

**Case No. 12-M-0476 et al.**  
**EDI Business/Technical Working Groups**  
**Meeting Notes**

**June 26, 2020 – 10:00 AM to 12:00 PM**

GTM (no audio) link: <https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/806330517>

Conference Call Information:

Toll Free Phone Numbers: **844-633-8697**

Local Phone Number: **518-549-0500**

Meeting ID: **34300254**

1) **Welcome**

- a. Attendance
- b. Review/Modify Agenda
- c. DPS Staff Remarks

2) **Case 19-M-0463**: final update/discussion prior to submission

- Report is drafted, content limited. Edits from working group chairs and Jus. Circulation of report to working group pending.
- Report will be circulated after call on 6/26/20. Comments will be requested by Monday 6/29/2020.
- Con Edison and NYSEG RG&E comment that sections specific to the drawbacks of EDI with CDGs.
- Utilities taking issue with the level of detail in the drafts. Their concern is an imbalanced representation of benefit vs. drawbacks with using EDI for CDGs.

3) **Bill Option Change Control**

- 867 Monthly Usage Transaction file – bill option to be included by all utilities. Currently NIMO only sends the information.
- Steven Wilson with Vistra voiced his support for the transmitting of bill option in the file.
- Jeff Begley of NOCO voiced support for the information to be transmitted in the file. He states it would allow for a more fluid billing process. States that the issue of double billing comes up enough to warrant corrective action.
- NYSEG RGE- States that the field in question is optional, and states that within NYSEG RGE, the issue comes up infrequently. Because that field is optional and utility bandwidth is limited at this time, their position is that the change should not be made a priority at this time.
- Tom Dougherty of Marketwise inquires if any other changes coming down the pipe could allow for the 867 MU changes to be looped into the effort to make other changes.
- Kim Wall with HansenX states that the matter should be put to a vote so that the matter can be brought before the commission to make a decision on how stakeholders should proceed.
- Kim asks participants to state if they are opposed to a change.
- Janet Manfredi with Central Hudson states bandwidth is not available to make the change by years end. They do see value
- Pete Foster states it is not feasible at this time. They state they do not want it to be made required at this time, but they do state it could be useful in the future, but it isn't something that can be folded in by end of 2020.

-Grid- No Comment.

-National Fuel- Kim with NF is opposed to the change as it does not have any benefit for them. They have never seen the double billing issue come up in their business model so the change in their view is not necessary.

-Con Edison/O&R- They are in the midst of a billing change process so making the 867 change would not be feasible at this time.

4) **Interval Usage for EDI:** per Gary Lawrence, please update working paper

-Question to utilities if they play on reporting interval usage via EDI. Question is to know whether utilities have an intention of sending this information in the future.

-Central Hudson- No plans to implement at this time.

-Con Edison-Already reporting interval usage.

-NFG- the matter does not pertain to them.

-National Grid- did not comment.

5) **Net metering:** pending utilities answering questions previously sent

**-Reminder to utilities to answer questions that were previously sent. The Questions are provided below:**

Electric Utility Questions:

- Do you apply any factors such as a Power Factor or Transformer Loss Factor, to the difference in meter reads before sending the usage to the suppliers today?
- Do you have Time of Use Reads, and if so what Peaks?
- Would unmetered services (PTD\*BC loop) be sent on separate 867\_03? Or a part of same transaction when account is billed?
- Would customers ever have generation from one account be applied to another account of the same customers? Remote Net metering? If yes, would need additional segments to the PTD\*BJ loop for generation in/out.
- Is excess generation for a time of use customer banked at an account level, or for each time of use level?

Gas Utility Questions:

- Are your meter reads in CCF or Therms?
  - If CCF and the utility bills in Therms, a conversion factor would be needed.
- Can utilities confirm what UOM they bill in?
- Are all UOM for the meter readings taken in CCF?
- If billed in Therms what is the conversion factor applied?
- Are there any other calculations that take place to determine billing UOM (i.e. PSEG-Pressure Factor)?

6) **Establish Date/Time for Next Meeting**

- a. Recurring Meetings the 4<sup>th</sup> Friday of the month from 10 AM – 12 PM
- b. Next call July 24, 2020

7) **Final Adjournment**

-11:04 am

**Attendees**

Nicole Barker – National Fuel	Tom Rankin – Marketwise
Frank Nunes - EC Infosystems	Gary Lawrence - Energy Services Group
Emily Cimolie – Clean Choice Energy	Amy Delooza – Agway
Kim Wall - HansenX	Pete Foster – NYSEG RGE
Mike Mastroianni – Fluent Energy	Jeff Begley – NOCO Energy
Mike Bachan – Central Hudson	Stephen Wilson – Vistra Energy
Steve Armstrong NYSEG RGE	Janet Manfredi – Central Hudson
Jim Wade – Customeized Energy	IgueHita Odomore – EMGIE
Josh Pasquariello – National Grid	Rina Tomayo – Just Energy
Bianca Merine – O&R	Christine Hughey - BDE
Jen Lorenzinni – Central hudson	Andrew Reevy – O&R
Terry Kershaw – Con Edison	Tom Dougherty – Market Wise
Debbie Rabago – Ambit Energy	David Tidman – Constellation
Krista Darrow – NYSEG RG&E	Joann Sdibel – O&R
Carlos Gavilondo – National Grid	Samantha Curry – Starion Energy