

STAFF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE
RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY/DOCUMENT REQUEST

New York State Electric & Gas Corporation
Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation
Cases 25-E-0375, 25-G-0378, 25-E-0379, and 25-G-0380
Electric and Gas Rates

Request No.: AES-05
Requested By: AES Clean Energy Development, LLC, Sheldon Energy LLC, and Stony Creek Energy LLC
Information Requested of: DPS Staff EIOP
Date of Request: January 28, 2026
Response Due Date: February 9, 2026
Date of Response: February 6, 2026
Name & Position of Respondent: Staff Electric Infrastructure and Operations Panel
Subject: Meyer Substation

Question:

5.

- a. Is the Panel aware of the financial impact on customers arising from the curtailments of renewable resources caused by the derating of the Meyer Substation?
- b. If the response to (a) is yes, please describe the Panel's understanding.
- c. Did the Panel conduct any analysis or assessment of the financial impacts of the curtailments?
- d. If the response to (c) is yes, please describe the analysis or assessment.
- e. If the response to (c) is yes, please provide the Panel's conclusions.
- f. If the response to (c) is no, please explain why not.

Response:

5.

- a. No. Since the Companies did not identify any customer costs of the Meyer Substation in any of its filings in these proceedings, the Panel was not aware of any such financial impacts.
- b. N/A
- c. See response to question 5a.
- d. N/A
- e. N/A
- f. The Panel's review of the program focused on the Companies proposal as outlined in the Companies' whitepaper provided on page 130 of Exhibit__(ECE-04). Panel considered the project scope, project need, historical performance e,

AES-05 Continued

and technical elements of the proposed Meyer Station Rebuild. The supposed financial impacts of curtailments on private entities were not included in the Companies' "Risk of No Action" section on page 131 of ECE-04, and as such was outside of Staff's review.