

**Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Residential Electric HVAC
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report**

January 27, 2011

In compliance with the “Order Approving ‘Fast Track’ Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications” (Issued and Effective January 16, 2009), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #17, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. (“Central Hudson”) submits the following monthly “scorecard report” and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Residential Electric HVAC Program
Reporting period:	December 2011
Report Contact person(s):	<p>Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956</p> <p>Amanda J. DiMaso, Energy Efficiency adimaso@cenhud.com (845) 486-5565</p>

1. Program Status

Central Hudson offers its residential electric HVAC program to its customers under the umbrella name “Home Energy SavingsCentral.” This name is used for marketing purposes to eliminate customer confusion. This program has been operational since May 18, 2009. Central Hudson contracted Honeywell Utility Solutions as the implementation contractor for this program. Central Hudson is offering this electric energy efficiency program as detailed below:

➤ **Home Energy SavingsCentral**

Under the Residential Electric HVAC program, Central Hudson residential electric customers can receive equipment rebates for eligible energy efficiency equipment and measures to existing homes including eligible: central air conditioners (\$400 - \$600), central air source heat pumps (\$400 - \$600), ECM furnace fans (\$200), heat pump water heaters (\$400), programmable thermostats (\$25) and duct and air sealing (up to \$600). All efficiency eligibility levels and rebate levels are as detailed in the above referenced order.

Funding approved for 2009 and 2010 will be expended into 2011 for this program to attain cumulative 2009-2011 program goals.

2. Performance Relative to Key Goals

During the month of December 1,344,800 kWh were acquired under this program. To date, this program has achieved 2,812,290 kWh of annual electric savings, approximately 141% of the cumulative program goal.

3. Program Implementation Activities

(a) Marketing Activities

During the month of December, marketing activities included Internet, social media, customer outreach, trade ally outreach, and video channels.

Internet

There were 1,347 unique visitors to SavingsCentral.com in December.

Social media

Central Hudson posted information regarding the Federal Tax Credit for Energy Efficiency, Residential Natural Gas Rebates, and Electric vehicles via Facebook on December 8th, 19th, and 28th.

Customer Outreach

On December 6th Central Hudson had a table at the Orange County Partnership Annual Event at Anthony's Pier 9 in New Windsor to promote our energy efficiency programs. On December 7th Central Hudson made a presentation to the Ellenville Chamber of Commerce on commercial and residential energy efficiency programs.

Trade Ally Outreach

Letters and email were sent to all residential Trade Allies in order to have them sign new participation agreements for new energy efficiency programs in 2012.

Video

The "Energy Efficiency Pays Off with Central Hudson" television commercial was aired during Monday Night Football on ESPN for Time Warner Cable and Mid-Hudson Cable Vision customer campaign. This commercial and the four satisfied customer web videos continue to be viewed through the Energy Efficiency Video Channel on CentralHudson.com and through Youtube.com.

Events for December are listed below. Public events are listed and updated regularly at www.SavingsCentral.com/events.html.

December 2011 OUTREACH AND EVENTS CALENDAR

<u>Date</u>	<u>Event/meeting</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Audience</u>
Dec. 2	Commercial Lighting Seminar	Montgomery	Chamber community
Dec. 6	Orange Co. Partnership Event	New Windsor	Business customers
Dec. 7	Ellenville Chamber Meeting	Ellenville	Chamber community
Dec. 15	Commercial Lighting Seminar	Poughkeepsie	Business customers

(b) Evaluation Activities

Central Hudson contracted with Applied Energy Group (AEG) to perform a process evaluation. AEG has many years of previous experience in performing process and impact evaluations of energy efficiency programs. Process evaluation activities are expected to be completed in 2011.

(c) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, and collaborative outreach.

Central Hudson is represented on the EEPS Implementation Advisory Group (IAG) and Evaluation Advisory Group (IAG) as well as various subcommittees committed to accomplishing tactical goals. Central Hudson has also participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the "Joint Utility" operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

4. Customer Complaints and/or Disputes

There have been no customer complaints and/or disputes.

5. Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing

There have been no updates to staffing or contractors since the previous scorecard.

6. Additional Issues

There are no additional issues for this program.

**Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Residential Natural Gas HVAC
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report**

January 27, 2011

In compliance with the “Order Approving ‘Fast Track’ Utility-Administered Gas Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications” (Issued and Effective April 9, 2009), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #14, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. (“Central Hudson”) submits the following monthly “scorecard report” and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Residential Natural Gas HVAC Program
Reporting period:	December 2011
Report Contact person(s):	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956 Amanda J. DiMaso, Energy Efficiency adimaso@cenhud.com (845) 486-5565

1. Program Status

Central Hudson is offering its residential natural gas program to its customers under the umbrella name “Home Energy SavingsCentral.” This name is being used for marketing purposes to eliminate customer confusion. This program has been operational since July 1, 2009. Central Hudson has contracted Honeywell Utility as the implementation contractor for this program. Central Hudson is offering this natural gas energy efficiency program as detailed below:

➤ **Home Energy SavingsCentral**

Under the Residential Natural Gas HVAC Program, Central Hudson residential natural gas customers can receive rebates for the installation of energy efficient natural gas equipment including efficient furnaces (\$140), furnaces with ECM fans (\$280 - \$420), steam and water boilers (\$350 to \$700), indirect water heaters (\$210), programmable thermostats (\$18), and duct and air sealing (up to \$420). All efficiency eligibility levels and rebate levels are as ordered in the “Order Approving Three New Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (EEPS) Programs and Enhancing Funding and Making Other Modifications for Other EEPS Programs” (issued and effective June 24, 2010.)

The enhanced funding portion of this program has been operational since October 1, 2010.

2. Performance Relative to Key Goals

During the month of December, 16,334 Therms were acquired under this program. To date the program has acquired 171,070 Therms, or approximately 89% of the program's cumulative natural gas savings goal.

The program has also acquired 135,153 kWh of annual electrical savings to date. This program has no electrical savings goal.

3. Program Implementation Activities

(a) Marketing Activities

During the month of December, marketing activities included Internet, social media, customer outreach, trade ally outreach, and video channels.

Internet

There were 1,347 unique visitors to SavingsCentral.com in December.

Social media

Central Hudson posted information regarding the Federal Tax Credit for Energy Efficiency, Residential Natural Gas Rebates, and Electric vehicles via Facebook on December 8th, 19th, and 28th.

Customer Outreach

On December 6th Central Hudson had a table at the Orange County Partnership Annual Event at Anthony's Pier 9 in New Windsor to promote our energy efficiency programs. On December 7th Central Hudson made a presentation to the Ellenville Chamber of Commerce on commercial and residential energy efficiency programs.

Trade Ally Outreach

Letters and email were sent to all residential Trade Allies in order to have them sign new participation agreements for new energy efficiency programs in 2012.

Video

The "Energy Efficiency Pays Off with Central Hudson" television commercial was aired during Monday Night Football on ESPN for Time Warner Cable and Mid-Hudson Cable Vision customer campaign. This commercial and the four satisfied customer web videos continue to be viewed through the Energy Efficiency Video Channel on CentralHudson.com and through Youtube.com.

Events for December are listed below. Public events are listed and updated regularly at www.SavingsCentral.com/events.html.

Events for December are listed below. Public events are listed and updated regularly at www.SavingsCentral.com/events.html.

December 2011 OUTREACH AND EVENTS CALENDAR

<u>Date</u>	<u>Event/meeting</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Audience</u>
Dec. 2	Commercial Lighting Seminar	Montgomery	Chamber community
Dec. 6	Orange Co. Partnership Event	New Windsor	Business customers
Dec. 7	Ellenville Chamber Meeting	Ellenville	Chamber community
Dec. 15	Commercial Lighting Seminar	Poughkeepsie	Business customers

(b) Evaluation Activities

Central Hudson contracted with Applied Energy Group (AEG) to perform a process evaluation. AEG has many years of previous experience in performing process and impact evaluations of energy efficiency programs. Process evaluation activities are expected to be completed in 2011.

Central Hudson plans to perform a joint impact evaluation for this program with other program administrators in New York. This will leverage the benefit of having similar programs throughout the state and ensure the highest quality evaluation possible under the program budget.

(c) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, collaborative outreach, and web content.

Central Hudson is represented on the EEPS Implementation Advisory Group (IAG) and Evaluation Advisory Group (IAG) as well as various subcommittees committed to accomplishing tactical goals. Central Hudson has also participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the "Joint Utility" operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

4. Customer Complaints and/or Disputes

There have been no customer complaints and/or disputes.

5. Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing

There have been no updates to staffing or contractors since the previous scorecard.

6. Additional Issues

There are no additional issues for this program.

**Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Small Business Electric
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report**

January 27, 2011

In compliance with the “Order Approving ‘Fast Track’ Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications” (Issued and Effective January 16, 2009), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #17, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. (“Central Hudson”) submits the following monthly “scorecard report” and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Small Business Electric Program
Reporting period:	December 2011
Report Contact person(s):	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956 Amanda J. DiMaso, Energy Efficiency adimaso@cenhud.com (845) 486-5565

1. Program Status

Central Hudson is offering its electric energy efficiency program to its eligible small business customers as detailed below. This program has been operational since May 18, 2009.

➤ **Small Business Program**

As of November 1, 2010, Central Hudson’s Business energy savings program is being delivered under a Direct Install model. The customer receives a free energy audit, followed by a comprehensive proposal for an energy efficient lighting solution, and turn-key project services such that 70% of the total project cost is covered by Central Hudson incentives. Central Hudson has contracted with Alliance Energy Solutions to act as the program’s direct installer. Eligible customers include all non-residential customers below 100kW demand¹. Eligible measures include interior lighting and lighting controls.

Funding approved for 2009 and 2010 will be expended into 2011 for this program to attain cumulative 2009-2011 program goals.

¹ Based on the most recent 12-month demand average on a rolling basis.

As discussed in the recent letter to Mr. Floyd Barwig, Central Hudson applied a downward adjustment to the incentive level for the Commercial Electric Program from the current 70% incentive level to a 60% incentive level. This adjustment is being applied to uncommitted incentive funds from both the original program authorization and the recently authorized incremental incentive funds.

2. Performance Relative to Key Goals

During the month of December, 2,335,349 kWh of annual energy savings were acquired under this program. To date, 34,052,288 kWh of electrical savings have been achieved under this program, or approximately 85% of the program’s cumulative savings target.

The original incentive budget of \$3,371,926 has been completely expended. Newly completed projects have been funded through the enhanced funding of \$1,000,000 for incentives under this program. The tables below illustrate total expenditures and energy impacts associated with each funding bucket.

Small Business Program Incentive Budget Breakdown

Funding Bucket	Original	New	Total
Total Incentive Budget	\$8,727,042	\$2,150,000	\$10,877,042
Incentives Spent	\$8,727,042	\$175,073	\$8,902,115
Incentives Currently Committed	\$0	\$1,314,216	\$1,314,216
Total Incentives Acquired and/or committed to date	\$8,727,042	\$1,489,289	\$10,216,331

Small Business Program Incentive Savings Breakdown

Funding Bucket	Original	New	Total
Cumulative kWh Savings Acquired	33,343,959	708,329	34,052,288
KWh Currently Committed	0	5,706,988	5,706,988
Cumulative kWh Acquired and/or committed to date	33,343,959	6,415,317	39,759,276

3. Program Implementation Activities

a) Marketing Activities

During the month of December, marketing activities included news release, social media, customer outreach, and direct mail.

News Release

There was a news release on how the commercial lighting program saved money and energy for the New York State Bridge Authority on December 9th. On December 19th, there was a news release on how this program saved money and energy for the Wallkill Central School District.

Internet

Central Hudson posted via Facebook regarding the Commercial Lighting Program on December 12th and 20th.

There was a web advertisement on Dutchess County Regional Chamber of Commerce Emails.

Customer Outreach

Program brochures were distributed at the following events:

- Dec.6 - Orange County Partnership Annual Event in New Windsor
- Dec. 7 – Ellenville Chamber of Commerce
- Dec. 15- Commercial Lighting Seminar with Orange & Rockland Utilities at Central Hudson Headquarters in Poughkeepsie

Direct Mail

Letters prepared and sent to approximately 18,000 commercial customers to promote free CFL offer for their business. Letters were also sent to commercial customers explaining the program will end in 2011.

Events for December are listed below. Public events are listed and updated regularly at www.SavingsCentral.com/events.html.

December 2011 OUTREACH AND EVENTS CALENDAR

<u>Date</u>	<u>Event/meeting</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Audience</u>
Dec. 2	Commercial Lighting Seminar	Montgomery	Chamber community
Dec. 6	Orange Co. Partnership Event	New Windsor	Business customers
Dec. 7	Ellenville Chamber Meeting	Ellenville	Chamber community
Dec. 15	Commercial Lighting Seminar	Poughkeepsie	Business customers

(b) Evaluation Activities

Central Hudson contracted with Applied Energy Group (AEG) to perform a process evaluation. AEG has many years of previous experience in performing process and impact evaluations of energy

efficiency programs. Process evaluation activities are expected to be completed in 2011.

(c) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSEERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, collaborative outreach, and web content.

Central Hudson is represented on the EEPAC Implementation Advisory Group (IAG) and Evaluation Advisory Group (IAG) as well as various subcommittees committed to accomplishing tactical goals. Central Hudson has also participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the "Joint Utility" operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

4. Customer Complaints and/or Disputes

There have been no customer complaints and/or disputes.

5. Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing

Central Hudson has contracted with Alliance Energy Solutions to deliver this program as the commercial Direct Installer.

6. Additional Issues

There are no additional issues.

**Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Mid-Size Commercial Business Electric
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report**

January 27, 2011

In compliance with the “Order Approving Certain Commercial and Industrial Customer Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications” (Issued and Effective October 23, 2009), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #4, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. (“Central Hudson”) submits the following monthly “scorecard report” and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Mid-Size Commercial Business Electric Program
Reporting period:	December 2011
Report Contact person(s):	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956 Amanda J. DiMaso, Energy Efficiency adimaso@cenhud.com (845) 486-5565

1. Program Status

Central Hudson is offering its electric energy efficiency program to its eligible mid-size commercial customers as detailed below. This program has been operational since January 1, 2010.

➤ **Mid-Size Business Program**

As of November 1, 2010, Central Hudson’s Business energy savings program is being delivered under a Direct Install model. The customer receives a free energy audit, followed by a comprehensive proposal for an energy efficient lighting solution, and turn-key project services such that 70% of the total project cost is covered by Central Hudson incentives. Central Hudson has contracted with Alliance Energy Solutions to act as the program’s direct installer. Eligible customers include all non-residential customers between 100 and 350kW demand². Eligible measures include interior lighting and lighting controls.

² Based on the most recent 12-month demand average on a rolling basis.

Funding approved for 2009 and 2010 will be expended into 2011 for this program to attain cumulative 2009-2011 program goals.

As discussed in the recent letter to Mr. Floyd Barwig, Central Hudson applied a downward adjustment to the incentive level for the Commercial Electric Program from the current 70% incentive level to a 60% incentive level. This adjustment is being applied to uncommitted incentive funds from both the original program authorization and the recently authorized incremental incentive funds.

2. Performance Relative to Key Goals

During the month of December, 417,462 kWh of annual energy savings were acquired under this program. To date, 15,855,785 kWh of electrical savings have been achieved under this program, or approximately 149% of the program's cumulative savings target.

The original incentive budget of \$3,371,926 has been completely expended. Newly completed projects have been funded through the enhanced funding of \$1,000,000 for incentives under this program. The tables below illustrate total expenditures and energy impacts associated with each funding bucket.

Mid-Size Business Program Incentive Budget Breakdown

Funding Bucket	Original	New	Total
Total Incentive Budget	\$3,371,926	\$1,350,000	\$4,721,926
Incentives Spent	\$3,371,926	\$711,626	\$4,083,552
Incentives Currently Committed	\$0	\$638,374	\$638,374
Total Incentives Acquired and/or committed to date	\$3,371,926	\$1,350,000	\$4,721,926

Mid-Size Business Program Incentive Savings Breakdown

Funding Bucket	Original	New	Total
Cumulative kWh Savings Acquired	12,887,455	2,968,330	15,855,785
KWh Currently Committed	0	2,430,450	2,430,450
Cumulative kWh Acquired and/or committed to date	12,887,455	5,398,780	18,286,235

3. Program Implementation Activities

b) Marketing Activities

During the month of December, marketing activities included news release, social media, customer outreach, and direct mail.

News Release

There was a news release on how the commercial lighting program saved money and energy for the New York State Bridge Authority on December 9th. On December 19th, there was a news release on how this program saved money and energy for the Wallkill Central School District.

Internet

Central Hudson posted via Facebook regarding the Commercial Lighting Program on December 12th and 20th.

There was a web advertisement on Dutchess County Regional Chamber of Commerce Emails.

Customer Outreach

Program brochures were distributed at the following events:

- Dec.6 - Orange County Partnership Annual Event in New Windsor
- Dec. 7 – Ellenville Chamber of Commerce
- Dec. 15- Commercial Lighting Seminar with Orange & Rockland Utilities at Central Hudson Headquarters in Poughkeepsie

Direct Mail

Letters prepared and sent to approximately 18,000 commercial customers to promote free CFL offer for their business. Letters were also sent to commercial customers explaining the program will end in 2011.

Events for December are listed below. Public events are listed and updated regularly at www.SavingsCentral.com/events.html.

December 2011 OUTREACH AND EVENTS CALENDAR

<u>Date</u>	<u>Event/meeting</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Audience</u>
Dec. 2	Commercial Lighting Seminar	Montgomery	Chamber community
Dec. 6	Orange Co. Partnership Event	New Windsor	Business customers
Dec. 7	Ellenville Chamber Meeting	Ellenville	Chamber community
Dec. 15	Commercial Lighting Seminar	Poughkeepsie	Business customers

(b) Evaluation Activities

Central Hudson contracted with Applied Energy Group (AEG) to perform a process evaluation. AEG has many years of previous experience in performing process and impact evaluations of energy efficiency programs. Process evaluation activities are expected to be completed in 2011.

(c) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSEERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, collaborative outreach, and web content.

Central Hudson is represented on the EEPS Implementation Advisory Group (IAG) and Evaluation Advisory Group (IAG) as well as various subcommittees committed to accomplishing tactical goals. Central Hudson has also participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the "Joint Utility" operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

4. Customer Complaints and/or Disputes

There have been no customer complaints and/or disputes.

5. Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing

Central Hudson has contracted with Alliance Energy Solutions to deliver this program as the commercial Direct Installer.

6. Additional Issues

There are no additional issues.

**Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Residential Appliance Recycling
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report**

January 27, 2011

In compliance with the “Order Approving Certain Commercial and Industrial; Residential; and Low-Income Residential Customer Energy Efficiency Programs with Modifications” (Issued and Effective January 4, 2010), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #4, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. (“Central Hudson”) submits the following monthly “scorecard report” and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Residential Appliance Recycling Program
Reporting period:	December 2011
Report Contact person(s):	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956 Amanda J. DiMaso, Energy Efficiency adimaso@cenhud.com (845) 486-5565

1. Program Status

Central Hudson is offering the Residential Appliance Recycling program to customers. The room air conditioner portion of this program became operational May 15, 2010 and concluded on September 15, 2010. The refrigerator/freezer portion has been operational since June 21, 2010. Central Hudson is offering this electric energy efficiency program as detailed below:

- **Residential Appliance Recycling** has offered two types of incentives:

1) Room Air Conditioners. Residential customers turned in their old, operational window or through-the-wall air conditioner at a participating retailer and had it recycled at no cost. After receiving a proof of recycling certificate, they qualified for a rebate toward the purchase of a new, ENERGY STAR® air conditioner from any retailer. This is a mail-in rebate. The air conditioner part of this program concluded on Sept. 15, 2010. This portion of the program will not be offered in 2011.

2) Refrigerators and Freezers. Central Hudson is offering a \$50 incentive to residential customers to pick up their old, working refrigerator or freezer (sized between 10 and 30 cubic feet). Customers schedule pick-ups by phone or online at www.SavingsCentral.com or www.recyclemyappliance.com. All equipment will be properly and responsibly recycled.

2. Performance Relative to Key Goals

During the month of December, 1,501,801 kWh were acquired under this program. To date, this program has acquired 8,516,949 kWh of annual electrical savings, approximately 219% of the program’s cumulative energy savings goal.

Program Implementation Activities

Marketing Activities

During December, Central Hudson promoted appliance recycling through Internet and video channels.

Internet

There were 504 visits to www.RecycleMyAppliance.com during December.

Video

The “Energy Efficiency Pays Off with Central Hudson” and “Lucky the Dog” television commercials were aired during Monday Night Football on ESPN for Time Warner Cable and Mid-Hudson Cable Vision customer campaign. This commercial and the four satisfied customer web videos continue to be viewed through the Energy Efficiency Video Channel on CentralHudson.com and through Youtube.com.

Events for December are listed below. Public events are listed and updated regularly at www.SavingsCentral.com/events.html.

December 2011 OUTREACH AND EVENTS CALENDAR

<u>Date</u>	<u>Event/meeting</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Audience</u>
Dec. 2	Commercial Lighting Seminar	Montgomery	Chamber community
Dec. 6	Orange Co. Partnership Event	New Windsor	Business customers
Dec. 7	Ellenville Chamber Meeting	Ellenville	Chamber community
Dec. 15	Commercial Lighting Seminar	Poughkeepsie	Business customers

(b) Evaluation Activities

Central Hudson contracted with Applied Energy Group (AEG) to perform a process evaluation. AEG has many years of previous experience in performing process and impact evaluations of energy

efficiency programs. Process evaluation activities are expected to be completed in 2011.

(c) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, collaborative outreach, and web content.

Central Hudson is represented on the EEPS Implementation Advisory Group (IAG) and Evaluation Advisory Group (IAG) as well as various subcommittees committed to accomplishing tactical goals. Central Hudson has also participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the "Joint Utility" operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

Customer Complaints and/or Disputes

There have been no customer complaints and/or disputes.

Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing

There have been no updates to staffing or contractors since the previous scorecard.

Additional Issues

There are no additional issues

**Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Commercial Gas Program
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report**

January 27, 2011

In compliance with the “Order Approving Three New Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (EEPS) Programs Enhancing Funding and Making Other Modifications for Other EEPS Programs” (Issued and Effective June 24, 2009), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #7, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. (“Central Hudson”) submits the following monthly “scorecard report” and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Commercial Natural Gas Program
Reporting period:	December 2011
Report Contact person(s):	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956 Amanda J. DiMaso, Energy Efficiency adimaso@cenhud.com (845) 486-5565

1. Program Status

Central Hudson is offering this commercial natural gas energy efficiency program as detailed below:

➤ **Commercial Natural Gas Program**

Under the Residential Natural Gas HVAC Program, Central Hudson residential natural gas customers can receive rebates for the installation of energy efficient natural gas equipment including efficient furnaces (\$140), furnaces with ECM fans (\$500 - \$900), steam and water boilers (\$800 to \$1,200), indirect water heaters (\$300), and programmable thermostats (\$25). All efficiency eligibility levels and rebate levels are as ordered in the “Order Approving Three New Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (EEPS) Programs and Enhancing Funding and Making Other Modifications for Other EEPS Programs” (issued and effective June 24, 2010.)

This program has been operational since October 1, 2010.

2. Performance Relative to Key Goals

During the month of December, 3,232 therms of natural gas savings were acquired under this program. To date, this program has acquired 18,700 Therms of natural gas savings, or 57% of the cumulative program goal.

The program has also acquired 3,517 kWh of annual electrical savings to date. This program has no electrical savings goal.

3. Program Implementation Activities

(a) Marketing Activities

During December, Central Hudson promoted this program through trade ally outreach.

Trade Ally Outreach

Letters and email were sent to all residential Trade Allies in order to have new signed participation agreements for new energy efficiency programs in 2012.

Events for December are listed below. Public events are listed and updated regularly at www.SavingsCentral.com/events.html.

December 2011 OUTREACH AND EVENTS CALENDAR

<u>Date</u>	<u>Event/meeting</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Audience</u>
Dec. 2	Commercial Lighting Seminar	Montgomery	Chamber community
Dec. 6	Orange Co. Partnership Event	New Windsor	Business customers
Dec. 7	Ellenville Chamber Meeting	Ellenville	Chamber community
Dec. 15	Commercial Lighting Seminar	Poughkeepsie	Business customers

(b) Evaluation Activities

A program evaluation plan is currently under development.

(c) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, collaborative outreach, and web content.

Central Hudson is represented on the EEPS Implementation Advisory Group (IAG) and Evaluation Advisory Group (IAG) as well as various subcommittees committed to accomplishing tactical goals. Central Hudson has also participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the "Joint Utility" operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

Customer Complaints and/or Disputes

There have been no complaints or disputes.

Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing

There have been no updates to staffing or contractors since the previous scorecard.

Additional Issues

There are no additional issues for this program.

**Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Home Energy Reports Program – Electric
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report**

January 27, 2011

In compliance with the “Order on Rehearing Granting Petition for Rehearing” (Issued and Effective December 3, 2010), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #3, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. (“Central Hudson”) submits the following monthly “scorecard report” and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Home Energy Reports Program-Electric
Reporting period:	December 2011
Report Contact person(s):	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956 Amanda J. DiMaso, Energy Efficiency adimaso@cenhud.com (845) 486-5565

Program Status

Central Hudson is offering this commercial natural gas energy efficiency program as detailed below:

➤ **Home Energy Reports Program**

The purpose of the Home Energy Reporting Program is to help Central Hudson’s residential customers make behavioral changes in regards to energy usage when seeing how they compare to that of their “neighbors.” The targeted 110,000 customers to receive the home energy reports during the 15-month duration will be based on energy consumption patterns, housing data, past program participation, and demographic area.

This program has been operational since May, 2011.

The spending schedules in 2011 and 2012 differ from that in the “Order On Rehearing Granting Petition for Rehearing” (Issued and Effective December 3, 2010). The expenditures are based on the schedule and implementation plan of OPOWER. Of the total electric budget of

\$1,038,751, approximately 85% will be spent in 2011, while the remaining 15% in 2012. The yearly costs of both the electric and gas component are based on the timetable of the Home Energy Reporting Program. The total budgets for the program and energy savings are the same as those in the Order.

Performance Relative to Key Goals

Energy savings for this program will be reported quarterly. This program has acquired 4,459,000 kWh of energy savings through the third quarter of 2011, or approximately 74% of the program's annual goal. This figure has been calculated using Opower's measurement & verification approach outlined in the program's implementation plan. Natural gas savings for this program have not yet been measured.

The accuracy of the energy savings measurements made for this program may increase over time. This figure may be revised over time as more accurate measurements are completed before the end of the year. A final 2011 savings figure will be included in fourth quarter report.

Program Implementation Activities

(a) Marketing Activities

During the month of December, there were no marketing activities for this program

(b) Evaluation Activities

No evaluation activities have begun for this program.

(c) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, collaborative outreach, and web content.

Central Hudson is represented on the EEPS Implementation Advisory Group (IAG) and Evaluation Advisory Group (IAG) as well as various subcommittees committed to accomplishing tactical goals. Central Hudson has also participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the "Joint Utility" operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

4. Customer Complaints and/or Disputes

There have been no complaints or disputes.

5. Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing

Central Hudson has contracted with Opower to implement this program.

6. Additional Issues

There are no additional issues for this program.

**Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Home Energy Reports Program - Gas
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report**

January 27, 2011

In compliance with the “Order on Rehearing Granting Petition for Rehearing” (Issued and Effective December 3, 2010), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #3, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. (“Central Hudson”) submits the following monthly “scorecard report” and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Home Energy Reports Program-Gas
Reporting period:	December 2011
Report Contact person(s):	Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956 Amanda J. DiMaso, Energy Efficiency adimaso@cenhud.com (845) 486-5565

Program Status

Central Hudson is offering this commercial natural gas energy efficiency program as detailed below:

➤ **Home Energy Reports Program**

The purpose of the Home Energy Reporting Program is to help Central Hudson’s residential customers make behavioral changes in regards to energy usage when seeing how they compare to that of their “neighbors.” The targeted 110,000 customers to receive the home energy reports during the 15-month duration will be based on energy consumption patterns, housing data, past program participation, and demographic area.

This program has been operational since May, 2011.

The spending schedules in 2011 and 2012 differ from that in the “Order On Rehearing Granting Petition for Rehearing” (Issued and Effective December 3, 2010). The expenditures are based on the schedule and implementation plan of OPOWER. Of the total gas budget of \$346,250, approximately 83% will be spent in 2011, while the remaining 17% in 2012. The yearly costs of both the electric and gas component are

based on the timetable of the Home Energy Reporting Program. The total budgets for the program and energy savings are the same as those in the Order.

Performance Relative to Key Goals

Home Energy Reports have been sent out to approximately 110,000 customers in throughout 2011. Energy savings for this program will be reported as measurement and verification are performed by Central Hudson's program delivery contractor.

Program Implementation Activities

(a) Marketing Activities

During the month of December there were no marketing activities for this program

(b) Evaluation Activities

No evaluation activities have begun for this program.

(c) Other Activities

Central Hudson is an active participant on the Energy Efficiency Program Administrator Collaborative (EEPAC) with NYSERDA and the other New York State utilities. Central Hudson is an active participant on the Steering Committee and working groups discussing double dipping / counting, referrals, contractor qualifications, training, collaborative outreach, and web content.

Central Hudson is represented on the EEPS Implementation Advisory Group (IAG) and Evaluation Advisory Group (IAG) a well as various subcommittees committed to accomplishing tactical goals. Central Hudson has also participated in numerous conference calls and meetings with the other utilities in New York State (called the "Joint Utility" operational group) to discuss program operation and structure to minimize market confusion and promote best practices.

4. Customer Complaints and/or Disputes

There have been no complaints or disputes.

5. Changes to Subcontractors or Staffing

Central Hudson has contracted with Opower to implement this program.

6. Additional Issues

There are no additional issues for this program.

**Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.
Commercial Financing Program
Energy Efficiency Program
Monthly Scorecard Report**

January 27, 2011

In compliance with the “Order Approving A Petition to Provide 0% Financing as Part of Small Commercial and Mid-Size Commercial Business Programs” (Issued and Effective October 14, 2010), as directed in Ordering Paragraph #1, Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Corp. (“Central Hudson”) submits the following monthly “scorecard report” and narrative.

Program Administrator:	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program/Project:	Commercial Financing Program
Reporting period:	December 2011
Report Contact person(s):	<p>Mark S. Sclafani, Energy Efficiency msclafani@cenhud.com (845) 486-5956</p> <p>Amanda J. DiMaso, Energy Efficiency adimaso@cenhud.com (845) 486-5565</p>

1. Program Status:

Beginning November 1, 2010 Central Hudson began offering utility based financing to all customers participating in the Small or Mid Size Commercial Electric Programs. This financing is available for the 40% cost portion of projects not covered by Central Hudson incentives. Financing dollars are recovered through Sundry Billing, administered by Central Hudson

Central Hudson has issued 1,505 loans to date, totaling \$2,575,062. A total of \$1,674,430 is outstanding.

Utility based financing has proven to be an essential tool in generating participation in Central Hudson’s Small & Mid-Size Commercial Electric Programs. However, the company has recognized the need to maximize the incentive funding available for the commercial programs in order to generate the highest possible savings under the program budget. The company is currently implementing a process by which funding to be loaned to customers is provided by the Small & Mid Size Commercial Electric Programs’ Direct Installer so that Central Hudson’s program funds may be reserved for the payment of incentives. Under this new approach, SBC funds will no longer be

used for financing projects and all SBC funds that were allocated for financing in 2011 will be reserved for customer incentives.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program Name	Residential Electric HVAC
Program Type²	Residential Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month³	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month ⁴	1,344,800
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year <i>annual</i> ⁵ kWh Goal)	64,548
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	2083.4%
Net Peak ⁶ kW acquired this month	32.7
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	17.8
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	183.6%
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	N/A
Monthly Net Therm Goal	N/A
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	N/A
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	2,169,879
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	N/A
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	2,812,290
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	140.54%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	140.54%
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	2,812,290
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	421.0
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	76.3%
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	76.3%
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	N/A
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	N/A
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date⁷	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	15,242,752
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	N/A
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	67,447
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	809,364
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	11.3
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	N/A
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	N/A
Funds committed at this point in time	\$43,125.00
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	1,412,247
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	43.95
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	N/A
Costs⁹	
Total program budget	\$2,330,505.00
General Administration	\$12,645.00
Program Planning	\$0.00
Program Marketing	\$0.00
Trade Ally Training	\$8,271.00
Incentives and Services	\$44,825.00
Direct Program Implementation	\$18,409.00
Program Evaluation	\$3,950.00
Total expenditures to date	\$2,294,625.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	98.46%

Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	3044
Number of program applications <i>processed</i> to date ¹⁰	2928
Number of processed applications <i>approved</i> to date ¹¹	2772
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	96.19%

NOTES:

¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.

²There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.

³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. **Acquired** kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.

⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.

⁵Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the *monthly* goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.

⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.

⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life.

⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are ases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program Name	Residential Gas HVAC
Program Type²	Residential Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month³	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month ⁴	9,895
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year <i>annual</i> ⁵ kWh Goal)	
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	
Net Peak ⁶ kW acquired this month	0.00
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	16,334
Monthly Net Therm Goal	6,404
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	255.1%
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	197,910
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	247,327
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	135,153
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	135,153
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	3.46
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	3.46
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	171,070
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	89.0%
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	89.0%
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	171,070
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date⁷	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	1,249,211
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	1,486,201
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	9,896
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	148,440
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	0.0
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	10,889
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	163,335
Funds committed at this point in time	\$10,990.00
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	19,791
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	0.00
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	27,223
Costs⁹	
Total program budget	\$662,426.00
General Administration	\$596.00
Program Planning	\$0.00
Program Marketing	\$0.00
Trade Ally Training	\$864.00
Incentives and Services	\$22,496.00
Direct Program Implementation	\$1,923.00
Program Evaluation	\$1,000.00
Total expenditures to date	\$480,289.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	72.50%

Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	1177
Number of program applications <i>processed</i> to date ¹⁰	1103
Number of processed applications <i>approved</i> to date ¹¹	1053
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	93.7%

NOTES:

¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.

²There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.

³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. **Acquired** kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.

⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.

⁵Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the *monthly* goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.

⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.

⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life.

⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are ases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program Name	Small Business Electric Program
Program Type²	Commercial Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month³	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month ⁴	2,335,349
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year <i>annual</i> ⁵ kWh Goal)	1,388,483
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	168.19%
Net Peak ⁶ kW acquired this month	719.65
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	436
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	165.06%
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	N/A
Monthly Net Therm Goal	N/A
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	N/A
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	8,135,100
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	N/A
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	34,052,288
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	84.57%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	84.57%
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	34,052,288
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	10900
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	109.31%
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	109.31%
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	N/A
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	N/A
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date⁷	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	166,719,794
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	N/A
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	3,446,000
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	17,230,000
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	1,186
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	N/A
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	N/A
Funds committed at this point in time	\$919,818.00
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	5,781,349.00
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	1,905.65
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	N/A
Costs⁹	
Total program budget	\$10,889,441.00
General Administration	\$7,971.00
Program Planning	\$0.00
Program Marketing	\$13,791.00
Trade Ally Training	\$0.00
Incentives and Services	\$590,976.00
Direct Program Implementation	\$3,839.00
Program Evaluation	\$1,750.00
Total expenditures to date	\$10,482,094.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	96.26%

Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	1925
Number of program applications <i>processed</i> to date ¹⁰	1925
Number of processed applications <i>approved</i> to date ¹¹	1925
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	100.00%

NOTES:

¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.

²There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.

³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. **Acquired** kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.

⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.

⁵Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the *monthly* goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.

⁶Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.

⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life.

⁸Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are ases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program Name	Mid-Size Commercial Electric
Program Type²	Commercial Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month³	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month ⁴	417,462
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year <i>annual</i> ⁵ kWh Goal)	444,833
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	93.85%
Net Peak ⁶ kW acquired this month	126.39
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	115
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	110.06%
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	N/A
Monthly Net Therm Goal	N/A
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	N/A
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	2,087,310
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	N/A
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	15,855,785
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	148.52%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	148.52%
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	15,855,785
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	4,603
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	166.90%
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	166.90%
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	N/A
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	N/A
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date⁷	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	75,149,121
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	N/A
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	1,656
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	8,280
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	501
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	N/A
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	N/A
Funds committed at this point in time	\$648,743
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	419,118.00
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	627.76
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	N/A
Costs⁹	
Total program budget	\$3,916,451.00
General Administration	\$10,614.00
Program Planning	\$0.00
Program Marketing	\$0.00
Trade Ally Training	\$0.00
Incentives and Services	\$98,038.00
Direct Program Implementation	\$2,778.00
Program Evaluation	\$1,724.00
Total expenditures to date	\$4,925,184.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	125.76%

Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	204
Number of program applications <i>processed</i> to date ¹⁰	204
Number of processed applications <i>approved</i> to date ¹¹	204
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	100.00%

NOTES:

¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.

²There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.

³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. **Acquired** kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.

⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.

⁵Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the *monthly* goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.

⁶Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.

⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life.

⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are ases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program Name	Residential Appliance Recycling
Program Type²	Residential Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month³	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month ⁴	1,501,801
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year <i>annual</i> ⁵ kWh Goal)	185,524
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	809.5%
Net Peak ⁶ kW acquired this month	14.59
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	28.4
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	51.3%
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	N/A
Monthly Net Therm Goal	N/A
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	N/A
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	5,398,060
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	N/A
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	8,516,949
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	218.61%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	218.61%
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	8,516,949
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	731.4
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	122.5%
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	122.5%
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	N/A
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	N/A
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	N/A
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date⁷	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	40,473,800.00
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	N/A
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	396,687
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	1,983,435
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	19.21
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	N/A
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	N/A
Funds committed at this point in time	\$1,600.00
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	1,898,488
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	33.80
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	N/A
Costs⁹	
Total program budget	\$1,779,000.00
General Administration	\$3,597.00
Program Planning	\$0.00
Program Marketing	\$32,103.00
Trade Ally Training	\$0.00
Incentives and Services	\$17,950.00
Direct Program Implementation	\$63,268.00
Program Evaluation	\$3,450.00
Total expenditures to date	\$1,482,832.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	83.35%

Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	8679
Number of program applications <i>processed</i> to date ¹⁰	8240
Number of processed applications <i>approved</i> to date ¹¹	8146
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	94.94%

NOTES:

¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.

²There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.

³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. *Acquired* kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.

⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.

⁵Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the *monthly* goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.

⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.

⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life.

⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are ases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program Name	Commercial Gas
Program Type²	Residential Rebate
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month³	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month ⁴	1,833
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year <i>annual</i> ⁵ kWh Goal)	
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	
Net Peak ⁶ kW acquired this month	0
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	3,232
Monthly Net Therm Goal	2,356
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	137.2%
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	0
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	-
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	3,517
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	3,517
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	0.0
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	18,700
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	57%
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	57%
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	18,700
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date⁷	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	88,357
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	303,678
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	1,470
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	0
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	0
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	2,617
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	39,255
Funds committed at this point in time	\$56,650.00
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	3,303
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	-
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	N/A
Costs⁹	
Total program budget	\$235,350.00
General Administration	\$485.00
Program Planning	\$0.00
Program Marketing	\$0.00
Trade Ally Training	\$712.00
Incentives and Services	\$12,325.00
Direct Program Implementation	\$1,585.00
Program Evaluation	\$0.00
Total expenditures to date	\$100,712.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	42.79%

Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	220
Number of program applications <i>processed</i> to date ¹⁰	114
Number of processed applications <i>approved</i> to date ¹¹	114
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	51.82%

NOTES:

¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.

²There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.

³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. **Acquired** kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.

⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.

⁵Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the *monthly* goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.

⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.

⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life.

⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are ases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program Name	Home Energy Reports-Electric
Program Type²	
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month³	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired this month ⁴	
Monthly Net kWh Goal (based on net first-year <i>annual</i> ⁵ kWh Goal)	1,000,000
Percent of Monthly Net kWh Goal Acquired	
Net Peak ⁶ kW acquired this month	
Monthly Net Peak kW Goal	0.00
Percent of Monthly Peak kW Goal Acquired	
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	
Monthly Net Therm Goal	100,000
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired this month	
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date	0
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	0.0%
Net first-year annual kWh acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	0.0%
Net cumulative kWh acquired to date	0
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date	0.00
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of utility annual goal	
Net utility peak kW reductions acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	
Net NYISO peak kW reductions acquired to date	0.00
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	0
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	0.0%
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	0.0%
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	0
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date⁷	
Net Lifecycle kWh acquired to date	
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net First-year annual kWh committed this month	
Net Lifecycle kWh committed this month	
Net Utility Peak kW committed this month	
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	
Funds committed at this point in time	
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net first-year annual kWh acquired & committed this month	0
Net utility peak kW acquired & committed this month	0
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	0
Costs⁹	
Total program budget	\$1,038,751.00
General Administration	\$5,102.00
Program Planning	
Program Marketing	
Trade Ally Training	
Incentives and Services	
Direct Program Implementation	\$0.00
Program Evaluation	
Total expenditures to date	\$882,014.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	84.91%

Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	N/A
Number of program applications <i>processed</i> to date ¹⁰	N/A
Number of processed applications <i>approved</i> to date ¹¹	N/A
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	
NOTES:	
<p>¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p>²There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.</p> <p>³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. Acquired kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p>⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p>⁵Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the <i>monthly</i> goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p>⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p> <p>⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the <i>year</i> in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life.</p> <p>⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best <i>estimate</i> of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.</p> <p>⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.</p> <p>Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.</p> <p>Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).</p> <p>¹⁰An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."</p> <p>¹¹The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are ases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.</p>	

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID¹	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program Name	Home Energy Reports-Gas
Program Type²	
Total Acquired First-Year Impacts This Month³	
Net First-year annual therms acquired this month	
Monthly Net Therm Goal	100,000
Percent of Monthly Therm Goal Acquired	
Net Lifecycle therms acquired this month	
Total Acquired Net First-Year Impacts To Date	
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date	0
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of annual goal	0.0%
Net first-year annual therms acquired to date as a percent of 8-year goal	0.0%
Net cumulative therms acquired to date	0
Total Acquired Lifecycle Impacts To Date⁷	
Net Lifecycle therms acquired to date	
Committed⁸ Impacts (not yet acquired) This Month	
Net first-year annual therms committed this month	
Net Lifecycle therms committed this month	
Funds committed at this point in time	
Overall Impacts (Acquired & Committed)	
Net First-year annual therms acquired & committed this month	0
Costs⁹	
Total program budget	\$346,250.00
General Administration	\$715.00
Program Planning	
Program Marketing	
Trade Ally Training	
Incentives and Services	
Direct Program Implementation	\$0.00
Program Evaluation	
Total expenditures to date	\$263,050.00
Percent of total budget spent to date	75.97%
Participation	
Number of program applications received to date	N/A
Number of program applications <i>processed</i> to date ¹⁰	N/A
Number of processed applications <i>approved</i> to date ¹¹	N/A
Percent of applications received to date that have been processed	
NOTES:	
<p>¹DPS Staff needs to work with utilities to develop a Program ID naming convention. However, a Program ID number is not required for the first report. Note that when developing program ID naming conventions, utilities would like to minimize computer programming/reporting costs that they might incur if the proposed naming conventions are complex or the utility's current naming conventions require modification to Staff's proposed format.</p> <p>²There is not currently a consistent list of program types but individual categories for common use by administrators could be developed.</p> <p>³First-year savings are defined as the annual savings expected from a given measure in the first year after installation. The annual savings are sometimes the result of annualizing estimated savings that are based on data that cover less than one year. Acquired kWh savings are defined as those savings that reported by the program administrator in program tracking databases and for which a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date.</p> <p>⁴Regardless of the month in which a measure is installed within a given calendar year, the program is credited with the associated savings for the entire year.</p> <p>⁵Program Administrators should make best estimate of the annual goal even though the goal might in some cases cover two calendar years. Also, Staff wants administrators to try to be as accurate as possible in determining the <i>monthly</i> goals but does not want to mandate monthly goals, at least initially.</p> <p>⁶ Peak is defined uniquely for each utility.</p>	

⁷The lifecycle savings are tracked beginning in the *year* in which a given measure was installed. Over the period 2008-2015, PA's must take into account the fact that savings from measures installed early in the period will vanish at the end of their useful life before the end of 2015. Thus, the lifecycle impacts acquired to date will be different for each month as a function of adding savings from measures installed in a given month and subtracting savings from measures installed earlier in the funding cycle that have reached the end of their useful life.

⁸ Committed savings are defined as those for which funds have been encumbered by not yet spent. When the funds are spent (i.e., a rebate check has been sent to the participant on a specific date), the savings are then considered "acquired." Staff would like to see the program administrator's best *estimate* of what they have committed. There should be some assumptions on how the administrator does that. Program administrators should forecast as accurately as possible and it should get more precise with program experience, e.g., the difference between achieved and committed should get closer over time.

⁹These are the budget categories to be used by companies when submitting the required energy efficiency program implementation plans. In its January 16, 2009 Order, the Commission directed Staff to provide definitions for the budget categories to be used in the preparation of these plans (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11). These categories are provided to promote consistency in budget construction and reporting among the utility plans.

Companies should include a "description of expenditures within each category" (See Order Approving "Fast Track" Utility-Administered Electric Energy Efficiency Program With Modification, at page 11) and separately quantify each item within each category. These expenditures must include and identify all direct and indirect costs attributable to each program category. Companies must provide the basis of allocation for all indirect costs.

Companies should identify whether each cost item is to be recovered through the SBC surcharge, base rates, or other recovery mechanism (e.g., monthly adjustment charges).

¹⁰ An application is processed once the PA has reviewed the application and made a decision whether to approve the incentive payment to the customer. Once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer, these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed."

¹¹The application is approved once the decision has been made to pay the incentive to the customer. Note that these funds and their associated energy and demand impacts become "Committed" once this decision is made. Also note that for programs in which there are ases in which an application could be received, processed, and approved all in one day, then a "1" would be counted for each step in the tracking lifecycle.

Program Administrator (PA) and Program ID	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Program Name	Commercial Electric Financing
Program Type	Utility Based Financing
Small Commercial Program	
Number of 12-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	3
Number of 14-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	104
Number of 24-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	0
Total Number of Loans Issued this Month	107
Total Amount of Outstanding Financing Issued this Month	\$ 215,303.10
Number of 12-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	478
Number of 14-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	783
Number of 24-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	170
Total Number of Loans Issued to Date	1,431
Total Amount of Financing Outstanding	\$ 1,280,862.70
Total Number of Loans in Arrears	117
Total Amount of Financing in Arrears	\$ 28,679.52
Mid Size Commercial Program	
Number of 12-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	2
Number of 14-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	3
Number of 24-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	0
Total Number of Loans Issued this Month	5
Total Amount of Outstanding Financing Issued this Month	\$ -
Number of 12-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	45
Number of 14-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	3
Number of 24-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	26
Total Number of Loans Issued to Date	74
Total Amount of Financing Outstanding	\$ 393,567.32
Total Number of Loans in Arrears	44
Total Amount of Financing in Arrears	\$ 58,939.50
Overall Commercial Programs	
Number of 12-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	5
Number of 14-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	107
Number of 24-Month Term Loans Issued this Month	0
Total Number of Loans Issued this Month	112
Total Amount of Outstanding Financing Issued this Month	\$ 215,303.10
Number of 12-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	523
Number of 14-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	786
Number of 24-Month Term Loans Issued to Date	196
Total Number of Loans Issued to Date	1,505
Total Amount of Financing Outstanding	\$ 1,674,430.02
Total Number of Loans in Arrears	161
Total Amount of Financing in Arrears	\$ 87,619.02