Case No. 12-M-0476 et. al.
EDI Business Working Group
April 4, 2014 – 11:00 AM to 3:00 PM

Kim Wall's Questions/comments (supplemented by Dougherty) for NY Business Group -

For the items I understood, I started trying to determine where the information would appear in the EDI transactions, which raised a number of questions that I would like to discuss on Friday's call.

- ICAP Tag
    - What are the effective dates for ICAP Tags (i.e., 20130601 – 20140531)?
    - Can we include both the current ICAP Tag, and when available, the future ICAP Tag (with appropriate effective dates)?
    - Will New York utilities send this information annually via the 814 Change, in addition to presenting information on the 867 Historical Usage?
    - Do utilities expect 814C responses for mass updates like ICAP tags?

- Meter Numbers and Number of Meters
    - How many meters are possible on a single customer account?
    - If multiple meters on an account can they be different rates, or a mix of summary, interval and un-meter usage?

- Hourly Interval Settlements
    - Is this always account level information?
    - What are the proper terms to be used?
    - Interval vs. Profiled
    - Hourly vs. Summary
    - Are there other potential values?

- Enrollment Block
    - Is this simply a Yes/No question?
    - Is this language accurate:
        - Yes, the customer has an Enrollment Block on their account.
        - No, the customer does not have an Enrollment Block on their account.
    - If we choose the 867 Historical Usage for this information, would that be the only location for it, or would that information also be maintained via the 814 Change transaction?

- Tax Exempt
    - Please confirm that the intent is to send a percentage.
    - 1 = 100% tax exempt
    - 0 = Fully taxed
    - .5 = 50% tax exempt

Case No. 12-M-0476 et. al.
EDI Business Working Group
April 4, 2014 – 11:00 AM to 3:00 PM

- Is the SIC Code always account level information?
    - Can you provide an example of an SIC Code?
- Can we use this opportunity to "correct" any implementation guides that we touch (i.e., update examples, make comments if utilities do not send information or specifically require information, etc.)?
    - Strongly agree that we should take advantage of this opportunity to do a complete review/update of utility specific requirements with examples.