

EDI Standards Changes:	Filed	Order Issued	Ordered Testing Completion	Ordered Implementation Date	Central Hudson	Con Edison	Nat. Grid - Update	Nat. Grid - Downstate	NFSG	NYSEG	O&R	RG&E	PSEG-U
APP Items - Round 1 & Other Changes:													
S10UBR/S10URR: the addition of the CRE030 SAC04 Charge Type			7/3/2017		Implemented	Implemented	Implemented	Implemented	Implemented	Implemented	Implemented	Implemented	
Utility S14C AMT*7 Implemented					Implemented	Implemented	Implemented	Implemented ###	Implemented	Manual Proc ###	Implemented	Manual Proc ###	
S14D: Support of ESCO Contest Period Reinstatement Requests via EDI	4/7/2015	9/21/2015			No	Implemented	See lines six and seven	Implemented	Implemented	No	Implemented	No	
Drop Code Changes (incl. CHA)					Implemented	Implemented	Implemented	Implemented	Implemented	No	Implemented	No	
Utility Implementation of CHU Code when customer drops to default service			Optional - testing date determined by Utility	Optional - implement. date determined by Utility	Implemented	Planned 2021	Implemented	Implemented	No	No	Implemented	No	
S14E: Support Service Portability via EDI					No	Implemented	No	No	Yes but All EDI implementation	No	No	No	
Notes:					### UBR Utilities have the option to employ AMT*7 when the ESCO issuing the GSP credit is no longer serving the customer or in a Dual Bill situation (Grid is exercising this option). Otherwise, a UBR Utility may use a cancel/rebill to re-open a billing window when the customer is no longer served by the ESCO issuing the GSP Credit or a non-EDI process for dual bill situations. [NYSEG/RG&E is taking this approach].								
APP Items - Round 2 & Other Changes:													
S03: Pricing History Transaction					Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	
Ready to Test - Date					5/26/2017	N/A	5/26/2017	7/17/2017	N/A	N/A	Testing	N/A	
Ready to Implement - Date					6/26/2017	N/A	6/26/2017	8/18/2017	N/A	N/A	TBD	N/A	
Implemented					Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	TBD ***	N/A	
Interim/Alternative S03 Approach					No	No	No	Interim-Yes, No	No	Manual	No	No	
S20: the addition of the new BMR07 code of GB	7/24/2015	12/7/2015	TBD***	TBD***	7/26/2017	Implemented	Yes	Yes	7/26/2017	No	Implemented	No	
S14C: Addition of rejection reason codes MOV & ECH in REF*7G			Optional - testing date determined by Utility	Optional - implement. date determined by Utility	No	No	Not Implemented	KEDNY Implemented/ KEDU Not Implemented	No	No	No	No	
S14C/S14E: Support exchange of Customer email addresses and facsimile numbers with ESCOs via EDI					No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	
S14E: Support PER Administrative Communications Contact (Customer Phone Number) Segment to N1*BT loop via EDI					No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Notes:					*** Implementation dates will be set prospectively now that Prohibition Order was implemented.								
EDI Standards Changes Filed 11/30/2018:													
n/a	n/a	Optional	Optional		Implemented	Large Customers mostly on 1.6/Small mostly on 1.4/target year-end 2021	Implemented	Implemented	Implemented	Implemented	Implemented	Implemented	
Migration to G1SB EDM 1.6					Implemented	Implemented	Implemented	Implemented	Implemented	Implemented	No	Implemented	
Migration to 1024-bit PGP encryption keys													
TLS 1.0 Upgrade to TLS 1.2 or 1.3 for NAEISB EDM EDI Exchanges					1.0	1.0, 1.1 and 1.2	Supports all	Supports all	Supports all	Supports all	Yes, 1.0, 1.1 and 1.2	Supports all	Supports all
EDI trading partners, including ESCOs?													
n/a	n/a	Optional	Optional				Inbound: Once WAF protection implemented, we'll only accept TLS1.2 and TLS1.3 Outbound: No plans		New trading partners require TLS1.2 but no mandate for existing		New trading partners require TLS1.2 and TLS1.3 Outbound: No plans		Inbound: Once WAF protection implemented, we'll only accept TLS1.2 and TLS1.3 Outbound: No plans
Do you have a plan to replace TLS 1.0 with implementation of TLS 1.2 or 1.3 in production with ESCOs, and if so when?					No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
If you don't currently have a plan to implement TLS 1.2 or 1.3, do you require a PSC order to do so?					n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Interval Usage for EDI													
Historical Interval Usage via EDI					No plans to implement	Currently in production	No plans to implement	N/A	N/A	No plans to implement	24	No plans to implement	
Number of months of summary HU sent in EDI - Gas		n/a			24	12	12	12	24	12	24	12	
Number of months of summary HU available on portal - Gas					24	24	12	N/A	24	24	n/a	24	
Number of months of summary HU sent in EDI - Electric					24	24	12	N/A	n/a	12	24	12	
Number of months of summary HU available on portal - Electric					24	24	12+26	N/A	n/a	24	n/a	24	
New York Customer Account Block Info													
S14 Enrollment Response					Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	*No	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Is CAB-Customer Account Blocked- used to reject an enrollment where a Customer Account Block is in place?						Code goes in REF1 segment along with description text indicating that Customer account is blocked	7001020 Blocked Enrollment	No	KEDNY: CAB - CUSTOMER ACCOUNT BLOCKED CAB - SWITCH NOT AUTHORIZED BY CUST KEDU: CAB - CUSTOMER ACCOUNT BLOCKED CAB - ALL ENROLLMENTS REJECTED PER CUSTOMER REQUEST	Message: Utility Customer Account Number xxxxxxxx Restricted from Enrollment	No	Yes	No
What TLS versions do you support in production EDI data exchange with													
Does the utility employ a Single block or Dual Block (both Enrollment and HU requests)?					Single (Enrollment)	Dual	Single (Enrollment only)	Single	Dual	*see foot notes	Single REF*7G*CAB*70 01020 Blocked Enrollment**	*see foot notes	
S14 Consumption History Response													
Is CAB-Customer Account Blocked- used to reject a Historical Usage request where a Customer Account Block is in place?					No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	It depends	Yes	It depends	