

Public Service Commission

John B. Rhodes Chair and Chief Executive Officer

Gregg C. Sayre
Diane X. Burman
James S. Alesi
Commissioners

Thomas Congdon
Deputy Chair and
Executive Deputy
Paul Agresta
General Counsel
Kathleen H. Burgess
Secretary

Three Empire State Plaza, Albany, NY 12223-1350 www.dps.ny.gov

January 9, 2018

To the Utilities Addressed:

Subject: Case 17-M-0815 - Proceeding on Motion of the Commission on Changes in Law that May Affect Rates.

On December 22, 2017, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (Tax Act) was signed into law. The Tax Act makes significant changes to the federal tax structure, which will impact the tax liabilities of New York's utilities, as well as the associated deferred tax ratemaking. Most immediate is the reduction of the utilities' corporate federal income tax rate from 35% to 21%, which is not reflected in the companies' current rates. Utilities may also be impacted by other aspects of the legislation including the treatment of bonus depreciation and net operating losses.

On December 29, 2017, the Commission instituted a proceeding to commence the process of addressing the impact of the Tax Act on the State's utilities and ratepayers. The identified first steps of the process are to solicit information from the utilities to identify and quantify the impact of the Tax Act, schedule a technical conference with the utilities, and issue a Staff proposal within 90 days for treatment of the tax changes, the goal of which will be preserving any net tax benefits for ratepayers. In order to facilitate discussion at the upcoming technical conference, assist in the development of the Staff proposal that is expected to address ratemaking issues and alternatives, and assess the impact of the tax revisions contained within the Tax Act, utilities are asked to respond by January 31, 2018 to the questions in Attachment 1. Please

provide separate answers for each segment of your utility operations, i.e. electric, gas, steam and water.

Responses should be provided to Ronald Calkins at ronald.calkins@dps.ny.gov and Luke Quackenbush at luke.quackenbush@dps.ny.gov. Should you have any questions pertaining to the information requested, or would like further assistance, please contact either Ron at (518)474-8717 or Luke at (518)486-2870.

Sincerely,

Doris D. Stout, Director Office of Accounting, Audits

Dous D Stout

and Finance

Attachment

The following questions pertains to the Tax Cuts and Jobs Acts signed into law on December 22, 2017:

A. General

- 1. Identify, and provide a description, of each tax change that is expected to impact the utility company.
- 2. Provide the date, and rationale, the utility company expects each tax change to become effective for its operations.

B. Corporate Tax Rate

- 1. Identify the effects of the tax rate change for years 2018 and 2019, and, and provide all calculations and assumptions.
 - (a) Current federal income tax expense
 - (b) Deferred federal income tax expense
 (originating and reversing)
- 2. Identify the effective date of the corporate tax rate change for the utility company, and indicate and explain if the effective date of the change will result in a blended tax rate being used for the first year? If yes, identify the blended rate and show all calculations. If no, explain why there will be no blended rate.

C. Excess Deferred Tax Reserve

- 1. As a result of the tax rate change from 35% to 21%, what is the estimate value of the excess accumulated deferred federal income tax (ADFIT) balance as of December 31, 2017? Provide all calculations. Provide the breakdown of the excess ADFIT by:
 - (a) Accelerated Depreciation (i.e. protected)

- (b) Other tax timing differences (i.e. unprotected)
- 2. As a result of the tax rate change from 35% to 21%, what is the estimated value of the excess ADFIT balance as of December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2019? Provide all calculations. Provide the breakdown of the identified excess ADFIT by:
 - (a) Accelerated Depreciation (i.e. protected)
 - (b) Other tax timing differences (i.e. unprotected)
- 3. For the estimated value of the excess ADFIT balances at 12/31/17 and at 12/31/18:
 - (a) To be consistent with the Commission's identified goal of preserving the net tax benefits for ratepayers, explain how the Company will account for the excess ADFIT.
 - (b) Provide the calculation and the specific accounting the Company plans to implement to record and return the excess ADFIT to ratepayers. Identify all assumptions.
 - (c) Identify and explain any and all restrictions the utility company believes exists on the allowed amortization of the excess ADFIT balances. This includes addressing any timing restrictions.
 - (d) Identify and explain any and all natural unwinding that would occur of the excess ADFIT balances.
- 4. Regarding normalization:
 - (a) What is the effect of the normalization rules applicable to the excess ADFIT balances for the years 2018 and 2019. State how the utility will apply the normalization rules to the excess ADFIT balances.
 - (b) Identify, and provide the rationale, for which method of normalization the utility

company employs or will employ, specifically the Average Rate Assumption Method (ARAM) or the Reverse South Georgia Method (RGSM).

(c) Has the utility company maintained sufficient records, i.e. the requisite vintage records, to use the ARAM? If no, explain why not.

D. FAS 109

- 1. As a result of the tax rate change from 35% to 21%, the FAS 109 accounts will need to be revalued.
 - (a) What will be the effect on FAS 109 accounts balances as of December 31, 2017?
 - (b) Will the revaluation of the FAS 109 accounts impact operating income? If so, what is the expected dollar impact and the rationale?
 - (c) Provide the proposed journal entries resulting from the tax rate change with estimated dollar amounts, for the FAS 109 account balances

E. Net Operating Losses

- 1. Currently, what is the amount of net operating tax losses available to the utility company?
- 2. How will the changes contained in the Tax Act related to deduction of net operating losses effect the utility company?

F. Bonus Depreciation

- 1. What is the effect on the utility company due to the bonus depreciation changes contained within the Tax Act for the year 2017? The response should at a minimum address cash flow changes, revenue requirement effects/changes, as well any other changes the utility company expects. Provide supporting calculations, and identify all assumptions.
- 2. What is the effect on the utility company due to the bonus depreciation changes contained within the Tax

Act for the years 2018 and 2019? The response should at a minimum address cash flow changes, revenue requirement effects/changes, as well any other changes the utility company expects. Provide supporting calculations, and identify all assumptions.

3. In the utility company's current effective rate plan, are there any provisions that would be applicable, and automatically capture the impacts, of the Tax Act change in the treatment of bonus depreciation? If yes, please explain.

G. Interest Expense Deduction Limitation

- 1. For ratemaking purposes, does the utility utilize a consolidated or standalone capital structure?
- 2. Will the limitation of interest deductibility, have any impact on the utility operations, and any revenue requirement impact? If yes, explain in full, and provide the supporting calculations of the various impacts that are expected.

H. Interest Coverage, Cash Flow and Bond Ratings

1. Assuming the company's revenues are adjusted to reflect the various tax law changes contained within the Tax Act, what is the expected impact on the utility company's (a) cash flow, (b) interest coverage, and (c) bond ratings for the years 2018 and 2019?

I. Other Items

- Individually identify any other provision changes contained within the Tax Act, not mentioned above, which will have an impact on the utility company's revenue requirements. Which of the provision changes is expected to have a material impact?
- 2. Identify the revenue requirement effects for each of the identified items for each of the years 2018 and

- 2019. Provide supporting calculations and identify all assumptions.
- 3. For each of the calendar years 2018 and 2019, identify how the utility company will account for the revenue requirement impact of the tax law changes contained within the Tax Act.
- 4. In the Commission's December 29, 2017 Order, it identified the goal of preserving the net tax benefits resulting from the changes within the Tax Act for ratepayers. There are various options to provide the benefits to ratepayers, e.g. deferral approach until next rate case, implementation of a sur-credit, implementation in a second stage of a multi-year plan, rate case reopener, offset of other deferrals, etc.

What is the utility company's proposal for treatment of the net tax benefits, and how do you propose ratepayers receive this net benefit amount? Explain why this proposed approach is the most optimal.

5. Identify the carrying charge rate that should be utilized pending return of the net tax benefits to customers. Provide the rationale for use of this rate.