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(On the record 2:57 p.m.)
CHAIRMAN RHODES: Good afternoon. We are
on time.
I would like to call this meeting of the board on Electric Generation Siting and the Environment to order. Before moving to the agenda, I would like to introduce each member of the board beginning with the ad hoc member, Ms. Carol Metz. Thank you, Ms. Metz, for your service to the board in this matter. And representing the permanent members of the siting board Louis Alexander, alternate of Basil Seggos of the Department of Environmental Conservation, Dr. Elizabeth Lewis-Michael, alternate of Howard Zucker, Department of Health, Vincent Ravaschiere alternate of Howard Zemsky, Empire State Development Corporation who is participating via video conference from the Department of Public Service's New York City office. Thank you, Vince.

John Williams, alternate of Richard Kaufman, New York State Energy Research and Development Authority. And I am John Rhodes of the Public Service Commission.

Secretary Burgess, are there any changes to the agenda?

SECRETARY BURGESS: There's no changes to
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the agenda. May I just ask Mr. Ravaschiere, I'm confirming that you can see us all in Albany?

MR. RAVASCHIERE: Yes, I can.
SECRETARY BURGESS: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you. With that, we will proceed to the agenda. Case 17-F-0619 request for interlocutory review filed by Scenic Hudson, Inc. of the examiner's ruling on intervenor funding requests and commencement of stipulations process in the application of Hecate Energy Green One L.L.C., Hecate Energy Green Two L.L.C., and Hecate Energy Green County Three, L.L.C. for a certificate of environmental compatibility and public need pursuant to Article 10 for construction of a solar electric generating facility located in the Town of Coxsackie, Greene County presented by John Sipos, Acting General Counsel. Brian Ossias, Assistant Counsel is available for questions. John please begin.

MR. SIPOS: Thank you. Let me make sure my mic is on first of all.

CHAIRMAN RHODES: It is.
MR. SIPOS: Thank you. Good afternoon, Chair Rhodes, siting board members and designees.

The matter before this siting board today concerns a interlocutory appeal by a party in the Hecate

Siting Board - 17-F-0619 - December 10, 2018 Article 10 proceeding from an earlier ruling by the examiners denying intervenor funding. The focus of the proposed draft order before you in my briefing will be on the procedural question and posture of whether the siting board should consider the appeal at this juncture.

Specifically, in this case, the hearing examiners denied Scenic Hudson's request for intervenor funding for the preliminary preapplication stage of this proceeding. The examiners found that Scenic Hudson's application for funds did not sufficiently demonstrate an entitlement to funding for the preliminary phase. And as noted, this is an interlocutory appeal and under the applicable regulatory standard which is 16 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 4.7, such appeals ordinarily should not be heard unless there are extraordinary circumstances.

The recommended determination in the draft order before the siting board today is that Scenic Hudson's interlocutory appeal does -- does not sustain its burden of establishing extraordinary circumstances as required by Section 4.7. And contrary to Scenic Hudson's contentions, it is not the case that the examiner's ruling here denying the funding request constitutes or places Scenic Hudson in an extraordinary circumstance.

The ruling declining the organization's

Siting Board - 17-F-0619 - December 10, 2018 request for approximately four thousand five hundred dollars will not significantly limit Scenic Hudson's ability to participate in this particular aspect of the proceeding. And, in addition, Scenic Hudson's funding request was unique and specific to the procedural situation at hand at the time as was the examiner's ruling. Thus, Scenic Hudson's argument that the ruling will limit Scenic Hudson as well as other environmental organizations and other Public Service Law Article 10 proceedings misses the mark.

This concludes our presentation. Brian and I would be happy to answer any questions that the board may have.

CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you, John. My own view is that the law in these matters is -- is clear and well presented by you. And the facts in this matter are clear and well presented by you. So I will -- I will be voting in favor of the recommendation based on the straightforwardness of the -- the presentation as they have just given.

Let me turn to my colleagues on the board. Going from my right all the way to New York City.

MR. ALEXANDER: Mr. Chairman, I have no questions regarding this order.
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CHAIRMAN RHODES: Ms. Metz? MS. METZ: And I have no questions. CHAIRMAN RHODES: John Williams? MR. WILLIAMS: No questions. CHAIRMAN RHODES: In New York, Vince Ravaschiere?

MR. RAVASCHIERE: No questions. Thank you. CHAIRMAN RHODES: With that let us proceed to a vote on the matter. My vote is in favor of the recommendation to -- to deny the request for interlocutory review filed by Scenic Hudson, Inc. as described. Mr. Alexander how do you vote?

MR. ALEXANDER: My vote is also in favor. CHAIRMAN RHODES: Dr. Lewis-Michael?

DR. LEWIS-MICHAEL: Also in favor. CHAIRMAN RHODES: Ms. Metz? MS. METZ: My vote is in favor. CHAIRMAN RHODES: Mr. Williams? MR. WILLIAMS: In favor.

CHAIRMAN RHODES: Mr. Ravaschiere?
MR. RAVASCHIERE: In favor.
CHAIRMAN RHODES: The matter is approved
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    and the recommendation is adopted. Secretary Burgess, is
    there anything further to come before us today?
    SECRETARY BURGESS: There is nothing
    further to come before you today.
    CHAIRMAN RHODES: With that we are
    adjourned. Thank you very much.
        (Off the record 3:04 p.m.)
```
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STATE OF NEW YORK
    I, CYNTHIA TORNATORE, do hereby certify that the
    foregoing was reported by me, in the cause, at the
    time and place, as stated in the caption hereto, at
    Page 1 hereof; that the foregoing typewritten
    transcription consisting of pages 1 through 7, is a
    true record of all proceedings had at the hearing.
    IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
    subscribed my name, this the 17th day of December,
    2018.
    CYNTHIA TORNATORE, Reporter
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