

STATE OF NEW YORK
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE 24-E-0322 - Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules and Regulations of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid for Electric Service.

CASE 24-G-0323 - Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules and Regulations of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid for Gas Service.

RULING ON SCHEDULE

(Issued May 1, 2025)

ANTHONY BELSITO and MICHAEL CLARKE, Administrative Law Judges:

On April 25, 2025, a Joint Proposal that would establish a three-year rate plan running from April 1, 2025 through March 31, 2028, was filed by the following parties: National Grid; trial staff of the New York State Department of Public Service; Multiple Intervenors; Walmart; the Alliance for a Green Economy; the New York Solar Energies Industry Association; Independent Power Producers of New York, Inc. (IPP); the United States Department of Defense and all other Federal Executive Agencies; the New York Geothermal Energy Organization; Turning Stone Enterprises, LLC; Fedrigoni Special Papers North America (Fedrigoni); Empire National Gas Corporation (Empire); New Yorkers for Clean Power; the New York

Power Authority (NYPA), and the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Union No. 97 (IBEW).¹

We adopt the following schedule:

May 14, 2025	Initial Statements on the Joint Proposal (Support and Opposition)
May 23, 2025	Reply Statements (if necessary)
June 3, 2025	Evidentiary Hearing

By the above filing deadlines, the parties must email their documents to us and to each other and file them with the Public Service Commission's (Commission) Secretary by 4:30 PM. As the proponents of the Joint Proposal have the burden of proving that the proposed settlement is in the public interest, their documents should set forth the rationale underlying their support, as well as how the settlement of issues compares to both their litigated position and what they regard as the likely outcome of litigation. Parties are encouraged to refer to the Commission's Settlement Guidelines in preparation of their statements.²

An evidentiary hearing will commence on Tuesday, June 3, 2025. The hearing will be held at the Commission's Albany offices. The time and hearing room location will be the subject of a Secretary's Notice to be issued prior to the hearing date. All persons who intend to attend the hearing and who are not

¹ Empire and Fedrigoni are parties in just the gas proceeding, and IPP and IBEW are parties in just the electric proceeding, so the position of each is limited to the gas or electric proceeding, respectively. NYPA supports only certain sections of the Joint Proposal and takes no position on the rest.

² Case 90-M-0255 et al., Proceeding on Motion of the Commission Concerning its Procedures for Settlement and Stipulation Agreements, filed in C 11175, Opinion No. 92-2 (issued March 24, 1992).

Department of Public Service employees must provide their names via email to Aisha Hammer (Aisha.Hammer@dps.ny.gov) prior to 3:00 p.m. on Friday, May 30, 2025, and must be prepared to show valid photo identification upon arrival at 3 Empire State Plaza. These procedures are necessary to comply with building security requirements.

A prehearing conference will be held via Webex and telephone on Wednesday, May 28, 2025. Details will be sent prior to that date via email.

In addition, the sponsoring parties should prepare an Exhibit List of exhibits to be moved into evidence at the hearing. With the Joint Proposal having supplanted parties' testimonial positions in this matter, the Exhibit List should include all testimony as exhibits; such testimony will not be adopted but shall be used only to establish the range of likely outcomes had this case proceeded to full litigation.³

The parties are reminded that the only issue to be litigated at the evidentiary hearing is whether the Commission should adopt, reject, or modify the Joint Proposal. In considering whether to adopt, reject or modify a Joint Proposal, the Commission must consider: 1) whether the Joint Proposal, as a whole, is consistent with the law and regulatory, economic, social and environmental policies of the Commission and the State; 2) whether the result compares favorably with the likely result of full litigation and is within the range of reasonable outcomes; 3) whether the settlement strikes a fair balance among the interests of ratepayers, the utility's investors and the long-term soundness of the utility; 4) whether a rational basis exists for the Commission's decision; 5) whether the settlement is contested; and 6) the completeness of the record.⁴

³ Id. at p. 30.

⁴ Id.

CASES 24-E-0322 et al.

The decision whether to allow post-hearing briefing will be made at the conclusion of the evidentiary hearing. Additional information concerning preparation for the hearing will be sent to the parties by email.

(SIGNED)

ANTHONY BELSITO

(SIGNED)

MICHAEL CLARKE