Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Residential Electric HVAC
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report

April 15, 2011

In compliance with the "Order Approving 'Fast Track' Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications" (Issued and Effective January 16, 2009), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #17, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. ("Central Hudson") submits the following monthly "scorecard report" and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Residential Electric HVAC Program
Reporting period:	March 2011
Report Contact person:	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956

1. **Program Status**
   Central Hudson offers its residential electric HVAC program to its customers under the umbrella name "Home Energy SavingsCentral." This name is used for marketing purposes to eliminate customer confusion. This program has been operational since May 18, 2009. Central Hudson contracted Honeywell Utility Solutions as the implementation contractor for this program. Central Hudson is offering this electric energy efficiency program as detailed below:

   - **Home Energy SavingsCentral**
     Under the Residential Electric HVAC program, Central Hudson residential electric customers can receive equipment rebates for eligible energy efficiency equipment and measures to existing homes including eligible: central air conditioners ($400 - $600), central air source heat pumps ($400 - $600), ECM furnace fans ($200), heat pump water heaters ($400), programmable thermostats ($25) and duct and air sealing (up to $600).
     All efficiency eligibility levels and rebate levels are as detailed in the above referenced order.

   Funding approved for 2009 and 2010 will be expended into 2011 for this program to attain cumulative 2009-2011 program goals.

2. Performance Relative to Key Goals

During the month of March, 34,062 kWh were acquired under this program. To date, this program has achieved 677,588 kWh of annual electric savings, approximately 34% of the cumulative program goal.

3. Program Implementation Activities

(a) Marketing Activities

During March, marketing activities included bill insert, Internet, Chamber outreach, customer testimonials, social media and earned media.

Bill insert

Central Hudson included a rectangular advertisement promoting the commercial lighting program in the bill inserts sent during March.

Internet

There were 433unique visitors to www.CentralHudson.com/SaveMoney during March.

Chamber outreach

Central Hudson published a quarter-page advertisement on the commercial lighting program in the spring edition of the Rhinebeck Area Chamber of Commerce publication.

Customer testimonials

Central Hudson completed another satisfied business customer testimonial. These one-page articles are available for download on www.CentralHudson.com and printed for distribution to other businesses.

Social media

Central Hudson posted information about the commercial lighting program on Facebook and Twitter on March 19 and 25.

Earned media

On March 17, Mid Hudson News featured an article on how the Town of Fishkill taking advantage of Central Hudson's energy efficient commercial lighting program for government owned buildings.

Events for 2011 are listed below. Public events are listed and updated regularly at www.SavingsCentral.com/events.html.

Jan. – Mar. 2011 OUTREACH AND EVENTS CALENDAR

Date	Event/meeting	Location	Audience
Jan. 19	Rosendale Chamber	Rosendale	Chamber members
Jan. 22	Fishkill EE Workshop	Fishkill	Homeowners
Jan. 27	Bus. Energy Savings Workshop #1	Kingston	Homeowners
Feb. 3	Ulster Chamber Business Roundtable	Kingston	chamber community
Feb. 9	Hudson Valley Home Matters	Poughkeepsie	Homeowners
Feb. 11	BPI-certified Trade Ally meeting	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allie
Mar. 1	FW Webb	Newburgh	Trade Allies
Mar. 2	Builders Association Trade Show	New Windsor	Trade Allies
Mar. 3	RE Michel Company	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies
Mar. 15	JD Johnson	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies
Mar. 16	Security Supply	Kingston	Trade Allies
Mar. 17	FW Webb	Newburgh	Trade Allies
Mar. 22	Security Supply	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies
Mar. 23	RIIM Plumbing & Heating Supply	Hopewell Jnctn	Trade Allies
Mar. 24	RE Michel Company	New Windsor	Trade Allies
Mar. 29	Ral Supply	Fishkill	Trade Allies
Mar. 30	RIIM Plumbing & Heating Supply	Newburgh	Trade Allies
Mar. 31	Ral Supply	New Windsor	Trade Allies

(b) Evaluation Activities

Central Hudson contracted with Applied Energy Group (AEG) to perform a process evaluation. AEG has many years of previous experience in performing process and impact evaluations of energy efficiency programs. A final evaluation plan for this "Fast Track" program is currently under revisions after being reviewed by DPS Staff and will be filed in 2011.

(c) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, and collaborative outreach.

Central Hudson is represented on the EEPS Implementation Advisory Group (IAG) and Evaluation Advisory Group (IAG) a well as various subcommittees committed to accomplishing tactical goals. Central Hudson has also participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the "Joint Utility" operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

4. Customer Complaints and/or Disputes

There have been no customer complaints and/or disputes.

5. **Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing**
   There have been no updates to staffing or contractors since the previous scorecard.

6. **Additional Issues**
   There are no additional issues for this program.

Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Residential Natural Gas HVAC
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report

April 15, 2011

In compliance with the "Order Approving 'Fast Track' Utility-Administered Gas Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications" (Issued and Effective April 9, 2009), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #14, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. ("Central Hudson") submits the following monthly "scorecard report" and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Residential Natural Gas HVAC Program
Reporting period:	March 2011
Report Contact person:	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956

1. **Program Status**
   Central Hudson is offering its residential natural gas program to its customers under the umbrella name "Home Energy SavingsCentral." This name is being used for marketing purposes to eliminate customer confusion. This program has been operational since July 1, 2009. Central Hudson has contracted Honeywell Utility as the implementation contractor for this program. Central Hudson is offering this natural gas energy efficiency program as detailed below:

   - **Home Energy SavingsCentral**
     Under the Residential Natural Gas HVAC Program, Central Hudson residential natural gas customers can receive rebates for the installation of energy efficient natural gas equipment including efficient furnaces ($140), furnaces with ECM fans ($280 - $420), steam and water boilers ($350 to $700), indirect water heaters ($210), programmable thermostats ($18), and duct and air sealing (up to $420). All efficiency eligibility levels and rebate levels are as ordered in the "Order Approving Three New Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (EEPS) Programs and Enhancing Funding and Making Other Modifications for Other EEPS Programs" (issued and effective June 24, 2010.)

   The enhanced funding portion of this program has been operational since October 1, 2010.

2. Performance Relative to Key Goals

During the month of March, 7,123 Therms were acquired under this program. To date the program has acquired 101,220 Therms, or 46.3% of the program's cumulative natural gas savings goal.

The program has also acquired 88,976 kWh of annual electrical savings to date. This program has no electrical savings goal.

3. Program Implementation Activities

(a) Marketing Activities

During March, marketing activities included consumer outreach, Trade Ally cooperative advertising, Trade Ally outreach and television.

Customer outreach

One March 2, Central Hudson staffed a booth at the Builders Association of the Hudson Valley Trade Show at Anthony's Pier 9 in New Windsor.

Trade Ally cooperative advertising

Central Hudson worked with Top Flight Trade Ally Heckeroth Plumbing Heating and Air Conditioning to create and publish a color advertisement about energy efficient HVAC equipment, including natural gas furnaces and boilers. The ad ran three times each in two daily newspapers.

Trade Ally outreach

Central Hudson participated in 11 "Dealer Day" events at HVAC suppliers throughout the service area.

Television

Central Hudson filmed a 30-second television commercial and four web videos featuring satisfied customers as part of the satisfied residential customer energy efficiency campaign, which begins in April

Events for 2011 are listed below. Public events are listed and updated regularly at www.SavingsCentral.com/events.html.

Jan. – Mar. 2011 OUTREACH AND EVENTS CALENDAR

Date	Event/meeting	Location	Audience
Jan. 19	Rosendale Chamber	Rosendale	Chamber members
Jan. 22	Fishkill EE Workshop	Fishkill	Homeowners
Jan. 27	Bus. Energy Savings Workshop #1	Kingston	Homeowners
Feb. 3	Ulster Chamber Business Roundtable	Kingston	chamber community
Feb. 9	Hudson Valley Home Matters	Poughkeepsie	Homeowners
Feb. 11	BPI-certified Trade Ally meeting	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies
Mar. 1	FW Webb	Newburgh	Trade Allies
Mar. 2	Builders Association Trade Show	New Windsor	Trade Allies
Mar. 3	RE Michel Company	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies
Mar. 15	JD Johnson	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies
Mar. 16	Security Supply	Kingston	Trade Allies
Mar. 17	FW Webb	Newburgh	Trade Allies
Mar. 22	Security Supply	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies
Mar. 23	RIIM Plumbing & Heating Supply	Hopewell Jnctn	Trade Allies
Mar. 24	RE Michel Company	New Windsor	Trade Allies
Mar. 29	Ral Supply	Fishkill	Trade Allies
Mar. 30	RIIM Plumbing & Heating Supply	Newburgh	Trade Allies
Mar. 31	Ral Supply	New Windsor	Trade Allies

(b) Evaluation Activities

Central Hudson has contracted with Applied Energy Group (AEG) to perform a process evaluation. AEG has many years of previous experience in performing process and impact evaluations of energy efficiency programs. A final evaluation plan for this "Fast Track" program is currently under revisions after being reviewed by DPS Staff and will be filed in 2011.

Central Hudson plans to perform a joint impact evaluation for this program with other program administrators in New York. This will leverage the benefit of having similar programs throughout the state and ensure the highest quality evaluation possible under the program budget.

(c) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, collaborative outreach, and web content.

Central Hudson is represented on the EEPS Implementation Advisory Group (IAG) and Evaluation Advisory Group (IAG) a well as various subcommittees committed to accomplishing tactical goals. Central Hudson has also participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the "Joint

Utility" operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

4. **Customer Complaints and/or Disputes**
   There have been no customer complaints and/or disputes.

5. **Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing**
   There have been no updates to staffing or contractors since the previous scorecard.

6. **Additional Issues**
   There are no additional issues for this program.

Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Small Business Electric
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report

April 15, 2011

In compliance with the "Order Approving 'Fast Track' Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications" (Issued and Effective January 16, 2009), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #17, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. ("Central Hudson") submits the following monthly "scorecard report" and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Small Business Electric Program
Reporting period:	March 2011
Report Contact person:	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956

1. **Program Status**
   Central Hudson is offering its electric energy efficiency program to its eligible small business customers as detailed below. This program has been operational since May 18, 2009.

   - **Small Business Program**
     As of November 1, 2010, Central Hudson's Business energy savings program is being delivered under a Direct Install model. The customer receives a free energy audit, followed by a comprehensive proposal for an energy efficient lighting solution, and turn-key project services such that 70% of the total project cost is covered by Central Hudson incentives. Central Hudson has contracted with Alliance Energy Solutions to act as the program's direct installer. Eligible customers include all non-residential customers below 100kW on demand[1]. Eligible measures include interior lighting and lighting controls.

   Funding approved for 2009 and 2010 will be expended into 2011 for this program to attain cumulative 2009-2011 program goals.

[1] Based on the most recent 12-month demand average on a rolling basis.

2. Performance Relative to Key Goals

During the month of March, 3,121,824 kWh of annual energy savings were acquired under this program. To date, 12,765,919 kWh of electrical savings have been achieved under this program, or approximately 28% of the program's cumulative savings target.

3. Program Implementation Activities

a) Marketing Activities

During March, marketing activities included bill inserts, Internet, Chamber outreach, customer testimonials, social media and earned media.

Bill inserts

Central Hudson included a rectangular advertisement promoting the commercial lighting program in the bill inserts sent during March.

Internet

There were 433unique visitors to www.CentralHudson.com/SaveMoney during March.

Chamber outreach

Central Hudson published a quarter-page advertisement on the commercial lighting program in the spring edition of the Rhinebeck Area Chamber of Commerce publication.

Customer testimonials

Central Hudson completed another satisfied business customer testimonial. These one-page articles are available for download on www.CentralHudson.com and printed for distribution to other businesses.

Social media

Central Hudson posted information about the commercial lighting program on Facebook and Twitter on March 19 and 25.

Earned media

On March 17, Mid Hudson News featured an article on how the Town of Fishkill taking advantage of Central Hudson's energy efficient commercial lighting program for government owned buildings.

Events for 2011 are listed below. Public events are listed and updated regularly at www.SavingsCentral.com/events.html.

Jan. – Mar. 2011 OUTREACH AND EVENTS CALENDAR

Date	Event/meeting	Location	Audience
Jan. 19	Rosendale Chamber	Rosendale	Chamber members
Jan. 22	Fishkill EE Workshop	Fishkill	Homeowners
Jan. 27	Bus. Energy Savings Workshop #1	Kingston	Homeowners
Feb. 3	Ulster Chamber Business Roundtable	Kingston	chamber community
Feb. 9	Hudson Valley Home Matters	Poughkeepsie	Homeowners
Feb. 11	BPI-certified Trade Ally meeting	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies
Mar. 1	FW Webb	Newburgh	Trade Allies
Mar. 2	Builders Association Trade Show	New Windsor	Trade Allies
Mar. 3	RE Michel Company	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies
Mar. 15	JD Johnson	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies
Mar. 16	Security Supply	Kingston	Trade Allies
Mar. 17	FW Webb	Newburgh	Trade Allies
Mar. 22	Security Supply	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies
Mar. 23	RIIM Plumbing & Heating Supply	Hopewell Jnctn	Trade Allies
Mar. 24	RE Michel Company	New Windsor	Trade Allies
Mar. 29	Ral Supply	Fishkill	Trade Allies
Mar. 30	RIIM Plumbing & Heating Supply	Newburgh	Trade Allies
Mar. 31	Ral Supply	New Windsor	Trade Allies

(b) Evaluation Activities

Central Hudson has contracted with Applied Energy Group (AEG) to perform a process evaluation. AEG has many years of previous experience in performing process and impact evaluations of energy efficiency programs. A final evaluation plan for this "Fast Track" program is currently under revisions after being reviewed by DPS Staff and will be filed in 2011.

(c) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, collaborative outreach, and web content.

Central Hudson is represented on the EEPS Implementation Advisory Group (IAG) and Evaluation Advisory Group (IAG) a well as various subcommittees committed to accomplishing tactical goals. Central Hudson has also participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the "Joint Utility" operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

4. **Customer Complaints and/or Disputes**
   There have been no customer complaints and/or disputes.

5. **Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing**
   Central Hudson has contracted with Alliance Energy Solutions to deliver this program as the commercial Direct Installer.

6. **Additional Issues**
   There are no additional issues.

Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Mid-Size Commercial Business Electric
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report

April 15, 2011

In compliance with the "Order Approving Certain Commercial and Industrial Customer Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications" (Issued and Effective October 23, 2009), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #4, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. ("Central Hudson") submits the following monthly "scorecard report" and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Mid-Size Commercial Business Electric Program
Reporting period:	March 2011
Report Contact person:	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956

1. **Program Status**
   Central Hudson is offering its electric energy efficiency program to its eligible mid-size commercial customers as detailed below. This program has been operational since January 1, 2010.

   - **Mid-Size Business Program**
     As of November 1, 2010, Central Hudson's Business energy savings program is being delivered under a Direct Install model. The customer receives a free energy audit, followed by a comprehensive proposal for an energy efficient lighting solution, and turn-key project services such that 70% of the total project cost is covered by Central Hudson incentives. Central Hudson has contracted with Alliance Energy Solutions to act as the program's direct installer. Eligible customers include all non-residential customers below 100kW on demand[2]. Eligible measures include interior lighting and lighting controls.

   Funding approved for 2009 and 2010 will be expended into 2011 for this program to attain cumulative 2009-2011 program goals.

[2] Based on the most recent 12-month demand average on a rolling basis.

2. Performance Relative to Key Goals

During the month of March, 1,376,945 kWh of annual energy savings were acquired under this program. To date, 4,560,504 kWh of electrical savings have been achieved under this program, or approximately 82% of the program's cumulative savings target.

3. Program Implementation Activities

a) Marketing Activities

During March, marketing activities included bill insert, Internet, Chamber outreach, customer testimonials, social media and earned media.

Bill insert

Central Hudson included a rectangular advertisement promoting the commercial lighting program in the bill inserts sent during March.

Internet

There were 433unique visitors to www.CentralHudson.com/SaveMoney during March.

Chamber outreach

Central Hudson published a quarter-page advertisement on the commercial lighting program in the spring edition of the Rhinebeck Area Chamber of Commerce publication.

Customer testimonials

Central Hudson completed another satisfied business customer testimonial. These one-page articles are available for download on www.CentralHudson.com and printed for distribution to other businesses.

Social media

Central Hudson posted information about the commercial lighting program on Facebook and Twitter on March 19 and 25.

Earned media

On March 17, Mid Hudson News featured an article on how the Town of Fishkill taking advantage of Central Hudson's energy efficient commercial lighting program for government owned buildings.

Events for 2011 are listed below. Public events are listed and updated regularly at www.SavingsCentral.com/events.html.

Jan. – Mar. 2011 OUTREACH AND EVENTS CALENDAR

Date	Event/meeting	Location	Audience
Jan. 19	Rosendale Chamber	Rosendale	Chamber members
Jan. 22	Fishkill EE Workshop	Fishkill	Homeowners
Jan. 27	Bus. Energy Savings Workshop #1	Kingston	Homeowners
Feb. 3	Ulster Chamber Business Roundtable	Kingston	chamber community
Feb. 9	Hudson Valley Home Matters	Poughkeepsie	Homeowners
Feb. 11	BPI-certified Trade Ally meeting	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies
Mar. 1	FW Webb	Newburgh	Trade Allies
Mar. 2	Builders Association Trade Show	New Windsor	Trade Allies
Mar. 3	RE Michel Company	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies
Mar. 15	JD Johnson	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies
Mar. 16	Security Supply	Kingston	Trade Allies
Mar. 17	FW Webb	Newburgh	Trade Allies
Mar. 22	Security Supply	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies
Mar. 23	RIIM Plumbing & Heating Supply	Hopewell Jnctn	Trade Allies
Mar. 24	RE Michel Company	New Windsor	Trade Allies
Mar. 29	Ral Supply	Fishkill	Trade Allies
Mar. 30	RIIM Plumbing & Heating Supply	Newburgh	Trade Allies
Mar. 31	Ral Supply	New Windsor	Trade Allies

(b) Evaluation Activities

A program evaluation plan is currently under development.

(c) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, collaborative outreach, and web content.

Central Hudson is represented on the EEPS Implementation Advisory Group (IAG) and Evaluation Advisory Group (IAG) a well as various subcommittees committed to accomplishing tactical goals. Central Hudson has also participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the "Joint Utility" operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

4. Customer Complaints and/or Disputes

There have been no customer complaints and/or disputes.

5. Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing

Central Hudson has contracted with Alliance Energy Solutions to deliver this program as the commercial Direct Installer.

6. Additional Issues

There are no additional issues.

Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Expanded Residential Electric HVAC
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report

April 15, 2011

In compliance with the "Order Approving Certain Commercial and Industrial; Residential; and Low-Income Residential Customer Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications" (Issued and Effective January 4, 2010), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #4, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. ("Central Hudson") submits the following monthly "scorecard report" and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Expanded Residential Electric HVAC Program
Reporting period:	March 2011
Report Contact person:	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956

1. Program Status

This program is not operational.

According to page 64 of the above-mentioned Order, this program was approved with the following modifications:

"1) Central Hudson should conduct an assessment of each proposed project to determine whether it is cost-effective and provide incentive payments to only those projects with a TRC ratio of 1.0 or greater and
2) Only customers that heat primarily with electricity and have electric central cooling are eligible for incentives under this program."

In reference to the second modification, Central Hudson estimates that only approximately 3% of its residential electric customer base would be eligible for this program under these new restrictions.[3]

Central Hudson does not expect this program to meet the approved participation levels with the aforementioned eligibility constraints.

Central Hudson submitted a petition on January 25, 2011 to further explain why this program has been suspended and to request that the

[3] Central Hudson's Residential Appliance Saturation Survey, conducted by TRC solutions in 2006, indicates that approximately 8.60% of residential electric customers heat primarily with electricity, and that only approximately 36% of those customers have Central Cooling.

program be discontinued and that Central Hudson not be held to the budget or targets for this program.

Central Hudson has paid $23,800 in incentives to date. These incentives were committed before the program rules and eligibility protocols were fully developed, and customers performed energy efficiency upgrades based on those commitments. No additional rebates will be paid under the program at this time. Administrative costs for this program have totaled $6,728.

2. **Performance Relative to Key Goals**
   No energy savings have been reported under this program.

3. **Program Implementation Activities**

   (a) Marketing Activities
   During the month of March, there were no marketing activities for the Expanded Residential Electric HVAC Program.

   (b) Evaluation Activities
   No evaluation activities will take place.

   (c) Other Activities
   There have been no other activities for this program.

4. **Customer Complaints and/or Disputes**
   There have been no customer complaints and/or disputes.

5. **Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing**
   There have been no updates to staffing or contractors since the previous scorecard.

6. **Additional Issues**
   There are no additional issues.

Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Residential Appliance Recycling
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report

April 15, 2011

In compliance with the "Order Approving Certain Commercial and Industrial; Residential; and Low-Income Residential Customer Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications" (Issued and Effective January 4, 2010), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #4, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. ("Central Hudson") submits the following monthly "scorecard report" and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Residential Appliance Recycling Program
Reporting period:	March 2011
Report Contact person:	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956

1. **Program Status**

Central Hudson is offering the Residential Appliance Recycling program to customers. The room air conditioner portion of this program became operational May 15, 2010 and concluded on September 15, 2010. The refrigerator/freezer portion has been operational since June 21, 2010. Central Hudson is offering this electric energy efficiency program as detailed below:

- **Residential Appliance Recycling** has offered two types of incentives:

  1) Room Air Conditioners. Residential customers turned in their old, operational window or through-the-wall air conditioner at a participating retailer and had it recycled at no cost. After receiving a proof of recycling certificate, they qualified for a rebate toward the purchase of a new, ENERGY STAR® air conditioner from any retailer. This is a mail-in rebate. The air conditioner part of this program concluded on Sept. 15, 2010. This portion of the program will not be offered in 2011.

  2) Refrigerators and Freezers. Central Hudson is offering a $50 incentive to residential customers to pick up their old, working refrigerator or freezer (sized between 10 and 30 cubic feet). Customers schedule pick-ups by phone or online at www.SavingsCentral.com or www.recyclemyappliance.com. All equipment will be properly and responsibly recycled.

2. Performance Relative to Key Goals

During the month of March, 145,382 kWh were acquired under this program. To date, this program has acquired 3,316,332 kWh of annual electrical savings, approximately 82% of the program's cumulative energy savings goal.

Energy savings acquired during year 2010 have previously been estimated (as submitted in the 2010 annual report) using algorithms that are less reliable than those that are included in the Consolidated Technical Manual. Central Hudson has filed a petition for rehearing on the Technical Manual (dated November 17, 2010) to request that all savings from refrigerators/freezers recycled in the program be calculated based on the best methodologies available in the Consolidated Technical Manual and targets be adjusted accordingly.

According to statewide best practices, Central Hudson has recalculated energy savings acquired in 2010 under this program. Using the sample of refrigerators and freezers for which model & serial numbers were successfully tracked, precise energy savings were calculated in explicit accordance with the technical manual. This sample was then used to determine an average per-unit energy savings for both refrigerators and freezers. By imposing this per-unit average on the entire population of collected refrigerators and freezers, the savings estimate has been corrected. This change accounts for an increase in energy savings of 217,980 kWh for the 2010 reporting period. This adjustment is reflected in the program to date total of 3,316,332 included in the March scorecard document.

3. Program Implementation Activities

a) Marketing Activities

During March, Central Hudson promoted the Appliance Recycling Program through bill inserts, television, newspaper advertising and social media

<u>Bill inserts</u>

Central Hudson included a rectangular advertisement promoting the refrigerator/freezer program in the bill inserts sent to customers during March.

<u>Television</u>

Central Hudson began airing a 30-second television commercial featuring "Lucky the Dog," which promotes refrigerator and freezer recycling.

Central Hudson filmed a 30-second television commercial and four web videos featuring satisfied customers as part of the satisfied residential

customer energy efficiency campaign, which begins in April. The commercial includes a customer satisfied with her refrigerator recycling rebate.

Newspaper advertising
Central Hudson continued to publish advertisements about recycling refrigerators and freezers in the three daily newspapers in Central Hudson's service area.

Social media
Central Hudson posted information about the refrigerator and freezer recycling program on Facebook on March 24.

Events for January and February 2011 are listed below. Public events are listed and updated regularly at www.SavingsCentral.com/events.html.

Jan. – Mar. 2011 OUTREACH AND EVENTS CALENDAR

Date	Event/meeting	Location	Audience
Jan. 19	Rosendale Chamber	Rosendale	Chamber members
Jan. 22	Fishkill EE Workshop	Fishkill	Homeowners
Jan. 27	Bus. Energy Savings Workshop #1	Kingston	Homeowners
Feb. 3	Ulster Chamber Business Roundtable	Kingston	chamber community
Feb. 9	Hudson Valley Home Matters	Poughkeepsie	Homeowners
Feb. 11	BPI-certified Trade Ally meeting	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies
Mar. 1	FW Webb	Newburgh	Trade Allies
Mar. 2	Builders Association Trade Show	New Windsor	Trade Allies
Mar. 3	RE Michel Company	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies
Mar. 15	JD Johnson	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies
Mar. 16	Security Supply	Kingston	Trade Allies
Mar. 17	FW Webb	Newburgh	Trade Allies
Mar. 22	Security Supply	Poughkeepsie	Trade Allies
Mar. 23	RIIM Plumbing & Heating Supply	Hopewell Jnctn	Trade Allies
Mar. 24	RE Michel Company	New Windsor	Trade Allies
Mar. 29	Ral Supply	Fishkill	Trade Allies
Mar. 30	RIIM Plumbing & Heating Supply	Newburgh	Trade Allies
Mar. 31	Ral Supply	New Windsor	Trade Allies

(b) Evaluation Activities
A program evaluation plan is currently under development.

(c) Other Activities
Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, collaborative outreach, and web content.

Central Hudson is represented on the EEPS Implementation Advisory Group (IAG) and Evaluation Advisory Group (IAG) a well as various subcommittees committed to accomplishing tactical goals. Central

Hudson has also participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the “Joint Utility” operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

4. **Customer Complaints and/or Disputes**
   There have been no customer complaints and/or disputes.

5. **Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing**
   There have been no updates to staffing or contractors since the previous scorecard.

6. **Additional Issues**
   There are no additional issues

Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Commercial Gas Program
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report

April 15, 2011

In compliance with the "Order Approving Three New Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (EEPS) Programs Enhancing Funding and Making Other Modifications for Other EEPS Programs" (Issued and Effective June 24, 2009), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #7, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. ("Central Hudson") submits the following monthly "scorecard report" and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Commercial Natural Gas Program
Reporting period:	March 2011
Report Contact person:	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956

1. **Program Status**
   Central Hudson is offering this commercial natural gas energy efficiency program as detailed below:

   - **Commercial Natural Gas Program**
     Under the Residential Natural Gas HVAC Program, Central Hudson residential natural gas customers can receive rebates for the installation of energy efficient natural gas equipment including efficient furnaces ($140), furnaces with ECM fans ($500 - $900), steam and water boilers ($800 to $1,200), indirect water heaters ($300), and programmable thermostats ($25). All efficiency eligibility levels and rebate levels are as ordered in the "Order Approving Three New Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (EEPS) Programs and Enhancing Funding and Making Other Modifications for Other EEPS Programs" (issued and effective June 24, 2010.)

   This program has been operational since October 1, 2010.

2. **Performance Relative to Key Goals**
   During the month of February, no energy savings were acquired under this program. To date, this program has acquired 1,155 Therms of natural gas savings, or 4% of the cumulative program goal.

3. Program Implementation Activities

(a) Marketing Activities

During the month of March, marketing activities included Trade Ally outreach.

Trade Ally outreach

Central Hudson participated in 11 "Dealer Day" events at HVAC suppliers throughout the service area.

(b) Evaluation Activities

No evaluation activities have begun for this program.

(c) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, collaborative outreach, and web content.

Central Hudson is represented on the EEPS Implementation Advisory Group (IAG) and Evaluation Advisory Group (IAG) a well as various subcommittees committed to accomplishing tactical goals. Central Hudson has also participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the "Joint Utility" operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

4. Customer Complaints and/or Disputes

There have been no complaints or disputes.

5. Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing

There have been no updates to staffing or contractors since the previous scorecard.

6. Additional Issues

There are no additional issues for this program.

Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Commercial Financing Program
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report

April 15, 2011

In compliance with the "Order Approving A Petition to Provide 0% Financing as Part of Small Commercial and Mid-Size Commercial Business Programs" (Issued and Effective October 14, 2010), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #1, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. ("Central Hudson") submits the following monthly "scorecard report" and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Commercial Financing Program
Reporting period:	March 2011
Report Contact person:	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956

1. Program Status:

Beginning November 1, 2010 Central Hudson began offering utility based financing to all customers participating in the Small or Mid Size Commercial Electric Programs. This financing is available for the 30% cost portion of projects not covered by Central Hudson incentives.

Central Hudson has issued 113 loans to date, totaling $339,161. A total of $300,100 is outstanding.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID[1]	**Central Hudson Gas & Electric**
Program Name	**Residential Electric HVAC**
Program Type[2]	**Residential Rebate**
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month[3]	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month[4]	34,062
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year *annual*[5] kWh Goal)	64,548
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	52.8%
Net Peak[6] kW acquired this month	14.88
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	17.8
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	83.6%
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	N/A
Monthly Net Therm Goal	N/A
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	N/A
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	170,310.00
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	N/A
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	677,588
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	33.86%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	33.86%
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	677,588
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	229.5
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	41.6%
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	41.6%
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	N/A
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	N/A
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date[7]	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	4,041,268.00
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	N/A
Committed[8] Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	29,358
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	117,432
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	10.5
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	N/A
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	N/A
Funds committed at this point in time	$15,775.00
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	63,420
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	25.38
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	N/A

Costs[9]	
Total program budget	$2,330,505.00
General Administration	$11,265.00
Program Planning	$0.00
Program Marketing	$5,757.00
Trade Ally Training	$9,467.00
Incentives and Services	$27,800.00
Direct Program Implementation	$21,072.00
Program Evaluation	$2,000.00
Total expenditures to date	$1,515,532.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	65.03%
Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	1959
Number of program applications *processed* to date[10]	1903
Number of processed applications *approved* to date[11]	1754
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	97.14%

NOTES:

[1]DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.

[2]There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.

[3]First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. ***Acquired*** kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.

[4]Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.

[5]Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the *monthly* goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.

[6] Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.

[7]The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures in stalled in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of thier useful life.

[8] Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

[9]These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

[10]An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

[11]The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for for programs in which there are ases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Central Hudson Gas Electric
March 2011 Monthly Scorecard Report

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID[1]	**Central Hudson Gas & Electric**
Program Name	**Small Business Electric Program**
Program Type[2]	**Commercial Rebate**
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month[3]	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month[4]	3,121,824
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year *annual*[5] kWh Goal)	1,564,138
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	199.59%
Net Peak[6] kW acquired this month	1018.9
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	387
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	263.02%
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	N/A
Monthly Net Therm Goal	N/A
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	N/A
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	15,609,120
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	N/A
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	12,765,919
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	28.14%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	28.14%
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	12,765,919
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	4139
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	36.84%
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	36.84%
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	N/A
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	N/A
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date[7]	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	63,829,595
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	N/A
Committed[8] Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	2,541,000
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	12,705,000
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	829
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	N/A
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	N/A
Funds committed at this point in time	$2,036,212.00
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	5,662,824.00
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	1,848.24
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	N/A

Costs[9]	
Total program budget	$12,370,030.00
General Administration	$14,791.00
Program Planning	$0.00
Program Marketing	$100.00
Trade Ally Training	$0.00
Incentives and Services	$879,379.00
Direct Program Implementation	$9,165.00
Program Evaluation	$1,350.00
Total expenditures to date	$4,277,799.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	34.58%
Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	563
Number of program applications *processed* to date[10]	563
Number of processed applications *approved* to date[11]	563
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	100.00%

NOTES:

[1]DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.

[2]There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.

[3]First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. ***Acquired*** kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.

[4]Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.

[5]Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the *monthly* goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.

[6] Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.

[7]The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures in stalled in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of thier useful life.

[8] Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

[9]These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

[10]An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

[11]The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for for programs in which there are ases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID[1]	**Central Hudson Gas & Electric**
Program Name	**Mid-Size Commercial Electric**
Program Type[2]	**Commercial Rebate**
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month[3]	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month[4]	1,376,945
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year *annual*[5] kWh Goal)	232,583
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	592.02%
Net Peak[6] kW acquired this month	693.45
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	60
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	1154.95%
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	N/A
Monthly Net Therm Goal	N/A
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	N/A
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	6,884,725
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	N/A
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	4,560,504
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	81.70%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	81.70%
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	4,560,504
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	1487
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	103.14%
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	103.14%
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	N/A
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	N/A
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date[7]	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	24,001,776
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	N/A
Committed[8] Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	1,141,610.00
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	5,708,050.00
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	96.59
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	N/A
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	N/A
Funds committed at this point in time	$914,820.00
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	2,518,555.00
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	790.04
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	N/A

Costs[9]	
Total program budget	$2,435,862.00
General Administration	$6,631.00
Program Planning	$0.00
Program Marketing	$0.00
Trade Ally Training	$0.00
Incentives and Services	$365,142.00
Direct Program Implementation	$4,504.00
Program Evaluation	$1,000.00
Total expenditures to date	$1,157,747.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	47.53%
Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	62
Number of program applications *processed* to date[10]	62
Number of processed applications *approved* to date[11]	62
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	100.00%

NOTES:

[1]DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.

[2]There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.

[3]First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. ***Acquired*** kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.

[4]Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.

[5]Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the *monthly* goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.

[6] Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.

[7]The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures in stalled in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of thier useful life.

[8] Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

[9]These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

[10]An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

[11]The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for for programs in which there are ases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Central Hudson Gas Electric
March 2011 Monthly Scorecard Report

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID[1]	**Central Hudson Gas & Electric**
Program Name	**Expanded Residential HVAC**
Program Type[2]	**Residential Rebate**
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month[3]	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month[4]	0
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year *annual*[5] kWh Goal)	70,857
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	0.0%
Net Peak[6] kW acquired this month	0
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	2.9
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	0.0%
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	N/A
Monthly Net Therm Goal	N/A
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	N/A
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	0.00
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	N/A
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	0
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	0.00%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	0.00%
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	0
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	0.0
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	0.0%
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	0.0%
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	N/A
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	N/A
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date[7]	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	0.00
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	N/A
Committed[8] Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	0
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	0
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	N/A
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	N/A
Funds committed at this point in time	$0.00
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	-
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	-
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	N/A

Costs[9]	
Total program budget	$483,500.00
General Administration	$0.00
Program Planning	$0.00
Program Marketing	$0.00
Trade Ally Training	$0.00
Incentives and Services	$0.00
Direct Program Implementation	$0.00
Program Evaluation	$0.00
Total expenditures to date	$30,528.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	6.31%
Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	14
Number of program applications *processed* to date[10]	14
Number of processed applications *approved* to date[11]	4
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	100.00%

NOTES:

[1]DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.

[2]There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.

[3]First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. ***Acquired*** kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.

[4]Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.

[5]Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the *monthly* goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.

[6] Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.

[7]The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures in stalled in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of thier useful life.

[8] Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

[9]These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

[10]An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

[11]The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for for programs in which there are ases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID[1]	**Central Hudson Gas & Electric**
Program Name	**Residential Appliance Recycling**
Program Type[2]	**Residential Rebate**
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month[3]	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month[4]	145,382
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year *annual*[5] kWh Goal)	185,524
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	78.4%
Net Peak[6] kW acquired this month	12.8
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	28.4
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	45.0%
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	N/A
Monthly Net Therm Goal	N/A
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	N/A
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	1,816,810.00
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	N/A
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	3,316,332
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	85.12%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	85.12%
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	3,316,332
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	404.5
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	67.8%
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	67.8%
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	N/A
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	N/A
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date[7]	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	16,581,660.00
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	N/A
Committed[8] Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	297,481
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	1,487,405
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	8.99
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	N/A
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	N/A
Funds committed at this point in time	$20,850.00
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	442,863
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	21.79
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	N/A

Costs[9]	
Total program budget	$1,779,000.00
General Administration	$3,951.00
Program Planning	$0.00
Program Marketing	$12,579.00
Trade Ally Training	$0.00
Incentives and Services	$5,150.00
Direct Program Implementation	$12,074.00
Program Evaluation	$1,000.00
Total expenditures to date	$687,277.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	38.63%
Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	5881
Number of program applications *processed* to date[10]	4459
Number of processed applications *approved* to date[11]	4421
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	75.82%

NOTES:

[1]DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.

[2]There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.

[3]First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. ***Acquired*** kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.

[4]Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.

[5]Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the *monthly* goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.

[6] Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.

[7]The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures in stalled in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of thier useful life.

[8] Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

[9]These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

[10]An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

[11]The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for for programs in which there are ases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID[1]	**Central Hudson Gas & Electric**
Program Name	**Residential Gas HVAC**
Program Type[2]	**Residential Rebate**
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month[3]	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month[4]	7,123
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year *annual*[5] kWh Goal)	
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	
Net Peak[6] kW acquired this month	0.00
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	12,302
Monthly Net Therm Goal	6,404
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	192.1%
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	35,615
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	61,510
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	88,976
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	88,976
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	3.46
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	3.46
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	101,220
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	52.7%
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	52.7%
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	101,220
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date[7]	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	442,913
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	503,931
Committed[8] Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	4,479
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	22,395
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	0.0
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	8,982
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	35,928
Funds committed at this point in time	$21,406.00
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	11,602
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	0.00
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	21,284
Costs[9]	
Total program budget	$662,426.00
General Administration	$11,265.00
Program Planning	$0.00
Program Marketing	$5,757.00
Trade Ally Training	$9,467.00
Incentives and Services	$19,554.00
Direct Program Implementation	$21,072.00
Program Evaluation	$2,000.00

Total expenditures to date	$360,928.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	54.49%
Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	838
Number of program applications *processed* to date[10]	739
Number of processed applications *approved* to date[11]	739
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	88.2%

NOTES:

[1]DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.

[2]There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.

[3]First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. ***Acquired*** kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.

[4]Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.

[5]Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the *monthly* goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.

[6] Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.

[7]The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures in stalled in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of thier useful life.

[8] Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

[9]These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

[10]An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

[11]The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for for programs in which there are ases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Central Hudson Gas Electric
March 2011 Monthly Scorecard Report

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID[1]	**Central Hudson Gas & Electric**
Program Name	**Commercial Gas**
Program Type[2]	**Residential Rebate**
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month[3]	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month[4]	0
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year *annual*[5] kWh Goal)	
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	
Net Peak[6] kW acquired this month	0
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	8,162
Monthly Net Therm Goal	2,356
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	346.5%
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	0.00
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	N/A
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	0
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	0.00%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	0.00%
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	0
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	0.0
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	0.0%
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	0.0%
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	9316.74
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	28%
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	28%
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	9316.74
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date[7]	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	0.00
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	N/A
Committed[8] Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	0
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	659
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	0
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	1,708
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	8,540
Funds committed at this point in time	$1,050.00
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	-
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	-
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	N/A

Costs[9]	
Total program budget	$235,350.00
General Administration	$428.00
Program Planning	$0.00
Program Marketing	$0.00
Trade Ally Training	$0.00
Incentives and Services	$24,835.00
Direct Program Implementation	$2,118.00
Program Evaluation	$0.00
Total expenditures to date	$36,351.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	15.45%
Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	69
Number of program applications *processed* to date[10]	51
Number of processed applications *approved* to date[11]	51
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	73.91%

NOTES:

[1]DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.

[2]There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.

[3]First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. ***Acquired*** kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.

[4]Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.

[5]Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the *monthly* goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.

[6] Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.

[7]The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures in stalled in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of thier useful life.

[8] Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

[9]These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

[10]An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

[11]The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for for programs in which there are ases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Central Hudson Gas Electric
March 2011 Monthly Scorecard Report

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program Name	Commercial Electric Financing
Program Type	Utility Based Financing
Small Commercial Program	
Number of 12-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	10
Number of 24-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	41
Total Number of Loans Issued this Month	51
Total Amount of Outstanding Financing Issued this Month	$ 119,933.00
Number of 12-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	21
Number of 24-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	92
Total Number of Loans Issued to Date	113
Total Amount of Financing Outstanding	$ 237,631.00
Total Number of Loans in Arrears	22
Total Amount of Financing in Arrears	$ 1,976.29
Mid Size Commercial Program	
Number of 12-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	0
Number of 24-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	1
Total Number of Loans Issued this Month	1
Total Amount of Outstanding Financing Issued this Month	$ 4,220.00
Number of 12-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	1
Number of 24-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	6
Total Number of Loans Issued to Date	7
Total Amount of Financing Outstanding	$ 62,469.00
Total Number of Loans in Arrears	2
Total Amount of Financing in Arrears	$ 1,227.39
Overall Commercial Programs	
Number of 12-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	10
Number of 24-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	42
Total Number of Loans Issued this Month	52
Total Amount of Outstanding Financing Issued this Month	$ 124,153.00
Number of 12-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	22
Number of 24-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	98
Total Number of Loans Issued to Date	120
Total Amount of Financing Outstanding	$ 300,100.00
Total Number of Loans in Arrears	24
Total Amount of Financing in Arrears	$ 3,203.68