

**New York State Electric & Gas Corporation
Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation**

**25-E-0375, 25-G-0378, 25-E-0379, 25-G-0380
Request for Information**

Requesting Party: Multiple Intervenors

Request No.: NYRC-1607 (MI-100-101)

Date of Request: October 7, 2025

Response Due Date: October 17, 2025

Date of Reply: October 16, 2025

Witness: Adam O’Laughlin

Panel: Gas Capital Expenditure Panel

Subject:

Question:

100. For each of the past 10 calendar years, specify:

- a. the NYSEG gas capital expenditure budget; and
- b. the actual amount of NYSEG gas capital expenditures.

101. For each of the past 10 calendar years, specify:

- a. the RG&E gas capital expenditure budget; and
- b. the actual amount of RG&E gas capital expenditures.

Response:

The Companies have available data for the previous 8 years. Information for an additional 2 years would require a special study which the Companies are not required to perform pursuant to the Commission's discovery regulations. Data for the past 8 calendar years (2017-2024), and is provided in Attachment 1.

NYRC-1607-MI-100-101 (25-E-0375 et.al.)

Attachment 1, Page 1 of 1

		Actual Capital Expenditures (\$ in Millions)								Budgeted Capital Expenditures (\$ in Millions)							
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
NYSEG	Gas	63.5	67.8	76.9	93.6	65.5	75.2	66.0	95.8	73.6	98.2	72.4	88.6	69.7	88.5	80.4	85.6
RGE	Gas	77.0	69.0	64.5	52.1	90.0	51.7	64.5	60.9	74.8	69.1	57.1	48.8	68.9	66.3	68.1	59.1
Total	Gas	140.6	136.8	141.4	145.7	155.5	126.9	130.5	156.7	148.4	167.3	129.5	137.4	138.7	154.8	148.5	144.8

**New York State Electric & Gas Corporation
Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation**

**25-E-0375, 25-G-0378, 25-E-0379, 25-G-0380
Request for Information**

Requesting Party: Multiple Intervenors

Request No.: NYRC-1706 (MI-124)

Date of Request: October 16, 2025

Response Due Date: October 27, 2025

Date of Reply: October 27, 2025

Witness: Lawrence Rush Jr., Yvette LaBombard

Panel: Gas Capital Expenditures Panel

Subject:

Question:

124. Identify each NPA that NYSEG and RG&E currently are proposing to implement. For each NPA identified, provide the BCR derived from the most recent benefit-cost analysis as well as the total estimated NPA cost. If the BCR is less than 1.0, explain why the utility is proposing to implement the NPA.

Response:

124. The Companies' are currently proposing to continue implementation of one NPA program and one NPA project during the upcoming rate period: the Lansing NPA Project Portfolio located in NYSEG's service territory and NYSEG and RG&E's Leak Prone Pipe (LPP) Whole Home Electrification Program.

NYSEG's Lansing NPA Project Portfolio currently has a Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR) of 0.51, which reflects the inclusion of additional costs associated with the Community Ground Source Heat Pump Project. The additional costs brought the total portfolio cost from \$9.7 million to \$11.35 million due to the additional costs associated with the Community Ground Source Heat Pump Project. Although the BCR is below 1.0, the Companies are continuing with this portfolio in accordance with the Order Approving Petition for Non-Pipe Alternative Projects (Case 17-G-0432). The Lansing NPA Project Portfolio delivers observed system benefits and supports long-term objectives related to reliability, resiliency, and state policy goals. Additional information on the increased costs associated with the Lansing NPA Portfolio can be found in NYRC-1024-DPS-968 (25-E-0375 et al.).

**New York State Electric & Gas Corporation
Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation**

**25-E-0375, 25-G-0378, 25-E-0379, 25-G-0380
Request for Information**

The Companies' LPP Whole Home Electrification Program evaluates each LPP project individually for both NYSEG and RG&E using a Streamlined Benefit-Cost Analysis. The BCR and estimated NPA cost for each active project are provided in Confidential Attachment 1. Projects with a BCR below 1.0 are still pursued under specific conditions: either the project is located in a Disadvantaged or Environmental Justice Community, or the BCR is above 0.9, in which case the Companies proceed to audit actual costs to determine if the BCR improves. Additionally, the Companies look to manage this program as a portfolio, with the goal of maintaining an overall BCR above 1.0 across all projects.

Active Leak Prone Pipe Whole Home Electrification Projects							
Street Name	OPCO	# of Metered Services	LPP Length (ft)	BCR	NPA Project Cost	Disadvantaged Community/Environmental Justice Community	Comments on BCR Under 1
	NYSEG	1	303	1.99	\$ 59,700.00	Y	
	NYSEG	1	210	2.56	\$ 37,000.00	Y	
	NYSEG	4	404	0.64	\$ 201,431.00	Y	Located in Disadvantage Community
	NYSEG	1	257	1.19	\$ 37,000.00	Y	
	NYSEG	2	418	1.02	\$ 74,000.00	Y	
	NYSEG	2	285	0.92	\$ 74,000.00	N	Need actual NPA Project Costs once audit is completed
	NYSEG	5	405	0.63	\$ 185,000.00	Y	Located in Disadvantage Community
	NYSEG	6	406	0.63	\$ 222,000.00	Y	Located in Disadvantage Community
	NYSEG	2	300	0.80	\$ 74,000.00	Y	Located in Disadvantage Community
	NYSEG	5	346	1.01	\$ 185,000.00	Y	
	NYSEG	1	350	1.07	\$ 60,000.00	Y	
	NYSEG	1	282	1.80	\$ 37,000.00	Y	
	NYSEG	3	440	0.79	\$ 111,000.00	Y	Located in Disadvantage Community
	RGE	6	1526	0.94	\$ 234,000.00	N	Need actual NPA Project Costs once audit is completed

Total LPP Length (ft)	Overall BCR Ratio	Total NPA Program Cost
5932	0.91	\$ 1,591,131.00