BEFORE THE STATE OF NEW YORK PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

Cases 16-E-0060 and 16-G-0061

May 2016

Prepared Exhibits of:

Caitlyn Edmundson Associate Economist Office of Market and Regulatory Economics

State of New York Department of Public Service Three Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223-1350

Cases 16-E-0060 and 16-G-0061

Index of Exhibits

Item			PDF
Cover	Pag	je	1
Index	of	Exhibits	2
Index	of	IRs in Exhibit(CEE-1)	3
CEE-1			4-22
CEE-2			23-25
CEE-3			26-32
CEE-4			33-35

Cases 16-E-0060 and 16-G-0061

Relied Upon Con Edison Responses to Information Requests

Index of Exhibit___(CEE-1)

Company Response to	Comments	Exh.
Interrogatory:		CEE-1
		Page No.
DPS-519		1

Company Name: Con Edison Case Description: 2016 - Con Edison Electric and Gas Rate Filings Case: 16-E-0060; 16-G-0061

Response to DPS Interrogatories – Set DPS-22 Date of Response: 04/22/2016 Responding Witness: Compensation and Benefits Panel

Question No.: 519

Subject: Performance metrics and benefit expense increases

1. Provide, in a usable electronic file, the Company's tracking of its performance against its variable pay plan performance indicator goals for calendar years 2013-2015, as described on pages 44-49 and in Exhibits C/BP - 1, 2, 3, 4 of the direct testimony of the Compensation/Benefits Panel. Provide any materials reviewed by the board of directors regarding variable pay and targets.

2. Lines 6-11 on page 18 of the Compensation/Benefits Panel testimony states, "The Company's employee benefit expenses before capitalization are estimated to increase 16.5 percent from the Historic Year (i.e., October 1, 2014, through September 30, 2015) to the Rate Year (i.e., January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017) or 6.8 percent per year compounded monthly." Identify the per year equivalent increase for total cash compensation over the same time period (i.e., the per year increase in base salary plus incentive pay plus equity grants) and for total compensation over the same time period (i.e., the per year increase in base salary plus equity grants) becomes a solary plus equity grants plus the dollar value of benefits).

Response

 All management employees have access to the Company's intranet site to view the status of the Company's performance, which is reviewed and updated each month. Please see below screen prints from the Company's intranet site. This information cannot be easily transferred into a "usable electronic file." Please see the attached file for the 2013 - 2015 results for the items listed on the Compensation/Benefits Panel Exhibits ____ (C/BP-2, 3 and 4). Exhibit ____ (C/BP-1) is a copy of the Management Variable Pay plan document. The Company is willing to provide a demonstration and explanation as to how the information contained on its' intranet site is maintained, updated, and made available.

@conEdison		2	
@conEdison			Welcome Seally, shena N •
@conEdison Human Resources	Sites & Applications Search		Al stes V
Verv Al Size Contert Libraries Libraries About Con Edison The Way Work Con Edison A You Ham an Resources Porns Current Stock Price Click, hare Current Stock Price Click, hare Click, hare	See & Applections Seach Copy - & Confident The Way We Work Area Profile System (APS) Amain an informer Shop Amain and the one of the one one of the	CECONY Performance Indicators is a drop down site on the Company's intranet. Mere Mere With Our Help White House Science Far Stores	1-3* JOBS DISCOUNTS WORK ALFE APPLAUSE BENEFITS
CECONY performance in Select Date: December 2015	dicators	the second state of the se	
	CECO NY Performance indicators		Net Income 🏼
Color Key	SAFETY/BWIFONMENTAL	-	Capital Detalls
On Target 🌏	Safety Index		Modifiers
In Range 🧼	Employee Development Index		
No Budget (20)	Representative Calls (Answ ered within 30-second s)		Budgets 🛁
Immediate	PSC Complaints Customer Satisfant bn Suur evis	3	O & M Detalls
TPrevious Month Data	Storm Index		Modifiers
Restricted Stock	Electric Relability Performance Measure Electric Non-Network Availability Electric Network System Availability		Budgets
Units & AW SIGS	Total Gas Leak - Year-End Inventory		Major Groups Budget
	Respond to Gais Od or Complaints within 30 minutes		Ce Bectric Operations
	Production Forced Outages Steam Operation within Normal Pressure		Ce Gas Operations
			Ce Central Operations
			Ce Office Of President
			Ce Corporate Shared Services
			Ce Rubic Affairs
			Ce Finance
			Ce Auditing -
			Ce Chair Of The Board & Ceo
			Uncollect bles
			hsurance Premiums
			Shared Services - Other Coner
		7 1	L

The status of the Company performance is provided to the Board at each Board meeting during the year. Please refer to the attachment for the material provided to the Board in December 2015.

2. The per year equivalent total cash compensation increase for management employees is three percent, excluding the one-percent productivity adjustment described in the Accounting Panel testimony. General escalation was applied to equity grants for non-officer management employees. A per year equivalent increase for total compensation is not available.

2015 Key Indicators Results - CECONY

Adjusted Net Income	Unit of Measure	2015 Target	2015 Actual
Adjusted CECONY Net Income for Common Stock	\$ Millions	\$ 1,060.0	\$ 1,084.1
Financial Performance	Unit of Measure	2015 Target	2015 Actual
CECONY Capital Budget	\$ Millions	\$ 2,367.4	\$ 2,360.1
CECONY Capital Modifier - 25 Capital Projects/ Programs			
CECONY Operating Budget CECONY O&M Modifier - 12 O&M Programs	\$ Millions	\$ 1,470.0	\$ 1,464.3

KEY INDICATORS	Unit of Measure	2015 Target	2015 Actual
Safety Index	Percent	<u>></u> 87.5%	87.5%
Environmental Index	Percent	<u>></u> 87.5%	87.5%
Employee Development Index	Percent	<u>></u> 87.5%	100.0%
Electric Network System Availability	Percent	<u>></u> 99.999%	99.999%
Electric Non-Network System Availability	Percent	<u>></u> 99.99%	99.99%
Electric Reliability Performance Measure - Penalties Incurred	Number	0	0
Respond to Gas Odor Complaints within 30 minutes	Percent	<u>></u> 75%	88.2%
Total Gas Leak - Year-End Backlog	Number	<u><</u> 850	523
Steam Operation within normal pressure	Percent	<u>></u> 99.77%	99.98%
Production Forced Outages	Percent	<u><</u> 4.0%	1.5%
PSC Complaints	Rate per 100,000 Customers	<u><</u> 2.3	1.4
Representative Calls (Answered within 30 seconds)	Percent	<u>></u> 63.0%	65.3%
Customer Satisfaction Surveys	Number - Score	<u>></u> 85	90.5
Storm Index	Percent	<u>></u> 83.3%	100.0%

CECONY Operations - Key Goals and Performance Indicators					
	Unit of Measure	2015 Target	2015 Actual		
Safety Index					
Safety Index: (must achieve a minimum of 7 out of 8 and one of the seven must be the OSHA Injury/illness incidence rate) See page 1 a. OSHA Injury/illness incidence Rate b. Significant High Hazard Injuries c. Public Safety - Related Equipment Failures	Rate Number of Injuries	≥ 87.5% ≤ 1.40 ≤ 2 < 127	87.5% 1.40 2 168		
 d. Contractor OSHA Incidence Rate (Construction & Gas Ops contractors only) e. Motor Vehicle Collision f. System Safety - Operating Errors g. Promote Collaboration on Safety Improvements through Systems of Safety h. Job Site Safety Exchanges 	Rate Number of Collisions Number of Errors Number Number of Job site safety exchanges performed	≤ 1.40 ≤ 338 ≤ 39 ≥ 23 ≥ 20,399	0.80 331 25 24 34,706		
Environmental Index					
Environmental Index: (must achieve a minimum of 7 out of 8) See page 1		<u>></u> 87.5%	87.5%		
a. Late Spill Notifications	Number of Notifications	<u><</u> 12	12		
 b. Customer Emissions Reductions (Through Enlergy Enclercy MWH Reductions) c. Customer Emissions Reductions (Through Oil-to-Gas Conversions) d. Reduce Dielectric Fluid Released to the Environment e. Opacity Occurrences not to exceed NYCDEP Standards f. Reduce SF₆ Gas Emissions g. Notices of Violations and RACT Permit Conditions h. Wastewater Discharge Non-Compliance Reports 	Number of Novvis Reduced Number of Conversions Gallons of Dielectric Fluid Number of Occurrences Pounds of Gas Emitted Number of Violations Number of Occurrences	≥ 175,107 ≥ 646 ≤ 22,000 ≤ 60 ≤ 15,000 0 ≤ 7	805 27,669 34 12,682 0 3		
Employee Development Index					
Employee Development Index: (must achieve a minimum of 7 out of 8) See page 1		≥ 87.5%	100.0%		
 a. Key Positions Filled Via Succession Plans b. Close internal EEO cases within 60 business days c. Time to Fill - From Approval of Requisition to Candidate Acceptance with an Established Start Date d. Number of Mentees in Mentoring Programs 	Percentage Percentage Number Number	≥ 75% ≥ 95% ≤ 90 ≥ 100	88% 98.9% 70 129		
e. Employees Passing Promotional Exam Upon Completion of Career Path Training	Percentage	≥ 90%	90.5%		
 f. Employees Completing Leadership Training g. Percentage of TEAM Program Graduates h. The Learning Center's eLearning training hours as percentage of total hours 	Number Percentage Percentage	≥ 4,300 ≥ 90% ≥ 23%	5,042 93% 25.1%		
Electric Reliability Performance Measure					
Electric Reliability Performance (incur zero penalties) See page 1		0	0		
a. Network Outage Duration b. Network Outages Per 1000 Customers c. SAIFI (Interruption Rate) System Non-Network d. CAIDI (Duration in Hrs) System Non-Network	Number Number Number Number	<u><</u> 4.70 <u><</u> 2.5 <u><</u> 495 <u><</u> 2.04	4.58 2.3 349 1.95		
Storm Index					
Storm Index : (must achieve a minimum of 5 out of 6) "No Penalties Incurred" must be met in order to achieve Storm Index. See page 1		≥ 83.3%	100.0%		
 a. Implementation of Post-Sandy Plan 1. Installing 460V submersible equipment in FEMA 100 year flood zone +3' 2. Installing devices to reduce circuit sizes to 500 customers or less impact. 	Number - Achieve 5 out of 6 Units Units	≥ 5 of 6 135 ≥ 187	6 152 217		
3. Substantially complete 11 construction projects (Phase 1 Storm Hardening)	Project Schedule	Dec-31-2015	Completed		
4. Complete goals associated with Phase 2 of Storm Hardening Project	Project Schedule	Dec-31-2015	Completed		
5. Replacement of leak-prone pipe in flood zones	Feet of Pipe	≥ 15,000	23,227		
Work with community leaders to identify essential customers to be prioritized in the restoration plan	Project Schedule	Scheduled Obtainment	Completed		
b. Vegetation Management	Number of miles	≥ 1,350	1,753		
c. Filing of Storm Plans with PSC	Date	Dec-15-2015	Completed		
d. Conduct Annual Storm Exercises	Number	≥ 6	6		
e. Performance on Con Edison Storm Scorecard f. No Penalties Incurred Directly Related to Storm Response	Percent Number	≥ 80% 0	100 0		

^{1.} Replaced "Preventable Vehicle Collisions"

^{2.} Replaced "Safety Strategy/Work Practice Improvements"

OPERATING BUDGET MODIFIERS 2015 PROGRAMS AND TARGETS

			Modifier Targets		Modifier Actual		
_	Description of quit	Units greater	Unit Cost not to	l la li a			
Programs	Description of unit	than or equal to	exceed	Units	Unit Cost		
Tree trimming	Miles	1,350	\$ 10,775	1,753	\$ 8,617		
Inspection and testing of underground transformers and devices (120/208v Visual)	Number of inspections	3,375	\$ 1,062	6,569	\$ 910		
Mobile Stray Voltage Testing	Number of scans	12	\$ 676,924	13	\$ 643,517		
Monthly Leak Surveys	Number of system surveys performed	11	\$ 440,458.70	13	\$ 360,768.00		
Targeted Inspections Associated with 5 Year Safety Inspection Program	Number of inspections	67,500	\$ 724	61,420	\$ 427		
Meter Reading	Number of meters assigned	43,601,217	\$ 0.65	50,802,579	\$ 0.58		
Inspection, Preventative & Corrective Maintenance	Composite: Read & adjust patrols, inspections, vent chamber cleaning, tree trimming, inspect and repair manholes, Per Fluorocarbon Tracer gas patrols, tree trimming (herbicide) Spring and Fall patrols, Tower climbing, vent chamber cleanings.	5,909	\$ 1,233	6,849	\$ 634		
Preventive Maintenance - Calibrations & Trip tests, DME verification and adequecy tests	Composite of relay systems preventive maintainence: proper AC input (calibrations), proper DC output (trip checks) , SSO adequecy tests	1,701	\$ 2,375	1,804	\$ 1,792		
Preventive Maintenance - Circuit Breakers & Transformers	Composite of preventive maintenance of station equipment on circuit breakers (diagnostic testing, cap bank breakers and ground & test devices) and transformers (load tap changers, circuit switchers and auto ground switches)	682	\$ 4,511	728	\$ 4,005		
Preventive Maintenance - steam generation	Composite of preventive maintenance, predictive maintenance and calibration of station equipment such as pumps, valves, regulators, controls, and instruments	4,183	\$ 462	5,615	\$ 290		
Field Operations Inspections - steam distribution *	Inspections and repairs of meter equipment	13,486	\$ 179	15,596	\$ 161		
Gas Leak Repairs	Number of repairs	8,050	\$ 4,513	11,423	\$ 5,333		

		Modifier Targe	ts	Modifier Actual Result	s
			Expenditures		
	Description	Milestones	NOI greater	Milestones	Expenditures
Projects	Description	Wilestones	ulali	Wilestones	Experiatures
Storm hardening - BQ Auto Loop Splits	Establish feeds to new Haberman and Voorhies Loops	December 31, 2015	\$ 3,511,200	Milestone met	\$ 2,582,095
Storm hardening - SI selective undergrounding	Complete construction of GATX phase 2 and 33R04 projects	December 31, 2015	\$ 11,550,000	Milestone met	\$ 7,374,303
New business (five major projects)	• Energize 5 services: 412 W15 St.; 365 Bond St.; 120-45 235 St.; 810 River Ave.; and 203 Gramatan	Energize all 5 services by December 4, 2015	\$ 8,421,050	Milestone met	\$ 2,242,246
Relay modifications	Complete 3 feeder upgrades	December 4, 2015	\$ 6,600,000	Milestone met	\$ 5,445,879
Transformer replacement program	Complete E63 TR3 and Ave. A TR4 Begin installation of Cherry St. TR1 and Brownsville TR5	December 15, 2015	\$ 17,710,000	Milestone met	\$ 16,401,083
E 179th St Switchgear and bus replacement	Ready for cutover on BS 5A/B	December 31, 2015	\$ 13,200,000	Milestone met	\$ 10,811,067
Substation Storm Hardening	Complete 6 Phase 1 locations	December 31, 2015	\$ 75,224,966	Missed milestone due to delays in material delivery and issues with outage coordination due to impact on critical customers.	\$ 58,190,000
Ramapo to Rock Tavern	Complete below grade construction at Ramapo & Sugarloaf Procure long-lead equipment Complete above grade electrical package at Ramapo Complete 40% of OH transmission Sugarloaf to Rock Tavern	December 31, 2015	\$ 54,114,341	Milestone met	\$ 42,479,290
Pipe enhancement	Complete 1,500 trench feet	December 31, 2015	\$ 10,609,500	Milestone met	\$ 9,321,169
Replace package boiler 4 air preheater	Close out PB4 air preheater replacement	December 31, 2015	\$ 770,000	Milestone met	\$ 506,000
Replace boiler 115 generating bank	Replace boiler	November 30, 2015	\$ 5,720,000	Milestone met	\$ 3,500,000
Install 36" gas transmission main - Bronx border to White Plains	Install 2,714 ft of piping	December 31, 2015	\$ 27,500,000	Milestone met	\$ 17,392,755
Gas regulators	Finish construction of GR78, GR219, & GR115	December 31, 2015	\$ 11,110,000	Milestone met	\$ 6,231,533
4 Irving Place restacking	• 4th & 12th floors • Award 18th floor construction contract	December 31, 2015	\$ 22,000,000	Milestone met	\$ 17,664,308
Corporate Security Enterprise Security Software Suite	Migrate 10 tier 1 sites to ProWatch	December 31, 2015	\$ 9,365,400	Milestone met	\$ 6,714,797
Cyber security	Order, install, and tune hardware	December 31, 2015	\$ 1,379,927	Milestone met	\$ 1,252,159

CAPITAL BUDGET MODIFIERS 2015 PROGRAMS AND TARGETS

		Modifier Targets		Modif	Modifier Actual		
	Description	Units greater than or equal to	Unit Cost NOT greater than	Units	Unit Cost		
Programs							
Storm Hardening - UG 460V submersible network protectors	Protectors Installed	135	\$ 65,858	152	\$ 52,885		
Storm Hardening - OH System Upgrades	Switches Installed	187	\$ 99,000	217	\$ 64,808		
Storm Hardening - Install UG 128/208 transformers	Transformers Installed	90	\$ 40,570	113	\$ 32,252		
High voltage circuit breaker replacement program	Breakers replaced	5	\$ 1,540,000	7	\$ 1,040,000		
Retrofit overdutied 13kV and 27kV circuit breaker programs	Retrofits completed	51	\$ 216,624	63	\$ 170,258		
Expansion Joint Replacement	Joints replaced	11	\$ 110,000	12	\$ 103,785		
Accelerated main replacement programs (GD-4, GD-11, and GD-29)	Feet replaced	237,600	\$ 558	281,855	\$ 439		
4 & 6 oil-to-gas conversions	Services installed	704	\$ 49,500	805	\$ 41,990		
Automated meter reading (AMR) - Saturation	Meters installed	94,500	\$ 209	123,733	\$ 162		

2014 Key Indicators Results - CECONY

Adjusted Net Income	Unit of Measure	2014 Targ	et	2014 Actual
Adjusted CECONY Net Income for Common Stock	\$ Millions	\$ 1,034	.0	\$ 1,057.6
Financial Performance	Unit of Measure	2014 Targ	et	2014 Actual
CECONY Capital Budget	\$ Millions	\$ 2,221	.0	\$ 2,119.5
CECONY Capital Modifier - 25 Capital Projects/ Programs				
CECONY Operating Budget	\$ Millions	\$ 1,371	.0	\$ 1,384.4
CECONY O&M Modifier - 12 O&M Programs				

KEY INDICATORS	Unit of Measure	2014 Target	2014 Actual
Safety Index	Percent	<u>></u> 87.5%	87.5%
Environmental Index	Percent	<u>></u> 87.5%	87.5%
Employee Development Index	Percent	<u>></u> 87.5%	100%
Electric Network System Availability	Percent	<u>></u> 99.999%	99.999%
Electric Non-Network System Availability	Percent	<u>></u> 99.99%	99.99%
Electric Reliability Performance Measure - Penalties Incurred	Number	0	1
Respond to Gas Odor Complaints within 30 minutes	Percent	<u>></u> 75%	87.0%
Total Gas Leak - Year-End Backlog	Number	<u><</u> 950	925
Steam Operation within normal pressure	Percent	<u>></u> 99.77%	100%
Production Forced Outages	Percent	<u><</u> 4.0%	2.9%
PSC Complaints	Rate per 100,000 Customers	<u><</u> 2.3	2
Representative Calls (Answered within 30 seconds)	Percent	<u>></u> 63.0%	64.0%
Customer Satisfaction Surveys	Number - Score	<u>></u> 85	89.3
Storm Index	Percent	<u>></u> 83.3%	100.0%

CECONY Operations - Key Goals and Performance Indicators					
	Unit of Measure	2014 Target	2014 Actual		
Safety Index					
Safety Index: (must achieve a minimum of 7 out of 8 and one of the seven must be the OSHA Injury/illness incidence rate) See Exhibit 1 a. OSHA Injury/illness incidence Rate b. Significant High Hazard Injuries c. Public Safety - Related Equipment Failures d. Contractor OSHA Incidence Rate (Construction & Gas Ops contractors only) e. Preventable Vehicle Collision Damages f. System Safety - Operating Errors g. Safety Strategy/Work Practice Improvements h. Job Site Safety Exchanges	Rate Number of Injuries Number of Equipment Failures Rate Number of Collisions Number of Errors Number Number of Job site safety exchanges performed	≥ 87.5% ≤ 1.50 ≤ 3 ≤ 127 ≤ 1.50 ≤ 200 ≤ 53 ≥ 77 ≥ 23,113	87.5% 1.31 2 173 1.01 177 30 82 31,867		
Environmental Index					
Environmental Index: (must achieve a minimum of 7 out of 8) See Exhibit 1 a. Late Spill Notifications b. Critical Correspondence On-Time Completion c. Commitment Tracking (No Overdue Commitments) d. Reduce Dielectric Fluid Released to the Environment e. Opacity Occurrences not to exceed NYCDEP Standards f. Reduce SF_6 Gas Emissions g. No Notices of Violation for Nox Emissions h. Wastewater Discharge Non-Compliance Reports	Number of Notifications Number Overdue Number Overdue Galions of Dielectric Fluid Number of Occurrences Pounds of Gas Emitted Number Number	≥ 87.5% ≤ 12 ≤ 1 0 ≤ 22,000 ≤ 63 ≤ 16,000 0 ≤ 7	87.5% 6 0 43,439 31 15,901 0 1		
Employee Development Index					
Employee Development Index: (must achieve a minimum of 7 out of 8) See Exhibit 1		≥ 87.5%	100.0%		
a. Key Positions Filled Via Succession Plans b. Close Internal EEO Cases within 90 business days c. Employees Completing Leadership Challenge and Business Academy d. Number of Mentees in Mentoring Programs	Percentage Percentage Number Number	≥ 75% ≥ 95% ≥200 ≥ 100	88% 100% 219 139		
 e. Employees Passing Promotional Exam Upon Completion of Career Path Training f. Employees Completing Leadership Training g. Percentage of TEAM Program Graduates h. Total eLearning Training Hours as a percentage of The Learning Center's total training hours (instructor-led and online courses). 	Percentage Number Percentage Percentage	≥ 90% ≥ 4,100 ≥ 90% ≥ 20%	92% 6,266 92% 21.94%		
Electric Reliability Performance Measure					
Electric Poliobility Porformance (incur zoro popultice) Soc Evhibit 1		0	1		
a. Network Outage Duration b. Network Outages Per 1000 Customers c. SAIFI (Interruption Rate) System Non-Network d. CAIFI (Duration in Hrs) System Non-Network	Number Number Number Number	≤ 4.70 ≤ 2.5 ≤ 495 ≤ 2.04	4.92 2.4 343 1.83		
Storm Index					
Storm Index: (must achieve a minimum of 5 of 6) See Exhibit 1 1. Implementation of Post-Sandy Plan a. Installing Submersible Equipment in Flood-Prone Areas	Number Units	≥ 83.3% 5 of 6 90	83.3% 6 106		
b. Installing Devices to Reduce Circuit Size to 500 Customers or Less Impact	Units	≥ 594 10 Substations	637 Mot milostonos		
 d. Prepare for More Intense Storms at Substations Impacted by Sandy d. Prepare for More Intense Storms at Con Edison Stations Impacted by Sandy (to include 60th Street and Ravenswood A House) 	Project Schedule	Schedule 5 Generating Stations Schedule	Met milestones		
 e. Install Float Check Valves on Gas High Pressure Service Vent Lines within Flood Zones f. Work with Community Leaders to identify Essential Customers that Should be Prioritized in the Restoration Plan 	Units Project Schedule	≥ 2,430 Scheduled Obtainment	2,730 Met milestones		
2. Vegetation Management	Miles of Tree Trimming	≥ 1,620	1,390		
3. Filing of Storm Plans to PSC	Date	Plans Filed Within Timeframe	Completed		
4. Conduct Annual Storm Exercises	Number of Emergency Response Storm / Heat Related Drills	<u>></u> 6	6		
 5. Performance of Con Edison Storm Scorecard Average of all scores If not used, it is a Passing Score No Penalties Incurred Directly Related to Storm Response* 	Number - Score Number of Penalties	<u>≥</u> 80% 0	98 0		
*Must achieve "No Penalties Incurred Directly Related to Storm Response" or miss the target.			-		

OPERATING BUDGET MODIFIERS 2014 PROGRAMS AND TARGETS

		Modifier	Targets	Modifier Actual		
Programs	Description of unit	Units greater than or equal to	Unit Cost not to exceed	Units	Unit Cost	
Tree trimming	Miles	1,620	\$ 9,942	1,390	\$ 9,241	
Inspection and testing of underground transformers and devices (120/208v Visual)	Number of inspections	2,160	\$ 1,040	4,140	\$ 989	
Mobile Stray Voltage Testing	Number of scans	12	\$ 756,474	13	\$ 607,669	
Manual Stray Voltage Testing	Number of structures tested	228,474	\$ 7.24	262,206	\$ 5.00	
Targeted Inspections Associated with 5 Year Safety Inspection Program	Number of inspections	63,990	\$ 721	77,271	\$ 605	
Meter Reading	Number of meters assigned	43,557,657	\$ 0.66	50,556,213	\$ 0.55	
Inspection, Preventative & Corrective Maintenance	Composite: Tree trimming (mechanical), manhole inspections, Per Fluorocarbon Tracer gas patrols, tree trimming (herbicide) Spring and Fall patrols, Tower climbing, vent chamber cleanings.	4,377	\$ 572	4,946	\$ 444	
Preventive Maintenance - Calibrations & Trip Checks	Check relays for proper AC input (calibrations) and proper DC output (trip checks)	1,695	\$ 2,127	1,974	\$ 1,776	
Preventive Maintenance - Circuit Breakers & Transformers	Composite of preventive maintenance of station equipment on circuit breakers (diagnostic testing, cap bank breakers and ground & test devices) and transformers (load tap changers, circuit switchers and auto ground switches)	727	\$ 4,587	772	\$ 4,171	
Preventive Maintenance - steam generation	Composite of all preventive maintenance, predictive maintenance and calibration of station equipment such as pumps, valves, regulators, controls, and instruments	15,324	\$ 462	15,310	\$ 368	
Field Operations Inspections - steam distribution *	Inspections and repairs of meter equipment	13,366	\$ 163	16,484	\$ 161	
Gas Leak Repairs	Number of repairs	5,491	\$ 4,286	8,769	\$ 4,935	

* New composite index of PSC mandated inspections. Replaces "maintenance of meters - distribution"

CAPITAL BUDGET MODIFIERS 2014 PROJECTS AND TARGETS

			Modifier Targe	ets	Modifier Actual Result	s
				Expenditures		
		Description	Milestones	than	Milestones	Expenditures
	Projects					
1	Work Management Systems	 Full Deployment Support for Brooklyn Queens Q1 Staten Island Mobile Pilot Deployment Q2 Complete Construction Management Initiative Q4 	December 31, 2014	\$ 32,670,000	SI pilot for Logica Mobile Field Manager deployment is completed. Deployment of LogicaMobile Field Manager application to Bronx- Westchester, Manhattan, Queens and Brooklyn is completed. Construction Management integration from Logica Work Manager to LayOut Tracking application (LOT) and Mobile Field Office was deployed on 12/6/2014 and completed.	\$ 22,027,837
2	Westchester Automated Meter Reading Outage Pilot (NEW)	Complete Phase I Evaluation for Outage Management Process Improvement	December 31, 2014	\$ 128,480	Milestone met	\$ 105,310
3	Relay modifications	Complete 4 relay system upgrades by 12/31/14	December 31, 2014	\$ 6,600,000	Milestone met	\$ 6,514,290
4	Transformer replacement program	Complete Dunwoodie S1/S2 and Ave A TR3 by June 1. Begin installation of Ave A TR1 and E63rd TR3 in Fall 2014.	Fall 2014	\$ 25,300,000	Milestone met	\$ 23,315,143
5	E 179th St Switchgear and bus replacement	Complete construction work to be ready for cutover on bus section 6A by December 31.	December 31, 2014	\$ 16,500,000	Milestone met	\$ 7,943,994
6	Security Enhancements (NEW)	Complete 5 stations (based on PSC requirements) by 12/31/14.	December 31, 2014	\$ 24,200,000	Milestone met	\$ 21,907,589
7	Pipe enhancement	Refurbish 50% of a section on feeders M51 and M52	December 31, 2014	\$ 7,700,000	Milestone met	\$ 2,633,458
8	Boiler 121 Economizer Replacement at 74th Street (NEW)	Remove and replace the economizer tubes for Boiler 121 at the 74th Street Station	December 31, 2014	\$ 3,190,000	Milestone met	\$ 2,152,472
9	Transformer 6E Replacement at East River (NEW)	 Remove existing generator step-up Transformer 6E at East River, replace it with the system spare, and purchase a new spare to replenish the stock. 	December 31, 2014	\$ 11,000,000	Milestone met	\$ 4,706,956
10	Install 36" gas transmission main - Bronx border to White Plains	New project to install 4,500 feet of 36" transmission main	December 31, 2014	\$ 27,500,000	Due to last minute embargo by Mt. Vernon Dept. of Public Works and the resulting negotiations, project was delayed by 2 weeks. 4,000 ft of 4,500 ft was installed.	\$ 23,512,000
11	Gas regulators	Complete (GR-98 Manhattan, GR-26 Manhattan and GR-111 Bronx by December 31, 2014	December 31, 2014	\$ 17,985,000	December 31, 2014	\$ 10,159,032
12	4 Irving Place restacking	7th Floor complete by 6/30. 13th Floor by 6/30. 4th Floor 50% of base contract by 12/31. 12th Floor 50% of base contract by 12/31.	June 30, 2014 December 31, 2014	\$ 37,400,000	Both milestones met	\$ 30,405,808
13	Cyber security	 Complete initial work segmentation by 12/31 Deploy pilot and limited rollout of database and web app firewalls by 12/31. 	December 31, 2014	\$ 3,798,740	December 31, 2014	\$ 3,401,474

CAPITAL BUDGET MODIFIERS 2014 PROGRAMS AND TARGETS

		Modif	ier Targets	Modif	fier Actual
	Description	Units greater than or equal to	Unit Cost NOT greater than	Units	Unit Cost
Programs			J		
Paper-insulated lead cable (Prano 9 -UG primary cable sections only)	Primary sections replaced	315	\$ 31,900	485	\$ 27,052
Vented service box covers	Covers replaced	9,041	\$ 983	9,785	\$ 586
Primary Feeder Relief - (Prano 9 -UG primary cable sections only)	Primary sections of cable replaced	54	\$ 27,500	57	\$ 30,108
Storm Hardening - UG 460V submersible network protectors (NEW)	Protectors Installed	90	\$ 49,522	106	\$ 47,207
Storm Hardening - OH System Upgrades (NEW)	Fuses Installed	594	\$ 9,350	637	\$ 7,629
High voltage circuit breaker program	Breakers replaced	4	\$ 1,650,000	7	\$ 1,575,857
Retrofit overdutied 13kV and 27kV circuit breaker programs	Retrofits completed	45	\$ 253,000	58	\$ 228,776
Remote monitoring - steam distribution	Remote monitors installed	113	\$ 35,200	125	\$ 34,788
Accelerated main replacement programs (GD-4, GD-11, and GD-29)	Feet replaced	213,840	\$ 517	266,914	\$ 380
4 & 6 oil-to-gas conversions	Services installed	725	\$ 49,500	824	\$ 34,621
Automated meter reading (AMR) - Saturation	Meters installed	94,129	\$ 178	101,792	\$ 169
Reactive Power Metering Project	Meters installed	585	\$ 3,190	662	\$ 2,710

2013 Key Indicators Results - CECONY

Adjusted Net Income	Unit of Measure	201	3 Target	20	13 Actual
Adjusted CECONY Net Income for Common Stock	\$ Millions	\$	1,015.0	\$	1,019.6
Financial Performance	Unit of Measure	201	3 Target	20	13 Actual
CECONY Capital Budget	\$ Millions	\$	2,005.0	\$	2,113.5
CECONY Capital Modifier - 25 Capital Projects/ Programs					
CECONY Operating Budget	\$ Millions	\$	1,334.0	\$	1,313.3
CECONY O&M Modifier - 12 O&M Programs					

KEY INDICATORS	Unit of Measure	2013 Target	2013 Actual
Safety Index	Percent	<u>></u> 87.5%	100%
Environmental Index	Percent	<u>></u> 87.5%	87.5%
Employee Development Index	Percent	<u>></u> 87.5%	100%
Electric Network System Availability	Percent	<u>></u> 99.999%	99.999%
Electric Non-Network System Availability	Percent	<u>></u> 99.99%	99.99%
Electric Reliability Performance Measure - Penalties Incurred	Number	0	0
Respond to Gas Odor Complaints within 30 minutes	Percent	<u>></u> 75%	88.8%
Gas Leaks: Workable and Total Backlog (Achieve 2 of 2 targets) a. Workable Backlog b. Total Backlog	Percentage	100% <u><</u> 40 <u><</u> 1,350	100% 13 811
Steam Operation within normal pressure	Percent	<u>></u> 99.7%	100%
Production Forced Outages	Percent	<u><</u> 4%	2.5%
PSC Complaints	Rate per 100,000 Customers	<u><</u> 2.5	1.8
Representative Calls (Answered within 30 seconds)	Percent	<u>></u> 56%	61.1%
Customer Satisfaction Surveys	Number - Score	<u>></u> 85	90.4
Meters Read on Cycle	Percent	<u>></u> 89.4%	89.6%

CECONY Operations - Key Goals a	nd Performance Indicate	ors	
	Unit of Measure	2013 Target	2013 Actual
Safety Index		Loro rargot	Lorio Alotadi
Safety Index: (must achieve a minimum of 7 out of 8 and one of the seven must be the OSHA Injury/illness incidence rate) See Exhibit 1 a. OSHA Injury/illness incidence Rate b. Significant High Hazard Injuries c. Public Safety - Related Equipment Failures d. Contractor OSHA Incidence Rate (Construction & Gas Ops contractors only) e. Preventable Vehicle Collision Damages f. System Safety - Operating Errors g. Safety Strategy/Work Practice Improvements h. Job Site Safety Exchanges	Rate Number of Injuries Number of Equipment Failures Rate Number of Collisions Number of Errors Number Number Number of Job site safety exchanges performed	≥ 87.5% ≤ 1.86 ≤ 4 ≤ 129 ≤ 1.86 ≤ 235 ≤ 62 ≥ 77 ≥ 23,221	100.0% 1.25 4 115 1.57 174 41 84 33,805
Environmental Index			
Environmental Index: (must achieve a minimum of 7 out of 8) See Exhibit 1 a. Late Spill Notifications b. Critical Correspondence On-Time Completion c. Commitment Tracking (No Overdue Commitments) d. Reduce Dielectric Fluid Released to the Environment e. Opacity Occurrences not to exceed NYCDEP Standards f. Reduce SF ₆ Gas Emissions g. Do not exceed NOx emissions limit during any 24-hour period within Ozone Season (May - September) h. Wastewater Discharge Non-Compliance Reports	Number of Notifications Number Overdue Number Overdue Gallons of Dielectric Fluid Number of Occurrences Pounds of Gas Emitted Percentage of Time Number of Occurrences	≥ 87.5% ≤ 12 ≤ 1 0 ≤ 22,000 ≤ 72 ≤ 18,000 100% < 11	87.5% 5 0 23,607 65 16,496 100% 3
Employee Davelanment Index		_	
Employee Development Index: (must achieve a minimum of 7 out of 8) See Exhibit 1 a. Key Positions Filled Via Succession Plans b. Close Internal EEO Cases within 45 days c. Employees Completing Leadership Challenge d. Number of Mentees in Mentoring Programs e. Employees Passing Promotional Exam Upon Completion of Career Path Training f. Employees Completing Leadership Training	Percentage Percentage Number Number Percentage Number	≥ 87.5% ≥ 88% ≥110 ≥ 90 ≥ 89% ≥ 3,700	100.0% 81% 89% 172 112 94% 4,204 4,204
g. Percentage of TEAM Program Graduates h. eLearning Training Hours taken from The Learning Center Catalog Courses	Percentage Percentage	≥ 88% ≥ 19%	92% 20.38%
Electric Reliability Performance Measure			
Electric Reliability Performance (incur zero penalties) See Exhibit 1 a. Network Outage Duration b. Network Outages Per 1000 Customers	Number Number	0 <u><</u> 4.90 ≤ 2.5	0 4.17 2.1
c. SAIFI (Interruption Rate) System Non-Network d. CAIFI (Duration in Hrs) System Non-Network	Number Number	<u>≤</u> 495 <u><</u> 2.04	396 2.02

OPERATING BUDGET MODIFIERS 2013 PROGRAMS

			Modifier Ta	argets	_	Modifier	Actual
	Programs	Description of unit	Units greater than or equal to	Unit Cost not to exceed		Units	Unit Cost
1	Tree trimming	Miles	1,305	\$ 8,548		1,339	\$ 7,993
2	Inspection and testing of underground transformers and devices (120/208v Visual)	Number of inspections	4,398	\$ 1,003		3,499	\$ 995
3	Mobile Stray Voltage Testing	Number of scans	12	\$ 663,554		13	\$ 511,285
4	Manual Stray Voltage Testing	Number of structures tested	499,653	\$ 6.38		315,040	\$ 5
5	Targeted Inspections Associated with 5 Year Safety Inspection Program	Number of inspections	50,940	\$ 804		56,439	\$ 494
6	Meter Reading	Number of meters assigned	43,544,157	\$ 0.68		51,106,154	\$ 0.55
7	Inspection, Preventative & Corrective Maintenance	Composite: Tree trimming (mechanical), manhole inspections, Per Fluorocarbon Tracer gas patrols, tree trimming (herbicide) Spring and Fall patrols, Tower climbing, vent chamber cleanings.	3,989	\$ 604		4,655	\$ 568
8	Preventive Maintenance - Calibrations & Trip Checks	Check relays for proper AC input (calibrations) and proper DC output (trip checks)	1,533	\$ 2,133		1,780	\$ 1,667
9							
	Preventive Maintenance - Circuit Breakers & Transformers	Composite of preventive maintenance of station equipment on circuit breakers (diagnostic testing, cap bank breakers and ground & test devices) and transformers (load tap changers, circuit switchers and auto ground switches)	589	\$ 4,819		635	\$ 4,329
10	Preventive Maintenance - steam generation	Composite of all preventive maintenance, predictive maintenance and calibration of station equipment such as pumps, valves, regulators, controls, and instruments	15,188	\$ 550		19,946	\$ 430
11	Maintenance of Meters - steam distribution	Inspections and repairs of meter equipment	7,019	\$ 262		8,665	\$ 185
12	Gas Leak Repairs	Number of repairs	5,536	\$ 4,308		5,532	\$ 4,087

CAPITAL BUDGET MODIFIERS 2013 PROJECTS

			Modifier Ta	argets	Modifier Actual	
				Expenditures NOT		-
		Description	Milestones	greater than	Milestones	Expenditures
1	Projects Work Management Systems	Deploy Staten Island Q2 Test Mobile Solution Q3 Start Contractor Management Design Q3 Deploy Mobile Pilot Q4	December 31, 2013	\$ 54,010,000	Logica application was deployed to SI, BW, Manhattan and BQ. Contractor Management initiative for integration is in progress. Mobile Field Manager for SI Pilot was not deployed in Q4 due to defects found in last round of functional and regression testing as well as failure of "baseline processing." Defect remedies have been received and additional testing of the application is continuing.	\$ 33,278,490
2	Energy Services Case Management	Deploy Staten Island Q2 Start Mobile Analysis Q3	Start Mobile Analysis by Sept. 30, 2013	\$ 5,610,000	Milestones met	\$ 5,375,648
3	Demand Response Management System (NEW)	Complete Enrollment module in Q1 Complete Notification module in Q2 Complete remaining modules, implementation plan and strategy in Q3 Complete implementation in Q4	December 31, 2013	\$ 2,475,000	Due to the risk associated with the project, project's timing was reconsidered and ultimately deferred, given the needs of other capital projects. A "phase zero" was added to map current processes and requirements under the DOE funding and to identify the potential requirements for a system to be live to support operations as of summer 2015. This phase zero commenced in September 2013.	\$ 0
4	Relay modifications	Complete 4 relay system upgrades by 12/31/13	December 31, 2013	\$ 6,600,000	Milestones met	\$ 3,482,368
5	Transformer replacement program	Install Dunwoodie Phase Angle Regulator (PAR) N1 by June 1. Begin PAR S1/S2 and Ave A TR3 by Dec. 31. Final payment on additional 69kV unit by Dec. 31.	Final payment on additional 69kV unit by December 31, 2013.	\$ 23,100,000	Milestones met	\$ 12,111,300
6	E 179th St Switchgear and bus replacement	Complete construction work to be ready for cutover on bus section 6B by December 31.	Cutover on bus section 6B by December 31, 2013	\$ 23,100,000	Milestones met	\$ 19,143,608
7	Complete Astoria Outfall G Spill Prevention Counter Measures and Control enhancements (NEW)	Install Oil Water Separator by December 31.	December 31, 2013	\$ 12,100,000	Milestones met	\$ 6,148,791
8 9	Pipe enhancement The Aquatic Life Project	Refurbish 50% of a section on feeders M51 and M52 Install 4 screens	December 31, 2013 December 31, 2013	\$ 8,030,000 \$ 9,427,000	Milestones met Milestones met	\$ 3,270,867 \$ 9,020,487
10	Gas additions - 59th St. and 74th St.	 Gas Turbine + Completion of Duel Puel Conversion of Gas Turbine #1. Ready for Commissioning on gas November 30, 2013. 74th St - Completion of Main Gas piping on roof and Package Boiler Gallery Gas piping. Both ready for hydro test June 1, 2013. 	November 30, 2013 June 1, 2013	\$ 66,000,000	Milestones met	\$ 59,703,364
11	Install 36" gas transmission main - Bronx border to White Plains (NFW)	New project to install 1,500 feet of 36" transmission main	December 31, 2013	\$ 7,700,000	Milestones met	\$ 3,420,636
12	Gas regulators	Complete 4 regulator stations by December 31, 2013	December 31, 2013	\$ 21,560,000	Milestones met	\$ 10,856,496
13	4 Irving Place restacking	8th Floor complete by 6/30. 13th Floor 50% of base contract by 12/31. 7th Floor 100% of base contract by 12/31.	December 31, 2013	\$ 27,500,000	8th fl. and 13th fl. met their respective milestones. Due to the delay in awarding a contract, 7th fl. completed 36% of base contract vs the target of 60%. The revised completion date is 12/31/14.	\$ 17,217,000
14	Cyber security	 Expand internal intrusion detection system by April 2013 Enterprise vulnerability tool complete by November 2013 Adaptive authentication operational by December 31, 2013 	December 31, 2013	\$ 1,089,825	Milestones met	\$ 955,915

CAPITAL BUDGET MODIFIERS 2013 PROGRAMS

			Modifie	r Targets	Modifie	r Actual
	Programs	Description	Units greater than or equal to	Unit Cost NOT greater than	Units greater than or equal to	Unit Cost NOT greater than
	Paper-insulated lead cable (Prano 9 -11G					
15	primary cable sections only)	Primary sections replaced	294	\$ 27,931	483	\$ 27,833
16	Vented service box covers	Covers replaced	5,265	\$ 1,260	5,409	\$ 649
17	Primary Feeder Relief - (Prano 9 -UG primary cable sections only)	Primary sections of cable replaced	41	\$ 24,970	44	\$ 21,692
18	Network load relief transformer installations (Prano 41- UG NW transformers only)	Transformers replaced	29	\$ 21,340	31	\$ 14,985
19	High voltage circuit breaker program	Breakers replaced	4	\$ 1,210,000	6	\$ 1,192,667
20	Retrofit overdutied 13kV and 27kV circuit breaker programs	Retrofits completed	54	\$ 179,300	69	\$ 97,507
21	Remote monitoring - steam distribution	Remote monitors installed	113	\$ 35,200	123	\$ 29,562
22	Accelerated main replacement programs (GD-4, GD-11, and GD-29)	Feet replaced	144,000	\$ 512	188,099	\$ 420
23	4 & 6 oil-to-gas conversions	Services installed	579	\$ 50,260	780	\$ 41,464
24	Automated meter reading (AMR) - Saturation	Meters installed	91,669	\$ 194	96,764	\$ 186
25	Reactive Power Metering Project (NEW)	Meters installed	864	\$ 3,410	1,045	\$ 2,674

Con Edison Company of New York, Inc.

December 2015

Operating Performance	Unit of Measure	2015 Target	Year-End Performance	Year-End Status
Safety Index	Percent	≥87.5%	87.5%	•
Environmental Index	Percent	≥87.5%	87.5%	
Employee Development Index	Percent	≥87.5%	100%	•
Electric Network System Availability	Percent	≥99.999%	99.999%	
Electric Non-Network System Availability	Percent	≥99.99%	99.99%	•
Electric Reliability Performance Measure*	Number	0	0	٠
Respond to Gas Odor Complaints*	Percent	<u>></u> 75.0%	88.2%	•
Total Gas Leak - Year-End Inventory*	Number	<u><</u> 850	523	٠
Steam Operation within Normal Pressure	Percent	≥99.77%	99.98%	•
Production Forced Outages	Percent	≤4.0%	1.5%	٠
PSC Complaints*	Rate per 100,000 Customers	≤2.3	1.4	•
Calls Answered within 30 Seconds*	Percent	≥63.0%	65.3%	٠
Customer Satisfaction Surveys*	Score	≥85.0	90.5	
Storm Index	Percent	<u>></u> 83.3%	100%	

Financial Performance	Unit of Measure	2015 Target	Year-End Performance	Year-End Status
CECONY Net Income Year End Target: \$1,060 Million	\$ Millions	\$1,060	\$1,084	•
CECONY O&M (Departmental Expenses) Year End Target: \$1,470 Million	\$ Millions	\$1,470	\$1,464	•
CECONY O&M Programs Modifiers Year End Target: 87.5%	Percent	<u>></u> 87.5%	91.7%	•
CECONY Adjusted Capital** Year End Target: \$2,367 Million	\$ Millions	\$2,367	\$2,360	•
CECONY Capital Projects/Programs Modifiers Year End Target: 88.0%	Percent	<u>></u> 88.0%	98.0%	•

Legend					
Year-End Status					
	On target				
	Not on target				

* Regulatory Penalty Associated

** Adjusted to exclude Indian Point Contingency, AMI, and REV projects and the target was also adjusted from \$2,362 to \$2,367 due to the higher number of actual Oil-to-Gas Conversions compared with the budget.

Exhibit___(CEE-2) Page 1 of 3

The WorldatWork Handbook of Compensation, Benefits & Total Rewards

A Comprehensive Guide for HR Professionals

WorldatWork.



John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

This book is printed on acid-free paper. ⊖

Copyright © 2007 by WorldatWork. All rights reserved.

Published by John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey. Published simultaneously in Canada.

Wiley Bicentennial Logo: Richard J. Pacifico

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise, except as permitted under Section 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without either the prior written permission of the Publisher, or authorization through payment of the appropriate per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, (978) 750-8400, fax (978) 646-8600, or on the web at www.copyright.com. Requests to the Publisher for permission should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, (201) 748-6011, fax (201) 748-6008, or online at http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and author have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives or written sales materials. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a professional where appropriate. Neither the publisher nor author shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

The WorldatWork group of registered marks includes: WorldatWork[®], workspan[®], Certified Compensation Professional or CCP[®], Certified Benefits Professional[®] or CBP, Global Remuneration Professional or GRP[®], Work-Life Certified Professional or WLCP[®], WorldatWork Society of Certified Professionals[®], and Alliance for Work-Life Progress or AWLP[®].

For general information on our other products and services please contact our Customer Care Department within the United States at (800) 762–2974, outside the United States at (317) 572-3993 or fax (317) 572-4002.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books. For more information about Wiley products, visit our web site at www.wiley.com.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data:

The WorldatWork handbook of compensation, benefits & total rewards: a comprehensive guide for HR professionals/WorldatWork.

p. cm. ISBN 978-0-470-08580-6 (cloth : alk. paper) 1. Compensation management—Handbook, manuals, etc. I. WorldatWork (Organization) HF5549.5.C67W65 2007 658.3'2—dc22

2007002715

Printed in the United States of America.

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

100

148 Market Pricing



FIGURE 8.1 Sample labor market—large pharmaceutical firm.

There are numerous valid and reliable methods of market pay data collection and analysis. Before collecting pay data, an organization should define its relevant labor market, which may consist of:

- Similar organizations in the local labor market (i.e., similar size or comparable industry).
- All employers in the local market.
- Similar organizations in the regional or national market (i.e., similar size or comparable industry).
- All employers in the regional or national market.

Employers will want to use surveys that include data from competing organizations in the labor market. Therefore, this survey sample may vary widely between different groups of jobs. Typically, considerations include the geographic area, size of the organization (number of employees), revenue, industry, and other factors deemed pertinent to the group being surveyed.

GATHERING VALID DATA

There is no exact market rate for any job. Compensation professionals must rely on market data to determine going market rates for jobs, but a plethora of available surveys can be mind-boggling. It's also a double-edged sword. On a positive note, there is an abundance of information from which to choose. On the flip side, widescale availability can lead to careless survey selection and inappropriate data.

Factors, such as sample size, participant base, statistical analyses, survey methodology, and job-matching procedures, impact the accuracy of the final market rate composite for a benchmark job. As a rule of thumb, salary information is expected to be reflective of the marketplace within plus or minus 10 percent. Consequently, a market index of 95 percent to 105 percent can be viewed as fully meeting competitive market pay levels.

PAY RELATIVES

							Staff's Adjustment			Difference between
			Management, Busines	s,	Office and Administra	tive	(Con	Con Ed's		Con Ed &
Company	Headquarters	(Nearest) MSA	and Financial ¹	Professional and Related ¹	Support ¹	Average	Ed=100%)	Adjustment ²	Inverse	Staff
Alcatel-Lucent	Murray Hill, NJ	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	120	114	115	116.3	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
Ameren Corporation	St. Louis, MO	St. Louis, MO-IL	96	101	102	99.7	0.86	1.20	0.83	-0.03
American Electric Power	Columbus, OH	Columbus-Marion-Chillicothe, OH	96	96	102	98.0	0.84	1.20	0.83	-0.01
American International Group, Inc.	New York, NY	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	120	114	115	116.3	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
Automatic Data Processing, Inc.	Roseland, NJ	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	120	114	115	116.3	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
Avon Products, Inc.	New York, NY	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	120	114	115	116.3	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
Black Hills Corporation	Rapid City, SD	Rapid City, SD					0.77*	1.29	0.77	0.00
Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc.	Lake Success, NY	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	120	114	115	116.3	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
Cablevision	Bethnage, NY	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NI-CT-PA	120	114	115	116.3	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
Campbell Soup Company	Camden, NI	Philadelphia-Camden-Vineland, PA-NI-DE-MD	103	104	109	105.3	0.91	1.00	1.00	0.09
Center Point Energy	Houston TX	Houston-Baytown-Huntsville TX	101	105	101	102.3	0.88	1 17	0.85	-0.03
Cleco Corporation	Pineville, LA	Alexandria, I A	101	105	101	102.0	0.69*	1.44	0.69	0.00
CMS Energy	lackson MI	Detroit-Warren-Flint MI (Ann Arbor)	98	105	100	101.0	0.87	1 13	0.88	0.02
Comcast Corporation	Philadelphia PA	Philadelphia-Camden-Vineland PA-NI-DE-MD	103	104	100	105.3	0.01	1.13	0.89	-0.01
Curtiss-Wright Corporation	Parsinnany NI	New York-Newark-Bridgeport NV-NI-CT-PA	105	114	105	116.3	1.00	1.03	0.05	-0.03
Deleitte Services LLR	Now York NV	New York Newark Bridgeport, NY NJ CT PA	120	114	115	116.5	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
Diagoo North Amorica, Inc.	Nerwalk CT	New York Newark Bridgeport, NY NJ CT PA	120	114	115	116.3	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
Diageo North America, inc.	Dishmond VA	Dishmand VA	120	114	113	08.0	1.00	1.03	0.95	-0.05
Dominion Resources, Inc.	Richmonu, VA	Richmond, VA	96	98	102	98.0	0.84	1.10	0.85	0.01
DIE Energy Company	Detroit, MI	Detroit-Warren-Flint, Mi	98	105	100	101.0	0.87	1.18	0.85	-0.02
Duke Energy Corporation	Charlotte, NC	Charlotte-Gastonia-Rock Hill, NC-SC	101	97	101	99.7	0.86	1.18	0.85	-0.01
Edison International	Rosemead, CA	Los Angeles-Long Beach-Riverside, CA	108	107	107	107.3	0.92	1.08	0.93	0.01
Energy Future Holdings	Dallas, IX	Dallas-Fort Worth, IX	98	100	99	99.0	0.85	1.17	0.85	0.00
Entergy	New Orleans, LA	New Orleans-Metairie-Kenner, LA	94	103	99	98.7	0.85	1.17	0.85	0.01
Exelon	Chicago, IL	Chicago-Naperville-Michigan City, IL-IN-WI	105	107	107	106.3	0.91	1.12	0.89	-0.02
Fifth & Pacific Companies Inc.	New York, NY	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	120	114	115	116.3	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
FirstEnergy Corp.	Akron, OH	Cleveland-Akron-Elyria, OH	102	98	102	100.7	0.87	1.24	0.81	-0.06
Great Plains Energy	Kansas City, MO	Kansas City, MO-KS	93	100	97	96.7	0.83	1.20	0.83	0.00
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	Honolulu, HI	Honolulu, HI	104	101	98	101.0	0.87	1.09	0.92	0.05
ІТТ	White Plains, NY	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	120	114	115	116.3	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
Johnson & Johnson	New Brunswick, NJ	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	120	114	115	116.3	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
KPMG	New York, NY	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	120	114	115	116.3	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
New York Life	New York, NY	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	120	114	115	116.3	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
NextEra Energy	Juno Beach, FL	Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Pompano Beach, FL	104	89	99	97.3	0.84	1.22	0.82	-0.02
NiSource	Merrillville, IN	Chicago-Naperville-Michigan City, IL-IN-WI (Gary)	105	107	107	106.3	0.91	1.22	0.82	-0.09
Oncor Electric Delivery	Dallas, TX	Dallas-Fort Worth, TX	98	100	99	99.0	0.85	1.17	0.85	0.00
ONEOK	Tulsa, OK	Oklahoma City, OK	97	90	87	91.3	0.79	1.24	0.81	0.02
PG&E Corporation	San Francisco, CA	San Jose-San Francisco-Oakland, CA	108	120	120	116.0	1.00	0.97	1.04	0.04
PepsiCo, Inc.	Purchase, NY	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	120	114	115	116.3	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
Pfizer	New York, NY	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	120	114	115	116.3	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
PSEG	Newark, NJ	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	120	114	115	116.3	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
Revion, Inc.	New York, NY	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	120	114	115	116.3	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
SAP America, Inc.	Newtown Square, PA	Philadelphia-Camden-Vineland, PA-NJ-DE-MD	103	104	109	105.3	0.91	1.12	0.89	-0.01
SCANA	Cavce, SC	Charlotte-Gastonia-Rock Hill, NC-SC	101	97	101	99.7	0.86	1.26	0.79	-0.06
Siemens Corporation	Washington, DC	Washington-Baltimore-Northern Virginia, DC-MD-VA-WV	105	111	112	109.3	0.94	1.07	0.94	0.00
Southern Company	Atlanta, GA	Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Gainesville, GA-Al	101	101	101	101.0	0.87	1.17	0.86	-0.01
Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide	Stamford, CT	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NI-CT-PA	120	114	115	116.3	1.00	1.05	0.95	-0.05
Toys Bills Inc	Wayne NI	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	120	114	115	116.3	1.00	1.03	0.97	-0.03
Unilever United States Inc	Englewood NI	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	120	114	115	116.3	1.00	1.03	0.97	-0.03
United Technologies Corporation	Hartford CT	Hartford West Hartford Willimantia CT	107	100	113	110.5	1.00	1.05	0.97	-0.05
Varizon Communications Inc	Now York NV	Now York Nowark Bridgeport NV NI CT DA	107	105	114	116.0	1.00	1.13	1.00	-0.00
venzon communications inc.	NEW TUR, INT	New Tork-Newark-Bridgeport, NT-NJ-CT-PA	120	114	115	110.5	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
						Average	0.93	Average	0.92	-0.01
Con Edison	New York, NY	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	120	114	115	116.3				

* There was no BLS equivalent MSA so Staff used the inverse of Con Edison's adjustment

¹ From BLS, Occupational Pay Comparisons Among Metropolitan Areas, 2010

² From Exhibit____(AH C/BP-1)



NEWS RELEASE



For release 10:00 a.m. (EDT) Wednesday, May 25, 2011

Technical information:(202) 691-6199 • NCSinfo@bls.gov • www.bls.gov/ncsMedia contact:(202) 691-5902 • PressOffice@bls.gov

OCCUPATIONAL PAY COMPARISONS AMONG METROPOLITAN AREAS, 2010

Average pay for civilian workers in the San Jose-San Francisco-Oakland, CA metropolitan area was 20 percent above the national average in 2010, one of 77 metropolitan areas studied by the National Compensation Survey (NCS), the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported today. The Brownsville-Harlingen, TX metropolitan area had a pay relative of 80, meaning workers earned an average of 80 cents for every dollar earned by workers nationwide. Using data from the NCS, pay relatives—a means of assessing pay differences—are available for each of the nine major occupational groups within surveyed metropolitan areas, as well as averaged across all occupations for each area. The average pay relative nationally for all occupations and for each occupational group equals 100. (See table 1.)

A pay relative is a calculation of pay—wages, salaries, commissions, and production bonuses—for a given metropolitan area relative to the nation as a whole. The calculation controls for differences among areas in occupational composition, establishment and occupational characteristics, and the fact that data are collected for areas at different times during the year. Simple pay comparisons calculating the ratio of the average pay for an area to the entire United States in percentage terms would not control for interarea differences in occupational composition and other factors, which may impact pay relatives.



Chart 1. Pay relatives in selected metropolitan areas, National Compensation Survey, July 2010

Chart 1 above lists selected metropolitan area pay relatives compared to average pay nationally among those studied in the NCS. Table A provides selected metropolitan area pay relatives for each of five major occupational groups. In addition, area-to-area comparisons have been calculated for all 77 metropolitan areas and are available on the BLS website at http://www.bls.gov/ncs/ocs/payrel.htm.

USDL-11-0761

Major Occupational Group	Metropolitan Area	Pay Relative
Management, business, and financial	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	A 120
	Los Angeles-Long Beach-Riverside, CA	108
	Reno-Sparks, NV	108
	Salinas, CA	108
	San Jose-San Francisco-Oakland, CA	108
Office and administrative support	San Jose-San Francisco-Oakland, CA	120
	New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	A 115
	Boston-Worcester-Manchester, MA-NH	114
	Hartford-West Hartford-Willimantic, CT	114
	Washington-Baltimore-Northern Virginia, DC-MD-VA-WV	112
Service	San Jose-San Francisco-Oakland, CA	126
	Salinas, CA	123
	Seattle-Tacoma-Olympia, WA	123
	Hartford-West Hartford-Willimantic, CT	119
	Minneapolis-St. Paul-St. Cloud, MN-WI	115
	San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos, CA	115
Production	Detroit-Warren-Flint, MI	117
	Sacramento-Arden-Arcade-Truckee, CA-NV	117
	Bloomington-Normal, IL	116
	Seattle-Tacoma-Olympia, WA	115
	Providence-New Bedford-Fall River, RI-MA	113
Transportation and material moving	Seattle-Tacoma-Olympia, WA	117
-	Minneapolis-St. Paul-St. Cloud, MN-WI	114
	Boston-Worcester-Manchester, MA-NH	111
	Kansas City, MO-KS	110
	Salinas, CA	109
	San Jose-San Francisco-Oakland, CA	109

Table A. Selected metropolitan area-to-national pay relatives and major occupational groups, July 2010 (of 77 metropolitan areas surveyed)

The pay relative for production occupations in the Detroit-Warren-Flint, MI and Sacramento-Arden-Arcade-Truckee, CA-NV areas was 117, meaning the pay in these two metropolitan areas averaged 17 percent more than the national average pay for that occupational group. By contrast, the pay relative for production workers in the Brownsville-Harlingen, Texas area was 80, meaning pay for workers in those occupations averaged 20 percent less than the national average. (See table 1.)

Statistical significance measures are not available for news release and area-to-area comparison tables.

NOTICE OF FINAL NEWS RELEASE

This is the final Occupational Pay Comparisons Among Metropolitan Areas news release. Funding for the Locality Pay Survey program is ending. However, the other programs of the National Compensation Survey, such as the Employment Cost Index, Employer Costs for Employee Compensation, and benefit publications will continue to be produced.

TECHNICAL NOTE

Pay relative controls and calculations

Pay relatives control for differences among areas in occupational composition as well as establishment and occupational characteristics. Metropolitan areas often differ greatly in the composition of establishments and occupations that are available to the local workforce. For example, in Brownsville-Harlingen, Texas, the ratio of workers in the high-paying management, business, and financial occupational group to the number of workers in all occupations is under 6 percent, whereas nationally this ratio is nearly 10 percent.¹ In addition to these factors, the NCS collects compensation data for metropolitan areas at different times during the year. Payroll reference dates differ between areas, which makes direct comparisons between areas difficult.

The pay relative approach controls for these differences to isolate the geographic effect on wages. To illustrate the importance of controlling for these effects, consider the following example. The average pay for construction and extraction workers in the New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA metropolitan area in 2010 was \$32.54 and in the United States, \$21.18.² A simple pay comparison can be calculated from the ratio of the two average pay levels, multiplied by 100 to express the comparison as a percentage. The pay comparison in the example is calculated as:

$(\$32.54 \div \$21.18) * 100 \cong 154$

This comparison does not control for differences between New York and the nation in the mix of occupations, industries, and other factors. A more accurate estimate of the geographic effect of wages in New York can be obtained by taking these differences into account. Controlling for differences in occupational composition, establishment and occupational characteristics, and the payroll reference date in New York relative to the nation as a whole, the pay relative for construction and extraction occupations in New York is 129.

Survey methodology

Pay relatives were estimated using a multivariate regression technique designed to control for interarea differences. This technique controls for the following ten characteristics:

- Occupational type
- Industry type
- Work level
- Full-time / part-time status
- Time / incentive status
- Union / nonunion status
- Ownership type
- Profit / non-profit status
- Establishment employment
- Payroll reference date

Even accounting for the characteristics used in the current regression analysis, there is still wage variation across the areas. The variation is due to differences in wage determinants that were not included in the model. Examples of these determinants include price levels, environmental amenities such as a pleasant climate, and cultural amenities.

Historical pay relatives data are available for the survey years 1992-1996, 1998, 2002, 2004-2009. There are several differences between the recent pay relatives and the pay relatives for earlier years, including different industry and occupation classification systems, varying methodology, and different survey designs. These differences limit comparability. The pay relatives since 2004 have been calculated using the same industry and occupation classification systems, methodology, and survey design. Nonetheless, comparisons between the estimates for these years should be made only with caution.

For more details on survey design, methodology, classification systems, recent changes in the survey, and appropriate uses and limitations of the data, see *BLS Handbook of Methods*, Chapter 8, "National Compensation Measures," available on the Internet at http://www.bls.gov/opub/hom/homch8_a.htm, especially the major section "Area-to-Nation and Area-to-Area Pay Comparisons."

Obtaining information

Articles, bulletins, and other information from the National Compensation Survey may be obtained by calling (202) 691-6199, sending email to <u>NCSinfo@bls.gov</u>, or visiting the Internet site http://www.bls.gov/ncs. Information in this release will be made available to sensory impaired individuals upon request. Voice phone: (202) 691-5200; Federal Relay Service Number: 1-800-877-8339.

¹Data for this example are based on the May 2010 Metropolitan and Nonmetropolitan Area Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates, on the Internet at <u>http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oessrcma.htm</u>.

² Average pay for construction and extraction workers in New York and for the United States are based on wage estimates published in *New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA National Compensation Survey, May 2010* and *National Compensation Survey: Occupational Earnings in the United States, 2010*, on the Internet at http://www.bls.gov/ncs/ocs/compub.htm.

Table 1. Pay relatives for major occupational groups in metropolitan areas, National Compensation Survey, July 2010

(Average pay nationally for all occupations and for each occupational group shown = 100.)

Metropolitan Area ¹	All occupations	Management, business, and financial	Professional and related	Service	Sales and related	Office and administrative support	Construction and extraction	Installation, maintenance, and repair	Production	Transportation and material moving
United States	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Amarillo, TX	88	94	79	90	96	90	88	97	88	92
Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Gainesville, GA-AL	98	101	101	94	95	101	86	94	97	105
Austin-Round Rock-San Marcos, TX	94	92	92	91	102	95	84	108	90	97
Birmingham-Hoover, AL	94	93	98	98	89	97	80	97	94	99
Bloomington, IN	91	94	88	86	86	92	83	93	104	100
Bloomington-Normal, IL	100	91	103	99	103	97	118	86	116	100
Boston-Worcester-Manchester, MA-NH Brownsville-Harlingen, TX Buffalo-Niagara-Cattaraugus, NY Charleston-North Charleston-Summerville,	111 80 97	102 84 95	111 88 90	112 88 101	107 71 92	114 80 94	115 68 107	113 79 97	108 80 110	111 77 101
SC	94	91	98	88	105	92	83	95	108	98
Charlotte-Gastonia-Rock Hill, NC-SC	99	101	97	98	103	101	87	104	100	95
Chicago-Naperville-Michigan City, IL-IN-WI	106	105	107	106	103	107	129	109	103	104
Cincinnati-Middletown-Wilmington, OH-KY-IN Cleveland-Akron-Elyria, OH Columbus-Marion-Chillicothe, OH Corpus Christi, TX Dallas-Fort Worth, TX Dayton-Springfield-Greenville, OH	100 100 100 90 98 96	103 102 96 80 98 99	97 98 96 91 100 92	99 99 102 88 93 101	110 98 104 90 102 95	100 102 102 87 99 92	80 109 108 96 89 92	100 112 102 108 98 98	102 101 104 96 93 99	105 101 99 91 100 99
Denver-Aurora-Boulder, CO	102	97	101	106	106	104	94	111	100	101
Detroit-Warren-Flint, MI	102	98	105	95	99	100	103	98	117	104
Elkhart-Goshen, IN	93	97	90	100	95	94	103	86	93	100
Fort Collins-Loveland, CO	101	96	98	102	98	97	100	133	107	107
Grand Rapids-Wyoming, MI	100	90	98	101	114	101	104	91	102	96
Great Falls, MT	91	96	77	103	92	83	96	95	83	100
Greensboro-High Point, NC	95	100	98	92	93	96	87	91	99	103
Greenville-Mauldin-Easley, SC	95	99	93	96	93	95	77	82	110	98
Hartford-West Hartford-Willimantic, CT	111	107	109	119	107	114	112	112	109	107
Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton, NC	95	93	84	94	91	91	95	93	104	102
Honolulu, HI	105	104	101	114	104	98	115	109	112	95
Houston-Baytown-Huntsville, TX	99	101	105	91	102	101	90	97	98	95
Huntsville-Decatur, AL	98	104	102	93	99	95	91	94	99	96
Indianapolis-Anderson-Columbus, IN	95	86	96	94	82	97	98	103	104	97
Iowa City, IA	98	98	94	99	98	103	118	93	98	105
Johnstown, PA	88	86	85	94	91	90	95	78	88	86
Kansas City, MO-KS	99	93	100	96	101	97	95	101	106	110
Kennewick-Pasco-Richland, WA	105	103	99	109	107	104	107	102	96	108
Knoxville, TN Lincoln, NE Los Angeles-Long Beach-Riverside, CA Louisville/Jefferson County-Elizabethtown-Scottsburg, KY-IN	90 87 108 96	97 78 108 89	98 84 107 96	78 91 111 99	94 82 108 101	90 90 107 98	86 82 108 100	92 88 109 92	91 92 100 103	94 94 105 89

- 6 -

Table 1. Pay relatives for major occupational groups in metropolitan areas, National Compensation Survey, July 2010 — Continued

(Average pay nationally for all occupations and for each occupational group shown = 100.)

Metropolitan Area ¹	All occupations	Management, business, and financial	Professional and related	Service	Sales and related	Office and administrative support	Construction and extraction	Installation, maintenance, and repair	Production	Transportation and material moving
Memphis, TN-MS-AR	95	96	95	88	99	97	92	96	93	92
Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Pompano Beach, FL	97	104	89	98	99	99	96	98	96	100
Milwaukee-Racine-Waukesha, WI	102	99	96	99	109	100	115	100	108	104
Minneapolis-St. Paul-St. Cloud, MN-WI	107	102	102	115	107	105	111	108	109	114
Mobile, AL	90	98	91	90	87	92	102	82	96	103
New Orleans-Metairie-Kenner, LA	98	94	103	90	102	99	90	106	111	104
New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA	114	120	114	114	108	115	129	110	106	103
Ocala, FL	87	84	85	88	89	95	81	91	85	93
Oklahoma City, OK	92	97	90	95	99	87	115	84	81	104
Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford, FL	91	89	84	93	94	92	95	95	100	105
Palm Bay-Melbourne-Titusville, FL	92	81	87	94	96	89	97	95	98	102
Philadelphia-Camden-Vineland, PA-NJ-DE-MD Phoenix-Mesa-Glendale, AZ Pittsburgh-New Castle, PA	104 99 95	103 105 88	104 103 95	101 98 93	98 101 94	109 99 95	108 86 95	107 98 96	99 95 101	105 99 97
Portland-Vancouver-Hillsboro, OR-WA Providence-New Bedford-Fall River, RI-MA Reading, PA Reno-Sparks, NV Richmond, VA Rochester, NY	105 104 101 101 98 101	101 95 104 108 96 103	103 105 106 98 96 101	110 105 97 99 94 103	106 103 102 103 97 105	106 107 102 102 102 102 100	106 114 101 98 90 101	114 110 96 104 102 96	104 113 102 102 100 100	101 104 100 101 98 107
Rockford, IL	98	88	93	101	100	97	116	95	99	104
Sacramento-Arden-Arcade-Truckee, CA-NV	108	104	110	111	109	103	117	110	117	108
Salinas, CA	113	108	115	123	124	107	116	119	93	109
San Antonio-New Braunfels, TX	92	91	96	92	90	94	97	97	90	91
San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos, CA	107	105	106	115	108	104	106	107	101	102
San Jose-San Francisco-Oakland, CA	120	108	120	126	124	120	128	124	109	109
Seattle-Tacoma-Olympia, WA	112	105	109	123	109	108	115	103	115	117
Springfield, MA	107	97	110	111	99	106	114	97	105	106
Springfield, MO	89	93	85	89	92	88	83	86	97	92
St. Louis, MO-IL	100	96	101	97	99	102	107	111	98	97
Tallahassee, FL	88	78	82	92	92	90	97	90	85	92
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL	93	95	88	96	92	96	93	90	89	93
Virginia Beach-Norfolk-Newport News, VA-NC Visalia-Porterville, CA Washington-Baltimore-Northern Virginia	92 99	88 87	92 105	90 107	93 102	95 93	87 95	97 99	91 103	89 99
DC-MD-VA-WV	109	105	111	106	109	112	106	112	107	105
York-Hanover, PA	97	101	100	96	98	95	101	93	103	102
Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, OH-PA	91	98	89	90	92	92	90	96	100	87

¹ A metropolitan area can be a Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) or Combined Statistical Area (CSA) as defined by the Office of Management and Budget, December 2003.



Exhibit___(CEE-4) Page 1 of 3

> Published July 14, 2015

Salary Budget Survey

Below is a high-level look at results from the 2015-2016 survey, which closed in May 2015. This year, the "WorldatWork 2015-2016 Salary Budget Survey" received a total of 5,583 submissions. Additional industry and geographic breakout information that can be customized in countless ways for the U.S. and Canada is included in the "Online Reporting Tool," which will be available with the full survey results for purchase in early August. If you participated in this survey, you will receive a complimentary subscription.

The information is for your organizational use only. No portion of this communication may be reproduced or redistributed in any form without written permission from WorldatWork.

		Actual 2015		Project	ed 2016
	Employee Category	Mean	Median	Mean	Median
	NHN	2.9%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%
	NS	3.0%	3.0%	3.1%	3.0%
United States	ES	3.0%	3.0%	3.1%	3.0%
	OE	3.0%	3.0%	3.1%	3.0%
	All	3.0%	3.0%	3.1%	3.0%
	NHN	3.5%	3.8%	3.6%	3.8%
	NS	3.4%	3.6%	3.5%	3.5%
Australia	MS	3.4%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%
	OE	3.4%	3.5%	3.6%	3.5%
	All	3.4%	3.6%	3.5%	3.5%
	NHN	2.3%	2.5%	2.4%	2.6%
Belgium	NS	2.4%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%
	MS	2.5%	2.5%	2.7%	2.5%
	OE	2.6%	2.7%	2.8%	2.8%
	All	2.4%	2.5%	2.6%	2.5%
	NHN	7.9%	8.0%	7.7%	8.0%
	NS	7.4%	7.8%	7.3%	7.8%
Brazil	MS	7.5%	7.8%	7.4%	7.8%
	OE	7.4%	7.6%	7.4%	7.8%
	All	7.5%	7.8%	7.5%	7.8%
	NHN	2.8%	3.0%	2.8%	3.0%
	NS	2.8%	3.0%	2.9%	3.0%
Canada	MS	2.8%	3.0%	2.9%	3.0%
	OE	2.7%	3.0%	2.8%	3.0%
	All	2.8%	3.0%	2.9%	3.0%

Total Salary Budget Increases, by Employee Category

NHN	Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion		
NS	Nonexempt Salaried		
ES	Exempt Salaried		
OE	Officers/ Executives		
Non-U.S. Countries			
NHN	Nonmanagement Hourly Nonunion		
NS	Nonmanagement Salaried		
MS	Management Salaried		
OE	Officers/ Executives		

U.S.

		Actual	2015	Projected 2016		
	Employee Category	Mean	Median	Mean	Median	
	NHN	8.0%	8.0%	7.8%	8.0%	
	NS	7.8%	8.0%	7.8%	8.0%	
China	MS	7.7%	8.0%	7.8%	8.0%	
	OE	7.7%	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	
	All	7.8%	8.0%	7.8%	8.0%	
	NHN	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	
	NS	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	
France	MS	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	
	OE	2.5%	2.5%	2.6%	2.5%	
	All	2.7%	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	
	NHN	3.1%	3.0%	3.2%	3.0%	
	NS	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	
dermany	MS	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	
	OE	2.7%	3.0%	2.9%	3.0%	
	All	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	
	NHN	11.0%	11.0%	11.1%	11.0%	
	NS	10.5%	11.0%	10.5%	11.0%	
ndia	MS	10.5%	11.0%	10.6%	11.0%	
	OE	10.3%	11.0%	10.5%	11.0%	
	All	10.5%	11.0%	10.6%	11.0%	
	NHN	2.9%	2.9%	2.8%	2.8%	
	NS	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	2.8%	
aly	MS	2.8%	2.8%	2.7%	2.8%	
	OE	2.6%	2.8%	2.7%	2.7%	
	All	2.7%	2.8%	2.7%	2.8%	
	NHN	2.4%	2.5%	2.6%	2.5%	
	NS	2.6%	2.5%	2.6%	2.5%	
apan	MS	2.6%	2.5%	2.7%	2.5%	
	OE	2.5%	2.5%	2.6%	2.5%	
	All	2.6%	2.5%	2.6%	2.5%	
	NHN	4.5%	4.5%	4.6%	4.5%	
	NS	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	
lexico	MS	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	
	OE	4.6%	4.5%	4.8%	4.5%	
	All	4.5%	4.5%	4.6%	4.5%	
	NHN	2.9%	3.0%	2.9%	3.0%	
	NS	2.8%	2.9%	2.9%	3.0%	
etherlands	MS	2.9%	3.0%	2.9%	3.0%	
	OE	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	
	All	2.9%	3.0%	2.9%	3.0%	
	NHN	8.7%	8.3%	8.3%	8.0%	
	NS	8.8%	8.0%	9.2%	8.0%	
lussia	MS	8.7%	8.0%	9.0%	8.0%	
	OE	8.1%	8.0%	8.5%	8.0%	
	All	8.6%	8.0%	8.9%	8.0%	

Non-U.S. CountriesNHNNonmanagement
Hourly NonunionNSNonmanagement
SalariedMSManagement
SalariedOEOfficers/
Executives

WorldatWork 2015-2016 Salary Budget Survey

		Actual	2015	Projected 2016		
	Employee Category	Mean	Median	Mean	Median	
	NHN	4.5%	4.4%	4.5%	4.3%	
	NS	4.3%	4.4%	4.4%	4.4%	
Singapore	MS	4.4%	4.4%	4.4%	4.5%	
	OE	4.5%	4.4%	4.5%	4.5%	
	All	4.4%	4.4%	4.4%	4.4%	
	NHN	2.6%	2.5%	2.6%	2.5%	
	NS	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	
Spain	MS	2.6%	2.5%	2.6%	2.5%	
	OE	2.3%	2.3%	2.4%	2.4%	
	All	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	
	NHN	2.9%	3.0%	3.1%	3.0%	
Sweden	NS	2.8%	2.9%	2.9%	3.0%	
	MS	2.8%	3.0%	2.9%	3.0%	
	OE	2.5%	2.9%	2.7%	2.7%	
	All	2.8%	2.9%	2.9%	3.0%	
	NHN	2.4%	2.5%	2.4%	2.5%	
	NS	2.1%	2.2%	2.2%	2.3%	
Switzerland	MS	2.2%	2.2%	2.3%	2.4%	
	OE	2.1%	2.0%	2.4%	2.3%	
	All	2.2%	2.2%	2.3%	2.5%	
	NHN	2.9%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	
	NS	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	
United Kingdom	MS	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	
	OE	2.9%	3.0%	3.1%	3.0%	
	All	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	

Non-U.S. Countries					
NHN	Nonmanagement Hourly Nonunion				
NS	Nonmanagement Salaried				
MS	Management Salaried				
OE	Officers/ Executives				

Please direct any questions or comments to surveypanel@worldatwork.org.

WorldatWork | 14040 N. Northsight Blvd. | Scottsdale, AZ 85260 USA

Customer Relationship Services: 877-951-9191 (toll-free); 480-922-2020

©2015 WorldatWork. All rights reserved. No portion of this communication may be reproduced or redistributed in any form without written permission from WorldatWork.

