

Case No. 12-M-0476 et al.
EDI Business/Technical Working Groups
Meeting Notes

March 27, 2020 – 10:00 AM to 12:00 PM

GTM (no audio) link: <https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/806330517>

Conference Call Information:

Toll Free Phone Numbers: **844-633-8697**

Local Phone Number: **518-549-0500**

Meeting ID: **34300254**

1) Welcome

- a. Attendance
- b. Review/Modify Agenda
- c. DPS Staff Remarks

2) Market Changes Implementation Workpaper

- a. review new workpaper
- b. need updates from NFG and PSEG – nobody from these two utilities joined
- c. questions from ConEd
 - i. What is “DERS-UBP: EDI Access for DERS - DERS Appl. Process Available?” – means to onboard DERs to use EDI transactions? that’s what we’re thinking ... more systemic rather than seeing if it’s ok from a regulatory perspective ... one row combining 43 and 44? ... more about are they allowed to? technical capability is irrelevant (action item for me)
 - ii. Should utilities be giving ESCOs HEAP information considering they should not be giving out low income information? - HEAP v. low income? Is the reason for HEAP mention being OK because it has to do with guaranteeing savings ... for supplier consolidated billing ... probably not applicable because only for supplier consolidated billing (ECB which is mentioned in column A for several fields)
 - iii. The same question is being asked regarding the REF*TD changes relating to AMT*B1 and AMT*BK.
 - iv. Did the PSC request AMT*UJ to be added for ESCO generic credits, or did ESCOs request this?
 - v. The same question is being asked for REF*TD changes relating to AMT*UJ – for iii, iv, and v., question around possibly getting a credit back to the customer ... trying to find a way to get credit to process through utility ... have a way to do guaranteed savings but need to differentiate if we use this ability for other types of credits ... Not At This Time preferable to TBD as answer

3) Order Adopting Changes to the Retail Access Energy Market and Establishing Further Process - Link to Order

- a. Utilities where the information can be differentiated by rate class to provide a list of rate classes for:
 - i. non-demand metered non-residential electric customers

- 1 ConEd asked for clarification, has not yet received a response.
- 2 NYSEG/RGE sent email to ESCOs on February 12. Mass Market is 1, 6, 8, 9, 12. Gas is 1, 2. RGE service classes 1, 2, 4 and gas is 1 (must calculate 750).
- 3 Gas upstate and downstate no way to determine whether they used less than 750 dekatherms. Will get back to us on service classifications. – Need clarification
 - ii small non-residential gas customers (using less than 750 dekatherms per year)
 - iii commercial has to have a calculation on Service Classification
- b. Other Utilities to provide a way to distinguish these customers
 - i Con Edison- Service classes in tariff, sub-classes are more complicated. Unknown how sub-classes will play into this process at this time.
 - ii NYSEG/RGE- Note sent to ESCOs on 2/12/2020 to advise ESCOs on all the services classes for mass market/commercial. They informed the ESCOs what codes to use for the service classes.
 - iii O&R- no comment.
 - iv National Grid- Codes are currently available to differentiate between rate classes. They are not able to determine if the ESCO is using below 750 dekatherms at this time.
 - v Central Hudson- Their information is posted on their site and they submit their trailing averages on the DPS website. Difficulty in breaking out the small non-res, a calculation would have to be done to make a determination on the account.
- c. Utilities to update their column on the workpaper for the 503 transaction. Some indicate “TBD” or “Testing”. The information starts at Row 20. What about adding historical usage as well?

- stuff under item 3 not relevant to call? remove

4) **Case 19-M-0463:** EDI transactions for CDGs – brief update

- CDG working group isn't interested for the time being (Kim)
- there is interest (John) ... just for historical usage? possible to open up
- have meeting offline to review what the ask specifically is

5) **Establish Date/Time for Next Meeting**

- a. Recurring Meetings the 4th Friday of the month from 10 AM – 12 PM
- b. Next call April 24, 2020

- Gary from NRG's question: Do any utilities have a plan to implement interval data via EDI?

6) **Adjourn except those interested in Net Metering**

7) **Net Metering Discussion**

- utilities to review questions on white paper then discuss plan during next meeting
- What exactly is being asked? Are you netting anything before giving us usage for accounts? (directed toward utilities)

8) **Final Adjournment**