Outlook

MC-823934 – Cost Sharing Determination and Refund Exception

From [redacted]
Date Fri 8/7/2026 11:57
To [redacted]
Cc [redacted]

Hi Shira,

Thank you for meeting with us today to discuss **Project MC-823934**, located at **305 Rider Avenue, Bronx, NY 10451**.

As discussed, this project is subject to the **New York State Standardized Interconnection Requirements (NYSIR) Cost Sharing 2.0 framework**, which governs the allocation and recovery of qualifying upgrade costs among projects benefiting from shared utility infrastructure. Con Edison's internal Cost Sharing procedures, which are based on NYSIR Appendix H, state that **Qualifying Upgrade Costs become non-refundable once a project has made 100% payment**, except through the cost-sharing reimbursement process when a subsequent project contributes to the same upgrades.

Specifically, for projects that have paid their allocated qualifying upgrade costs:

> "No payments shall be refunded to a Sharing Project(s) after making full payment until a subsequent project(s) takes their place by making their full payment."

Under the normal application of the NYSIR Cost Sharing rules, once full payment has been made, a withdrawal would not entitle the developer to a refund of qualifying upgrade costs.

However, Con Edison has identified a project currently seeking to interconnect on the same circuit as Project MC-823934. Con Edison is in the process of evaluating whether that project would benefit from the qualifying upgrades associated with MC-823934. If it is determined that the project would benefit from those qualifying upgrades, the NYSIR Cost Sharing provisions would apply, and Con Edison would be required to administer the allocation and reimbursement process in accordance with those rules. In that circumstance, Con Edison would not be able to provide a refund of the qualifying upgrade costs should Ventura Group elect to withdraw the project.

Conversely, if Con Edison determines that the identified project does not benefit from the qualifying upgrades associated with MC-823934, then, consistent with Con Edison's current administration of the NYSIR Cost Sharing framework, Con Edison would be prepared to provide a refund of the qualifying upgrade costs should Ventura Group elect to withdraw the project.

It is important to note that this determination is being made solely based on the circumstances known at this time and should not be interpreted as a modification of, or waiver of, the NYSIR Cost Sharing requirements.

We would also like to clearly document the risk associated with maintaining the project in the interconnection queue. As time progresses, additional projects may apply to interconnect on the same circuit and could benefit from the qualifying upgrades funded by MC-823934. Should such a project emerge, the cost-sharing provisions of the NYSIR would apply, and Con Edison would be required to administer the allocation and reimbursement process in accordance with those rules. At that point, Con Edison may no longer be able to provide the refund described above and would be obligated to follow the NYSIR Cost Sharing framework as written.

During today's discussion, Con Edison explained these risks and the potential impact of future interconnection activity on the circuit. Ventura Group acknowledged and accepted this risk and indicated its understanding of the circumstances under which a refund may or may not be available.

Please let us know if you have any questions regarding this determination or the Cost Sharing requirements applicable to this project.

Reference: https://dps.ny.gov/nys-standardized-interconnection-requirements

Nickesha Carrol
Project Manager| Distribution Planning
[redacted]

[redacted]

Outlook

Re: <External Sender> MC-823934 – Cost Sharing Determination and Refund Exception

[redacted]

Date Tue 8/18/2026 14:24

To [redacted]

[redacted]

Hi Erick

Thank you for your patience while we completed our review with Engineering.

Based on the information provided by Engineering, there are currently no shareable costs or shared feeder upgrades identified between Project MC-823934 and the other project on the feeder. As of this time, the project does not appear to have any cost-sharing implications associated with that interconnection.

That said, I would like to emphasize that this determination reflects the conditions known today. As additional projects enter the interconnection queue, project scopes evolve, or system conditions change; the cost-sharing landscape could also change. Consequently, a future project could potentially create cost-sharing opportunities or implications that do not exist at this time.

With respect to refundability considerations, any future assessment would be based on the facts and circumstances that exist at that time.

Best Regards,

Nickesha

[redacted]