STATE OF NEW YORK PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE 15-M-0180 - In the Matter of Regulation and Oversight of Distributed Energy Resource Providers and Products.

ORDER ESTABLISHING OVERSIGHT FRAMEWORK AND UNIFORM BUSINESS PRACTICES FOR DISTRIBUTED ENERGY RESOURCE SUPPLIERS

Issued and Effective: October 19, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduct	zion	1
Backgroun	nd	3
Notices o	of Proposed Rulemaking	5
Discussio	on	6
I.	Legal Authority	7
A.	Comments	7
В.	Determination	8
II.	Application of HEFPA	9
A.	Staff Proposals	9
В.	Comments	0
С.	Determination1	0
III.	Need for Oversight and Applicability 12	2
A.	Need for Oversight	2
В.	Overlapping Jurisdiction	4
С.	Applicability to DER Suppliers	6
D.	Limited Scope of Oversight and Division of Rules into Generally Applicable and DG-Only	8
IV.	Uniform Business Practices - Distributed Energy Resources Providers	1
Α.	Consolidated UBP	2
V.	Generally Applicable Provisions	3
A.	Customer Consent	4
В.	General Marketing Standards	5
С.	Customer Data 2	7
D.	Responsibility for Contractors and Other Third-Party Agents 28	8
Ε.	Customer Inquiries and Complaints 29	9
F.	Consequences for Violations	0
G.	General Reporting Requirements	2
VI.	Oversight of Community Distributed Generation Providers and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers 34	4
Α.	Registration3	5
В.	Enhanced Marketing and Advertising Standards 40	0

CASE 15-M-0180

C. R	equired Contract Terms42
D. S	tandard Customer Disclosure Statements 45
	ustomer Inquiries and Complaints Rules for CDG and n-Site Mass Market DG Providers
	eporting Requirements for CDG and On-Site Mass Market G Providers47
VII. B	usiness-to-Business Issues
VIII. P	riority Items for Consideration
Conclusion	49
APPENDIX A.	Uniform Business Practices for Distributed Energy Resource Suppliers (UBP-DERS)
APPENDIX B.	DER Oversight Requirements TableB-1
APPENDIX C.	Applicable Provisions of the Home Energy Fair Practices Act to CDG Providers, On-Site Mass Market DG Providers, and Other DER Suppliers Selling Gas or Electricity
APPENDIX D.	Questions for Comment Regarding Potential Additional Provisions
APPENDIX E.	List of CommentersE-1

STATE OF NEW YORK PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At a session of the Public Service Commission held in the City of Albany on October 19, 2017

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:

John B. Rhodes, Chair

Gregg C. Sayre

Diane X. Burman

James S. Alesi

CASE 15-M-0180 - In the Matter of Regulation and Oversight of Distributed Energy Resource Providers and Products.

ORDER ESTABLISHING OVERSIGHT FRAMEWORK AND UNIFORM BUSINESS PRACTICES FOR DISTRIBUTED ENERGY RESOURCE SUPPLIERS

(Issued and Effective October 19, 2017)

BY THE COMMISSION:

INTRODUCTION

Through the Reforming the Energy Vision (REV) initiative, the Commission has set the stage for increased deployment and integration of Distributed Energy Resources (DERs) for the benefit of the energy system, the environment, and customers. As DERs become an increasingly common and significant part of electric and gas service to customers, the Commission has the responsibility of ensuring that customers participating in DER markets and programs understand the costs and benefits of their investments and are protected from confusion, fraud, and abusive marketing. Furthermore, clear and robust guidance on appropriate marketing and contracting practices will create a level playing field for DER suppliers and support fair competition between suppliers and between various DER options. The Commission concludes that a manual of

Uniform Business Practices (UBP) can effectively serve that purpose.

As described in the VDER Phase One Order, clear rules are necessary to ensure that customers participating in DER markets and programs, particularly community distributed generation (CDG) projects, are not subject to fraud or abusive marketing. 1 The Commission's experience in regulating energy services companies (ESCOs) in the gas and electric supply market has demonstrated that oversight is needed to prevent false promises, exploitative pricing, and other deceptive or intentionally confusing behavior in marketing to residential customers and small businesses. A clear and consistent process for managing complaints and investigating and addressing violations will ensure that both customers and DER suppliers understand their rights and responsibilities. Finally, requirements regarding reporting and responding to information requests are necessary to ensure that Department of Public Service Staff (Staff) and the Commission have the tools needed to monitor these growing markets and identify and resolve potential issues.

At the same time, the Commission is mindful of the need to avoid unnecessary or overly burdensome obligations, particularly with respect to small DER suppliers. The success of the REV initiative depends on innovative individuals and businesses exploring and deploying new products and services. Many of those innovative suppliers will be small businesses whose financial vitality can be threatened by application of overly complex and burdensome regulatory requirements. Some may

Cases 15-E-0751 et al., Value of Distributed Energy Resources, Order on Net Metering Transition, Phase One of Value of Distributed Energy Resources, and Related Matters (VDER Phase One Order) (issued March 9, 2017), pp. 141-142.

be offering software or shipping products nationally and have limited ability to deal with state-specific requirements. Certain elements of the Staff proposals on DER oversight caused concern that such businesses could be effectively barred from participation in the New York market.

For the above reasons, this Order carefully tailors oversight to the characteristics of different market sectors. Specifically, as compared with the Staff proposals, it limits oversight of transactions with large industrial or commercial customers to prohibitions on fraud and requirements regarding customer consent; it applies a limited, "passive" set of regulations to most DER suppliers, while more comprehensively regulating CDG and on-site mass market DG; and it eliminates certain proposed requirements that were identified as particularly burdensome and not sufficiently justified by an urgent need, including proposed bonding and capitalization requirements.

The UBP-DERS is attached in its entirety as Appendix A, and shall be included as an addendum in every utility tariff. These requirements are part of a framework of regulation and contractual agreements, including interconnection agreements and tariffs, which will govern the integration of DERs into New York's electric system, as well as the rights and responsibilities of DER suppliers. As markets continue to evolve, these requirements will require and receive ongoing review and modifications to ensure that customers are appropriately protected and that DER markets are able to thrive.

BACKGROUND

In the REV Framework Order, the Commission directed Staff to develop proposed rules for oversight of DER suppliers,

in consultation with stakeholders.² These proposed rules were required to include a dispute resolution mechanism to expedite review and action on disputes between DER suppliers and utilities.

Staff reviewed the UBP applicable to ESCOs to determine the extent to which those requirements should be applicable to DER suppliers. It also reviewed oversight of DER suppliers in other jurisdictions to identify any best practices. Staff developed an outline of proposed requirements to be applicable to DER suppliers, as well as detailed questions requiring stakeholder consideration, and discussed those issues at a technical conference on May 12, 2015. DER suppliers, ESCOs, utilities and representatives of consumers actively participated in that conference. After taking that input into consideration, Staff issued a Staff Proposal for public comment on July 28, 2015, including a proposed rulebook, the Uniform Business Practices for Distributed Energy Resource Suppliers (UBP-DERS). Staff led a technical conference on that proposal on August 20, 2015, in which DER suppliers, ESCOs, utilities, and representatives of consumers participated. Comments on the Staff Proposal were received from 24 stakeholders.

In addition, as part of its consideration of issues related to the participation of low-income customers in CDG programs, Staff developed proposals regarding a Standardized Customer Disclosure Statement and the applicability of Home Energy Fair Practices Act (HEFPA) provisions to CDG projects. These proposals were issued for comment in the December 5, 2016 Notice Soliciting Comments Concerning Community Distributed

_

² Case 14-M-0101, <u>Proceeding on Motion of the Commission in Regard to Reforming the Energy Vision</u>, Order Adopting Regulatory Policy Framework and Implementation Plan (issued February 26, 2015) (REV Framework Order), at pp. 8-10.

Generation for Low-Income Customers (CDG Low-Income Notice) in Case 15-E-0082. Nine comments were received on these issues.

In the VDER Phase One Order, the Commission emphasized the importance of DER oversight but also recognized that substantial time had passed since the technical conference and the filing of comments.³ The Commission explained that, in that time, REV and DER markets had undergone significant evolution. The Commission therefore directed Staff to file an updated whitepaper on DER oversight for public comment.

In response to that directive, Staff filed a Supplemental Staff Whitepaper on DER Oversight (the Supplemental Whitepaper) on April 11, 2017, which considered both the comments received on the original Staff Proposal and new developments in DER and REV markets. Staff proposed an updated model for DER Oversight, which includes requirements applicable to the relationships between DER suppliers and their end-user customers, as well as requirements applicable to the relationships between DER suppliers and utilities. Supplemental Whitepaper focused on certain aspects of the original Staff Proposal and solicited additional comments on both that Proposal and the Supplemental Whitepaper. Staff subsequently led a technical conference on June 19, 2017, in which DER suppliers, ESCOs, utilities, and representatives of consumers participated. Thirty-three comments, including reply comments, were received on the Staff Proposal.

NOTICES OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING

Pursuant to State Administrative Procedure Act (SAPA) \$202(1), a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking was published in the State Register on August 12, 2015 [SAPA No. 15-M-0180SP1]. On

 $^{^3}$ Cases 15-E-0751 <u>et al.</u>, <u>supra</u>, VDER Phase One Order.

July 28, 2015, a Notice Seeking Comments was issued establishing a deadline by which to submit initial and reply comments. The time for submission of comments expired on October 19, 2015.

Comments were received from 24 stakeholders representing a wide variety of sectors. For the Supplemental Whitepaper, a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking was published in the State Register on May 3, 2017 [SAPA No. 15-M-0180SP2]. On April 12, 2017, a Notice Seeking Comments was issued establishing a deadline by which to submit initial and reply comments. On May 26, 2017, an extension of the comment deadlines was granted. The time for submission of comments expired on June 27, 2017. Comments were received from 21 stakeholders representing a wide variety of sectors.

The comments and reply comments received in response to the August 12, 2015 Notice and the April 12, 2017 and May 26, 2017 Notices, as well as the CDG Low-Income Notice, are addressed in the body of the Order where relevant. A list of commenters, along with the short names used for them in this Order, appears in Appendix E.

DISCUSSION

In this Order, the Commission determines that oversight of DER suppliers is necessary and appropriate but must be carefully tailored to the characteristics and needs of each market segment. It establishes a general framework for oversight of DER suppliers, which contains "passive" requirements ensuring that the Commission and Staff have the ability to address fraud and other abusive practices without requiring DER suppliers to take any affirmative actions or change their business practices. It also puts in place a more specific and detailed set of requirements that are applied only

to CDG and on-site mass market distributed generation (DG) providers at this time.

The UBP-DERS, attached to this Order as Appendix A and adopted through this Order, includes both sets of requirements. It is broken up into three sections: Section 1, which contains definitions for the terms used throughout the document; Section 2, which contains requirements applicable to all DER suppliers; and Section 3, which contains requirements applicable only to CDG and on-site mass market DG providers.

Several appendices are attached to this Order.

Appendix A is the UBP-DERS as adopted by this Order and includes Standard Disclosure Forms for CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers as Attachment 1. Appendix B is a chart summarizing the UBP-DERS provisions applicable to each market segment.

Appendix C summarizes provisions of the HEFPA that apply to DER suppliers and describes the circumstances where each would apply.

I. Legal Authority

A. Comments

The JU and UIU strongly agree with the determination in the REV Framework Order that the Commission has broad authority to regulate DERs - including to establish uniform business practices for DERs - both under the Public Service Law (PSL) and because the Commission has authorized the programs or markets in which DERs will operate. However, commenters from the DER community and groups like AEA, EDF, and PACE, question whether the Commission has the authority to regulate DERs, or whether broad oversight could stifle nascent and existing markets like CDG and energy efficiency. Some DER providers argue that existing statutory provisions only cover businesses, like utilities and ESCOs, that sell or furnish electricity.

B. Determination

The Commission determined in the REV Framework Order that the PSL grants the Commission legal authority to regulate DER suppliers. 4 As described in that Order, PSL §2(13) defines an electric corporation as any corporation that owns, operates, or manages "any electric plant . . . except where electricity is generated or distributed by the producer solely on or through private property . . . for its own use or the use of its tenants and not for sale to others." PSL §2(12) defines electric plant to include "all real estate, fixtures and personal property operated, owned, used or to be used for or in connection with or to facilitate the generation, transmission, distribution, sale or furnishing of electricity for light, heat or power . . ." Therefore, corporations are subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission under Article 1 of the PSL to the extent that they "furnish" or "facilitate the generation, . . . sale or furnishing of" electricity. 5 Pursuant to PSL §53, Article 2 of the PSL, referred to as HEFPA, similarly applies to "any entity that, in any manner, sells or facilitates the sale or furnishing of gas or electricity to residential customers."

Many DER suppliers clearly fit these definitions of an "electric corporation." For example, CDG providers and other distributed generation providers either sell energy directly through power-purchase agreements (PPAs) or sell or lease electric generating equipment. Other DER suppliers, for example through demand management, demand response, or energy storage products or services, offer services to utilities or electric

⁴ Case 14-M-0101, supra, REV Framework Order at pp. 8-10.

 $^{^{5}}$ "Gas corporations" are similarly defined in PSL §§ 2(10) and 2(11).

To the extent that DER suppliers provide gas services, those DER suppliers would also be "gas corporations."

customers that facilitate the safe and efficient delivery of electricity. With respect to those DER suppliers, the Commission has direct jurisdiction under Articles 1 and 2 of the PSL.

Furthermore, when a DER supplier participates in or otherwise takes advantage of a Commission-directed or authorized program, tariff, or market, as itself or through its customers, the Commission can condition participation in that program on compliance with a set of rules. Therefore, even in cases where the application of PSL §\$ 2(13) and 53 may be unclear, the Commission has clear authority to exercise oversight of all DER suppliers participating in Commission-authorized and/or utility or DSP-operated programs, tariffs, or markets. The Commission continues to exercise its discretion, consistent with the discussion in the REV Framework Order, to forebear from subjecting DER suppliers to rate regulation or other requirements set forth in Article 4 of the PSL.

II. Application of HEFPA

A. Staff Proposals

The Staff Proposal stated that, pursuant to PSL §53, the Home Energy Fair Practices Act (HEFPA), Article 2 of the PSL, would apply to DER suppliers in their service of residential customers. The Staff Proposal explained that some provisions of HEFPA are not relevant to the services provided by DER suppliers, such as the provisions related to termination or suspension of electric service, since termination or suspension of DER service will not impact a customer's ability to receive electric service from their distribution utility.

The CDG Low-Income Notice included a proposed list of applicable and inapplicable provisions of the section of the New York Code of Rules and Regulations (NYCRR) that implements

HEFPA. Proposed applicable provisions included 16 NYCRR §§ 11.6, 11.11, 11.12, 11.13, 11.14, 11.15, 11.16, 11.17, 11.20, 11.21, and 11.22; these sections govern topics including budget billing, security deposits, meter reading, backbilling, late charges, and requirements on what information must be provided to residential customers.

B. <u>Comments</u>

Several commenters, including the JU, the City of New York, UIU, and PULP, agree that the application of HEFPA to DER suppliers is an important consumer protection. Other commenters, including some DER suppliers, argue that HEFPA should not be applied to DER suppliers because the differences between the energy commodity markets and the DER markets are too significant. Other DER suppliers agree that HEFPA applies but suggest that its application be tailored to the different characteristics of DER suppliers, as compared to distribution utilities and ESCOs. NRG states that the Staff assertion that certain terms of HEFPA apply to DER suppliers is overly broad. PULP argues that the proposal undermines HEFPA by not fully applying it to all DER suppliers.

C. Determination

As described above, HEFPA applies to "any entity that, in any manner, sells or facilitates the sale or furnishing of gas or electricity to residential customers." A DER supplier that "sells or facilitates the sale or furnishing of gas or electricity" therefore must comply with Article 2 of the PSL and with the Commission's regulations in 16 NYCRR Part 11 to the extent applicable. This definition is focused more narrowly on the sale of electricity or gas. For that reason, HEFPA does not apply to all DER suppliers subject to the UBP-DERS. Rather, it applies to CDG providers and on-site mass market DG providers, as those terms are defined in the UBP-DERS. It would also apply

to any other DER supplier directly engaged in the sale of gas or electricity.

Staff correctly notes that some provisions of HEFPA are inapplicable to DER suppliers due to the different characteristics of the service they provide. This does not undermine HEFPA, but instead recognizes that provisions governing particular actions, such as the termination of electric service, cannot be applied to companies with no ability to take those actions. On the other hand, wholly exempting DER suppliers from HEFPA compliance, as some commenters request, would be inconsistent with both the intent and plain text of the statute. Furthermore, those sections of HEFPA that do apply will generally only be relevant to DER suppliers that take particular actions as part of their business. For example, 16 NYCRR §11.13, which governs the use of estimated bills, applies only to DER suppliers that (a) bill based on a metered quantity and (b) sometimes use estimated bills rather than billing based only on actual meter data.

While Staff is correct that the requirements of 16 NYCRR \$11.11, which requires that budget or levelized billing plans be offered to residential customers, would ordinarily be applicable, the Commission waives the requirements of 16 NYCRR \$11.11 for DER suppliers, pursuant to waiver provisions in 16 NYCRR \$11.22. As explained by commenters, products and services offered by DER suppliers vary widely in terms of billing methods and benefits provided. For some products, such as those purchased for a one-time payment or a fixed monthly payment, a separate budget or levelized billing plan is entirely unnecessary. Even for those DER products and service whose price may vary on a monthly or seasonal basis, such as power-purchase agreement (PPA) contracts for CDG or on-site mass market DG, the variation in the amount billed each month will be

coupled with an equivalent variation in the value of credits on the customer's utility bill each month. For that reason, a separate budget or levelized billing plan is not necessary to ensure that, on an overall basis, customer bills are reasonably stable.

In addition, 16 NYCRR §11.17 does not apply because, pursuant to subsection (a)(9) of that section, the notification sent by each customer's distribution utility will provide sufficient notice to that customer of their rights under HEFPA and related laws and regulations. 16 NYCRR §11.21 also does not apply because it deals with the Commission's authority to order the reconnection, continuation, or initiation of electric or gas service by a distribution utility.

With these modifications, the proposed set of relevant HEFPA provisions described in the CDG Low-Income Notice is adopted, as shown in Appendix C. An additional column has been added to the list explaining the circumstances where each provision would be applicable.

III. Need for Oversight and Applicability

A. Need for Oversight

1. Background

The Commission recognized in the REV Framework Order that "[w]here markets are created by order of the Commission, and managed by a DSP that is regulated by the Commission, the Commission has responsibility to ensure that customers and service providers can participate in those markets with confidence." This point was reiterated in the VDER Phase One Order, which recognized that Commission action on oversight would need to be coordinated with the full implementation of VDER to ensure that customers of the many CDG projects likely to

be put into service over the next several years are appropriately protected.

2. Comments

Several commenters question the need for broad oversight of DER. DER suppliers argue that few reported abuses have occurred so far. AEA, EDF, PACE and the City of New York are worried that broad oversight could stifle nascent and existing markets like CDG and energy efficiency. Several parties, including AEE and NRG, recommend postponing adoption of the UBP-DERS until more is known about the DSP, or, as SEIA suggests, until a comprehensive code of conduct for the solar industry is in place. Other commenters, including UIU and PULP, agree that the need for oversight is strong, particularly considering existing issues involving ESCOs, and suggest that DER oversight rules need to be put into place as soon as possible to ensure that, as the DER market starts to accelerate, customers are protected.

3. Determination

The need for oversight is highlighted both by the Commission's experience with ESCOs and by emerging evidence of DER risks. As some commenters point out, available evidence suggests that abuses in DER markets have been relatively rare and limited so far. Commenters also acknowledge that the markets are fairly nascent at this time. Furthermore, in the most widespread and evolved DER market in New York, rooftop solar, projects have been subject to NY-Sun rules, including substantial review from the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA), which may have prevented issues

-

Cases 15-E-0751 <u>et al.</u>, <u>supra</u>, VDER Phase One Order at pp. 141-142.

from arising or supported their quick resolution. Similar rules do not exist to protect members of CDG projects or participants in many other DER markets and tariffs. As CDG and other areas of the DER market grow, more issues may emerge, especially without meaningful and comprehensive oversight. Indeed, Staff is already aware of instances of potential customer abuses in the DER market, including enrollment of customers in programs without their consent and deceptive marketing practices.

The implementation of oversight provisions now is necessary both to remedy problems that may already exist and to provide the tools needed to monitor and respond to emerging market trends. The Commission is particularly mindful of the potential for false promises and exploitative pricing in the DER marketplace, as well as the risk of customer confusion regarding the benefits and costs of new and emerging products and services. While the vast majority of DER suppliers are good actors committed to customer education, honest marketing, and offering beneficial products, even a single unethical business can cause substantial harm without proper oversight. The oversight provisions adopted in this Order expressly describe expected, ethical conduct and create the means for monitoring the market and appropriately responding to violations.

B. Overlapping Jurisdiction

1. Comments

Several commenters, including SEIA and CCSA, argue that the proposed rules overlap with rules that already exist in state or federal law or regulation or self-regulatory bodies, and some suggest that Commission oversight should be

See NY-Sun Residential and Small Commercial Program Manual and NY-Sun Commercial/Industrial Program Manual, available at https://www.nyserda.ny.gov/All-Programs/Programs/NY-Sun/Project-Developers/Participating-Contractor-and-Builder-Resources.

limited to areas not already covered. Some commenters suggest that further analysis needs to be completed to map these overlapping oversight roles. Other commenters like the UIU and JU suggest that existing oversight is inadequate to cover the new markets and products that the Commission and DER providers are laying the foundation for.

2. Determination

We are not persuaded that the existence of these other sources of potential consumer protection justifies forgoing or significantly limiting the Commission's role and responsibility to assure oversight and appropriate customer protections.

First, where the Commission has created and facilitated markets, the Commission has the responsibility of ensuring that customers in those markets are protected. Where a customer reasonably comes to the Commission to take advantage of that protection, it is not sufficient for the Commission to refer that customer to other entities that may have limited resources or different enforcement priorities.

In addition, at least some of the cited laws, regulations, and governing bodies similarly apply to ESCOs, but experience with ESCOs has demonstrated that Commission oversight is both necessary and appropriate. Further, leaving DER regulation to these other authorities could result in confusing and inequitable situations for customers; the scope and degree of a customer's protections could vary depending on factors not transparent to the customer, including whether the DER supplier is a member of a particular industry organization, whether the DER supplier has accepted NYSERDA incentives, and the specific details of the technology used by the DER supplier. Finally, while some of the cited standards are enforced by regulatory bodies, others would require an individual customer to initiate

a court proceeding or otherwise be difficult, costly, or even impossible for an individual customer to enforce.

However, coordinating with other regulatory bodies and sources of rules can promote efficiency for the regulators and minimize barriers and potential confusion for market participants. In recognition of that, the initial scope of oversight is limited, as described below. Furthermore, Staff is required to consult with stakeholders and other regulators, including industry groups engaged in self-regulation, and develop a Report on DER Oversight Coordination by March 1, 2018 describing how Commission oversight of DER suppliers can best be coordinated with other relevant entities.

C. Applicability to DER Suppliers

1. Staff Proposals

In the REV Framework Order, the Commission explained that it would not attempt to regulate every transaction by a DER supplier, but instead focus its oversight of two types of transactions: (1) when DER suppliers acquire customer data by any means established under the Commission's authority, and (2) when DER services are sold into the DSP markets. Staff followed this framework in the Staff Proposal.

In the Supplemental Whitepaper, Staff recommended that the Commission lift this self-imposed limitation and instead exercise oversight on all DER suppliers that participate in Commission-authorized and/or utility or DSP-operated programs or markets. Staff explained that this change was appropriate both in response to the ongoing transition of utilities assuming the role of DSPs and to reduce the risk of customer confusion regarding what products and services are covered by Commission oversight.

⁹ Case 14-M-0101, supra, REV Framework Order.

2. Comments

In response to the Track One Order and the Staff
Proposal, PULP argued that the limited scope proposed was
inadequate and would miss many DER providers. RESA argued that
sufficient jurisdiction does not exist to support the expansive
coverage proposed in the Supplemental Whitepaper. NEMA notes
that the foundation upon which the initial and supplemental
White Paper relies, namely Public Service Law Article 2, Section
53, does not give the Commission statutory authority over
entities supplying DER. AARP states in reply comments, that the
Commission need not take a narrow view of its regulatory reach,
and that public discussion to develop a comprehensive regulatory
oversight of DER suppliers, including potential corrective
legislation, should be initiated.

3. Determination

The proposal in the Supplemental Whitepaper, which will ensure that all customers participating in programs or markets that the Commission oversees receive appropriate protections, is adopted. Therefore, the rules of general applicability adopted in this Order and included in the UBP-DERS will apply to all DER suppliers that participate in Commissionauthorized and/or utility or DSP-operated programs or markets, including CDG providers, developers of renewable generation compensated under net metering or Value of Distributed Energy Resources (VDER) tariffs, and DER suppliers participating in utility-operated demand response, non-wires alternatives, and REV demonstration projects, with respect to transactions between the DER supplier and a distribution utility customer in New York state. The basis for the Commission's legal authority to regulate DER suppliers is described in the Legal Authority section above.

In general, transactions with electric customers on Long Island will not be subject to the UBP-DERS because those transactions will take place within the context of programs and tariffs authorized by the Long Island Power Authority (LIPA) and its utility contractor rather than programs and tariffs authorized by the Commission and the distribution utilities it regulates. Nevertheless, LIPA is encouraged to adopt similar protections to those included in this order. Transactions related to Keyspan Long Island programs or tariffs, as well as transactions on Long Island related to NYSERDA programs authorized by the Commission, including the Clean Energy Fund and the New York Green Bank, will be subject to the UBP-DERS.

Furthermore, because the oversight adopted in this
Order is focused on protecting electric and gas customers, the
UBP-DERS will not apply to transactions between a DER supplier
and a utility or other program administrator. Rules governing
behavior in and oversight of those programs and transactions
will appear within the program rules, the utility tariff, or the
procurement request or contract, though the Commission may
consider standardization of such rules into the UBP-DERS in the
future.

D. <u>Limited Scope of Oversight and Division of Rules into</u> <u>Generally Applicable and DG-Only</u>

1. Staff Proposal

The Supplemental Whitepaper contained proposed rules to be applied broadly to DER suppliers, including CDG providers, participants in non-wires alternatives and demand response programs, energy efficiency suppliers, and providers of DER products and services that participate in net energy metering or VDER tariffs.

2. Comments

Many commenters, including DER industry representatives, consumer advocates, and the City of New York

recommend that the Commission's initial scope should be limited to those industries and customer classes that are exposed to the most risk, such as mass market customers. These commenters are concerned that too much regulation can stifle these nascent DER markets before they are able to thrive. Some commenters suggest that oversight start with residential customers first, and expand later to other customer classes as needed. Others recommend that the scope of Commission oversight should be a broad as possible, and that further calibrations can occur as needed to adapt to market changes.

Some commenters, including UIU, describe the problems that have occurred in the ESCO market and explain that meaningful oversight is needed for DER markets to avoid similar issues. Other commenters, including SEIA and AEE, argue that that the ESCO market is not a good analogy to DER since ESCOs mostly compete on price alone and offer a fairly homogeneous product compared to DER suppliers, which offer more tangible and varied projects and are therefore less susceptible to deceptive marketing practices.

3. Determination

While, as described above, regulation of DER suppliers is both appropriate and necessary, the Commission is sensitive to concerns raised in comments that overly broad or burdensome regulation could hinder innovation. Furthermore, as described in the VDER Phase One Order, CDG requires the most immediate attention, as compared with more established markets, like the energy efficiency market, and with markets primarily focused on non-residential customers.

A focus on CDG is appropriate because it is an emerging market poised for quick growth. More than 100 CDG projects are expected to be put into service over the next one to two years, and most projects will have dozens or even

hundreds of members. CDG also merits special attention because, unlike many currently existing programs that involve the installation of hardware on customer property, it may be less transparent to the consumer. Moreover, many CDG programs may have a low cost of entry and low ongoing costs and may therefore be subject to less customer scrutiny and monitoring. In addition, CDG providers, like ESCOs, often market directly to mass market customers and focus their marketing on savings claims.

Particular attention to on-site mass market DG providers, including rooftop solar providers, is also appropriate. Because most residential DG projects in the past have been rooftop solar projects funded by NY-Sun, customers in this market have generally been protected by NYSERDA's oversight of NY-Sun. However, as NY-Sun funding reduces and is ultimately exhausted, mass market DG projects will increasingly be built outside of that framework. Commission oversight is necessary to supplement and, ultimately, replacement for NY-Sun rules. Staff should work with NYSERDA throughout this process to ensure a smooth transition and avoid confusion or duplication of efforts.

For the foregoing reasons, this Order applies a limited set of generally applicable provisions to all DER suppliers that participate in Commission-authorized and/or utility or DSP-operated programs or markets and adopts a more specific and detailed set of provisions for CDG providers and on-site mass market DG providers. The Commission will consider on a rolling basis whether, when, and how more detailed regulations should be applied to other DER market segments.

This Order also recognizes the distinction between mass market customers, including residential customers and small commercial customers, and large customers, including commercial and industrial customers. Large customers, defined consistent

with the VDER Phase One Order as customers that are within a jurisdictional utility's non-residential demand-based or mandatory hourly pricing (MHP) service classification, are substantially more sophisticated and often retain energy experts, attorneys, and other professionals to assist their procurement of DER products and services. For that reason, some more prescriptive rules apply only to mass market customers, defined as customers within a jurisdictional electric utility's residential or small commercial service class and not billed based on peak demand. Where a DER supplier or marketing representative does not have sufficient information to determine whether a customer is a mass market or a large customer, that customer should be treated as a mass market customer unless and until the DER supplier or its marketing representative acquires sufficient information and determines that the customer is a large customer.

IV. Uniform Business Practices - Distributed Energy Resources Providers

The rules applicable to DER suppliers are contained in the UBP-DERS, attached to this Order as Appendix A. The UBP-DERS contains provisions applicable to all DER suppliers as well as provisions applicable only to CDG providers and on-site mass market DG providers. It is divided into three sections: Section 1, which contains definitions for the terms used throughout the document; Section 2, which contains requirements applicable to all DER suppliers; and Section 3, which contains requirements applicable only to CDG and on-site mass market DG providers. The UBP-DERS will be published on the Department's website and will be updated whenever the Commission makes changes to the rules applicable to DER suppliers.

A. Consolidated UBP

1. Staff Proposal

The Supplemental Whitepaper explains that while a separate UBP-DERS is proposed at this time, the UBPs for ESCOs and for DER suppliers should be consolidated as soon as practicable.

2. Comments

Some commenters, including JU, the City of New York, AARP and AEA, argue that the proposed UBP-DERS be merged with the UBP applicable to ESCOs, and a single document be created to avoid confusion. Other commenters note the distinction between the ESCO market and DER market and argue that, as less oversight is needed for the nascent DER markets, a separate document is appropriate. Direct Energy, Exelon, NEMA, and RESA are concerned that the proposed practices would competitively disadvantage ESCOs because they would apply a higher regulatory burden to ESCOs selling DER than to entities selling DER that do not supply energy commodity.

3. Determination

At this time, given the different states of the ESCO and DER markets, separate documents are appropriate. In particular, the complexity of the ongoing ESCO proceeding makes modifications to the UBP impractical. The Commission agrees with the commenters that a single document should ultimately be created to avoid confusion or unnecessary duplication. Staff should issue a plan for combining that the documents as soon as it becomes practicable.

Where a company engages in both the sale of electric or gas commodity as an ESCO and the sale of DER products or services as a DER supplier, that company must follow both sets of rules, as applicable to any particular activity. This does not create an excessively high burden on these companies;

rather, it ensures that they will follow the appropriate rules for the activities they engage in. Because many of the provisions of the UBP-DERS are based on provisions in the UBP, modified as needed for the different characteristics of the markets they cover, this will not result in companies being subject to inconsistent or contradictory requirements.

V. Generally Applicable Provisions

As described above, these provisions apply to all DER suppliers that participate in Commission-authorized and/or utility or DSP-operated programs or markets with respect to transactions between the DER supplier and the customer of a distribution utility in New York state, excluding LIPA and its utility contractor. These provisions are generally "passive" provisions, in that they forbid certain behaviors, such as fraud, rather than mandating particular actions. The exceptions are (a) the customer consent requirement, which requires that DER suppliers preserve a record of customer consent and complaints for large or ongoing transactions; (b) the customer data rules, which require particular actions but apply only to DER suppliers that intend to obtain data through the Electronic Data Interchange system (EDI); and (c) the reporting and disclosure rules, which require only that DER suppliers respond appropriately to Staff requests and do not impose an affirmative reporting obligation. These requirements, which are targeted at ensuring that accurate information is provided to customers and that no customers are enrolled without informed consent, will require minimal or no changes to existing DER supplier business practices.

A. Customer Consent

1. Staff Proposal

The proposed UBP-DERS included requirements that DER suppliers ensure that explicit customer consent has been received before initiating service or billing to a customer and retain a record of that consent. The DER supplier would be required to receive explicit customer consent, either in writing, electronically, or through recorded verbal statement, and retain record of consent for longer of length of agreement or two years.

2. Comments

UIU argues that the UBP-DERS should require informed, affirmative consent for contract renewals. No other comments were received on this issue.

3. Determination

An individual's participation in a DER program must be premised on that individual's explicit and informed consent. Con Edison has identified instances where a demand response aggregator enrolled customers in demand response programs, with payments directed to that aggregator, without their consent. This outcome is unacceptable and must be addressed.

All DER suppliers must obtain a customer's consent to a sales agreement, which may be a written contract signed by the customer or a customer's verbal or electronic authorization to enter into an agreement with the DER supplier for the products and services specified, prior to enrolling a customer in a DSP, utility, NYSERDA, Commission, or Department-run or authorized program or billing a customer. This requirement is not intended to create an additional step for DER suppliers; the Commission expects that DER suppliers already obtain consent before providing service or billing a customer, whether through that customer signing a contract, swiping his or her credit card,

clicking a purchase button online, or verbally agreeing to a service.

In order to facilitate Commission and Department oversight, for large or ongoing transactions DER suppliers shall retain that evidence of consent, which may be a signed sales agreement or similar document or may be a record of the customer's electronic or verbal agreement, for at least two years or the length of the agreement, whichever is longer. An ongoing transaction is any transaction which, regardless of the size of the transaction, either (a) results in the DER supplier billing the customer for a period of three or more months or (b) results in the DER supplier enrolling the customer in a program through which the customer or the DER supplier will receive compensation, including bill credits, for a period of three or more months. A large transaction is any transaction in which a customer makes a payment to a DER supplier of \$500 or more. suppliers should also keep summary records of complaints by customers with whom they engaged in large or ongoing transactions.

B. General Marketing Standards

1. Staff Proposal

The proposed UBP-DERS included marketing standards for DER suppliers advertising to or soliciting customers, which were based on the marketing standards applied to ESCOs through the UBP. These included: (1) not engaging in misleading or deceptive conduct or making false or misleading representations; (2) providing written information about the product or service offered or a website address where such information about the product or service can be obtained upon request; (3) providing information that is in plain language designed to be understood by the customer in the language in which marketing is being conducted; (4) complying with relevant local, state, and federal

laws regarding door-to-door and telephone marketing; (5) responding to customer inquiries and complaints; (6) cooperating with the Department, Commission, and other regulatory entities, including law enforcement, as needed.

The proposed UBP-DERS also included a number of more specific marketing requirements, which are further discussed below in the "Specific Marketing and Advertising Standards" section.

2. Comments

Some commenters, including NEMA and RESA, agree that DER suppliers should be required to comply with marketing rules like those applied to ESCOs through the UBP. Other commenters, including SEIA, argue that the ESCO and DER markets should not be treated similarly and that, given the absence of evidence of problems in DER markets, no or minimal marketing requirements should be imposed on DER suppliers. Mission:data expresses concerns that the proposed marketing standards are unduly burdensome for software companies and other organizations who are offering an energy information service, a capacity service, or anything that is not commodity supply.

3. Determination

At least a minimum set of requirements should be applied to all DER suppliers to ensure that customers are not subject to fraud, abuse, or other deceptive marketing. The General Marketing Standards, as detailed in the UBP-DERS, represent this minimum set of requirements. Requirements to avoid fraudulent, misleading, or confusing behavior, comply with applicable local, state, and federal laws, obtain clear customer consent, and communicate appropriately with customers will not impose a burden or require modification of business practices for any DER supplier. So long as a DER supplier is conducting

its business in an ethical manner, it will be complying with these requirements even if it is unaware of their existence.

C. Customer Data

1. Staff Proposal

The UBP establishes practices for the release of customer information by utilities to ESCOs, identifies the content of information sets to be provided by utilities to authorized ESCOs upon authorization of the consumer, and requires ESCOs to safeguard that information. The proposed rules would apply similar requirements to DER suppliers.

2. Comments

Atlantic is concerned that the proposed UBP-DERS appears to suggest that the EDI system currently used in the retail access program will be sufficient for the DER marketplace and argues that it will not be. AEE argues that the overlyburdensome cyber security framework adopted by the UBP-DERS will act as a barrier to entry for smaller DER providers. AEE contends that all requirements regarding consumer protections should be written into contracts between DER providers and the DSP based on standards set by the Commission, and that these requirements should not duplicate or conflict with existing state and federal laws. AEMA requests notice of the standards, systems and protocols being developed regarding the data needed to support DER products. BlueRock does not believe that customer usage data is sensitive enough to require that DER suppliers retain verifiable proof of such authorization. RESA argues that the proposed rules regarding access to customer information are inadequate for developing a DSP market. SolarCity asserts that these protections can be addressed through appropriate contract terms in contracts between the DSP and DER suppliers. SEIA asserts that the Commission should adopt its proposed Code of Conduct instead of the proposed UBP-DERS.

3. Determination

The Commission adopts the proposed rules in order to enable DER suppliers to receive data through EDI, with customer consent, on a similar basis as ESCOs. Utilities, EDI providers, and DER suppliers should begin working together to determine what modifications or additions should be made to EDI for this purpose.

The Commission agrees that EDI on its own may not be sufficient to meet the data needs of DER suppliers as the market develops. Additional methods of sharing data are already being implemented through technologies such as AMI and in other venues, including through Green Button Connect¹⁰ and NYSERDA's Utility Energy Registry. Requirements and policies associated with receiving data through these systems will be developed in those venues.

D. Responsibility for Contractors and Other Third-Party Agents

1. Staff Proposal

The proposed UBP-DERS stated that DER suppliers would be responsible for the activities of contractors and vendors conducting marketing activities on behalf of DER suppliers.

2. Comments

No comments were received on this topic.

Case 13-E-0030 et al., Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules and Regulations of Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. for Electric Service, Order Approving Advanced Metering Infrastructure Business Plan Subject to Conditions (issued March 17, 2016); Case 14-M-0101, supra, Order Adopting Distributed System Implementation Plan (DSIP) Guidance (issued April 20, 2016); Case 14-M-0101, supra, Order Adopting a Ratemaking and Utility Revenue Model Policy Framework (issued May 19, 2016).

¹¹ Case 17-M-0315, <u>In the Matter of the Utility Energy Registry</u>, Notice Initiating Matter and Seeking Comment on Utility Energy Registry (issued June 12, 2017).

3. Determination

Where any DER supplier enlists a third party to assist them in marketing, data collection or analysis, billing, or any other activity, that DER supplier is responsible for making commercially reasonable efforts to ensure that the third party's activities conform with the relevant regulations and requirements. This includes, but is not limited to, cases where a DER supplier purchases a list of potential customers or similar information from a third party which assembled that list through its own advertising. In such cases, the DER supplier purchasing the list is responsible for making reasonable efforts to ensure that the list was not assembled through deceptive marketing tactics.

E. Customer Inquiries and Complaints

1. Staff Proposal

The proposed UBP-DERS includes requirements for responses by a DER supplier to customer inquiries and complaints, including that the supplier acknowledge the inquiry or complaint within two days and respond to it within fourteen days, that the DER provider offer a local or toll-free contact number staffed at least eight hours per day on weekdays, and that the UBP-DERS track inquiries and complaints.

2. Comments

SolarCity asserts that the Staff Proposal regarding consumer inquiries is duplicative with federal law, including the Unfair Deceptive Practices Act and Unfair Deceptive Abusive Practices Act. Mission:data argues that Section 6 should be struck since the wide variety of DER suppliers, includes non-profit organizations, academic institutions, smartphone app developers, and others. Mission:data explains that these entities may not generally have 800 numbers, or even telephone

support at all and argues that it is unreasonable to require them to provide customer assistance in the ways specified.

3. Determination

The Commission agrees that some of these requirements, including the requirement for a toll-free number, are not necessary for all DER suppliers and could be burdensome for small or new companies. Specific requirements related to customer inquiries or complaints will therefore not be placed on all DER suppliers at this time; as described below, specific requirements in this area are applied to CDG and on-site mass market providers. However, Staff will accept inquiries and complaints related to DER suppliers and will make efforts to investigate and resolve those complaints. Where necessary, Staff will bring those complaints to the Commission for consideration of appropriate consequences, as described in the following section.

F. Consequences for Violations

1. Staff Proposal

Staff's original Proposal identified the conditions under which consequences for non-compliance may be imposed, as well as the procedures to be used to ensure due process. Staff also proposed that, where the alleged violations were not repeated or egregious, DER suppliers should be offered the opportunity to cure the violation and not be subjected to any further consequences if they did so.

Staff modified the Proposal in the Supplemental Whitepaper to match the process currently in place for ESCOs. Staff proposes that upon evidence of a single violation of the UBP-DERS, the Commission may proceed directly with an Order to Show Cause for revocation of a DER suppliers' eligibility to sell products in DSP markets and permission to acquire customer

data through means established by the Commission, or any less severe action it determines appropriate.

2. Comments

Several commenters, including AE, AEE, BlueRock, CPA, Direct Energy, and ETS, argue that Staff's elimination of a "cure" period is too harsh. PULP asserts that the proposed enforcement procedures are too lenient and recommends a range of consequences for non-compliance including customer restitution and revocation of authority to operate. PULP also opposes allowing a cure period within which to rectify a violation. recommends that a security breach alone not be considered an egregious act. They further argue that the rationale for eliminating the cure period - because a similar policy change was made in the ESCO industry - is not valid since the ESCO industry is very different from the DER industry. Other commenters state that DER should be treated similarly to ESCOs and that customers need these protections so that the problems in ESCO market do not reoccur in the DER market. UIU noted that the proposed enforcement procedures are too lenient and recommends a range of consequences for non-compliance including customer restitution and revocation of authority to operate.

3. Determination

Where a DER supplier is alleged to have breached one or more of the standards described in the attached UBP-DERS, the Commission and Staff must be able to investigate and take action as appropriate. In some cases, a reminder and a cure period will be a sufficient response to a minor breach. However, a cure period should not be mandatory in order to ensure that significant breaches can be dealt with swiftly and appropriately. For that reason, the Commission adopts Staff's recommendation that the process currently in place for ESCOs be followed: when Staff identifies, through a complaint or

otherwise, a potential violation of these rules, depending on the severity of the violation, they may contact the DER supplier informally to discuss resolution, contact the DER supplier formally through a Notice of Apparent Violation, which requires a response, or propose that the Commission issue an Order to Show Cause.

In any case, the DER supplier will have the opportunity to respond before any action is taken, and consequences can only be imposed after a finding by the Commission. Consequences could range from a warning or requirement to provide notice of an issue to customers, at the light end, to a complete ban from future participation in Commission-authorized and/or utility or DSP-operated programs or markets, at the severe end. To the extent that individual customers were harmed, the Commission will consider conditioning continued participation in Commission-authorized and/or utility or DSP-operated programs or markets on the DER supplier offering a refund or corrective pricing to those customers.

G. General Reporting Requirements

1. Staff Proposal

The Staff Proposal would require DSPs and DER suppliers to provide information to Staff and the Commission upon request. DER suppliers would be required to provide annual information regarding the number of customers of various DER product categories. They would also be required to provide information on consumer complaints concerning products and services sold to the DSP, as requested by Staff, as well as other information it requests. DSPs would be required to provide information to assist Staff in monitoring DER markets.

2. Comments

AEMA, ETS, and Direct would clarify that Staff requests for information must be related to its role in

monitoring development, conduct, and performance of energy markets. AEE and BlueRock state that the proposed language regarding reporting requirements is overly broad. CCSA argues that for CDG, this reporting requirement is redundant as both the number of projects and MWs of CDG installed are already tracked and reported as part of the VDER Phase One implementation. Direct Energy states that it is unclear why ESCOs are singled out and listed separately since they are already subject to ESCO-specific reporting requirements per the Direct opposes the broad language allowing staff to obtain other information and requests that the Commission be more specific regarding the type of information to be requested. ETS contends that reporting requirements should be narrowed to be applicable only to ESCOs selling DER or DER combined with commodity to mass market customers of through the Digital Marketplace. NEMA argues that Staff information requests to DER suppliers must be reasonable and germane to the entities DERrelated business in New York State.

3. Determination

The ability to access relevant information on DER markets and on individual DER suppliers and transactions is necessary to monitor the conduct and performance of energy markets and ensure market development, as well as to address particular complaints and concerns. Staff plays an important role in monitoring these markets, investigating complaints, and bringing relevant issues to the Commission for determination. For that reason, it is appropriate to require that all DER suppliers provide information to Staff or the Commission on request, including but not limited to information on customer complaints and particular transactions and information related to the DER supplier's business operations and financials. Staff may conduct audits or other reviews of DER suppliers, and must

have access to the books, accounts, contracts, records, and documents of the DER supplier as necessary.

VI. Oversight of Community Distributed Generation Providers and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers

Several of the provisions of the UBP were applied to CDG providers by the CDG Order pending the resolution of this proceeding. In particular, the CDG Order required CDG providers to comply with the three-day rescission period for residential customers, the requirement that sales agreements be clear and comprehensive, rules governing unauthorized release of customer information, and rules protecting against false, deceptive, or misleading marketing conduct. No commenter presented arguments that the application of those rules has harmed the CDG market. Many of the rules established in this Order are nothing more than those rules as more closely tailored to DER markets.

For the purpose of these regulations, a CDG provider is defined as an entity that is acting as a CDG Sponsor for one or more CDG projects or that is otherwise engaged in soliciting customers, members, or subscribers for a CDG project or CDG projects, through its own employees or contractors, on its own behalf rather than as a contractor. A contractor or other third party that provides services to CDG providers but does not meet that definition will not be considered a CDG provider or required to register with the Department. However, as described in the section above regarding contractors and other third-party agent, a registered CDG provider is responsible for the actions

Case 15-E-0082, Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Policies, Requirements and Conditions for Implementing a Community Net Metering Program, Order Establishing a Community Distributed Generation Program and Making Other Findings (issued July 16, 2015) (CDG Order), p. 11-12.

of contractors or other third-party agents acting on its behalf or under its direction. In addition, a registered CDG provider purchasing a customer list or similar information or services from a third-party marketer is responsible for ensuring that the list was not assembled in a manner inconsistent with these regulations, such as through deceptive marketing.

An on-site mass market DG provider is defined as an entity that is engaged in soliciting mass market customers for a project or service that involves the installation of distributed generation equipment, such as solar panels, on the property of those mass market customers, through its own employees or contractors, on its own behalf rather than as a contractor.

A. Registration

1. Staff Proposal

The Staff Proposal recommended that entities seeking to participate in DSP, and similar markets as defined above, be required to submit an application and obtain a finding of eligibility. This application would include information such as company name and contact information, a list of criminal or regulatory sanctions imposed in the last two years against the entity, its affiliates, or its employees in New York and throughout the US, a list of current investigations being conducted by law enforcement or regulatory agencies in New York and throughout the US, information regarding security breaches associated with customer-specific information in New York and throughout the US, as well as a list of products and services to be offered and sample sales agreements.

The Supplemental Whitepaper modified the requirement for disclosure of criminal or regulatory sanctions to limit it to officers, directors, and those employees interacting directly with customers. Applicants would need only provide information regarding criminal or regulatory sanctions imposed in the last

24 months against the DER supplier, any officers or directors of the DER supplier, any entities holding ownership interests of 10% or more in the DER supplier, or any employees interacting directly with customers. Additionally, with respect to the requirement that a DER supplier update changes to the personnel identified to Staff as responsible for resolving consumer complaints, Staff clarified that this identification requirement is intended to be applicable to whomever the DER supplier identifies in its application as the point of contact for such complaints, irrespective of whether that individual is a supervisor.

2. Comments

Most commenters do not oppose simple application requirements, while several recommend specific changes to the proposed application. AEE asserts that application requirements should be brief, straightforward, and uniform for all companies so they are not a barrier to entry. In contrast, BlueRock asserts that requiring entities to disclose information as a condition of operating in New York will discourage innovation. Several DER suppliers recommend that the application not require a list of DER products and services to be offered, asserting, for example, that requiring DER suppliers to identify the products they will sell is unworkable and inconsistent with a dynamic market. Several DER suppliers oppose the requirement that DER suppliers submit sample sales agreements as part of their application, saying that such a requirement is contrary to the nature of DER sales involving customized solutions. NRG and AEMA also note that sales agreements may contain trade secret or commercially sensitive information. AEMA questions the need for the proposed requirement that DER suppliers provide revisions and updates to the terms of business relationships between the DER suppliers and its customers, stating that such a requirement

is onerous. Direct Energy recommends that the requirement to identify criminal or regulatory sanctions in the application be limited to officers and directors instead of applicable to any employee or, that at a minimum, it be limited to felonies or other criminal sanctions related to the scope of the employee's role with the company. The City of New York suggested that the draft rules be modified to clarify that updates in changes to the personnel identified to Staff as responsible for resolving consumer complaints is applicable only to personnel at the supervisory level, and to changes in those personnel.

3. Determination

Registration of CDG and on-site mass market DG providers will ensure that the Commission and Staff have the necessary information to accept, evaluate, and resolve complaints. Furthermore, the registration requirement ensures that CDG and on-site mass market DG providers are on notice of the existence and terms of the UBP-DERS. By applying the requirement only to CDG and on-site mass market DG providers at this time, the Commission avoids imposing unnecessary requirements on innovative start-ups and companies that do limited business in New York State. This also provides Staff and DER suppliers with an opportunity to become familiar with and refine the registration process before it is further expanded.

CDG and on-site mass market DG providers shall include a sample contract or contracts for their generally available products or services with their registration filing. The sample contracts may contain placeholders or otherwise indicate that certain terms may vary from customer to customer. Sample contracts are required only for materially distinct categories

of products¹³ and CDG and on-site mass market DG providers are not required to file new or updated sample contracts based on the modification of individual terms for particular customers, nor are CDG and on-site mass market DG providers required to file sample contracts reflecting individually negotiated agreements with non-residential customers. CDG and on-site mass market DG providers are required to file new or updated sample contracts if material changes are made to their standard terms or format that will generally be used for new customers or if they add new product or service options. Similarly, CDG and on-site mass market DG providers will be required to file sample bills for each materially distinct category of products and update those sample bills when material changes are made to their standard terms or format.

At this time, the Commission only requires that the application disclose criminal or regulatory sanctions, if any, against the DER supplier, any senior officers of the DER supplier, or any entities holding ownership interests of 10% or more in the DER supplier, and not for other employees. This is consistent with the current practice for ESCOs. Any change to that practice should be considered comprehensively and must include consideration of methods for avoiding the disclosure of irrelevant criminal information.

Staff shall develop and issue a registration form that complies with the requirements set forth in the UBP-DERS by October 30, 2017. That registration form shall be filed in Case 15-M-0180 and shall be posted on the Department's website with the UBP-DERS, as well as included in the UBP-DERS as an attachment. All active CDG and on-site mass market DG providers

¹³ For example, a CDG provider that offers both a power purchase agreement model and a lease model should file a sample contract for each.

shall file a completed registration form, including a sample contract or contracts and sample bill or bills, by December 1, 2017.

To ensure that all CDG and on-site mass market DG providers are aware of this requirement, notice of this Order will be provided in the Community Distributed Generation and Value of Distributed Energy Resources cases. Furthermore, Staff shall work with the electric utilities and NYSERDA to provide notice to companies that have submitted or submit applications for interconnection or NY-Sun funding. Once the deadline for registration passes, Staff shall work with the electric utilities and NYSERDA to determine whether any CDG and on-site mass market DG provider has failed to register. Starting on December 1, 2017, electric utilities shall require that proof of registration be submitted as part of an initial interconnection application. Staff should attempt to contact any such CDG or on-site mass market DG provider and offer them the opportunity to register before bringing the issue to the Commission's attention through an enforcement action.

This Order will not impose an approval requirement on CDG and on-site mass market DG providers that are already in operation. Instead, Staff will review each registration and will contact the CDG or on-site mass market DG provider if any deficiencies or issues of concern are identified. If the CDG or on-site mass market DG provider fails to remedy those deficiencies or issues of concern, Staff should bring the matter to the Commission for consideration. This modification is made to Staff's proposal to ensure that the registration requirement does not interrupt a CDG provider's business activities and to clarify that Staff's role is to ensure that a CDG or on-site mass market DG provider has properly complied with the formal

application requirements, rather than to make a discretionary or subjective determination of a company's fitness.

For new CDG and on-site mass market DG providers that begin operations in the State of New York on or after December 1, 2017, the Department shall review each registration package submitted within 30 days of submittal and notify the registrant, in writing, either that the registration is accepted as complete or that deficiencies exist in the registration package. The CDG Provider must modify the registration package in response to such a notification within 30 days. New CDG and onsite mass market providers shall not market directly to customers until their registration has been approved.

B. Enhanced Marketing and Advertising Standards

1. Staff Proposal

The proposed UBP-DERS included detailed advertising and marketing standards similar to the standards applied to ESCOs pursuant to the UBP. This includes standards for the training and behavior of door-to-door salesmen and telemarketers. Staff also proposed that if marketing materials and/or sales agreements for DER products and/or services contain an estimate of future utility supply charges, the estimates of future utility supply charges must be calculated based on actual utility supply charges over at least the past twelve months, as well as those actual utility supply charges plus and minus five percent. Actual utility data for the past twelve months must be used, and may be augmented with actual data for a longer period of time at the DER suppliers' discretion.

2. Comments

Some commenters argue that the proposed marketing standards are overly prescriptive. SEIA states that its Code of Conduct, which establishes the full scope of marketing interaction between companies and solar consumers and encourages

solar providers to treat consumers ethically, honestly, respectfully and with high transparency, provides sufficient protection. Other commenters like UIU and the Joint Utilities argue that strict standards are needed to ensure that customers are not misled regarding potential benefits or savings. ETS states that these rules should not apply to commercial customers or sales not conducted through the Digital Marketplace. The proposed marketing standards are unduly burdensome for software companies and other organizations who are offering an energy information service, a capacity service, or anything that is not commodity supply, according to Mission:data.

Direct Energy, AEE, ETS, BlueRock and NEMA objected to requiring DER suppliers to use energy price forecasts on utility websites on the grounds that utilities are not required to post energy price forecasts, that utility forecasts will not provide consumers with meaningful data for evaluating offers; and, that forward or futures market prices should be used instead. Some parties identify specific sources of electricity price forecasts, including forecasts from industry experts, that the Commission should rely upon. JU suggest that Staff's concern could best be addressed by a requirement similar to that in legislation recently approved in Arizona. There, entities predicting future utility charges must supply a range of estimates that reference utility prices as a benchmark. Direct Energy states that the term "multi-year average" could be easily manipulated by not using recent or consecutive years. In addition, since there is no requirement that the utilities publicly post historical or recently forecast energy prices, it's impossible for DER suppliers to use information provided by the utilities to comply with this requirement.

3. Determination

Many of the marketing and advertising standards Staff proposes are both common-sense and appropriate to ensure that deceptive or unreasonably high-pressure marketing do not occur and they are therefore adopted.

Staff's proposal regarding savings estimates is modified to permit CDG and on-site mass market DG providers to use a price forecast of their choice in estimating savings but to require any provider that does so to also provide a forecast using a standard baseline. The standard baseline is a threeyear average of actual historical utility rates for the three most recent calendar years for which data is available, for the customer's actual utility and service class. The provider may choose to apply an assumed escalation rate of up to 3% per year to this baseline in generating a forecast; if the provider does so, it must disclose the escalation rate used. The forecast generated must estimate savings for the same potential contract term as any other forecast provided. This forecast must be presented with similar prominence to other forecasts and all forecasts must be appropriately labeled to permit customers to understand their source. This requirement only applies to mass market customers, as large customers are likely to be more familiar with methods of forecasting and estimating prices and savings.

C. Required Contract Terms

1. Staff Proposal

While the Staff Proposal recommended the development and use of standard contracts, the Supplemental Whitepaper recognized that this could be impractical given the variety of products in the DER market, and furthermore could stifle innovation. Staff's original proposal would require DER suppliers to use specific contracts or contract terms, including

a Customer Disclosure Statement, to the extent developed. Comments were invited on an approach under which specific contract terms, as well as potential differences among industries, would be explored in a subsequent phase of this proceeding. Comments were also invited on alternative approaches which achieve the goals articulated above. The Supplemental Whitepaper sought to avoid interfering with the development of innovative energy products and services by replacing the requirement for standard contracts with a requirement for a standard disclosure statement.

2. Comments

AEEI, AEA, BlueRock, CPA, CCSA, Direct Energy, EDF, NRG, RESA, SolarCity and SEIA states that given the rapid pace of change in the DER industry, standard contracts or contract language approved by regulators would be an impediment to the development of the market. EIA and others comment on the benefits to consumers and market development from standard contracts for similar products, as well as standard contract terms including disclosure statements.

3. Determination

While it would be unnecessary and potentially harmful to require all CDG and on-site mass market DG providers to use a standard contract at this time, imposing certain minimum standards on contracts with mass market customers will increase customer protections without hindering innovation. Therefore, the UBP-DERS includes requirements that contracts be written in plain language and be provided in the same language that the CDG provider has used to market to the customer; that residential customers be given the right to cancel the contract within three business days after its receipt without charge or penalty; and that the contract include essential terms including, but not necessarily limited to, pricing, cancellation rules, information

on tax incentives, and details of the product or service provided.

Contracts for on-site mass market DG must also include a description of the distributed generation system, including the make and model of major system components, an outline of system specifications, and other provisions clearly describing the transaction. Consistent with NY-Sun requirements, these contracts shall include, at a minimum:

- For purchased systems, the total system purchase price, and itemized costs of system components, and any other taxes, fees or overheads that are the responsibility of the customer; or
- For leases or purchased power agreements (PPAs), the total number of payments, amount of payments, payment frequency, and due date;
- An estimate of annual energy output, including loss analysis (the percentage of the available solar resource that the solar electric system will receive, accounting for losses from shading, array azimuth, and tilt);
- The rate at which the customer will be compensated for any electricity sold to the utility, including (for a PPA) the assumed escalation rate;
- The installation location;
- Installation schedule;
- The value of all federal, state, and local tax credits, electric utility rate credits, Renewable Energy Credits, incentives, or rebates that the customer may receive and/or be required to sign over to the DER provider;
- Disclosure of any restrictions on the customer's ability to sell the system and/or his/her property;
- System and/or production warranties;

- Disclosure of any binding arbitration clauses or other terms that limit the customer's right to enforce the contract or seek damages from the courts; and
- Assignment of responsibilities, e.g., for maintenance and repairs, insurance coverage, etc., including whether such maintenance or repairs may be sold or transferred to a third party.

Additionally, all contracts shall inform residential customers of their rights under HEFPA and the UBP-DERS and provide all customers with information regarding contacting the Department for dispute resolution.

D. Standard Customer Disclosure Statements

1. Staff Proposal

Staff proposed that the disclosure statements to be developed for products and services subject to the Commission's oversight should include an explanation that the product or service is subject to the Commission's oversight. These statements should also include information regarding customers' HEFPA rights and protections, as well as information regarding dispute resolution and contacting the Department's call center for assistance.

2. Comments

Several commenters, including NEMA, explain that customer disclosure statements could be designed for different types of DER products, for the benefit of consumers shopping for those products, just as a Customer Disclosure Statement has been a valuable tool to enhance consumer understanding of ESCO retail energy products.

3. Determination

In contrast to a standard contract, a standard customer disclosure statement does not limit the ability of CDG and on-site mass market DG providers to develop and implement

innovative business models and contract terms. Rather, it ensures that all customers are adequately informed of both the terms of their agreement with the CDG provider and with their The information that must be included in rights as customers. Standard Customer Disclosure Statements for CDG providers and on-site mass market DG providers is provided in the UBP-DERS as Attachment 1. Staff shall develop Standard Customer Disclosure Statements that contain, at a minimum, that information and issue them by October 30, 2017. Those Statements shall be filed in Case 15-M-0180 and shall be posted on the Department's website with the UBP-DERS and shall replace Attachment 1 of the UBP-DERS. A CDG or on-site mass market DG provider must give a completed customer disclosure statement to each mass market customer as part of entering into a sales agreement with that customer. In the event that the text in the completed customer disclosure statement given to a customer differs from or is in conflict with a term stated elsewhere in the agreement between the DER supplier and that customer, the term described by the text in the customer disclosure statement shall constitute the agreement with the customer notwithstanding the conflicting term expressed elsewhere. Other DER suppliers are encouraged to use the Customer Disclosure Statements to develop standard disclosure forms for their own projects.

E. $\frac{\text{Customer Inquiries and Complaints Rules for CDG and}}{\text{On-Site Mass Market DG Providers}}$

1. Determination

Staff's proposal and the comments filed on these issues are described in the Customer Inquiries and Complaints section, Section V.E above. The Commission will require that CDG providers and on-site mass market DG providers offer telephone support through a toll-free or local number and that they respond to customer inquiries and complaints in a consistent with the timeframe provided in Staff's proposals,

with an initial acknowledgement required within two days and a complete response within fourteen. This category of DER suppliers involves more developed and well-capitalized companies involved in projects which are expected to have substantial numbers of customers, many of whom are mass market customers. Furthermore, these companies will be building at or near their customers' property and therefore will often have a local office and associated local phone number already. For those reasons, requiring a toll-free or local number be made available for customer inquiries and complaints is appropriate and reasonable. As described above, the registration process will also facilitate Staff resolution of customer inquiries and complaints directed to the Department.

F. Reporting Requirements for CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers

1. Determination

Staff's proposal and the comments filed on these issues are described in the Reporting Requirements section, Section V.G above. In addition to the generally applicable requirements, CDG and on-site mass market DG providers are required to file annual reports, by March 31 of each year, providing data for the previous year, including aggregate number of customers served, a summary of services provided, and information on the number and classification of complaints received. As further described in the VDER Implementation Order, CDG providers are also required to provide an annual report to each customer and to file an annual report on banked credits. These requirements are included in the UBP-DERS for completeness.

Case 15-E-0751, <u>supra</u>, Order on Phase One Value of Distributed Energy Resources Implementation Proposals, Cost Mitigation Issues, and Related Matters (issued September 14, 2017) (VDER Implementation Order), p. 34-35.

VII. Business-to-Business Issues

The Staff Proposals and draft UBP-DERS contained a several sections targeted to the relationship between a DER supplier and a utility or DSP. Many of these requirements were related to DER supplier performance in utility programs requiring performance, such as demand response and non-wires alternative programs, which is already governed by Commission-approved program rules and contracts for those programs. For that reason, those regulations will not be adopted at this time. The existing program rules and contracts will remain the governing documents. As part of future consideration of expansion and revision of the UBP-DERS, the Commission will evaluate whether provisions related to these issues should be included.

VIII. Priority Items for Consideration

Several issues that were not included in either of Staff's proposals must be addressed to ensure that customers are sufficiently protected. These include a limit on or other standards applicable to early termination fees for all DER suppliers, the requirement of production guarantees as part of some or all CDG and on-site mass market DG contracts, and a limit on annual escalation provisions in CDG and on-site mass market DG contracts. In order to facilitate expedited Commission consideration of these issues, Appendix D to this Order contains questions for comment on these topics. Responses to those questions must be filed by January 8, 2018 to guarantee

consideration as part of the Commission's deliberations. This will permit a Commission decision in early 2018.

CONCLUSION

With this Order, the Commission implements a robust set of protections for New Yorkers participating in the evolving DER programs and markets, while ensuring that small and innovative businesses will not be overburdened. DER oversight will continue to evolve, both through the addition of requirements in response to market changes and through the removal of unnecessary provisions. This Order gives the Commission and Staff the tools necessary to monitor the important and growing DER markets and to prevent and discipline unethical behavior.

The Commission orders:

- 1. Appendix A to this Order, the Uniform Business Practices for Distributed Energy Resource Suppliers (UBP-DERS), is adopted with an effective date of December 1, 2017.
- 2. Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation,
 Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., KeySpan Gas East
 Corporation, The Brooklyn Union Gas Company, National Fuel Gas
 Distribution Corporation, New York State Electric & Gas
 Corporation, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National
 Grid, Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc., and Rochester Gas and
 Electric Corporation are directed to file tariff leaves adding
 the UBP-DERS to their gas and electric tariffs as an addendum on
 not less than fifteen days' notice to become effective on
 December 1, 2017.

-

Notices of this consideration and request for comments will be published in the <u>State Register</u> consistent with the State Administrative Procedure Act and in this case.

CASE 15-M-0180

- 3. Department of Public Service Staff shall file a registration form for CDG and on-site mass market DG providers, as described in the body of this Order, by October 30, 2017. Once available, it shall be included in the UBP-DERS as Attachment 2.
- 4. Department of Public Service Staff shall file Standard Customer Disclosure Statements for CDG and on-site mass market DG providers, as described in the body of this Order, by October 30, 2017. Once available, they shall be included in the UBP-DERS as Attachment 1.
- 5. Interested stakeholders shall file responses to the questions in Appendix D for Commission consideration by January 8, 2018.
- 6. The requirements of §66(12)(b) of the Public Service Law and 16 NYCRR §720-8.1 concerning newspaper publication of the tariff amendments described in Ordering Clause No. 2 are waived.
- 7. In the Secretary's sole discretion, the deadlines set forth in this order may be extended. Any request for an extension must be in writing, must include a justification for the extension, and must be filed at least one day prior to the affected deadline.
 - 8. This proceeding is continued.

By the Commission,

(SIGNED)

KATHLEEN H. BURGESS Secretary

APPENDIX A. Uniform Business Practices for Distributed Energy Resource Suppliers (UBP-DERS)

STATE OF NEW YORK PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

UNIFORM BUSINESS PRACTICES FOR DISTRIBUTED ENERGY RESOURCE SUPPLIERS CASE 15-M-0180

EFFECTIVE DATE:
DECEMBER 1, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	1:	DEFINITIONS 1
SECTION	2:	GENERALLY APPLICABLE PROVISIONS FOR DER SUPPLIERS
SECTION	2A:	SALES AGREEMENTS (Generally Applicable) 6
SECTION	2B:	GENERAL MARKETING STANDARDS (Generally Applicable)
SECTION	2C:	CUSTOMER DATA (Generally Applicable) 7
SECTION	2D:	RESPONSIBILITY FOR CONTRACTORS AND OTHER THIRD PARTY AGENTS (Generally Applicable) 10
SECTION	2E:	CUSTOMER INQUIRIES AND COMPLAINTS (Generally Applicable)
SECTION	2F:	CONSEQUENCES FOR VIOLATIONS (Generally Applicable)
SECTION	2G:	OVERSIGHT REQUIREMENTS (Generally Applicable)
SECTION	3:	PROVISIONS SPECIFIC TO CDG AND ON-SITE MASS MARKET DG PROVIDERS
SECTION	3A:	REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS (CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers)
SECTION	3B:	ENHANCED MARKETING AND ADVERTISING STANDARDS (CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers) 17
SECTION	3C:	MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR SALES AGREEMENTS (CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers) 20
SECTION	3D:	STANDARD CUSTOMER DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS (CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers) 22
SECTION	3E:	CUSTOMER INQUIRIES AND COMPLAINTS (CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers)
SECTION	3F:	REPORTING REQUIREMENTS (CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers)

SECTION 1: DEFINITIONS

As used in these Uniform Business Practices for Distributed Energy Resource Suppliers (UBP-DERS), the following terms shall have the following meanings:

<u>CDG Provider</u> - An entity that is acting or planning to act as a CDG Sponsor for one or more CDG projects, or that is otherwise engaged in soliciting customers, members, or subscribers for a CDG project or CDG projects, through its own employees or agents, on its own behalf. A CDG Sponsor is the entity that organizes, owns, and/or operates a CDG project.

CDG Marketing Representative - An entity that is either a CDG Provider or an agent conducting, on behalf of the CDG Provider, any marketing activity that is designed to result in the enrollment of customers with the CDG Provider.

<u>Commission</u> - The New York State Public Service Commission (PSC).

<u>Customer Inquiry</u> - A question or request for information from a customer relating to a rate, term, or condition of service provided by a DER supplier, distribution utility, DSP, or other service provider.

<u>Customer Service Representative (CSR)</u> - An employee or agent of a CDG Provider responsible for responding to customer inquiries and complaints.

<u>Department</u> - The New York State Department of Public Service.

<u>Distributed Energy Resources (DER)</u> - A broad category of resources including end-use energy efficiency, demand response, distributed storage, and distributed generation.

Distributed Energy Resource (DER) Supplier - A supplier of one or more DERs that participates in a Commission-authorized and/or utility or DSP-operated program or market. Suppliers may choose to provide DERs as standalone products or services, or may choose to bundle them with energy commodity. CDG Providers and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers are included within the definition of DER suppliers. Entities which sell both DERs and energy commodity are both DER suppliers and ESCOs.

<u>Distributed Energy Resource (DER) Supplier Marketing</u>
<u>Representative</u> - An entity that is either the DER supplier or an agent conducting, on behalf of the DER supplier, any marketing activity that is designed to enroll customers with the DER supplier. CDG Marketing Representatives and On-Site Mass Market DG Marketing Representatives are also a DER Supplier Marketing Representatives.

Distributed System Platform (DSP) - The DSP is an intelligent network platform that will provide safe, reliable and efficient electric services by integrating diverse resources to meet customers' and society's evolving needs. The DSP fosters broad market activity that monetizes system and social values, by enabling active customer and third party engagement that is aligned with the wholesale market and bulk power system.

<u>Distribution Utility</u> - A gas or electric corporation within the Commission's jurisdiction owning, operating or managing electric or gas facilities for the purpose of distributing gas or electricity to end-users.

<u>Distribution Utility Customer Account Number</u> - A number used by a distribution utility to identify the account of a utility customer.

<u>Distribution Utility Tariff</u> - A schedule of rates, terms and conditions of services provided by a distribution utility.

Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) - The computer-to-computer exchange of routine information in a standard format using established data processing protocols. EDI transactions are used in retail access programs to switch customers from one supplier to another or to exchange customers' history, usage or billing data between a distribution utility or Meter Data Service Provider and an ESCO. Transaction set standards, processing protocols, and test plans are authorized in orders issued by the Public Service Commission in Case 98-M-0667, In the Matter of Electronic Data Interchange, and available on the Department of Public Service website at: http://documents.dps.ny.gov/public/MatterManagement/CaseMaster.aspx?MatterCaseNo=98-m-

0667&submit=Search+by+Case+Number.

<u>Energy Services Company (ESCO)</u> - An entity eligible to sell electricity and/or natural gas to end-use customers using the transmission or distribution system of a utility. ESCOs may perform other retail service functions.

<u>Interval Data</u> - Actual energy usage for a specific time interval for a specific period recorded by a meter or other measurement device.

Large Customer - A customer that is within a distribution electric utility's non-residential demand-based or mandatory hourly pricing (MHP) service classification. Where a DER supplier or DER supplier marketing representative does not have sufficient information to determine whether a customer is a mass market or a large customer, that customer should be treated as a mass market customer unless and until the DER supplier or DER supplier marketing representative acquires sufficient information and determines that the customer is a large customer.

<u>Load Profile</u> - Actual or estimated customer energy usage by interval over a period representing usage for a customer or average usage for a customer class.

Mass Market Customer - A customer that is within a distribution electric utility's residential or small commercial service class and is not billed based on peak demand. Where a DER supplier or DER supplier marketing representative does not have sufficient information to determine whether a customer is a mass market or a large customer, that customer should be treated as a mass market customer unless and until the DER supplier or DER supplier marketing representative acquires sufficient information and determines that the customer is a large customer.

<u>Marketing</u> - The publication, dissemination or distribution of informational or advertising materials regarding a DER supplier's services and products to the public by print, broadcast, electronic media, direct mail or by telecommunication.

 $\underline{\text{Meter}}$ - A device that measures the units of electric or natural gas service supplied to consumers.

New York State Independent System Operator (NYISO) - An independent management organization, authorized by the

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, operating the bulk electric transmission system and wholesale electric market.

Office of Consumer Services (OCS) - Office within the Department of Public Service that receives consumer complaints and makes determinations concerning customer complaints. OCS identifies the exiting Office or its successor in the event that the Office name is changed.

On-Site Mass Market DG Provider - An entity that is engaged in soliciting mass market customers for a project or service that involves the installation of distributed generation equipment, such as solar panels, on the property of those mass market customers, through its own employees or contractors, on its own behalf rather than as a contractor.

On-Site Mass Market DG Marketing Representative - An entity that is either an On-Site Mass Market DG Provider or an agent conducting, on behalf of the Provider, any marketing activity that is designed to result in the enrollment of customers with the Provider.

<u>Plain Language</u> - Clear and coherent language using words with common and everyday meanings and avoiding legal or energy industry terms, acronyms and abbreviations that a person of ordinary circumstances should not be expected to understand. If the use of a technical term is necessary, the term must be clearly defined in the portion of the text where it is used.

Residential Customer - A person receiving commodity supply at a premises used as a residence as defined in 16 NYCRR Part 11.2(a)(2).

<u>Sales Agreement</u> - An agreement between a customer and a DER supplier that contains the terms and conditions governing the provision of products and services by a DER supplier. The agreement may be a written contract signed by the customer or a statement supporting a customer's verifiable verbal or electronic authorization to enter into an agreement with the DER supplier for the products and services specified.

<u>Termination Fee</u> - A fee specified in a DER supplier sales agreement that may be charged to a customer for terminating the sales agreement before the end of the term described in

that agreement, regardless of whether the assessed amount is identified as a fee, a charge, liquidated damages or a methodology for the calculation of damages, and regardless of whether it is fixed, scaled or subject to calculation based on market factors.

Utility Dynamic Load Management Program - A program designed to reduce load in periods or places of high demand, including but not limited to peak shaving programs, local distribution reliability programs to address local reliability needs, and direct load control programs. These programs are further described in Case 14-E-0423 et al., Order Adopting Dynamic Load Management Filings with Modifications, issued June 18, 2015.

SECTION 2: GENERALLY APPLICABLE PROVISIONS FOR DER SUPPLIERS

Applicability. The provisions of these sections apply to all DER suppliers that participate in a Commission-authorized and/or utility or DSP-operated program or market with respect to transactions between the DER supplier and the customer of a distribution utility in New York state, excluding the Long Island Power Authority and its utility contractor. These provisions are designed to ensure that accurate information is provided to customers and will require minimal or no changes to existing DER supplier business practices.

SECTION 2A: SALES AGREEMENTS (Generally Applicable)

- A. A DER supplier shall obtain a customer's consent to a sales agreement prior to billing a customer or enrolling a customer in a DSP, utility, NYSERDA, Commission, or Department-run or authorized program.
 - 1. The sales agreement may be a written contract signed by the customer or the customer's verbal or electronic authorization to enter into an agreement with the DER supplier for the products and services specified.
 - 2. A DER supplier entering into a sales agreement for a large or ongoing transaction shall retain the sales agreement and record of customer consent for at least two years or the length of the agreement, whichever is longer.
 - a. A large transaction is any transaction in which a customer makes a payment to a DER supplier of \$500 or more.
 - b. An ongoing transaction is any transaction which, regardless of the size of the transaction, either (a) results in the DER supplier billing the customer for a period of three or more months or (b) results in the DER supplier enrolling the customer in a program through which the customer or the DER supplier will receive compensation, including bill credits, for a period of three or more months.

SECTION 2B: GENERAL MARKETING STANDARDS (Generally Applicable)

- A. DER supplier shall:
 - 1. Not engage in misleading or deceptive conduct as defined by state or federal law, or by Commission rule, regulation, or Order;

- Not make false or misleading representations including misrepresenting rates or savings offered by the DER supplier;
- 3. Provide a mass market customer upon request with written information regarding the DER supplier and its products or services or with a website address at which information can be obtained;
- 4. Use reasonable efforts to provide accurate and timely information about services and products. Such information will include information about rates, contract terms, termination fees and right of cancellation;
- 5. Ensure that any product or service offering that is made by a DER supplier in a transaction with a mass market customer contains information written in plain language that is designed to be understood by the customer. This shall include providing any written information to the customer in a language in which the DER supplier representative has substantive discussions with the customer or in which a contract is negotiated;
- 6. Comply with local laws and regulations regarding door-to-door marketing;
- 7. Comply with the state and federal laws regarding telemarketing, including the Do-Not-Call law;
- 8. Cooperate with the Department and PSC regarding the practices prescribed by these UBP-DERS and with other regulatory entities, including law enforcement, in investigations concerning deceptive marketing practices.

SECTION 2C: CUSTOMER DATA (Generally Applicable)

- A. Applicability. This Section establishes practices for release and protection of customer information by distribution utilities or DSPs to DER suppliers using EDI. It also identifies the content of information sets transmitted using EDI standards. The distribution utility or DSP and a DER supplier shall use standards, systems, and protocols developed for these purposes for transmittal of customer information. This section does not impose any obligations on DER suppliers that do not request or receive data using EDI.
- B. Customer Authorization Process. The distribution utility or DSP shall provide information about a specific customer requested by an EDI-eligible DER supplier authorized by the customer to receive the information.
 - 1. In obtaining customer authorization, a DER supplier shall inform the customer of the types of information to be obtained, to whom it will be given, how it will be used,

- and how long the authorizations will be valid. The authorization is valid for no longer than six months unless the sales agreement provides for a longer time.
- 2. A distribution utility or DSP shall assume that a DER supplier obtained proper customer authorization if the DER supplier submits a valid information request, as defined in EDI rules.
- 3. A DER supplier shall retain, for a minimum of two years or for the length of the sales agreement, whichever is longer, verifiable proof, including but not limited to a recording or signed writing, of authorization for each customer. Verification records shall be provided by a DER supplier, upon request of the Department, within five calendar days after a request is made. Locations for storage of the records shall be at the discretion of the DER supplier.
- 4. Upon request by a customer, a distribution utility or DSP shall block access by DER suppliers to information about the customer.
- 5. A DER supplier and its agent shall comply with statutory and regulatory requirements pertaining to applicable state and federal do-not-call registries.
- C. Customer Information Provided to DER suppliers
 - 1. Release of Information. The distribution utility or MDSP shall respond within two business days to valid requests for information as established in EDI transaction standards and within five business days to requests for data and information for which an EDI transaction standard is not available. The distribution utility or MDSP shall provide the reason for rejection of any valid information request.
 - 2. Customer Contact Information Set. The distribution utility or DSP, to the extent it possesses the information, shall provide, upon a DER supplier request, consumption history for an electric account and consumption history and/or¹⁶ a gas profile for a gas account.
 - a. Consumption history¹⁷ for an electric account shall include:
 - Customer's service address;
 - 2. Electric account number;

If a distribution utility or DSP offers a gas profile and consumption history, a DER supplier may choose either option. A distribution utility or DSP shall make available, upon request, class average load profiles for electric customers.

 $^{^{17}}$ A distribution utility, in addition to EDI transmittal, may provide web-based access to customer history information

- 3. Sales tax district used by the distribution utility and whether the utility identifies the customer as tax exempt;
- 4. Rate service class and subclass or rider by account and by meter, where applicable;
- 5. Electric load profile reference category or code, if not based on service class, whether the customer's account is settled with the NYISO utilizing an actual 'hourly' or a 'class shape' methodology, or Installed Capacity (ICAP) tag, which indicates the customer's peak electricity demand;
- 6. Customer's number of meters and meter numbers;
- 7. Whether the customer receives any special delivery or commodity "first through the meter" incentives, or incentives from the New York Power Authority;
- 8. The customer's Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code;
- 9. Usage type (e.g., kWh), reporting period, and type of consumption (actual, estimated, or billed);
- 10. Whether the customer's commodity service is currently provided by the utility;
- 11. 12 months, or the life of the account, whichever is less, of customer data and, upon separate request, an additional 12 months, or the life of the account, whichever is less, of customer data, and, where applicable, demand information; 18 if the customer has more than one meter associated with an account, the distribution utility or DSP shall provide the applicable information, if available, for each meter; and
- 12. Electronic interval data in summary form (billing determinants aggregated in the rating periods under a distribution utility's tariffs), and if requested in detail, an acceptable alternative format.
- b. A gas profile for a gas account shall include:
 - 1. Customer's service address;
 - 2. Gas account number;
 - 3. Customer's number of meters and meter numbers;
 - 4. Sales tax district used by the distribution utility for billing and whether the utility identifies the customer as tax exempt;
 - 5. The customer's Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code;

¹⁸ A distribution utility may provide data for a standard 24 months or life of the account, whichever is less, as part of its Customer Contact Information Set.

- 6. Whether the customer's commodity service is currently provided by the utility;
- 7. Rate service class and subclass or rider, by account and by meter, where applicable;
- 8. Date of gas profile; and,
- 9. Weather normalization forecast of the customer's gas consumption for the most recent 12 months or life of the account, whichever is less, and the factors used to develop the forecast.
- D. Charges for Customer Data. No distribution utility or DSP shall impose charges upon DER suppliers for provision of the information described in this Section through EDI.
- E. Unauthorized Information Release. A DER supplier, its employees, agents, and designees, is prohibited from selling, disclosing or providing any customer information obtained from a distribution utility or DSP, in accordance with this Section, to others, including their affiliates, unless such sale, disclosure or provision is required to facilitate or maintain service to the customer or is specifically authorized by the customer or required by legal authority. If such authorization is requested from the customer, the DER supplier shall, prior to authorization, describe to the customer the information it intends to release and the recipient of the information.
- F. NIST Cybersecurity Framework. DER suppliers that obtain customer information from the distribution utility or DSP must have processes and procedures in place regarding cybersecurity consistent with the National Institute of Standards and Technology Cybersecurity Framework.
- G. Data Security. DER suppliers that obtain customer information from the distribution utility or DSP must comply with any data security requirements imposed by that utility or by Commission rules on ESCOs and/or any data security requirements associated with EDI eligibility.

SECTION 2D: RESPONSIBILITY FOR CONTRACTORS AND OTHER THIRD PARTY AGENTS (Generally Applicable)

A. If a DER supplier enlists a third party to assist them in marketing, data collection or analysis, billing, or any other activity, that DER supplier is responsible for making commercially reasonable efforts to ensure that the third

- party's activities conform with the relevant regulations and requirements.
- B. The provisions of the preceding subsection also apply when a DER supplier purchases a list of potential customers or similar information from a third party that assembled that list through its own advertising. In such cases, the DER supplier purchasing the list is responsible for making reasonable efforts to ensure that the list was not assembled through deceptive marketing.

SECTION 2E: CUSTOMER INQUIRIES AND COMPLAINTS (Generally Applicable)

- A. Department Staff will accept inquiries and complaints related to DER suppliers and will make efforts to investigate and resolve those complaints and, if necessary, bring those complaints to the Commission for consideration.
- B. For customers of large or ongoing transactions, as defined in Section 2A.A.2, DER suppliers must retain summary complaint records for at least two years from the date of the transaction or for the length of the agreement, whichever is longer.

SECTION 2F: CONSEQUENCES FOR VIOLATIONS (Generally Applicable)

- A. A DER supplier may be held responsible for actions by its officers, its employees, and contractors or other third-party agents acting on its behalf or under its direction. In addition, a DER supplier purchasing a customer list or similar information or services from a third-party marketer is responsible for making reasonable efforts to ensure that the list was not assembled in a manner inconsistent with the UBP-DERS.
- B. A DER supplier may be subject to the consequences listed in UBP-DERS Section 2F.C.2. for reasons, including, but not limited to:
 - False or misleading information in the registration package required of CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers;
 - 2. Failure to adhere to the policies and procedures described in its sales agreement;

- 3. Enrolling a customer in a DSP, utility, NYSERDA, Commission, or Department-run or authorized program or billing a customer without obtaining that customer's consent through a sales agreement or similar method;
- 4. Failure to comply with required customer protections;
- 5. Failure to comply with relevant reporting requirements or Department oversight requirements;
- 6. Failure to provide notice to the Department of any material changes in the information contained in the Registration Form or registration package, if required;
- 7. Failure to comply with the UBP-DERS;
- 8. Failure to comply with procedures, protocols or practices for communicating with distribution utilities or DSPs as required by the Commission;
- 9. Failure to comply with other Commission Orders, Rules or Regulations; or
- 10. A material pattern of consumer complaints on matters within the DER supplier's control.
- C. In determining the appropriate consequence for a failure or non-compliance in one or more of the categories set forth in UBP-DERS Section 2F.B., the Commission or Department may take into account the nature, the circumstances, including the scope of harm to individual customers, and the gravity of the failure or non-compliance, as well as the DER supplier's history of previous violations and whether the DER supplier has taken any actions or made any commitment to remediate any harm caused by the violation.
 - 1. The Commission or Department shall:
 - a. Either (a) notify the DER supplier in writing of its failure to comply and request that the DER supplier take appropriate corrective action or provide remedies within the directed cure period, which will be based on a reasonable amount of time given the nature of the issue to be cured; or (b) order that the DER supplier show cause why a consequence should not be imposed.
 - b. The Commission may impose the consequences listed in subparagraph b of this paragraph if (a) the DER supplier fails to take corrective actions or provide remedies within the cure period; or (b) the Commission determines that the incident or incidents of non-compliance are substantiated and the consequence is appropriate.
 - c. Consequences shall not be imposed until after the DER supplier is provided notice and an opportunity to respond.
 - d. The notice of consequences imposed by the Commission will be published on the Department's website.

- 2. Consequences for non-compliance in one or more of the categories set forth in UBP-DERS Section 2F.B. may include one or more of the following restrictions on a DER supplier's access to programs, tariffs, or solicitations initiated or controlled by the Commission, Department Staff, a utility, or NYSERDA:
 - a. Suspension from selling products or services to a specific distribution utility or DSP or to all distribution utilities or DSPs in New York State;
 - b. Suspension from enrolling new customers;
 - c. Suspension of the ability to acquire customer data by means established by the Commission in either a specific service territory or all service territories in New York State;
 - d. Imposition of requirements to modify procedures to obtain customer authorization for purchase, and to verify such customer authorization;
 - e. Imposition of requirements to modify procedures regarding the protection of consumer information;
 - f. Imposition of a requirement to file a customer service improvement plan identifying actions to be taken and timelines to improve customer service, and/or a requirement to file periodic reports identifying the extent to which the customer service improvement plan is achieving its objectives;
 - g. Revocation of a DER supplier's eligibility to access programs, tariffs, or solicitations initiated or controlled by the Commission, Department Staff, a utility, or NYSERDA and/or acquire customer data by means established by the Commission; and
 - h. Any other measures that the Commission may deem appropriate.
- 3. The Commission may give a DER supplier the option to avoid consequences or face lesser consequences on the condition that it provide refunds, corrective pricing, or other remedies to customers impacted by its violation.

SECTION 2G: OVERSIGHT REQUIREMENTS (Generally Applicable)

- A. Applicability. This Section establishes requirements for DER suppliers to assist the Department in monitoring the development, conduct and performance of New York's energy markets.
- B. All DER suppliers shall:
 - 1. Provide information on complaints received regarding DER products and services, as requested by the Department.

- 2. Provide information as requested by Department Staff, in relation to its efforts in monitoring the development, conduct and performance of energy markets. Such information requests may be through informal requests or interrogatories, including but not limited to, information regarding the DER supplier's business operations and financials.
- 3. Permit Department Staff to examine the books, accounts, contracts, records, and documents of the DER supplier.
- 4. Permit Department Staff to access any information needed to audit the DER supplier and cooperate with Department Staff's conducting of such an audit.

SECTION 3: PROVISIONS SPECIFIC TO CDG AND ON-SITE MASS MARKET DG PROVIDERS

Applicability. The provisions of these sections apply to all CDG Providers and On-Site Mass Market Distributed Generation (DG) providers.

SECTION 3A: REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS (CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers)

- A. Applicability. This Section sets forth the process that CDG Providers and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers are required to follow to register with the Department.
- B. Registration Package.
 - 1. Registrants planning to become CDG or On-Site Mass Market DG Providers are required to submit to the Department a registration package containing the following information and attachments:
 - a. A completed Registration Form. The registration form will be available on the Department's website,

 www.dps.ny.gov, no later than October 30, 2017 and will

 be included in this document as Attachment 2. Information that must be provided on or attached to the registration form includes:
 - 1. Name, postal and e-mail addresses, and telephone and fax numbers for the registrant's main office;
 - 2. Names and addresses of any entities that hold ownership interests of 10% or more in the CDG or On-Site Mass Market DG Provider, including a contact name for corporate entities and partnerships;
 - 3. Detailed explanation of any criminal or regulatory sanctions imposed during the previous 24 months against the CDG or On-Site Mass Market DG Provider, any senior officers of the DER supplier, or any

- entities holding ownership interests of 10% or more in the CDG or On-Site Mass Market DG Provider;
- 4. Disclosure of any decisions or pending escalated regulatory actions in other states that affect the CDG or On-Site Mass Market DG Provider's ability to operate, such as suspension, revocation, or limitation of operating authority;
- 5. A list and description of current investigations involving the CDG or On-Site Mass Market DG Provider being conducted by law enforcement or regulatory entities.
- 6. A summary of the registrant's history of bankruptcy, dissolution, merger, or acquisition in the 24 months immediately preceding the data of application;
- 7. Detailed explanation regarding ongoing investigations by the US Securities and Exchange Commission, the US Department of Justice, or the US Federal Energy Regulatory Commission;
- 8. Identification of the employee(s) responsible for resolving consumer complaints received by the Department;
- 9. A list of material categories of CDG or On-Site Mass Market products or services that will be offered and the customer classifications (i.e., residential, small/midsized non-residential) to whom they will be offered;
- 10. A list and description of any security breaches associated with customer proprietary information in the last 24 months, as well as a thorough description of the actions taken in response to any such instances.
- b. Sample sales agreements and sample bills for each customer class for each material category of the CDG or On-Site Mass Market products or services that will be offered; and
- c. Proof of registration with the New York State Department of State.
- 2. The Department shall maintain a list of CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers that successfully complete these requirements.
- 3. A CDG Provider On-Site Mass Market DG Provider that knowingly makes false statements in its registration package shall be subject to denial or revocation of eligibility.
- 4. If the registration package contains information that is a trade secret or sensitive for security reasons, the registrant may request that the Department withhold disclosure of the information, pursuant to the New York

State Freedom of Information Law (Public Officers Law Article 6) and Public Service Commission regulations (16 NYCRR $\S6-1.3$).

C. Department Review Process

- 1. The Department shall review each registration package submitted. The CDG Provider or On-Site Mass Market DG Provider shall immediately notify the Department of any material changes in the information submitted in the Registration Form and/or registration package that occurs during the Department review process. The Department shall notify the registrant, in writing, of any deficiencies in the registration package. The CDG Provider must modify the registration package in response to such a notification within 30 days.
- 2. If the modified package does not remedy the deficiency identified by Staff, the Department shall notify the CDG or On-Site Mass Market DG Provider in writing and shall refer the matter to the Commission for its consideration. The CDG or On-Site Mass Market DG Provider will have the opportunity to present information to the Commission in support of its registration.
- 3. For CDG Providers or On-Site Mass Market DG Providers that begin operating in New York State after December 1, 2017, a registration package must be submitted and approved before the CDG Provider or On-Site Mass Market DG Provider begins marketing to customers. Department Staff will review the registration package within 30 days of submittal and notify the registrant, in writing, either that the registration is accepted as complete or that deficiencies exist in the registration package.

D. Maintaining Active Status

- 1. CDG Providers and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers shall submit by March 31 of each year (March 31 Statement):
 - a. A statement that the information and attachments in its Registration Form and registration package are current; or
 - b. A description of revisions to the Registration Form and registration package along with a copy of the revised portions; and
- 2. A CDG or On-Site Mass Market DG Provider shall update all the information it submitted in its original registration package to the Department every three years, starting from the filing date of its registration package. A Provider's status as an eligible provider is continuous from the filing date of its registration package, unless revoked or otherwise limited in accordance with UBP-DERS Section 2F.

- If the three-year anniversary falls within one month of April 1, the Provider shall resubmit its registration package in lieu of the April 1 statement.
- 3. A CDG or On-Site Mass Market DG Provider shall submit at other times during the year:
 - a. A description of any material revision in the terms and conditions applicable to the business relationship between the Provider and its customers, including provisions governing the process for termination of sales agreements. For any such revisions, the Provider shall provide a copy of the revised portions. This provision does not require CDG Providers to file sample sales agreements based individually negotiated sales agreements with large customers or to update sample sales agreements based on changes made for individual customers.
 - b. Material Change in Financial Status including (1) bankruptcy or insolvency filings, (2) initiation of lawsuits which could materially and adversely impact the current or future ability of the Provider to meet its financial obligations.
 - c. Changes in the Provider's business and customer service information provided in the application.
 - d. Changes in personnel identified in the registration package as responsible for resolving consumer complaints received by the Department and referred to the Provider.

SECTION 3B: ENHANCED MARKETING AND ADVERTISING STANDARDS (CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers)

- A. Applicability. This Section describes the enhanced standards that CDG Providers, On-Site Mass Market DG Providers and their marketing representatives must follow when marketing and advertising products and services to potential mass market customers in New York.
- B. Training of Marketing Representatives
 - 1. Providers shall ensure that the training of their marketing representatives includes:
 - a. Knowledge of this Section and awareness of the other Sections of the UBP-DERS;
 - b. Knowledge of the Provider's products and services;
 - c. Knowledge of the Provider's rates and payment options and the customers' right to cancel, including the applicability of a termination fee;
 - d. Knowledge of the applicable provisions of the Home Energy Fair Practices Act that pertains to residential customers; and,

- e. The ability to provide the customer with a toll-free number from which the customer may obtain information about the Provider's mechanisms for handling billing questions, disputes, and complaints.
- C. When marketing materials or information conveyed to mass market customers or potential mass market customers includes savings estimates, CDG and mass market on-site DG providers must include, in addition to any other forecasts used, a forecast using the following baseline: a three-year average of actual historical utility rates for the three most recent calendar years for which data is available, for the customer's actual utility and service class. The provider may choose to apply an assumed escalation rate of up to 3% per year to this baseline in generating a forecast; if the provider does so, it must disclose the escalation rate used. The forecast generated must estimate savings for the same potential contract term as any other forecast provided. This forecast must be presented with similar prominence to other forecasts and all forecasts must be appropriately labeled to permit customers to understand their source.

Example: A CDG Provider prepares marketing materials for SC-1 customers, showing their expected savings over a 10-year contract term. Over the past 3 calendar years, SC-1 customers in that utility territory have had average utility rates of 0.10/kWh, 0.09/kWh, and 0.08/kWh. In addition to any other savings forecasts, the CDG developer must provide a 10-year savings estimate to the customer based on a utility rate of 0.09/kWh, with no more than a 3% annual escalation rate, and identify the escalation rate used.

D. Contact with Customers

- 1. This subsection applies only to contacts with Mass Market Customers.
- 2. In-Person Contact with Mass Market Customers
 Marketing representatives who contact mass market customers
 in person at a location other than the Provider's place of
 business for the purpose of selling any product or service
 shall, before making any other statements or
 representations to the customer:
 - a. Introduce him or herself with an opening statement that identifies the Provider which he or she represents; identifies him or herself as a representative of that specific Provider; explains that he or she does not represent the distribution utility; and, explains the purpose of the solicitation.

- b. Produce identification, to be visible at all times
 thereafter, which:
 - 1. Prominently displays in reasonably sized type face the first name and employee identification number of the marketing representative;
 - 2. Displays a photograph of the marketing representative and depicts the legitimate trade name and logo of the Provider they are representing; and,
 - 3. Provides the Provider's telephone number for inquires, verification and complaints.
- c. A CDG or On-Site Mass Market DG Provider marketing representative must provide each prospective mass market customer with a business card or similar tangible object with the marketing representative's first name and employee identification number; Provider's name, address, and phone number; date and time of visit and website information for inquires, verification and complaints.
- d. A CDG or On-Site Mass Market DG Provider marketing representative must provide the customer with written information regarding the Provider's products and services immediately upon request which must include the Provider's name and telephone number for inquires, verification and complaints. Any written materials, including contracts, sales agreements, and marketing materials must be provided to the customer in the same language utilized to solicit the customer.
- e. When it is apparent that the customer's English language skills are insufficient to allow the customer to understand and respond to the information conveyed by the marketing representative or when the customer or another third party informs the marketing representative of this circumstance, the marketing representative shall either find a representative in the area who is fluent in the customer's language to continue the marketing activity in his/her stead or terminate the in-person contact with the customer. The use of translation services and language identification cards is permitted.
- f. A marketing representative must leave the premises of a customer when requested to do so by the customer or the owner/occupant of the premises.
- g. All Providers who have marketing representatives conducting door-to-door marketing must maintain a daily record, by zip code, of the territories in which the Provider's marketing representatives have conducted door-to-door marketing. The information should be in a form that can be reported to Staff upon request, and should be retained by the Provider for a minimum of six months.
- 3. Telephone Contact with Mass Market Customers

Marketing representatives who contact mass market customers by telephone for the purpose of selling any product or service offered by the Providers shall:

- a. Provide the marketing representative's first name and, on request, the identification number;
- b. State the name of the Provider on whose behalf the call is being made;
- c. State the purpose of the telephone call;
- d. When it is apparent that the customer's English language skills are insufficient to allow the customer to understand and respond to the information conveyed by the marketing representative or when the customer or another third party informs the CDG marketing representative of this circumstance, the marketing representative will immediately transfer the customer to a representative who speaks the customer's language, if such a representative is available, or terminate the call; and,
- e. Remove customers' names from the marketing database upon customers' request.
- f. When marketing to residential customers, the marketing representative must also:
 - 1. Explain that he or she does not represent the distribution utility;
 - 2. Explain the purpose of the solicitation; and,
 - 3. Provide any written materials, including contracts, sales agreements, and marketing materials to the customer in the same language utilized to solicit the customer.

SECTION 3C: MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR SALES AGREEMENTS (CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers)

- A. Applicability. This Section establishes minimum standards for sales agreements between CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers (Providers) and mass market customers.
- B. A Provider, or its agent, may solicit and enter into a sales agreement with a customer subject to the following requirements.
 - 1. The DER supplier shall obtain a customer agreement to purchase the product or service and customer authorization to release information to the DER supplier, and retain verifiable proof of such authorization for at least two years or the length of the agreement, whichever is longer.
 - 2. Sales agreements shall include the following information written in plain language in the same language that the Provider has used to market to the customer:

- A. Terms and conditions applicable to the business relationship between the Provider and the customer which includes:
 - Provisions governing the process for rescinding or terminating an agreement by the Provider or the customer including provisions stating that a residential customer may rescind the agreement within three business days after its receipt without charge or penalty;
 - 2. The price, the terms and conditions of the agreement, including the term and end date, if any, of the agreement, the amount of the termination fee and the method of calculating the termination fee, if any, the amount of late payment fees, if applicable, and the provisions, if any, for the renewal of the agreement;
 - 3. A clear description of the conditions, if any, that must be present in order for savings to be provided to the customer, if savings are guaranteed.
 - 4. Information for residential customers of their rights under HEFPA; and
 - 5. Information regarding contacting the Department for dispute resolution.
 - 6. DER supplier contact information, including a local or toll-free number from the customer's service location.
- C. In addition to the requirements of subsection B, contracts for on-site mass market distributed generation must include a description of the distributed generation system, including the make and model of major system components, and an outline of system specifications. All contracts shall include, at a minimum:
 - For purchased systems, the total system purchase price, itemized costs of system components, and any other taxes, fees or overheads that are the responsibility of the customer; or
 - 2. For leases or purchased power agreements (PPAs), the total number of payments, amount of payments, payment frequency, and due date;
 - 3. An estimate of annual energy output, including loss analysis (e.g. in the case of a solar system, the percentage of the available solar resource that the solar electric system will receive, accounting for losses from shading, array azimuth, and tilt);
 - 4. The rate at which the customer can be compensated for any electricity sold to the utility;
 - 5. The installation location;
 - 6. Installation schedule;

- 7. The potential value of all federal, state, and local tax credits, electric utility rate credits, Renewable Energy Credits, incentives, or rebates that the customer may receive and/or be required to sign over to the DER provider;
- 8. Disclosure of any restrictions on the customer's ability to sell the system and/or his/her property;
- 9. System and/or production warranties;
- 10. Disclosure of any binding arbitration clauses or other terms that limit the customer's right to enforce the contract or seek damages from the courts; and
- 11. Assignment of responsibilities (e.g., for maintenance and repairs, insurance coverage, etc.), including whether such maintenance or repairs may be sold or transferred to a third party.

SECTION 3D: STANDARD CUSTOMER DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS (CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers)

- A. A completed Standard Customer Disclosure Statement shall be provided to all customers of CDG or On-Site Mass Market DG Providers as part of the sales agreement. Standard Customer Disclosure Statements will be available on the Department's website, www.dps.ny.gov, no later than October 30, 2017 and will be included in this document as Attachment 1.
- B. In the event that the text in the Standard Customer Disclosure Statement differs from or is in conflict with a term stated elsewhere in the agreement, the term described by the text in the Standard Customer Disclosure Statement shall constitute the agreement with the customer notwithstanding a conflicting term expressed elsewhere.

SECTION 3E: CUSTOMER INQUIRIES AND COMPLAINTS (CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers)

A. Applicability. This Section establishes requirements for responses by a CDG or On-Site Mass Market DG Provider (Provider) to customer inquiries concerning CDG products or services. Providers shall respond to customer inquiries sent by means of electronic mail, telecommunication services, mail, or in meetings. The subjects raised in inquiries may result in the filing of complaints.

B. General

1. Providers shall provide consistent and fair treatment to customers.

- 2. Providers shall maintain processes and procedures to resolve customer inquiries without undue discrimination and in an efficient manner and provide an acknowledgement or response to a customer inquiry within 2 days and, if only an acknowledgement is provided, a response within 14 days.
- 3. Providers shall provide local or toll-free telephone access from the customer's service area to customer service representatives (CSRs) responsible for responding to customer inquiries and complaints. The Provider's customer service center should be operational at least eight hours per day Monday through Friday except holidays, starting no earlier than 7 AM EST.
- 4. If the inquiry is specific to utility service, the CSR shall take one of the following actions:
 - a. Forward/transfer the inquiry to the utility;
 - b. Direct the customer to contact the utility; or,
 - c. Contact the utility to resolve the matter and provide a response to the customer.
- 5. Each Provider shall maintain information regarding customer inquiries and complaints pertaining to its products and services and designate a representative to provide information relating to customer inquiries and complaints to the Department.

C. Emergency Contacts

- 1. An emergency call means any communication from a customer concerning an emergency situation relating to the distribution system, including, but not limited to, reports of gas odor, natural disaster, downed wires, electrical contact, or fire.
- 2. A Provider's CSR shall transfer emergency calls directly to the distribution utility or provide the distribution utility's emergency number for direct contact to the distribution utility.

SECTION 3F: REPORTING REQUIREMENTS (CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers)

- A. Applicability. This Section establishes requirements for reporting by a CDG or On-Site Mass Market DG Provider (Provider).
- B. Each Provider shall file an annual report by March 31 containing information for the previous calendar year including aggregate number of customers served, a summary of services provided, and information on the number and classification of complaints received in a format to be

- established by the Department, to assist the Department in monitoring CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG markets.
- C. Each CDG Sponsor shall send an annual report for each calendar year to each of its subscribers by March 31 of the following year. The annual report must include the amount of credits that the member has received, expressed both in kWh and dollars, as well as the total amount the customer has paid in subscription fees and any other payments to the Sponsor. The report shall follow the standard format provided by Department Staff in Case 15-M-0180.¹⁹
- D. A CDG Sponsor that generates or allocates banked credits in a calendar year must file a report by March 31 of the following year detailing how many credits were banked, how many banked credits were allocated, what percentage of that allocation was provided to mass market customers, and what percentage was allocated to large customers.

¹⁹

http://documents.dps.ny.gov/public/MatterManagement/CaseMaster
.aspx?MatterCaseNo=15-M-0180&submit=Search+by+Case+Number

ATTACHMENT ONE: INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN CUSTOMER DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS

CDG Customer Disclosure Statement

Prepared for: [Customer Name]

Prepared by: [Provider Representative Name and Title]

[Provider Name] [Provider Address]

[Provider Telephone Number]

	Amount and due date of non-recurring charges	
Costs of Subscription	Amount and due date of recurring charges	
	• If charges will increase or vary, by how much, based on what, and what notice will begiven	
Estimated Benefits	Estimate of kWh generation of percentage of system allocated to customer	
	• Estimate of dollar value of credits generated by percentage of system allocated	
	to customer	
	Estimate of net savings based on utility baseline, detailed in UBP-DERS	
	• Identify financial structure (e.g. PPA, lease or ownership)	
	Identify location of panels and size of system	
	• Identify applicable tax credits and whether customer or provider has rights to	
Terms and Conditions	those credits	
	• Identify whether credits will be valued based on Net Energy Metering or the	
of Subscription	Value Stack	
	Identify whether and how provider will offer notice when project	
	is out of service, estimated time of restoration, whether and how	
	customer will be compensated for any loss of production	
	• Explain whether system is warrantied against defects and/or insured against	
	damage or loss; explain consequences to customer if system becomes	
	inoperable	
	• Explain Provider's dispute resolution process and customer's right to contact	
	the Department of Public Service	
	Term of subscription and process for contract renewal	
Length of the Agreement, End	Process to unsubscribe	
Date, and Renewal		
	Explain what data Provider will request from the customer's Utility and how	
Data Sharing and	that data will be used	
Privacy Policy	Explain Provider's data privacy policies	
Capacity	Explain how credits will be allocated to customer	
Allocation/Subscription Size	Identify percentage of output to be credited to customer	
rinocation, subscription size	Identify how unsubscribed and banked credits will be allocated	
Guarantees	For contracts with a production guarantee, an explanation of that guarantee and	
Guarantees	compensation for underproduction. For other contracts, a statement that "This	
	agreement offers no production guarantee."	
	For contracts guaranteeing that a customer will save money, an explanation of	
	that guarantee. For other contracts, a statement that "This agreement offers no	
	guaranteed savings."	
Descinding Agreement VI'ldb and		
Rescinding Agreement Without		
Penalty	the toll-free number within 3 business days of receipt of the sales agreement.	
Amount of Early Termination	Amount of Early Termination Fee, if any	
Fee and Method of	Method of calculation of Early Termination Fee	
Calculation	,	

On-Site Customer Disclosure Statement

Prepared for:

• [Customer Name]

Prepared by:

- [Provider Representative Name and Title]
- [Provider Name]
- [Provider Address]
- [Provider Telephone Number]

	Amount and due date of non-recurring charges
Costs of Contract	Amount and due date of recurring charges
	• If charges will increase or vary, by how much, based on what, and what notice
	will be given
Estimated Benefits	Estimate of kWh generation of system
	Estimate of dollar value of kWh generated by system
	Estimate of net savings based on utility baseline, as detailed in UBP-DERS
	Identify financial structure (e.g., PPA, lease or ownership)
	Identify size of system
	Identify applicable tax credits and whether customer or provider has rights to
Terms and Conditions	those credits
of Contract	Identify whether credits will be valued based on Net Energy Metering or the
or contract	Value Stack
	Identify whether the agreement protects against any damage or loss to the
	customer's real and personal property, including whether the company will
	cover the costs of roof maintenance
	Explain whether system is warrantied against defects and/or insured against
	damage or loss; explain consequences to customer if system becomes
	inoperable
	Provide copy of solar panel warranty
	• Explain Provider's dispute resolution process and customer's right to contact
	the Department of Public Service
Length of the Agreement and	Term of contract
End Date	
Data Charing and	• Explain what data Provider will request from the customer's Utility and how
Data Sharing and	that data will be used
Privacy Policy	Explain Provider's data privacy policies
Guarantees	• For contracts with a production guarantee, an explanation of that guarantee and
	compensation for underproduction. For other contracts, a statement that "This
	agreement offers no production guarantee."
	• For contracts guaranteeing that a customer will save money, an explanation of
	that guarantee. For other contracts, a statement that "This agreement offers no
	guaranteed savings."
Rescinding Agreement Without	A residential customer may rescind this agreement without penalty by calling
Penalty	the toll-free number within 3 business days of receipt of the sales agreement.
Amount of Early Termination	Options if customer moves
Fee and Method of	Amount of Early Termination Fee, if any
Calculation	Method of calculation of Early Termination Fee
Calculation	- Memod of Calculation of Early Termination Fee

APPENDIX B. DER Oversight Requirements Table¹

Category	All DER Suppliers	CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG Only ²
Registration UBP-DERS § 3A	N/A	Required to submit registration packet including short form and sample contracts.
Customer Consent UBP-DERS § 2A	DER Supplier must receive explicit customer consent, either in writing, electronically, or through recorded verbal statement, and, for large or ongoing transactions, retain record of consent for longer of length of agreement or two years.	No additional requirements.

__

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ This table provides a summary for ease of reference, but DER suppliers must refer to the UBP-DERS for full details on their obligations.

 $^{^{2}\,}$ CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG Providers are subject to all the requirements in both columns.

Marketing	Must not engage in	In addition, for
UBP-DERS §§2B and 3B	false, misleading,	transactions with
	or deceptive conduct	mass market
	or misrepresent	customers, must
	rates or savings;	train marketing
	must make reasonable	representatives in
		±
	efforts to provide	compliance and
	requested	customer rights,
	information; must	marketing
	provide information	representatives must
	in plain language;	appropriately
	must comply with	identify themselves
	local, state, and	and provide business
	federal door-to-door	card, must market to
	sales and	customer in a
	telemarketing laws	language that
	and regulations.	customer is
		comfortable in and
		provide
		documentation in
		same language, must
		keep record of
		territories.
Savings Forecast	N/A	For transactions
UBP-DERS §3B		with mass market
		customers, if
		savings forecast is
		provided, must also
		provide forecast
		based on utility
		historical data and
		clearly specify
		source of each
		forecast.
		10100000.

Responsibility for Agents UBP-DERS §2D	Must make commercially reasonable efforts to ensure that all contractors or third-party agents comply with the UBP-DERS and other relevant laws and regulations; must make reasonable efforts to ensure that any purchased customer list was not assembled through deceptive marketing.	Agents must follow CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG rules (other than registration).
Contracting UBP-DERS §3C	N/A	For transactions with mass market customers, must include key minimum terms in contracts, including three-day rescission period, price, information on customers' rights, and contact information; must also comply with further standards, based on NY-Sun requirements for onsite systems.
Standard Disclosure UBP-DERS §3D	No requirements; encouraged to consider development/use of forms based on CDG and On-Site Mass Market DG forms.	Required to provide Standard Disclosure Form to all mass market customers.

Customer Inquiries and Complaints UBP-DERS §2E and 3E	Must cooperate with Staff, Commission, or law enforcement efforts to address inquiries and complaints.	Must have local or toll-free telephone number and specified procedures for handling complaints, including acknowledgement within two days and response within 14 days.
Enforcement UBP-DERS \$2F	The same enforcement process that currently applies to ESCOs should be used; based on severity and other factors, potential violation may result in informal contact, formal Staff contact, or a Commission-issued Order to Show Cause, with an opportunity to respond and potential consequences ranging from a warning, to a requirement to cure and notify customers to a ban from Commission-authorized programs	No additional provisions.
Reporting UBP-DERS \$2G and 3F	and markets. Must provide Staff with information on request.	Must file annual reporting on number of customers and services provided, as well as send annual reports to customers per the VDER Implementation Order.

APPENDIX C. Applicable Provisions of the Home Energy Fair Practices Act to CDG Providers, On-Site Mass Market DG Providers, and Other DER Suppliers Selling Gas or Electricity

16 NYCRR §	Summary of Provision	Circumstances Where
		Provision Would Apply
11.6	Customers may designate a third party to receive notifications relating to termination or other credit actions.	Where a customer requests that such notifications be sent to a third party.
11.12	Security deposits may not be required for residential service, unless the customer is a seasonal or short-term customer.	Pursuant to this provision, security deposits are generally not permitted for transactions with residential customers. The up-front purchase of DG equipment or a share of a CDG project does not constitute a security deposit and is permitted.
11.13	Meter reading and estimated billing procedures.	Where a provider bills based on a metered quantity and sometimes uses an estimated bills, that provider must comply with this provision.
11.14	Regulations for backbilling residential accounts.	Where a provider seeks to bill for service rendered more than six months prior to the mailing of the bill, that provider must comply with this provision.
11.15	Procedures for assessing late payment and other charges related to an unpaid balance of a bill.	Where a provider seeks to impose a late fee or similar charge, that provider must comply with this provision.

11.16	Requirements for the information and charges for services on bills.	Each bill must include the information required by this section, as relevant to the service provided, including: the name, address and account number of the customer; the charges for service; the quantity of energy provided; amount owed for the latest period, the date by which payments for the latest period may be paid without penalty, the penalty charge for late paid bills, credits from past bills and any amounts owed and unpaid from previous bills; and an explanation of how the bill may be paid,
		the bill may be paid, including.

11.20	Procedures for customer	When receiving a
	complaints.	customer complaint, the
		provider must comply
		with the relevant parts
		of this section,
		including: allowing
		complaints to be
		accepted and processed
		in a simple manner and
		form; shall promptly
		investigating any
		complaint in a fair
		manner and report the
		results to the
		complainant; offering
		the complainant the
		opportunity to receive
		the report in writing;
		and, when resolving a
		complaint in whole or in
		part in its favor,
		informing the
		complainant of the
		availability of the
		commission's complaint
		handling procedures,
		including the
		commission's address and
		telephone number.
11.22	The Commission may waive	Providers may request
	any HEFPA requirement.	waiver of otherwise
		applicable provisions.

APPENDIX D. Questions for Comment Regarding Potential Additional Provisions

As described in the body of this Order, comments are solicited in response to the following questions to facilitate Commission consideration of UBP-DERS provisions regarding a limit on or other standards applicable to early termination fees for all DER suppliers, the requirement of production guarantees as part of some or all CDG and on-site mass market DG contracts, and a limit on annual escalation provisions in CDG and on-site mass market DG contracts. Comments should be filed by January 8, 2018.

- 1. Should a limit on early termination fees charge to mass market customers be applied to all DER suppliers, or to a subset of all DER suppliers?
 - a. Should the limit on early termination fees, consistent with the limit on ESCO early termination fees, be set at a) \$100 for any contract with a remaining term of less than 12 months, b) \$200 for any contract with a remaining term of more than 12 months or c) twice the estimated average monthly bill, provided that an estimate of an average monthly bill and amount of any termination fee was provided to the customer at the time of the sales agreement? Or should it be set at a different amount, or using a different method than a fixed amount?
 - b. Should contracts involving the installation of DER equipment on customer property be excluded from this limit or be subject to a modified version of it?
- 2. Should CDG or on-site mass market DG providers be required to include a production guarantee in their contracts with mass market customers?

- a. Should that requirement be applied to all contract types, or only to a subset of the standard contract types, which are purchases, leases, and power purchase agreements (PPAs)?
- b. Should there be any further requirements on the level of production guaranteed or on the compensation if a guarantee is not met?
- 3. Where a CDG or on-site mass market DG contract with a mass market customer includes provisions for escalation of pricing over the term of the contract, should a limit be applied to that escalation?
 - a. Should escalation provisions be limited to a maximum of three percent annually?

APPENDIX E. List of Commenters

Public Interest Interveners

Advanced Energy Economy Institute¹ AEEI Association for Energy Affordability, Inc. AEA Environmental Defense Fund EDF Coalition for Community Solar Access CCSA Clean Energy Organizations Collaborative² CEOC

Providers & Organizations

Atlantic Energy LLC ΑE Advanced Energy Management Alliance AEMA Bluerock Energy, Inc. Bluerock Direct Energy³ Direct Energy Distributed Sun LLC Distributed Sun Energy Spectrum, Inc. Energy Spectrum Energy Technology Savings ETS Energywiz, Inc. Energywiz Impacted ESCO Coalition⁴ Mission:data MD National Energy Marketers Association NEMA Northeast Clean Heat and Power Initiative NECHPI NRG Energy, Inc. NRG Retail Energy Supply Association RESA SolarCity Corporation SolarCity Solar Energy Industries Association SEIA

_

Advanced Energy Economy Institute is a charitable and educational organization affiliated with Advanced Energy Economy (AEE), a national business association, and represents the Alliance For Clean Energy New York (ACENY) and the New England Clean Energy Council (NECEC), which are regional partners with AEE.

Includes: Pace Energy and Climate Center; Association for Energy Affordability; Citizens Campaign for the Environment; Environmental Defense Fund; Natural Resources Defense Council; New York Geothermal.

Includes: Direct Energy Business Marketing, LLC; Direct Energy Business, LLC; Direct Energy Services, LLC; Direct Energy Solar.

⁴ The Coalition represents the interests of small and medium sized ESCOs in New York State.

Solar Liberty Energy Systems SLES

Customer Representatives

AARP
Consumer Power Advocates
Multiple Intervenors⁵

AARP
CPA

Public Utility Law Project of New York, Inc. PULP

Utilities

Exelon Companies 6 Exelon Joint Utilities 7 JU National Fuel Gas Distribution Corporation NFG

Governmental Entities

City of New York NYC

New York State Energy Research

and Development Authority NYSERDA

New York State Department of State,

Utility Intervention Unit UIU

_

An unincorporated association of approximately 60 large industrial, commercial and institutional energy consumers with manufacturing and other facilities located throughout New York State.

The Exelon Companies consist of Exelon Corporation and its subsidiaries, Constellation, NewEnergy, Inc., Exelon Microgrid LLC, Constellation Energy Nuclear Group LLC, Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station LLC, R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant LLC, Exelon Generation Company LLC, Baltimore Gas & Electric Company, Commonwealth Edison Company and PECO Energy Company.

The Joint Utilities are: Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation; Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.; New York State Electric & Gas Corporation; Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a/ National Grid; Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc.; and Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation.