

VDER Rate Design Working Group Joint Utilities Presentations

February 8, 2018



national**grid**









Agenda and Preliminary Matters

• Meeting Objective:

The purpose of the meeting is to provide an overview of rate design and NY utility cost data so that all stakeholders will have a similar understanding of rate design fundamentals, and can use common language and data to develop and discuss rate design proposals in this process.

- Agenda:
 - Rate design elements overview
 - NY utilities to present on their cost classifications
 - Rate design example

FUNDAMENTALS OF RATE DESIGN Rate Design Elements Overview

Examples of Possible Rate Design Approaches Noted in Staff's Guidance Rate Design and Bill Impact Analysis Document	Applies to Delivery	Applies to Commodity
Demand Charges	\checkmark	\checkmark
Fixed Subscription Fees	\checkmark	
Minimum Bills	\checkmark	
Grid Access Charge	\checkmark	
TOU Pricing	\checkmark	\sim
Critical Peak Pricing	\checkmark	\checkmark
Seasonal/Tiered Pricing	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reduced or Increased Customer Charge	\checkmark	
Standby Rates	\checkmark	
Market Based Rates - Energy and Capacity Supply		\checkmark

FUNDAMENTALS OF DELIVERY RATE DESIGN

Demand Charges: Delivery Service (Non-coincident (NCP) and Coincident Peak (CP))



• <u>Coincident Peak (CP) demand</u>: a customer's demand at time of maximum system or local demand.

Slide 3

FUNDAMENTALS OF DELIVERY RATE DESIGN Subscription Service

Rate Design Illustration Fixed Charge based on kW Demand Range + additional usage \$160 \$140 \$ per Month \$120 \$100 \$80 \$60 \$40 \$20 \$0 2 3 5 8 9 10 10+ 1 4 6 7 Subscription Level - 1 kW Increments

Rate Design Considerations

- Fixed delivery charge based on kW usage range (measured using annual peak demand)
- Charge does not vary with kWh usage
- Single charge includes customer and other delivery costs
- Favors customers with high annual load factor

- Determination of kW usage ranges
- Determination of individual customer subscription kW levels
 - Customer choice (any minimum)
 - Default level based on history
- Measurement of actual demands:
 - Demand interval
 - Number of measurements/averaging
 - Time period for measurement
- Need to address actual demands that exceed subscription level:
 - Additional charge for excess kW
 - Reset subscription

FUNDAMENTALS OF DELIVERY RATE DESIGN Minimum Bill

Rate Design Illustration	Rate Design Considerations	Rate Design Decisions
Residential Monthly Example: \$30 Minimum Bill \$250 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$150 \$150 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	 Fixed charge for customer-related costs and a minimum level of kWh or kW consumption Ensures that each customer makes some minimum contribution to the recovery of utility costs regardless of consumption Some customers may be adversely affected if they use less than the minimum consumption amount 	 Minimum bill amount must be determined. Minimum consumption amount must be determined. Can also be set as a minimum dollar amount, regardless of consumption

Based on minimum bill of \$30 for 0 to 200 kWh and volumetric rate of \$0.10654 /kWh for consumption in excess of 200 kWh/month.

FUNDAMENTALS OF DELIVERY RATE DESIGN Grid Access Charge



Based on Access Charge \$3 / kW of Demand of Customer's installed solar capacity

FUNDAMENTALS OF RATE DESIGN

Time-of-Use (TOU) - Distribution Service: Two Period Example



FUNDAMENTALS OF RATE DESIGN Critical Peak Pricing

Hourly Hourly Critical Period Period Off-Peak Period Off-Peak Period Off-Peak Period Off-Peak Period Time of Day Time of Day

Source: Demand Response At Southern Company, Leonard Haynes July 15, 2007

Rate Design Considerations Critical peak pricing (CPP): CPP event declared when high Wholesale market (NYISO) prices or Determine magnitude of the CPP charge

high delivery system loads are

for specified time period

• Typically, a two-part TOU rate

for when a CPP event is called

- High prices are charged on Event Day

specified conditions are met; expected

number of event days may be 10 - 20

structure applies at all times except

- Decisions on Commodity TOU periods

are similar to decisions on Delivery

- Event days are declared when pre-

expected

TOU periods

- Constant charge for all events
- Charge that varies by event (also known as variable peak pricing (VPP).
- Event day Notification options:
 - Day ahead or Short notice e.g., 4 hours
- CPP period options:
 - Set duration for all Event days (e.g., 5 hours) or vary (e.g., 1 5 hours)
 - Hours of potential CPP periods could be set (e.g., CPP between 1 pm and 8 pm)
- CPP Peak and Off-peak rates would be lower than two-part TOU Peak and Off Peak rates (CPP pricing is revenue neutral)
- CPP has been offered both as an opt-in or opt-out option
- Any true up mechanism to address differences in events called and event assumptions used in rate design.

Slide 8

FUNDAMENTALS OF RATE DESIGN Seasonal / Tiered Pricing

Rate Design Illustration



Rate Design Considerations

- Seasonal rates generally reflect differences in cost and demand
 - Commodity and / or Delivery rates are higher in high demand season
- Under tiered rates, the rates per kwh or kw can increase or decrease with monthly usage.

- For seasonal pricing, the seasonal differential must be determined.
- For tiered pricing, rate design must determine (a) number of blocks; (b) kW or kWh breakpoints for each block and (c) rate for each block
- Tiered Pricing:
 - A customer's charge per kW or kWh changes as the customer's monthly demand or usage increases
 - Rate design decisions:
 - $\circ~$ Tiers (blocks) of demand or usage
 - o Rate to be charged for each block
 - Alternative block structures: Declining or Inclining (Inverted)

FUNDAMENTALS OF DELIVERY RATE DESIGN Reduced or Increased Customer Charges

Rate Design Illustration Example Residential Customer Charges Shortfall \$14.00 vs ECOS \$12.00 \$10.00 per month \$8.00 \$6.00 Э \$4.00 \$2.00 \$0.00 ECOS\$/ Low Customer Current Customer **Customer Charge** Charge

Rate Design Considerations

• Fixed monthly charge associated with the presence of a customer on the utility system.

- Common arguments for increasing customer charge, compared to current rates:
 - ECOS typically indicates that customer charges are significantly less cost
 - Higher customer charge would:
 - Reduce subsidization of low use customers by high use customers in class
 - Reduce cost shifting to DER nonparticipants
- Common arguments for decreasing customer charge, compared to current rates:
 - Higher kWh and kW charges resulting from lower customer charges incent energy efficient behavior and investments
 - Higher kWh charges may encourage desired market and policy outcomes including energy efficiency and peak load reduction

FUNDAMENTALS OF DELIVERY RATE DESIGN Standby Rates

Rate Design Illustration

Rate Design Considerations

- Customer Charges recover full customer costs.
- Contract Demand Charges recover the costs of "local" facilities.
- Daily As-Used Demand Charges recover the costs of "shared" facilities.
- No delivery charges assessed on a per kWh basis.

- Determination of costs to be included in contract demand charges vs daily as-used demand charges
- Measurement of as-used demands:
 - Demand interval
 - Number of measurements/averaging
 - Time period for measurement
- Need to address actual demands that exceed contract demand level:
 - Additional charge for excess kW
 - Reset contract demand

FUNDAMENTALS OF RATE DESIGN VDER Stack – Prosumer Rate Option



FUNDAMENTALS OF COMMODITY RATE DESIGN Market Based – Energy and Capacity Supply

Rate Design Considerations Rate Design Decisions Commodity rates reflect market prices Must determine approach Alternative approaches to market pricing Must determine parameters for selected approach - Single volumetric rate set monthly based on forecasted supply costs. - Single volumetric rate set monthly based on actual NYISO energy prices for the customer's billing period, load weighted by class load shape. Capacity included on a volumetric basis. - Peak and off-peak volumetric rates set monthly based on actual NYISO energy prices for the customer's billing period, load weighted by class load shape. Capacity included on a volumetric basis. - Hourly pricing based on NYISO hourly energy prices for customer's billing period. Capacity included on a volumetric basis. - Hourly pricing based on NYISO hourly energy prices for customer's billing period. Capacity assessed based on ICAP tags. Market based energy and capacity hourly pricing is generally implemented for service classifications with largest customers

FUNDAMENTALS OF RATE DESIGN Commodity vs. Delivery / overlapping price signals



Rate Design Considerations

- Distribution TOU rate design may not be consistent with Supply TOU (or CPP) rate design
 - Distribution TOU rate design parameters (e.g., Peak period defined, Peak / Off-peak rate differentials) determined by utility (or location) load patterns
 - Supply TOU (or CPP) rate design parameters determined by combination of utility and NYISO load patterns
- For example: if proposed rate design includes both TOU rates for Distribution and Supply, and "optimal" peak period hours are different for Distribution and Supply, additional analysis is required to determine effect of sub-optimal TOU rate design parameters on principles and objectives.

- Should Delivery Peak period and Commodity Peak period provide consistent price signals?
 - Delivery Peak period duration and start time
 - Commodity Peak period duration and start time

Under the current rate structure, there is a misalignment between revenues and costs

- Delivery costs are mainly fixed/demand related, but a significant portion of delivery costs are recovered through volumetric charges
- Critical to shift delivery rate design to a more cost based rate structure to promote more efficient use of the electric delivery system

Con Edison SC No. 1 Residential Class Customer Demand-Related Volumetric \$2,500 Millions Total \$1,953 Total \$1,953 \$2,000 \$1,500 \$1,209 \$1,363 \$1,000 \$500 \$744 \$590 30% 38% \$-**Revenues** Costs

Breakdown of Demand-Related Costs (\$M)				
Transmission	\$	240		
Primary	\$	524		
<u>Secondary</u>	<u>\$</u>	445		
Total Demand Related	\$	1,209		

Source: 2013 Electric Embedded Cost of Service Study

Orange and Rockland SC No. 1 Residential Class



Breakdown of Demand-Related Costs (\$M)			
Transmission	\$	29	
Primary	\$	66	
<u>Secondary</u>	<u>\$</u>	30	
Total Demand Related	\$	125	

Source: 2015 Electric Embedded Cost of Service Study

NYSEG SC No. 1 Residential Class Customer Demand-Related Volumetric \$350 Millions Total \$294 Total \$294 \$300 \$250 \$115 \$178 \$200 \$150 \$100 \$180 \$116 \$50 61% 39% \$-Costs Revenues

Breakdown of Demand-Related Costs (\$M)				
Transmission	\$	43		
Distribution	<u>\$</u>	72		
Total Demand Related	\$	115		

Source: 2013 Electric Embedded Cost of Service Study

RG&E SC No. 1 Residential Class



Breakdown of Demand-Related Costs (\$M)				
\$	29			
<u>\$</u>	<u>58</u>			
\$	86			
	Related \$ <u>\$</u> \$			

Source: 2013 Electric Embedded Cost of Service Study

National Grid



Breakdown of Demand-Related Costs (\$M)			
Transmission	\$	172	
Primary	\$	222	
<u>Secondary</u>	<u>\$</u>	107	
Total Demand Related	\$	501	

Central Hudson SC No. 1 Residential Classes



Breakdown of Demand-Related Costs (\$M)				
Production	\$	1		
Transmission	\$	43		
Primary	\$	27		
<u>Secondary</u>	<u>\$</u>	11		
Total Demand Related	\$	83		

Notes:

Costs are based on ACOS study, Corrections & Update Testimony Filing, July 10, 2017, Case 17-E-0238. Pass through charges are not included.

Revenues are delivery charge revenues, Rate Year 1, Joint Proposal January 19, 2018, Case 17-E-0238. Deferral credits and other pass through charges are not included; tax credits are included.

Central Hudson cost classification excludes merchant function charges.

RATE DESIGN EXAMPLE Steps for Rate Development



RATE DESIGN EXAMPLE Illustrative Example – SC 1 Residential

Step 1: Service Class Revenue Requirement				\$500,000,000	
Step 2: Identify Desired Rate Elements and Cost Allocation					
a) Customer Charge to collect 30% of delivery revenue requireme	ent				
Rationale: Current rate, within range of customer cost r	represented in ECOS	cost study			
 b) Demand charge to collect remaining 70% of delivery revenue r Half through On-Peak Demand Charge, Summer Seas Rationale: Recovers all transmission costs and portion Provides strong price signal reduce or sh 3:1 Peak/Off-Peak price ratio 	equirement on (J, J, A, S), week of distribution costs; hift load during time s	days, Noon-8 system is like	3:00 pm ly to peak;		
 Half through Off-Peak Demand Charge, all months Rationale: Recovers remaining distribution costs 					
Step 3: Determine Annual Billing Determinants for Each Rate Ele	ment				
- Number of Customer Months: - On-Peak Demand, kW, Summer Months, Noon-8:00 pm: - Off-Peak Demand, kW All Months, all other hours:		700,000	x 12 months =	8,400,000 8,750,000 28,000,000	
Step 4: Calculate Unit Rates for Each Rate Element					
 Total Revenue Requirement Amount through Customer Charge Amount through Demand Charge 			\$500,000,000 \$150,000,000 \$350,000,000		
 Customer Charge On-Peak Demand Charge, Summer, M-F, Noon-8:00 pm Off-Peak Demand Charge, All Months, all other hours 	\$150,000,000 \$175,000,000 \$175,000,000	 	8,400,000 = 8,750,000 = 28,000,000 =	\$17.86 \$20.00 \$6.25	

Slide 20

Meeting Objective Review and Questions

- The purpose of the meeting is to provide an overview of rate design and NY utility cost data so that all stakeholders will have a similar understanding of rate design fundamentals, and can use common language and data to develop and discuss rate design proposals in this process.
- Questions?