



May 16, 2024

VIA DMM and E-MAIL

Hon. Anthony Belsito
Administrative Law Judge
New York State Department of Public Service
3 Empire State Plaza
Albany, NY 12223-1350

Hon. Michelle L. Phillips
Secretary to the Commission
New York State Public Service Commission
Empire State Plaza, Agency Building 3
Albany, NY 12223-1350

Re: Case No. 22-T-0558, Application of New York Power Authority and Clean Path New York, LLC: Riverkeeper's Intervenor Funding Report for Q2 (January -March)

Dear Judge Belsito and Secretary Phillips:

In accordance with the Ruling Awarding Intervenor Funding and Party Status, issued on July 25, 2023, Riverkeeper, Inc. ("Riverkeeper") has prepared this Intervenor Funding Report indicating money that has been spent by Riverkeeper in relation to this proceeding from January 1, 2024 through March 31, 2024, and describing the work performed. An accounting follows.

1. Accounting Information – 16 NYCRR 85-2.4(j)(1)

a. Potentially Reimbursable Expenditures from January 1, 2024 through March 31, 2024

Quarter/Period	Expenditures	
	Richard Webster, Esq.	Drew Gamils, Esq.
January	\$2,100	\$526.50
February	\$2,300	\$1,205.10
March	\$1,725	\$567.45
Total	\$6,125	\$2,299.05

b. Funds Remaining for Future Work

Total Intervenor Funds Awarded	\$45,344
Total Expenditure Q1 (July 25, 2023-December 31, 2023)	\$13,202.75
Total Expenditure Q2 (January 1,2024-March 31,2024)	\$8,424.05
Intervenor Funds Remaining for Future Work	\$23,717.20

2. Description of Work Performed- 16 NYCRR 85-2.4(j)(2)

a. Provide the results of any studies and a description of any activities conducted using the intervenor funds.

During the period from January 1, 2024 through March 31, 2024, Richard Webster, Esq. and Drew Gamils, Esq. reviewed and commented on draft certificate conditions; communicated with expert consultants, communicated with Scenic Hudson, reviewed interrogatory and document responses, and prepared for and attended settlement conferences.

b. State whether the purpose for which the funds were awarded has been achieved.

The purpose for which the funds were awarded has been partly achieved, and as a result Riverkeeper was able to review and comment on draft certificate conditions; attend and participate in settlement conferences; and prepare and serve information requests.

c. If the purpose for which the funds were awarded has not been achieved, whether reasonable progress toward the goal for which the funds were awarded is being achieved and why further expenditures are warranted.

The purpose for which the funds were awarded has been partially achieved. As demonstrated in parts 2(a) and (b) above, Riverkeeper has made reasonable progress towards the goal of meaningful public participation in the Article VII siting process, and mitigation or avoidance of any potential adverse impacts stemming from the proposed transmission line. However, Riverkeeper expects further expenditures of intervenor funds to continue to review Clean Path's application materials and revised certificate conditions, identify issues and environmental concerns, identify and discuss mitigation measures; attend procedural and settlement conferences; and other future work.

Please contact me with any questions or concerns.

Respectfully submitted,

Drew Gamils

Drew Gamils
Staff Attorney
Riverkeeper, Inc.
20 Secor Road
Ossining, NY 10562
Dgamils@riverkeeper.org
914-830-6120

Cc: DMM Service List (via email)