hill	Watertown	1820-1859	eligible	retains significance
16040 US Rt 11	-	Watertown	1890-1919	none	undetermined
16444 US Rt 11	-	Watertown	1890-1919	none	not eligible

<b>Address</b>	<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Town</b>	<b>Build Date</b>	<b>NRHP Status</b>	<b>Recommended NRHP Status</b>
16710 US Rt 11	-	Watertown	1890-1919	none	undetermined
17417 US Rt 11	-	Watertown	1890-1919	none	not eligible
18538 US Rt 11	-	Watertown	1890-1919	none	not eligible
18542 W Main St	-	Brownville	1920-1944	none	not eligible
18941 W Main St	-	Brownville	1890-1919	none	undetermined
18750 Waite Rd	-	Hounsfield	1890-1919	none	not eligible