BEFORE THE STATE OF NEW YORK PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

Case 09-E-0428

August 2009

Prepared Testimony of:

Henry Leak, III
Public Utility Auditor III
Office of Accounting and Finance
State of New York
Department of Public Service
Three Empire State Plaza
Albany, New York 12223-1350

Case 09-E-0428

Leak

- 1 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 2 A. My name is Henry Leak, III. My business address is 3
- 3 Empire State Plaza, Albany, New York 12223.
- 4 Q. By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
- 5 A. I am employed by the New York State Department of Public
- 6 Service (Department) as a Public Utility Auditor III. I
- work full-time as a project manager in the Management
- 8 Audit Section in the Office of Accounting and Finance.
- 9 Q. Please describe your educational background.
- 10 A. I received a Bachelors of Science in Accounting from the
- 11 State University of New York Albany in 1978.
- 12 Q. Please summarize your professional experience.
- 13 A. Prior to working for the Department; I performed
- 14 financial audits while working as an auditor for Peat
- Marwick and Mitchell & Company from 1978 to 1981. I was
- 16 hired by the Department as an Associate Utility
- Management Analyst in October 1981. I worked in the
- 18 Utility Management Audit Section until 1985 when I
- 19 transferred to the Operational Audit Section in the
- 20 Office of Utility Efficiency and Productivity. I then
- 21 transferred to the Office of Accounting and Finance in
- 22 1999. In 2007, the Management Audit unit was formed and
- 23 I transferred to that unit.
- 24 Q. Have you performed or supervised management and

- 2 A. Yes. While in the Utility Management Audit Section, I
- 3 managed consultant-performed management and operations
- 4 audits. I also worked on staff-performed audits in both
- 5 the Utility Management Audit Section and the Operational
- Audit Section while assigned to the Office of Utility
- 7 Efficiency and Productivity.
- 8 Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits?
- 9 A. Yes, I am sponsoring one exhibit, Exhibit (HYL-1).
- 10 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
- 11 A. Counsel advises that Section 66(19) of the Public Service
- 12 Law (PSL) requires that "upon the application of a gas or
- electric corporation for a major change in rates as
- 14 defined in subdivision twelve of this section, the
- 15 commission shall review that corporation's compliance
- with the directions and recommendations made previously
- by the commission, as a result of the most recently
- 18 completed management and operations audit. The
- 19 commission shall incorporate the findings of such review
- in its opinion or order." A process has been commenced
- 21 regarding a management audit of Consolidated Edison of
- New York, Inc. (Con Edison or the Company) performed by
- The Liberty Consulting Group. This report, is entitled
- "Final Report, Management Audit of Consolidated Edison of

9

- New York, Inc.," dated June 16, 2009. The audit was 1 2 performed by. By Order issued August 20, 2009 in Case 3 08-M-0152, the Commission directed the Company to submit of an Implementation Plan (Plan). That plan has not been 4 submitted and, therefore, I cannot testify on the 5 Company's compliance with the August 20, 2009 Order at 6 7 this time. Should there be anything to report at the 8 time of the evidentiary hearing in this proceeding, I
- 10 Q. Please explain the Commission's directives regarding the
  11 Plan.

reserve the right to update this testimony.

12 In its August 20, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Con 13 Edison to develop a Plan to fully address the findings 14 and recommendations contained in the Liberty Audit Report 15 and file that Plan within 45 days, but not later than October 5, 2009. The Plan should contain an overall 16 17 characterization of the relative priorities for each of 18 the recommendations, implementation action steps, 19 schedules with specific milestones, risk/cost/benefit 20 analyses, and the designation of executive officer 21 accountability. In addition, Con Edison must: meet with 22 Staff after the issuance of the Order to discuss the 23 development of the Plan; as part of the implementation 24 plan, develop and implement an outreach effort to

12

13

- 1 interested parties and its various customer classes about 2 what reliability means to each including how it is related to affordability of Con Edison's rates; address 3 the extent to which implementation of certain 4 recommendations will help the Company address issues 5 6 raised in Cases 09-M-0243 and 09-M-0114 so to avoid them 7 in the future; provide written updates on the Company's 8 progress at least every four months; and, file testimony 9 and related documents to create a complete record to 10 demonstrate the nature and extent of its achievement of 11 the goals and objectives in its implementation plan in
- accounting examination and prudence investigation of the capital program and operation and maintenance

any rate proceeding filed on or after the date of the

Order. These respective cases involved: a comprehensive

- 16 expenditures of Con Edison.
- 17 Q. What recommendations were made in the Liberty Audit 18 Report?
- 19 A. The Liberty Audit Report contains 92 recommendations that
  20 are listed in Exhibit\_\_(HYL-1).
- 21 Q. Can you provide a summary of Liberty's report?
- 22 A. Liberty's report acknowledges that Con Edison operates in 23 a complex and challenging business environment, where 24 upgrading and maintaining its infrastructure is a costly

1	proposition that puts upward pressure on customers,
2	rates, thereby making it imperative that Con Edison
3	performs efficiently and effectively. In addition,
4	Liberty notes that outages, recent safety concerns about
5	electrified facilities, gas and steam incidents, and
6	questions surrounding Con Edison's ability to adequately
7 .	manage its construction program; have drawn increased
8	attention from the public, politicians, and the
9	investment community.
10	Liberty's report frames these dynamics as a three-level
11	pyramid and believes that in order for Con Edison to
12	ensure its future success, the Company must proactively
13	and adroitly address matters in each level.
14	The more traditional management audit recommendations
15	form the base of the pyramid. These are numerous, and
16	while opinions may differ as to the resulting benefit and
17	their manner of implementation there is general agreement
18	among Con Edison, Liberty and Staff that they are
19	necessary. Implementation (along with all
20	recommendations in the report) will be detailed in the
21	Company's Plan. There is concern, however, that unless
22	improvements in the two higher levels of the pyramid
23	occur, that the benefits resulting from implementing
24	these recommendations could be transitory and perhaps not

1	fully realized. This is because the higher levels of the
2	pyramid address some of the root causes for problems
3	existing at the lower levels.
4	The mid-level recommendations, while also typical of
5	management audits, differ from those in the base level
6	because of their widespread impact throughout the
7	organization. The four recommendations that rise to this
8	level are of paramount importance, and they are: 1) Con
9	Edison needs to develop an integrated vision or plan for
10	the electric system and link capital investment to
11	economic or value driven parameters; 2) Con Edison needs
12	to have a heightened involvement by its Board of Trustees
13	in the planning and budget process; 3) Con Edison needs
14	to develop a more holistic approach to cost management;
15	and, 4) Con Edison needs to become less reliant on the
16	annual rate cases to manage its business. The
17	consequences of not implementing these recommendations
18	could potentially undermine the full achievement of the
19	benefits from other recommendations.
20	These are management issues that require executive level
21	attention in order to better ensure the success of Con
22	Edison's construction and infrastructure management
23	programs. Liberty also labeled these four items as
24	"straw men" and engaged Con Edison early in the audit for

1	feedback. Liberty found different levels of acceptance,
2	understanding and buy-in from Con Edison on these four
.3	key matters which in some ways lead to the final and most
4	important top level of the pyramid.
5	Liberty labeled the issues rising to the top level as
6	"barriers," and identified four broad categories of
7	barriers, which are Cultural, Environmental, Financial
8	and Regulatory. These are somewhat atypical management
9	audit findings, because rather than specific
10	recommendations prone to objective measurement, they are
11	more subjective, and are not so much recommendations as
12	they are instead challenges (both internal and external)
13	that Con Edison must overcome. Successful navigation by
14	Con Edison of these barriers will increase the likelihood
15	of ensuring that benefits resulting from implementing
16	report recommendations are more than ephemeral and
17	position Con Edison best for long-term success. Examples
18	would include such items as being more adept at
19	identifying root causes of problems, understanding the
20	limits of the rate process as a solution to financial
21	problems, and improving its credibility with
22	stakeholders. Addressing the specific recommendations in
23	the report with the backdrop of the barriers in mind is
24	key to Con Edison's success in the future.

Case 09-E-0428

Leak

- 1 Q. Do you have any recommendations going forward?
- 2 A. Yes. The Company should be directed to identify the
- 3 Audit Report recommendations that would be completed in
- 4 the next three years, along with the anticipated costs
- 5 and benefits. It is reasonable to expect that any cost
- 6 savings or benefits should be deferred for ratepayer
- 7 benefits.
- 8 Q. Does this conclude your testimony at this time?
- 9 A. Yes.