DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1	Q.	Would	the	members	of	the	Panel	please	state	their

- 2 names and business addresses?
- 3 A. Robert Schimmenti, Marilyn Caselli, Joseph Oates, and
- 4 Stuart Nachmias. Our business address is 4 Irving
- 5 Place, New York, NY 10003.
- 6 Q. By whom are you employed, in what capacity, and what
- 7 are your backgrounds and qualifications?
- 8 A. We are employed by Consolidated Edison Company of New
- 9 York, Inc. ("Con Edison" or the "Company").
- 10 (SCHIMMENTI) I am Senior Vice President, Electric
- 11 Operations. I have been employed by Con Edison since
- 12 1987. I have overall responsibility for Con Edison's
- 13 Electric Distribution Operations, Engineering and
- 14 Planning, Energy Services organization that
- 15 coordinates all aspects of the delivery of electric
- service to customers, and Energy Efficiency and Demand
- 17 Management program. I have held various senior level
- 18 positions in Electric Operations, Electric
- 19 Construction, Control Center Operations and Substation
- 20 Operations including Vice President, Engineering and
- 21 Planning, Electric Operations, Chief Engineer of
- 22 Engineering and Planning, General Manager of Electric

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

1	Construction, and General Manager of Substation
2	Operations.
3	I earned a Bachelor of Science degree in electrical
4	engineering from Hofstra University and a Master of
5	Science degree in management technology from
6	Polytechnic University.
7	(CASELLI) I am Senior Vice President, Customer
8	Operations. I began my employment with Con Edison in
9	1974. From 1974 to 1989, I held positions of
10	increasing responsibility within the Company, rising
11	to the position of General Manager, Customer
12	Operations for Queens. In 1992, I took the position
13	of General Manager, Customer Operations for Brooklyn
14	and then, in 1996, I took the position of General
15	Manager, Gas Operations for Queens. In October 1997,
16	I was elected to the position of Vice President,
17	Customer Services for Staten Island and, in May 2005,
18	I was promoted to my current role of Senior Vice
19	President, Customer Operations. I hold a Bachelor of
20	Science degree in Business Administration from the
21	State University of New York.

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1	(OATES) I am Senior Vice President, Business Shared
2	Services. I was hired by Con Edison in 1987. I have
3	overall responsibility for Con Edison's information
4	technology, procurement and stores functions. I also
5	oversee Con Edison's competitive energy businesses as
б	Chairman of the Board. I have been in my current
7	position since September 2012. From July 2007 to June
8	2012, I was Vice President, Energy Management for Con
9	Edison with responsibility for providing the overall
10	strategic planning and direction for forecasting
11	service area demand, evaluating electric, natural gas,
12	and steam resource positions, and procuring
13	electricity and natural gas. From April 2004 to May
14	2008, I was Vice President and Treasurer with
15	responsibility for the Company's corporate financing,
16	pension management, risk management, real estate
17	activities, and other treasury-related functions. In
18	early 2004, I was Vice President on a special
19	assignment. Before that appointment, I served as the
20	Vice President, Bronx and Westchester Electric
21	Operations from November 2002 to January 2004 with
22	responsibility for the overall operation of the

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1	electric distribution system in the Bronx and
2	Westchester County. From July 2001 to November 2002,
3	I was Vice President, Energy Management. Prior to
4	July 2001, I held various positions of increasing
5	responsibility with the Company, including Director,
6	Central Operations and Project Manager, Corporate
7	Planning. Before I joined Con Edison in 1987, I was
8	employed by Central Hudson Gas & Electric Company. I
9	hold a master's degree in business administration from
10	Fordham University and bachelor's and master's degrees
11	in mechanical engineering from Manhattan College.
12	(NACHMIAS) I am Vice President, Energy Policy and
13	Regulatory Affairs. I am responsible for development
14	of energy policy and the management of state and
15	federal regulatory matters.
16	I have worked for Con Edison since 1988. I began in
17	the Company's management intern program, and worked in
18	capital budgeting, customer sales and revenue
19	forecasting and corporate planning. I worked to
20	develop the state's plan for deregulation, including
21	establishing the New York ISO. I also worked at Con
22	Edison Solutions from 1997 to 2000, initially in the

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

1		wholesale power group and later as marketing manager
2		for large business customers. After leaving the
3		Company from 2000-2001, I rejoined Con Edison in the
4		Energy Markets Policy Group, focused on competitive
5		wholesale electric and gas markets. I have had
6		increasing responsibilities in this area, as well as a
7		one-year job rotation in customer operations, where I
8		worked on customer complaints to executives and the
9		Commission.
10		I graduated from the State University of New York at
11		Binghamton with a bachelor's degree in Economics and
12		Psychology and also earned a Master of Business
13		Administration degree with a concentration in Finance
14		from Baruch College. I also earned an Advanced
15		Certificate in Energy Management from the New York
16		Institute of Technology, and completed a Power
17		Technologies Inc. ("PTI") Distribution Engineering
18		program.
19	Q.	Have you previously testified in Commission
20		proceedings?

21 A. Yes. We have all testified in Commission proceedings.

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

OVERVIEW OF TESTIMONY

2	Q.	Would you briefly explain the purpose of the Panel's
3		testimony?
4	A.	The Panel will present an overview of the Company's
5		strategy and the projects and programs planned for the
6		rate year and subsequent years that are designed to
7		fulfill that strategy, as well as present the
8		Company's approach to the Commission's regulatory
9		policy as articulated in the Reforming the Energy
10		Vision ("REV") proceeding. The Company seeks a
11		delivery revenue increase of \$368 million to enable it
12		to continue to provide safe, reliable service with a
13		continuing and increased focus on customer engagement
14		and risk reduction, which includes improving
15		reliability, resiliency, security and safety. This
16		rate filing seeks funding for electric infrastructure
17		upgrades and investment, customer operations
18		initiatives that will improve the overall customer
19		experience and satisfaction, and shared services
20		programs, in particular, the Company's Technology
21		Roadmap, that will facilitate the services the Company
22		plans to offer its customers as a leading 21st century

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

utility. This includes technology that will enable

1

20

21

2		Con Edison to continue to lead in innovation and
3		develop better design standards to meet evolving
4		customer expectations for information and continued
5		high service quality. The Company seeks to implement
6		these programs in a manner that mitigates costs and
7		the ultimate impact to customer bills. The Accounting
8		Panel will explain the key drivers of the requested
9		rate increase, which reflect numerous cost management
10		initiatives discussed by the various operational
11		panels.
12	Q.	Please summarize your testimony.
13	A.	The Company is requesting a delivery revenue increase
14		of \$368 million (the first overall increase in
15		delivery rates since April 1, 2012), representing an
16		average bill increase of 3.2 percent. We explain the
17		Company's proposal for electric infrastructure
18		upgrades that will meet evolving customer expectations
19		for enhanced data, information, and service quality,

22 Con Edison's mission is to continue providing

customer bills.

while also mitigating the cost and the impact to

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

- safe, reliable service and meet customer expectations
 in both a cost-effective and innovative way. This
 rate plan reflects the Company's desire to achieve
 service improvements to enhance the customer-company
 experience through the use of new technology,
 interactive tools, and improved engagement on the
 Company's website.
- These improvements will make it easier for

 customers to communicate with Con Edison, and will

 include new self-service interaction portals, outage

 management system enhancements, and new dashboards

 that allow for greater transparency and information

 flow within the Company and to outside stakeholders.
- 14 Q. Please expand further on the Company's objectives in this rate case.
- 16 A. The Company's primary goal is to continue to provide

 17 safe, reliable, and resilient electric service to its

 18 customers in a cost-effective manner while meeting

 19 customer expectations for services and products.
- 20 Q. What strategies will guide the Company in fulfilling these objectives?
- 22 A. At the corporate level, to achieve the Company's

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

- corporate vision and enhance the services the Company
 delivers to customers and the public in general, the
 Company focuses on the following three key objectives:
- Enhance customer experience through new
 technology, tools, and improved engagement.

- 2. Reduce risk to deliver energy safely, with high reliability and resilience. With respect to the provision of electric service, this translates to pursuing operations excellence and safety, which are key drivers that pervade all aspects of the Company's work including maintaining the high level of reliability of the Company's electric system, use of advanced design methods, and diligent inspection and maintenance of energized network equipment. These programs are discussed in the Electric Infrastructure and Operations

 Panel ("EIOP") testimony.
 - 3. Mitigate bill impact by enhancing system design and thereby reduce costs, consider new rate designs that allow customers pricing options, and provide customers with the information and tools to better manage their usage and their total

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

2	These	key	objectives	are	reflected	in	the	Company's

bill.

- 3 Electric Long Range Plan.
- 4 Q. Please describe Con Edison's Electric Long Range Plan.
- 5 A. Con Edison's Electric Long Range Plan ("ELRP" or
- 6 "Plan") was issued in December 2011 and filed with the
- 7 Company's 2013 electric rate case. The long range
- 8 capital plan component of the ELRP is updated on an
- 9 annual basis. The ELRP includes a comprehensive and
- 10 quantitative approach to infrastructure investment-
- 11 optimization over a 20-year period. The Plan is a
- 12 quidance document that provides a baseline direction
- for integrated transmission and distribution system
- infrastructure and a planning tool that considers the
- impact of non-infrastructure-related elements such as
- 16 demand-side solutions and renewable resources. The
- 17 Plan includes major investments in the Company's
- 18 electric system, specific programs to maintain and
- 19 upgrade it, and various initiatives to manage customer
- demand. The Company utilizes a capital investment
- 21 database and analytical model to evaluate the impact
- 22 of programs and initiatives that are evaluated for

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1		impacts on performance, risk, and cost characteristics
2		of the electric system. The Plan provides a framework
3		that links short- and long-term projects and programs
4		to the Company's goal that the Company's transmission
5		and distribution systems are reliable and have
6		sufficient capacity to meet customers' peak electric
7		demand and overall electric needs.
8	Q.	Please describe the process by which the ELRP has been
9		updated.
10	A.	The Company annually revisits the drivers of the ELRP.
11		Once the Company's long-range electric demand
12		forecasts have been developed, we identify areas in
13		the Company's system that will need reinforcement to
14		meet projected demand growth, and determine when that
15		reinforcement will be needed. We then apply an
16		integrated infrastructure planning framework to
17		optimize our investment requirements. When additional
18		investment may be needed or new customer load
19		requirements have to be met, available solutions,
20		including demand side management, are reviewed, and
21		the most cost-effective solution that is consistent
22		with maintaining safe and reliable service is

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

1		identified. Opportunities to use existing assets,
2		e.g., through the use of load transfers to other
3		substations (as described in the EIOP testimony), are
4		studied to determine if those would address the
5		projected load to allow deferral of large
6		infrastructure investments until a further or future
7		need arises.
8	Q.	Please elaborate on how this relates to the Company's
9		planning and budgeting process.
10	A.	The Company seeks to manage its future capital needs
11		by considering both traditional and non-traditional
12		solutions to reliably meet customer needs, manage
13		outages due to weather and other events, and manage
14		the system with an eye toward increasing overall
15		efficiency. This approach will reduce what would
16		otherwise have been higher costs for all customers.
17		The Company has developed a consistent, capital
18		planning approach across all electric operations
19		organizations. Annual budgets and shorter term plans
20		must be linked to the long-range plan through the
21		development of annual business plans. Risk management

is integrated into the budget process as described

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1		below. Annual business plans require an enterprise
2		risk management update and discussion of resources
3		committed to mitigate risks. The Company uses a
4		Capital Optimization process to evaluate projects and
5		programs enterprise wide, and make optimized
б		expenditure decisions across operating units. The
7		Capital Optimization process supports the efficient
8		allocation of funds to reduce operating risks and meet
9		strategic objectives, specifically allowing the
10		Company to select the projects that best achieve those
11		objectives. The 2014 results of the Capital
12		Optimization process, discussed in more detail in the
13		EIOP testimony, effectively form the basis of this
14		rate filing in terms of capital expenditures, specific
15		projects and programs, and associated timelines. This
16		process, in turn, reduces what would otherwise have
17		resulted in higher bill impacts for all customers.
18	Q.	How does the Company plan to meet the three key
19		objectives of customer engagement, risk reduction, and
20		cost mitigation?
21	Α.	An overview of the Company's initiatives with respect
22		to the three key objectives is provided below. A more

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1		detailed description is provided in the EIOP, Customer
2		Operations Panel, and Shared Services Panel
3		testimonies. These operational panels address in
4		detail the programs the Company seeks to implement in
5		support of these objectives.
6		In particular, in this filing, the Company describes
7		its plan to continue to provide its customers with an
8		enhanced experience with respect to the management of
9		their energy use through additional tools and
10		resources the Company is developing, or plans to
11		develop, and will make available for customers.
12		Achieving these goals will help enhance the customer
13		experience and the service they receive.
14	Q.	Does this Panel also address the Company's REV
15		efforts?
16	A.	Yes, it does. The Company's efforts with respect to
17		REV are described following the discussion of the
18		three key objectives.

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

MANAGEMENT AUDIT STATUS

2	Q.	Please provide an overview of the status of the most
3		recent management audit of Con Edison.
4	Α.	The process of performing the management audit of Con
5		Edison began in May 2008, when the Commission selected
6		The Liberty Consulting Group to perform the audit.
7		After conducting more than 300 interviews with Con
8		Edison and reviewing the Company's response to more
9		than 1,000 detailed data requests, Liberty submitted
10		its report titled "Final Report - Management Audit of
11		Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc." ("Final
12		Report") to the PSC on August 7, 2009. On August 21,
13		2009, the PSC ordered Con Edison to file a plan to
14		address the findings and implement the 92
15		recommendations contained in the management audit. On
16		October 5, 2009, Con Edison submitted its plan, titled
17		"Audit Implementation Plan." Beginning February 5,
18		2010, Con Edison has filed an update with the PSC
19		every four months to communicate the Company's
20		progress in implementing the audit recommendations.
21		The Company also filed comprehensive annual reports on
22		its implementation on October 5, 2010, October 5,

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1		2011, and October 5, 2012. In addition, the Company
2		has met regularly with Staff to provide information on
3		the management audit recommendation implementation
4		status, and met with stakeholders in December 2010 and
5		December 2011 in public forums to review and discuss
6		its implementation efforts, including integration into
7		the Company's processes and culture, improvements to
8		the Company's efficiency and operations, and benefits
9		to customers.
10		In Con Edison's last rate cases (13-E-0030, et al.),
11		the Company filed extensive testimony discussing its
12		completion of implementation of 91 of the 92
13		recommendations. The Commission's February 21, 2014
14		order in that proceeding found that the Company had
15		implemented 91 of the 92 recommendation with the last
16		recommendation, Recommendation 71, pertaining to the
17		Company's implementation of a work management system.
18	Q.	What is the implementation status of the
19		recommendation pertaining to the Company's work
20		management system?
21	Α.	Con Edison's most recent Management Audit
22		Implementation Plan Update (the "AIP Update") filed

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1		with the Commission on January 28, 2015, reported the
2		Company's completion of Recommendation 71. As
3		discussed in the EIOP testimony, implementation of a
4		new Work Management System was completed in December
5		2014, with all phases of the implementation now
6		concluded. With the completion of Recommendation 71,
7		the Company has completed its implementation of all of
8		the recommendations from the Management Audit.
9	Q.	What has been the impact of that Management Audit on
10		the Company?
11	Α.	Con Edison was committed to using the management audit
12		as a tool to further improve its services and gain
13		value for customers. The management audit and Con
14		Edison's implementation of the recommendations
15		contained in the management audit are helping the
16		Company to achieve its overall vision as a premier
17		provider of energy services to our customers. As a
18		result of Con Edison's response to the management
19		audit findings, the Company is instilling a cost-
20		conscious mindset in its employees, and is taking
21		actions in the near term that are closely linked to
22		long-term goals that provide benefits to our

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

- customers. Con Edison is focusing on the overall bill
- 2 impact of its actions that support our customers' need
- for safe and reliable service.

4 CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT

- 5 Q. Please describe the Company's customer engagement
- 6 initiatives.
- 7 A. The Company continues to improve internal/external
- 8 communications and business processes to meet customer
- 9 needs and expectations and to foster new rate
- structures to support customer choice, customer
- 11 portals and information systems, and to provide
- 12 customers with signals or incentives to better manage
- energy use. Building upon the Company's customer
- 14 advocacy efforts, in more direct interaction with
- 15 customers, the Company has already made real progress
- in this effort, as detailed below.
- Utilizing customer inquiry trends, the Company has
- identified regional areas of focus and continues to
- 19 drive efforts to increase proactive communication
- and first-call resolution capabilities.

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

1	•	The Company has developed customer and community
2		"work notices" to explain to customers the work it
3		is doing, and why the work is being conducted.

4

5

6

- The Company has implemented enhanced line clearance communications to include advance tree trimming schedules and information in its service territories.
- Q. Please describe the investments and resources
 necessary to further meet customer expectations, and
 to engage customers to manage their energy use.
- 11 New investments and resources are needed to enable Α. 12 customers to better manage electricity consumption and 13 interact more seamlessly with the Company for 14 information, requests for service, and other 15 activities, particularly during outages. 16 investments and resources will also facilitate improvements in system efficiency, facilitate the 17 interconnection of distributed energy resources 18 19 ("DERs"), and enable development of future markets for 20 DERs. The EIOP explains that as the Company evolves from an energy delivery company to an energy service 21 22 provider, and platforms are developed to efficiently

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

1	manage customer demand and operations through the
2	integration of DERs, the Company's Energy Efficiency
3	and Demand Management Department will recruit
4	specialists to allow the Company to analyze, identify,
5	promote, plan, and ultimately incorporate DERs and
6	customer-sided solutions into electric planning and
7	operations efficiently and effectively. The EIOP also
8	explains how upgrades to the Company's Outage
9	Management System will provide increased
10	functionality, enhance the Company's storm restoration
11	and response capabilities, and improve communication
12	with customers and municipalities during storms.
13	Further, storm hardening improvements will reduce the
14	number of outages during storms, shorten the duration
15	of outages that occur, and maintain energy supply to
16	critical local facilities to support the public during
17	storm recovery.
18	These types of enhancements will lead to more
19	positive customer interactions and experiences,
20	influencing and improving their overall experience
21	with the Company.

22

In the EIOP testimony, the Panel proposes a

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

positive incentive around reducing the time within

2		which temporary sidewalk shunts are removed that can
3		translate into shorter wait times for repairs of
4		services and more efficient removal of shunts. The
5		EIOP also proposes an incentive that would encourage
6		the Company to improve overhead system reliability
7		that is already more than two times better than the
8		State average and over three times better than the
9		national average. The Company is interested in
10		pursuing additional positive incentives as part of
11		settlement discussions in this proceeding.
12	Q.	What other initiatives are key to the Company's
13		efforts to advance the customer experience?
14	Α.	In considering the customer, the Company is very
15		attuned to the fact that Con Edison's energy delivery
16		system is one of the most heavily relied upon in the
17		world. We, as a company, are responsible for serving
18		the financial and media capitals of the world,
19		critical infrastructure including tunnels and subways,
20		and more hospitals per square mile than any other city
21		in the world. Over the next decade, variables that
22		will redefine the basic assumptions of energy delivery

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1		- from economic drivers to technological innovations
2		and customers' increasing ability to manage their
3		usage - will change the landscape upon which Con
4		Edison and other utilities operate. As a company, we
5		must be ready to evolve and adapt, to meet the
6		challenges presented by the changes that are coming
7		and anticipate them. We anticipate that customers
8		will increase their demand for technological advances
9		that further enhance the potential of customer
10		engagement and customer-sited energy sources. In
11		evolving to meet customer needs, the Company has
12		brought that focus to its Technology Roadmap, which is
13		its long-term technology outlook.
14	Q.	What do you mean by the Technology Roadmap?
15	A.	The Technology Roadmap refers to the strategic
16		technology platforms that the Company has determined
17		should be considered as integral parts of the
18		Company's products and services we will use and
19		provide to customers. The Company's Technology
20		Roadmap identifies and evaluates major projects
21		necessary to achieve these customer engagement
22		objectives and to prioritize and sequence these

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

1 investments in a manner that would best achieve the 2 Company's goals in a cost-effective manner. Roadmap included a consideration of three core 3 4 technologies - advanced metering infrastructure ("AMI"), customer information and billing system 5 ("CIS"), a new geographic information system ("GIS"), 6 7 and a fourth pertaining to redesign and upgrade of an 8 existing tool, the redesign of the Company's website, 9 www.coned.com. 10 Each of these initiatives would require a substantial capital investment and significant human 11 resources to complete. The Company undertook a 12 13 strategic planning initiative to evaluate these 14 platforms across a variety of considerations including 15 value to customers, risk mitigation, cost benefit, 16 rate impact, and resources required to complete the 17 projects. 18 The outcome of the planning initiative was the 19 determination that customers would be best served by 20 prioritizing two primary initiatives: 1) the 21 implementation of AMI to all customers; and 2) a

redesigned version of the coned.com website; while

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1		deferring implementation of a new CIS and instead
2		maintaining the existing CIS by engaging in several
3		initiatives to extend and sustain the current CIS.
4		The Company concluded that the first two initiatives,
5		described in more detail below, offer the best
6		opportunity to engage and better serve its customers,
7		taking into account risk mitigation and cost
8		mitigation considerations. The prioritization and
9		sequencing of these efforts were determined after the
10		revenue requirement for this rate filing had been
11		established. As discussed by the Accounting Panel,
12		the revenue requirement will be adjusted at the update
13		stage of this proceeding to reflect the Company's
14		revised AMI proposal and to remove, for the Rate Year,
15		the GIS initiative.
16	Q.	Can you describe the planned AMI initiative?
17	Α.	This project will include the installation of advanced
18		electric meters in the Company's service territory and
19		the build-out of a supporting communications network
20		for territory-wide coverage that will enable real-
21		time, or near real-time, two-way communication
22		enabling the Company to provide customers with

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1	information and timely feedback of their energy
2	management actions. The Company expects AMI to
3	provide substantial customer benefits. AMI has the
4	potential to improve the management of the Company's
5	distribution system and the Company's outage
6	management and restoration processes. AMI will also
7	enable the Company to engage customers in new ways and
8	drive new patterns of energy utilization and customer
9	behavior. AMI enables customers to make personal
10	energy decisions, provides operational benefits (such
11	as timely turn-on), improves outage response, reduces
12	theft-of-service, enables finer control of system
13	voltage levels improving system efficiency,
14	facilitates the integration and monitoring of
15	customer-sited DERs, and provides a communication
16	backbone to support distribution automation. Con
17	Edison plans to conduct a formal project-planning
18	phase for AMI, followed by an implementation rollout.
19	This is described in greater detail in the EIOP
20	testimony. The Company will also seek to use REV
21	demonstration projects to learn more about new
22	business models related to the AMI deployment, such as

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1		how customers and third parties might value the
2		capabilities that they would have as a result of AMI.
3		Over time, AMI will provide significant customer
4		benefit by delivering more information about electric
5		service and energy use and facilitate customers'
6		ability to respond to this information. AMI will also
7		better enable the customer to interact with the
8		Company.
9	Q.	How does AMI support the Company's goals?
10	A.	The Company is a leader in the industry with respect
11		to analytics, technology, modeling, asset
12		optimization, and risk assessment and more recently,
13		in storm hardening, e.g., in having developed
14		reconfigurable networks. The Company has shown itself
15		to be an innovator and leader in building resiliency,
16		continuing a tradition of reliability, advancing
17		energy efficiency, and demonstrating technical
18		adaptability to changing regulatory direction. These
19		strengths position the Company well for the next
20		phase, which is advancement on the customer experience
21		front. AMI is a platform that is multi-dimensional
22		and has benefits well beyond those that are commonly

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1		stated and predictable and will also advance the
2		traditional goals of operational excellence and
3		safety. AMI as a core technology will improve
4		customer functionality in energy management and
5		advance the goal of customer focus and enhancement of
6		the customer experience.
7	Q.	Can you describe the upgrade of the Company's customer
8		website?
9	A.	A new version of www.coned.com is expected to
10		streamline customer service as well as provide timely
11		information to customers and other stakeholders. The
12		upgrades to the coned.com website will provide
13		customers with several new features that promote
14		easier interaction and communication with the Company.
15		The proposed upgrades to the website will also
16		facilitate access to information about doing business
17		with Con Edison and allow third parties, such as
18		contractors, vendors, and others, to interact better
19		with the Company, indirectly providing benefits to
20		customers by potentially creating more options and
21		choice. This functionality will be further enhanced
22		with the addition of the Customer Portal, which is

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

- contemplated in the REV proceeding.
- 2 Q. Please describe the phases and associated timeframes
- for implementing the Company's AMI and coned.com
- 4 initiatives.
- 5 A. An AMI implementation plan will be developed and
- 6 commence in 2015. The development of the
- 7 implementation plan is expected to take six to nine
- 8 months to complete. The outcomes of the
- 9 implementation plan will include a detailed cost
- 10 estimate and business case and a comprehensive project
- schedule and rollout plan. Implementation will
- 12 commence in 2016 and is expected to continue through
- 13 2023. This is discussed in greater detail in the EIOP
- 14 testimony. The upgrade to coned.com is likely to span
- four years through 2018, beginning with Phase 0 this
- 16 year. This is discussed in greater detail in the
- 17 Customer Operations Panel testimony.
- 18 Q. Please describe other key customer service engagement
- 19 efforts that the Company will continue and expand.
- 20 A. The Company's demand side management, energy
- 21 efficiency, and demand response programs are targeted
- 22 at improving customer value by allowing customers to

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

use energy more efficiently or, in the case of demand
response, reduce customer demand during peak periods,
to allow the Company to increase reliability and
reduce costs to its customers. Approximately 246,000
residential customers and 41,000 commercial customers
participate in these programs. The Company offers a
broad portfolio of energy efficiency programs funded
through the Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard
("EEPS") proceeding, including residential, small
business, multi-family, multi-family low-income, and
commercial and industrial programs. The EEPS programs
provided 196,600 MWh of energy savings and 33.9 MW of
demand reductions in 2014. In addition, the Company's
demand response programs deliver temporary load relief
of 390 MW (approximately 34,000 customers) for system
peak shaving and contingencies, and include both
commercial demand response mandatory and voluntary
programs and residential demand response programs.
The residential programs target central air
conditioning through the Direct Load Control Program
and the Bring-Your-Own Thermostat program, and room
air conditioners through the a residential smart

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

1		appliance program, which includes the CoolNYC "Modlet"
2		and "Bring Your Own Device" pilots.
3	Q.	Is funding for the energy efficiency and demand
4		response programs being requested in this proceeding?
5	Α.	No. The energy efficiency programs are funded through
6		the Systems Benefits Charge ("SBC") pursuant to orders
7		in the EEPS proceeding through the end of 2015 and the
8		demand response programs are funded through the
9		Company's Monthly Adjustment Clause ("MAC"). Funding
LO		for the energy efficiency programs beginning in 2016
L1		will be addressed in an Energy Efficiency Transition
L2		Implementation Plan ("ETIP"), which Staff has
L3		requested that utility companies file by the end of
L4		March 2015. Funding for the Company's residential
L5		demand response programs is being addressed in Case
L6		15-E-0012 and will also be addressed in the Company's
L7		ETIP. While the Company is still in the early stages
L8		of completing its ETIP and has not yet determined the
L9		extent to which it will propose modifications to its
20		current portfolio of programs, the Company can state
21		that it fully expects to maintain and expand the

22 penetration of both its energy efficiency and demand

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1		response programs. The Company's current energy
2		efficiency and demand response programs are described
3		in more detail in the EIOP testimony.
4	Q.	Will the Company's Targeted Demand Side Management
5		Program be included in the Company's ETIP?
6	A.	No. The Company's Targeted Demand Side Management
7		("TDSM") Program provides an excellent vehicle for
8		targeting energy efficiency and other demand
9		management efforts in networks where the Company needs
10		to reduce demand in order to avoid the need for
11		investment in new infrastructure. As discussed in the
12		EIOP testimony, the TDSM Program has been an important
13		part of the Brooklyn Queens Demand Management ("BQDM")
14		Program, discussed below, and will be an important
15		element of future Company efforts to reduce demand
16		where needed. The BQDM approach fits very well within
17		the approach recommended in REV. Funding for the
18		existing TDSM Program expires at the end of May 2015.
19		The Company plans to seek an extension of funding for
20		targeted DSM programs through a modified plan that
21		allows more flexibility and is consistent with the
22		BODM approach. Successful implementation of such a

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

- 1 program will depend upon increased customer engagement
- and may result in increased penetration of DERs.
- 3 Q. Please continue to describe customer service
- 4 engagement initiatives.
- 5 A. Other initiatives described in the EIOP testimony and
- 6 the Customer Operations Panel testimony address
- 7 improved service, communications, and customer
- 8 processes, all of which enhance the customer
- 9 experience and are integral to Company's focus on
- 10 customers. These include, among others, the Customer
- 11 Interaction Center in the Call Center, field
- 12 operations technical supports, customer outreach
- initiatives, and off-system billing to automate
- billing for customers with more complex rates and
- programs to reduce delay and errors.
- 16 Q. How else does the Company plan to enhance customer
- engagement and improve the customer experience?
- 18 A. The Company continuously seeks to improve internal
- 19 processes and educate employees to advance its
- 20 relationships with its customers. Examples of these
- 21 continuing investments and initiatives include:
- 22 customer outreach, on-demand surveys, social media,

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

two-way texting/mobile applications, first-call

2		resolution improvement, storm communication, and
3		service automation.
4	Q.	Please provide examples of process improvements the
5		Company plans to implement.
6	A.	During outages, customers highly value timely and
7		accurate information about when service will be
8		restored to their residence or business. Getting this
9		information early in the restoration process will
10		allow customers to make appropriate plans for the
11		duration of the outage. To enhance the timeliness and
12		accuracy of estimated time of restoration ("ETR")
13		information provided to customers, municipalities, and
14		other stakeholders, the Company is redesigning its
15		restoration planning and communication processes.
16		Specifically, the Company will be leveraging
17		technology to enhance the damage assessment process to
18		assist in the generation of more timely and accurate
19		ETRs. These enhancements will be coupled with new
20		communication processes, Outage Management System
21		("OMS") enhancements, new dashboards that allow for
22		greater transparency and information flow within the

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1		Company and to our municipalities, and a new
2		application called Resource on Demand ("RoD") to
3		enable more effective management of emergency
4		management resources.
5	Q.	Are there other services that the Company will propose
6		that will benefit customers?
7	Α.	Yes. The Company will employ various energy
8		efficiency tools, proposes in this filing an electric
9		vehicle charging rate (plug-in electric vehicles or
10		"PEV"), and in the course of developing REV
11		demonstration projects in the REV proceeding, plans to
12		propose a time-sensitive rate demonstration project to
13		study customer behavior and develop rates in response.
14		In addition, the BQDM project, described by the
15		EIOP and in this testimony under REV, is expected to
16		provide important insights to the Company about the
17		value of various services and the degree to which the
18		Company can engage customers. By providing the
19		ability to control energy use more directly, the
20		Company will learn about customer responses and
21		engagement with these new alternatives as well as
22		potential business models. BQDM will inform the

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

- 1 Company about the potential to defer other
- 2 infrastructure investments (and associated costs), to
- 3 the extent that the level of demand response and
- 4 energy efficiency grow.

5 RISK REDUCTION

- 6 Q. Please discuss risk reduction as a major driver of
- 7 investments presented in this rate case.
- 8 A. Risk reduction encompasses programs to sustain the
- 9 health of Con Edison's electric system, which the
- 10 Company has worked hard to improve, and to further
- improve reliability and safety and incorporate storm
- 12 hardening and resiliency work.
- Reliability and resiliency are key Company
- initiatives that not only are a core expectation of
- 15 customers but also enhance customer value. This rate
- 16 filing addresses traditional infrastructure upgrades
- 17 and investments that are critical to meet customer
- 18 expectations for reliable service.
- 19 Q. Please start with reliability.
- 20 A. Con Edison's customers place great value on the
- 21 reliability of their electric service. The Company's
- 22 service territory is notable for its density of use,

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

1		marked by high-rise commercial and residential
2		development, and public transportation systems, all of
3		which count on reliable electric service. Investments
4		designed to reduce risk and maintain reliability not
5		only provide value to our customers but are critical
6		to the smooth functioning of this dense, populous, and
7		complex city and its suburbs.
8		Put another way, operational excellence is
9		critical to the service our customers expect.
10		Appropriate and timely investment in the electric grid
11		will enable the Company to provide for the health and
12		stability of the Company's electric system and allow
13		the Company to meet customer needs and expectations.
14		Examples of this type of investment, which the Company
15		will continue to make, are improvements to network
16		systems, distribution transformers and their
17		monitoring systems, feeders, high voltage breakers,
18		and overhead system enhancements. These investments
19		are described in detail in the EIOP testimony.
20	Q.	What other types of reliability investments will the
21		Company make?

22 A. The investments the Company has been making in its

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

1		electric infrastructure have strengthened its system
2		considerably but further improvements are still needed
3		in the primary and secondary distribution systems.
4		Such investments will include the build out of shared
5		switchable and flexible networks, the migration to
6		looped and switchable overhead primary distribution
7		from radial systems, the interconnection of sub-
8		networks on the secondary distribution system, paper-
9		insulated lead-covered ("PILC") cable replacement and
10		underground sectionalizing, and the implementation of
11		increased automation of the grid. These are described
12		in greater detail in the EIOP testimony.
13	Q.	What are the long-term benefits of such programs?
14	Α.	The benefits of all of these improvements include
15		increased reliability (fewer and shorter outages),
16		improved resiliency, and safer operations.
17	Q.	Please describe other investments the Company will
18		make in its electric distribution system to improve
19		reliability.
20	Α.	As described in the EIOP panel, the Company will focus
21		on improvements to its distribution system that

involve repair work on secondary cables and

22

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

infrastructure replacement and renewal. These

1

2		investments will reduce failure events that cause
3		stray voltage and manhole events on the network
4		system. The Company's asset management initiative,
5		described below and in the EIOP testimony, and
6		improved analytics capability enable targeted
7		investment in the portions of the networks that will
8		yield the most benefit in risk reduction and
9		reliability. This strategic and targeted spending of
10		capital allows the Company to reduce its request for
11		capital and make it lower than it otherwise would have
12		been.
13	Q.	Please discuss how the Company's asset management
14		program optimizes expenditures to reduce risk and
15		improve reliability.
16	Α.	The Company's asset management initiative is designed
17		to optimize "maintain, repair, or replace" decisions
18		based on the performance, cost-effectiveness, and risk
19		associated with components of the electric system.
20		These assets are assessed through routine inspections
21		or remote monitoring and, based on their performance,
22		the Company targets the appropriate investments in the

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1		grid.
2	Q.	What other investments is the Company making to reduce
3		risk to the system and its customers?
4	A.	The Company remains focused on storm hardening, a
5		program that endorsed by the Commission following
6		Superstorm Sandy. Storm hardening efforts are focused
7		on the following four objectives: protect
8		infrastructure, harden components, mitigate impacts,
9		and facilitate restoration. The Company continues to
10		implement overhead equipment upgrades, secondary
11		network switching, and upgrades to critical
12		substations to improve resiliency in the event of a
13		major storm. The Company now has a new design basis
14		for storm surges that is linked to the most recent
15		Federal Emergency Management Agency ("FEMA") flood
16		maps. In addition, a design element for overhead
17		storm resiliency has resulted in more sectional
18		devices to reduce customer impacts from 750-1000
19		customers to 500 customers per circuit segment. The
20		Company has also accelerated its deployment of
21		submersible network equipment to protect against storm
22		surges and heavy rain events. This equipment will

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1		also result in improved asset performance and improved
2		life extension. And the Company's flood wall
3		protection for critical infrastructure has been
4		updated through the Storm Collaborative in the last
5		electric rate case and will be revisited regularly as
6		new climate science and climate models change.
7		In the Company's Electric Production facilities,
8		ongoing efforts are focused on minimizing damage from
9		salt water, mitigating water entry, and enabling rapid
10		recovery, among others. These and other specific
11		storm hardening initiatives are detailed in the EIOP
12		testimony.
13	Q.	Please discuss the Company's plans to address physical
14		security at critical locations.
15	Α.	The Company is undertaking a number of measures both
16		to protect its infrastructure and secure its
17		facilities. The Company has previously completed the
18		upgrade of existing security systems at 16 of its Bulk
19		Power Substations. These upgrades include state-of-
20		the-art intrusion detection systems, which are
21		comprised of perimeter protection, card access systems
22		to restrict access, camera integration, closed circuit

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1		TV cameras and continuous monitoring via the Company's
2		Security Operations Center. Similar plans will be
3		implemented 2015 - 2018 at a prioritized list of
4		substations. At the Company's Energy Control Center,
5		additional investments will be made in physical
6		security systems such as card readers, security
7		cameras, and biometrics. Ongoing investment in these
8		programs is critical to maintaining adequate and
9		effective security of the Company's facilities and
10		many of the upgrades are required by NERC standards.
11	Q.	Please discuss the Company's approach to cyber
12		security.
13	A.	The Company is making myriad investments to protect
14		its networks and cyber infrastructure. These measures
15		include the upgrade of various IT and substation
16		systems to the latest standards, as well as hiring new
17		staff to assist in implementing programs to comply
18		with cyber security standards. Under these evolving
19		cyber security standards the Company is mandated to
20		implement new controls at 32 of its substations. The
21		Company is committed to full compliance with the NERC
22		cyber security standards and is investing to both meet

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

- 1 the standards and protect its infrastructure.
- 2 Q. Describe how the deployment of AMI will impact risk
- 3 reduction and reliability.
- 4 A. AMI enables various types of functionality, including
- 5 informing the Company in the event of service
- 6 interruptions (potentially reducing outage duration),
- 7 remote turn on and turn off, and voltage optimization.
- 8 While AMI has important benefits for customer
- 9 engagement, the implementation will also improve
- 10 reliability and enable the Company to have greater
- visibility into and better management of customer
- 12 events.
- 13 Q. Describe the risk reduction and reliability benefits
- of energy efficiency, demand side management, and
- demand response.
- 16 A. In addition to the many benefits from customer
- engagement that come from these programs, there are
- 18 significant operational benefits that stem from these
- 19 programs. When the electric grid comes under stress
- 20 (i.e., during peak loads periods), these programs
- 21 provide additional operational tools to reduce
- 22 customer demand in stressed networks, enabling short-

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

- term relief during critical conditions. These
- 2 benefits are additional to those provided by the long-
- 3 term reduction of load, which can defer investments in
- 4 capacity. These programs are addressed in detail in
- 5 the EIOP testimony.

6 COST MANAGEMENT

- 7 Q. Please describe the Company's approach to cost
- 8 management.
- 9 A. Cost-consciousness and mitigating the bill impact to
- 10 customers are critical to the Con Edison process for
- evaluating and optimizing the investments described
- 12 above.
- 13 Q. Please describe the corporate capital optimization
- 14 process.
- 15 A. The Company implements a Capital Optimization
- methodology to help identify an optimal portfolio of
- 17 projects that closely align with the Company's
- 18 strategic goals. The Company has established a set of
- strategic drivers, each with relative weights, based
- on the Company's long-term objectives. Each capital
- 21 project is assessed against the strategic drivers by
- subject matter experts, and the strategic assessment

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1		of each project is then presented to each
2		organization's Capital Optimization Team for approval.
3		After the assessment of all projects is approved, a
4		prioritization analysis is performed utilizing
5		optimization software, and an optimized portfolio of
6		capital projects is generated. The planned capital
7		projects are then adjusted to align with the optimized
8		portfolio considering capital expenditure levels,
9		equipment procurement durations, construction
10		durations, and scheduled station outages.
11		Investment decisions, including the Technology
12		Roadmap, seek cost-effective solutions and phasing of
13		investments to manage the costs to customers while at
14		the same time providing benefit through the
15		implementation. This type of planning and innovation
16		results in costs that will be lower than they
17		otherwise would have been.
18	Q.	Please describe other ways in which the Company is
19		managing costs.
20	A.	As described in the EIOP testimony, the Accounting
21		Panel testimony, the Shared Services Panel testimony
22		and testimony of other panels, the Company has

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1		undertaken a number of discrete initiatives to manage
2		costs. These include reducing material and supply
3		costs through the use of "lean" principles that seek
4		to reduce waste and focus on business value,
5		efficiency and quality through productivity
6		improvements achieved through process changes, and the
7		deployment of a work management system ("WMS") that
8		has significantly improved field crew productivity.
9		These initiatives will continue through the rate
10		period set in this filing.
11	Q.	The Accounting Panel proposes that net plant
12		reconciliation not be continued and that, if
13		continued, that reconciliation be to a single
14		aggregate net plant target and not applied to sub-
15		categories of expenditures, except for municipal
16		infrastructure support capital. Do you agree with
17		this proposal?
18	A.	Yes, we do, for the reasons explained by the
19		Accounting Panel.
20	Q.	Are there additional reasons for rejecting net plant
21		reconciliation, especially by category of
22		expenditures?

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

1 Α. Yes. As we discuss in this testimony, the Company has 2 processes in place designed to optimize its capital expenditures across the broad spectrum of the 3 Company's operations, through comprehensive cost 4 management, capital expenditure prioritization, 5 capital spending optimization, and long-term planning 6 7 initiatives.

8

9

10

11

12

15

18

19

Despite diligent efforts to develop forecasts of projects, programs, and associated expenditures, circumstances will inevitably arise during the term of a rate plan that compel the Company to adjust its plans to address new or changed circumstances.

13 A net plant reconciliation mechanism that does 14 not reasonably accommodate circumstances outside the Company's control for which the Company cannot plan 16 (e.g., interference), and/or, by its design, reflects 17 unduly restrictive cost recovery constraints that may drive investment decisions that are not optimal, is not in the interests of customers.

- 20 Q. Please explain what you mean by unduly restrictive 21 cost recovery constraints.
- 22 Α. Under a multi-category net plant target system, when

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1		re-prioritizing capital expenditures to address
2		changed circumstances, the Company must consider that
3		exceeding the net plant target in one net plant
4		category cannot be offset by reducing expenditures in
5		the other category. This may lead to investment
6		decisions that are sub-optimal for customers.
7	Q.	Please explain what you mean by a sub-optimal
8		investment decision.
9	A.	The Company utilizes many tools to reprioritize its
10		capital expenditure plan in order to provide funding
11		for projects necessitated by unanticipated events
12		(e.g., additional transmission to address an
13		unexpected generator retirement or mothball
14		situation). These tools include risk models,
15		analytics, and our enterprise risk management process.
16		However, most of the Company's expenditures in the
17		Transmission and Distribution ("T&D") category offer
18		little opportunity for reprioritization. As a result,
19		the funds for unanticipated T&D projects are normally
20		drawn from expenditures planned for T&D programs that
21		the Company has the discretion to modify or defer, but
22		not without a variety of undesirable consequences,

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

1		such as increased safety risks, reduced reliability,
2		and higher costs. Accordingly, these decisions to
3		reduce expenditures in "discretionary" T&D programs
4		are often sub-optimal when compared to expenditure
5		reductions that should have been made for programs in
6		other categories that are currently "walled off" from
7		such consideration because net plant reconciliation is
8		applied by category.
9	Q.	Please describe non-discretionary T&D expenditures.
LO	Α.	A large portion of the T&D capital budget can be
L1		described as non-discretionary. Spending on New
L2		Business, Interference, Replacement of Failed
L3		Equipment, programs required by rules, regulations or
L 4		orders of various regulatory authorities, and Load
L 5		Relief clearly must be performed. In addition, given

the recent history of and future potential of severe storms to impact our service territory, we consider storm hardening plans to also be non-discretionary.

These categories account for approximately 90 percent

of the Distribution capital budget and 55 percent of

21 the total of the Substation and System and

16

17

18

19

20

22 Transmission Operations capital budgets. In total,

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1		non-discretionary spend accounts for approximately 75
2		percent of the Electric T&D capital budget. That
3		leaves approximately 25 percent of the Electric T&D
4		capital budget that can be subjected to optimization.
5	Q.	Please describe how optimizing this "discretionary"
6		spend may result in sub-optimal investment decisions.
7	Α.	While reducing this "discretionary" spend in order to
8		address a higher priority T&D project may not have an
9		immediate impact, over the longer term there would be
LO		an impact on system safety, reliability and/or
L1		cost. That is because a large portion of the so
L2		called "discretionary" spend is in programs that seek
L3		to proactively replace equipment prior to failure. If
L4		replacement is delayed, the risk of failure increases.
L5		Failure of equipment may endanger the public,
L6		compromise reliability, and result in higher costs
L7		than proactive replacement. Programs within this
L8		"discretionary" category, discussed in the EIOP
L9		testimony, include the Underground Secondary
20		Reliability Program, the Pressure, Temperature, and
21		Oil level ("PTO") program, the Primary Feeder
22		Reliability Program, and the Transformer Vault

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

- 1 Modernization Program.
- 2 Q. Please provide examples of changed circumstances to
- 3 which the Company must react and that potentially
- 4 impact other planned work.
- 5 A. As explained by the Company's Municipal Infrastructure
- 6 Support Panel, the Company is compelled to respond to
- 7 municipal infrastructure projects impacting Company
- 8 facilities in accordance with schedules and scopes of
- 9 work established unilaterally by the municipality.
- 10 This work may entail major infrastructure projects,
- like a NYC water tunnel project, or a myriad of
- 12 smaller projects, each smaller in scope, but material
- in the aggregate.
- Other circumstances for which an immediate
- response may be required include facilities needed as
- 16 a result of generator retirement or mothballing, or
- implementation of new cyber or physical security
- 18 requirements.
- 19 Q. When these unanticipated circumstances arise, isn't
- the Company able to adjust other planned work?
- 21 A. Of course, and we do. However, it may not always be
- in customers' interest to do so.

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

1	Ο.	Please	explain	why.

- 2 Α. As part of the rate-setting process, the Company presents a forecast of projects and programs that, 3 4 with adjustments made during the course of the rate proceeding, form the basis for the Company's capital 5 program for the Rate Year. By establishing rates that 6 7 reflect this capital program, the Commission believes 8 that these are projects and programs that the Company 9 should execute. Assume these projects and programs 10 are exactly on target, but the Company is then confronted with a large unanticipated expenditure to 11 address a new municipal interference project during 12 13 the Rate Year. The Company believes that it should be 14 able to exercise reasonable management judgment, and 15 apply its management expertise, in deciding whether 16 and how the overall capital program should be adjusted 17 to accommodate the interference work, without having 18 to consider an unduly restrictive cost recovery 19 constraint. 20 Ο. Are you suggesting that the proper course of action in
 - incremental cost of such additional work?

21

22

all such instances is to execute and recover the

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

1	Α.	Absolutely not. The Company is extremely sensitive to
2		overall spending and the cost impact on customers.
3		Accordingly, when these situations arise, the Company
4		first looks to manage its overall operations to stay
5		within budget. What we are saying is that in
6		circumstances where it makes sense to do additional or
7		different work, the terms of the rate plan should not
8		unduly restrict the Company's discretion to manage its
9		budget and operations by placing the financial risk of
10		such decisions solely on investors.
11		For that reason, we support the Accounting
12		Panel's recommendations to (i) eliminate categories of
13		expenditures if net plant reconciliation is continued
14		and (ii) to provide for some limited upward
15		reconciliation (and associated deferral for the
16		benefit of investors) if, notwithstanding the
17		Company's efforts to manage its overall expenditures
18		at or below the aggregate net plant target, the
19		Company believed that the interests of customers
20		dictated a level of expenditures that cause the
21		Company to exceed the aggregate net plant target.

22 Q. Do your concerns also extend to the Company's 0&M

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

- 1 expenditures?
- 2 A. They do, with respect to major storms, and, to a
- 3 lesser extent, interference.
- 4 Q. Please explain.
- 5 A. Con Edison rate plans adopted by the Commission
- 6 appropriately include a reconciliation mechanism for
- 7 both interference O&M and a major storm reserve.
- 8 However, the interference O&M reconciliation mechanism
- 9 is asymmetrical, fully protecting customers if actual
- 10 expenditures are less than forecast, while subjecting
- 11 the Company to financial risk for expenditures above
- the target. With respect to the major storm reserve,
- there were some material changes to the reserve
- adopted as part of the current rate that should be
- modified.
- 16 Q. Is the Company proposing modifications to these two
- mechanisms?
- 18 A. Yes. The Accounting Panel, the Municipal
- 19 Infrastructure Support Panel, and the EIOP provide
- 20 testimony supporting modifications to these two
- 21 mechanisms.
- The Policy Panel supports these proposals and

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

- reiterates our belief that customers' interests are
 best served by a rate plan that does not impose cost
 recovery constraints that may be designed to protect
 customers' interests but may in practice impede the
 Company in applying its management expertise to
- 7 REFORMING THE ENERGY VISION ("REV") PROCEEDING

effectuate more efficient operations.

6

- Q. Please provide a brief overview of the Company'sparticipation in the REV proceeding.
- 10 The Company has actively participated in the REV Α. 11 proceeding by making presentations at Commissionsponsored technical conferences, taking leadership 12 13 roles in Staff-sponsored collaborative discussions, 14 and submitting extensive written comments, both 15 individually and jointly with other New York State 16 electric and gas utilities ("Joint Utilities"). 17 of these actions demonstrate the Company's support for 18 the REV goals articulated by the Commission.
- 19 Q. Has the Company undertaken any specific initiatives
 20 outside of the REV proceeding that promote REV goals?
- 21 A. Yes. Many of the Company's existing and ongoing
 22 initiatives, programs, and investments promote REV

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1	goals. Specifically, the Company has undertaken
2	efforts to increase integration of DERs, microgrids,
3	and storage. For example, there are currently eight
4	microgrid projects under active development; eight
5	solar installations greater than 500 kW; and 1.5 MW of
6	distributed storage projects planned in connection
7	with the Indian Point demand management program. The
8	Company has also developed a Distributed Energy
9	Resources Management System ("DERMS") and Demand
10	Response Management System ("DRMS"). DERMS allows
11	operators to visualize near real-time customer demand
12	information while also receiving near real-time status
13	of demand response and distributed energy resources
14	and DRMS manages participant enrollment, event
15	notifications to customers, settlements, and reports.
16	These efforts and initiatives are designed to add
17	to the resiliency of the Company's electric system,
18	enable the Company to continue to learn about the
19	behavior of these resources on the grid, and engage
20	customers in innovative solutions.
21	In addition, the Company has been at the
22	forefront of demand response and demand-side

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

1 management initiatives, with its signature BQDM 2 program just recently approved by the Commission in December 2014 ("BQDM Order"). Indeed, in the REV 3 proceeding, the Commission has specifically pointed to 4 5 7Con Edison's approach as a model with respect to its willingness to seek non-traditional solutions to 6 7 address electric demand growth. In fact, the BQDM 8 Order (p.2) states: This is the first time that the Commission 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

is requiring a utility to actively and vigorously work to address growth in system demand in a manner other than through traditional utility investment. encouraging deployment of distributed energy resources according to grid needs, offering increased clean energy solutions for customers, and promoting innovation through competition, this proposal is consistent with the vision set forth in the Reforming the Energy Vision (REV). By this Order, the Commission is making a significant step forward toward a regulatory paradigm where utilities incorporate alternatives to traditional infrastructure investment when considering how to meet their planning and reliability needs. The program established herein provides an important opportunity to consider and observe the means by which the Commission's objectives for the REV proceeding may be achieved in the marketplace, through a demand-side management program using nontraditional utility and customer-side solutions to offset or eliminate the need for traditional utility infrastructure. [emphasis added]

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

- 1 Q. Does the Company's rate filing reflect any additional
- 2 programs designed to enable the concepts being
- 3 addressed in the REV proceeding?
- 4 A. Yes. As discussed by the Company's EIOP and Customer
- 5 Operations Panel, a number of the Company's planned
- 6 projects and programs, including the Technology
- 7 Roadmap components of AMI and the upgrade to the
- 8 coned.com website, further REV goals.
- 9 Q. Are all of the Company's plans to implement REV
- included in this rate filing?
- 11 A. No. With respect to projects and programs that
- 12 address concepts directly at issue in the REV
- proceeding, like the Distributed System Platform
- 14 ("DSP"), REV demonstration projects, and demand-side
- management programs that have been addressed outside
- 16 Company base rate proceedings, the Company is planning
- to submit a separate filing to the Commission
- 18 discussing its plans and proposals, and a mechanism
- for implementing such proposals.
- 20 Q. Why is the Company addressing REV initiatives in a
- 21 filing separate from this base rate filing?
- 22 A. The Company chose the vehicle of a separate filing for

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

several reasons.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

made.

First, since the Commission has not yet issued its Track One order in the REV proceeding, and the Track Two Straw proposal has been moved to the second quarter of 2015, it is premature to develop specific projects and proposals for inclusion in base rates. In fact, the Commission itself recently issued a December 12, 2014 Notice Encouraging Development of Demonstration Project Proposals ("Notice") in the REV proceeding encouraging utilities to work with stakeholders to develop potential demonstration projects "so that they will be better prepared to act promptly to initiate their proposals once the Commission has made its REV Track One policy determinations." (Notice at 1). The Notice also recognizes that "utilities may need to propose cost allocation methodologies and cost recovery mechanisms to support such projects, especially when projects emerge outside the rate case process." Id. at 1-2. This is the case for Con Edison because the Track One order will issue after this rate filing has been

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1		Second, the Commission will likely issue its
2		decision on this rate filing in December 2015. The
3		Company therefore concluded that if its REV proposals
4		were part and parcel of this rate proceeding, the
5		Commission could not act on, and the Company would not
6		be able to implement, these proposals until January
7		2016 at the earliest. By making a separate REV filing
8		the Company has the opportunity to begin work on REV
9		projects and programs earlier than January 2016, as
10		the Commission deems appropriate.
11		The flexibility gained from a separate filing
12		will allow the Company to proceed with REV projects in
13		a timely manner (e.g., in pursuing demonstration
14		projects following issuance of the Track One order)
15		and is therefore of value to the Commission, the
16		Company, and to stakeholders that would benefit from
17		implementation of Con Edison REV programs as soon as
18		practicable.
19	Q.	Is there another reason why the Company elected to
20		present its REV plans and proposals in a separate
21		filing?

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

- of REV projects should be other than through base
- delivery rates.
- 3 Q. Please explain why.
- 4 A. The consideration of projects and programs in rate
- 5 proceedings involves a long and detailed process.
- 6 Generally, the utility needs to develop its proposed
- 7 projects and programs several months in advance of the
- 8 filing, and then provide specific elements of the
- 9 projects and programs, including costs. The utility
- 10 has an opportunity to update its proposals at a point
- in time during the schedule determined by the
- 12 presiding officer, and then, following hearing and/or
- 13 settlement, await a Commission decision more than a
- year from the time the utility first developed its
- proposals. For Con Edison, that would have meant
- developing specific proposals no later than November
- 17 2014. Not only did the Company determine that
- 18 development of specific proposals in this timeframe
- 19 would be premature, for demonstration projects it
- 20 would have precluded the collaboration with
- 21 stakeholders contemplated by the Notice. For these
- reasons, this rate filing is not an appropriate

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

POLICY PANEL - ELECTRIC

1		vehicle to effectively and efficiently implement REV
2		projects and programs.
3	Q.	Is there precedent for pursuing projects and programs
4		of this nature outside of a base rate proceeding?
5	Α.	Yes, there is. The Company's current TDSM and BQDM
6		programs both provide for the development and
7		implementation of projects and programs outside of a
8		base rate proceeding and for the Company to recover
9		its costs through the MAC. The Commission's Notice
10		expressly contemplates cost recovery mechanisms for
11		demonstration projects other than through base rates.
12	Q.	Did the BQDM Order direct the Company to "propose to
13		remove unrecovered deferred BQDM Program costs from
14		the surcharge and propose to include such costs in the
15		Company's revenue requirement to be collected from
16		customers through base rates"?

17 A. Yes. As explained by the Company's Accounting Panel,
18 the timing of the BQDM Order precluded the Company
19 from reflecting BQDM expenditures to date in the
20 revenue requirement. Pursuant to the Commission's
21 directive, the Accounting Panel discusses a process
22 for reflecting deferred BQDM costs in base rates.

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

- 1 Q. Does that conclude your testimony?
- 2 A. Yes, it does.