

Public Service Commission

John B. Rhodes Chair and Chief Executive Officer

> Gregg C. Sayre Diane X. Burman James S. Alesi Commissioners

Thomas Congdon

Deputy Chair and **Executive Deputy** Paul Agresta General Counsel Kathleen H. Burgess

Secretary

Three Empire State Plaza, Albany, NY 12223-1350 www.dps.ny.gov

September 11, 2017

SENT VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C.

> Docket No. ER15-572-000 - New York Independent System Re: Operator, Inc., et al.

Dear Secretary Bose:

For filing, please find the Comments of the New York State Public Service Commission in the above-entitled proceeding. The parties have also been provided a copy of this filing, as indicated in the attached Certificate of Service. Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (518) 473-8178.

Very truly yours,

David G. Drexler Managing Attorney

David G. Drexler

Attachment

Service List cc:

ALJ Steven Sterner

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

| New York Independent System |) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Operator, Inc. |) |
| New York Transco, LLC |) |
| Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp. |) |
| Consolidated Edison Company |) |
| of New York, Inc. |) Docket No. ER15-572-000 |
| Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. |) |
| d/b/a National Grid |) |
| New York State Electric & Gas Corp. |) |
| Orange & Rockland Utilities, Inc. |) |
| Rochester Gas and Electric Corp. |) |

COMMENTS OF THE NEW YORK STATE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN SUPPORT OF SETTLEMENT

On August 21, 2017, New York Transco, LLC (NY Transco) filed an Offer of Settlement (Settlement) for review and approval by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission). The Settlement would resolve all issues in this proceeding with respect to NY Transco's proposed "Alternating Current" transmission projects. The New York State Public Service Commission (NYPSC) is a signatory to the Settlement and hereby submits, pursuant to Rule 602(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. §385.602(f)), these comments in support of the Settlement.

The views expressed herein are not intended to represent those of any individual member of the NYPSC. Pursuant to Section 12 of the New York Public Service Law, the Chair of the NYPSC is authorized to direct this filing on behalf of the NYPSC.

The NY Transco's proposed Alternating Current projects were submitted in response to the NYPSC's identified need to relieve congestion across the Central East and Upstate New York (UPNY)/Southeast New York (SENY) portions of the New York transmission system.² The New York Independent System Operator, Inc. (NYISO) is currently evaluating NY Transco's proposed projects that were submitted to address this need (referred to under the NYISO tariff as a "Public Policy Transmission Need"). The proposed Settlement would apply in the event that the NYISO selects the NY Transco's project(s), among various developers' proposals it is analyzing, as the most cost-effective or efficient solution.

Among the various terms in the Settlement are provisions for a cost containment mechanism whereby certain costs incurred above a defined Cost Cap will be limited in either receiving an equity return or in earning a return on equity (ROE) that includes incentive adders. This mechanism would also provide for the ability of NY Transco to earn an enhanced ROE in the event the project costs are below its bid, as adjusted. The proposed cost containment provisions

NYPSC Case 12-T-0502, <u>AC Upgrades</u>, Order Finding Transmission Needs driven by Public Policy Requirements (issued December 17, 2015).

reasonably balance the interests of the developer and ratepayers under the circumstances.

While the NYPSC supports the proposed cost containment mechanism in the case of NY Transco, other potential developers are encouraged to limit cost recovery above their bids relied upon by the NYISO as part of the competitive planning process, which was directed by FERC in Order No. 1000.³ For example, a binding bid approach, with limited exceptions, would ensure the integrity of the NYISO's competitive selection process, and that ratepayers retain the benefit of this process.⁴ Moreover, this mechanism would ensure that, once a project is selected by the NYISO, it will remain the most cost-effective solution in the

²

See Docket No. RM10-23-000, <u>Transmission Planning and Cost Allocation by Transmission Owning and Operating Public Utilities</u>, Order No. 1000 (issued July 21, 2011), <u>reh'g denied</u>, Order No. 1000-A (issued May 17, 2012), <u>reh'g denied</u>, Order No. 1000-B (issued October 18, 2012).

Certain exceptions to an initial bid may be acceptable, such as additional identifiable and verifiable costs associated with regulatory-imposed modifications and mandates, the cost of which the developer could not have anticipated in formulating the initial bid price.

future. Notwithstanding, the Commission should approve the Settlement between NY Transco and the signatory parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul Hgresta
Paul Agresta

Paul Agresta
General Counsel
Public Service Commission
of the State of New York
By: David G. Drexler
Managing Attorney
3 Empire State Plaza
Albany, NY 12223-1305
(518) 473-8178

Dated: September 11, 2017 Albany, New York

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon each person designated on the official service list compiled by the Secretary in this proceeding.

Dated: Albany, New York September 11, 2017

<u>David G. Drexler</u>
David G. Drexler

David G. Drexler Managing Attorney 3 Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223-1305 (518) 473-8178