

STATE OF NEW YORK  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE 13-E-0030 - Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules and Regulations of Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. for Electric Service.

CASE 13-G-0031 - Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules and Regulations of Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. for Gas Service.

CASE 13-S-0032 - Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules and Regulations of Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. for Steam Service.

RULING ON SCHEDULE

(Issued March 22, 2013)

PAUL AGRESTA and JULIA S. BIELAWSKI,  
Administrative Law Judges:

A procedural conference was held in these cases on March 11, 2013, attended by Consolidated Edison of New York, Inc. (the Company), the Department of Public Service Staff (Staff), and other active parties. Staff presented a proposed litigation schedule for our consideration which was the product of a collaborative effort between the parties. No party objected to Staff's proposed schedule, but a concern was raised that the scope of rebuttal testimony should be limited to rebuttal of direct testimony and should not include an allowance for wholesale changes in what has been proposed by the Company.

We intend to develop a common record for use in these three separate proceedings such that anything in the common record may be cited in any of the individual proceedings. We accept the assurances that rebuttal testimony will be limited to an appropriate scope as described by the Company at the procedural conference, but we also want it to be understood that

rebuttal testimony may appropriately be used to reconsider direct testimony that has been successfully refuted by Staff and intervenor testimony, so as to eliminate unnecessary litigation between the parties. We appreciate that the parties were able to agree upon the proposed schedule, and we note that the schedule as proposed should provide us with the minimum time that will be needed after the hearings to bring these matters to the Commission in a timely fashion. Therefore, we adopt the proposed schedule as follows:

Company Updates	March 25, 2013
Staff and Intervenor Direct Testimony	May 31, 2013
Rebuttal Testimony	June 21, 2013
Evidentiary Hearings	July 22, 2013

Briefing deadlines will be established at the conclusion of the evidentiary hearings. The schedule assumes full litigation of the cases. We will entertain requests for alteration of the established deadlines to the extent that the parties demonstrate a strong likelihood that the scope of the litigation will be reduced through negotiation.

(SIGNED)

PAUL AGRESTA

(SIGNED)

JULIA S. BIELAWSKI