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CHAIRMAN RHODES: I'd like to call this meeting on the board on Electric Generation Siting and the Environment order.

Before moving to the agenda, I would like to introduce each member of the board beginning with the ad hoc member Ms. Joan Treadwell-Woods who is participating via video conference from the Office of the Department of Environmental Conservation located in the Dulles State Office Building in Watertown. Thank you, Ms. Treadwell-Woods for your service to the Board in this matter.

SECRETARY BURGESS: Excuse me, Chair. I don't think your microphone's on.

CHAIRMAN RHODES: It's -- it's green.
SECRETARY BURGESS: Is it on? I don't think we're picking --.

MS. TREADWELL-WOODS: I hear you. CHAIRMAN RHODES: Do I need to repeat? THE COURT REPORTER: No, go ahead. CHAIRMAN RHODES: Am I better now? THE COURT REPORTER: A little better, thank you.

CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you. And
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Brian Ossias, assistant counsel is available for questions. John, please begin.

MR. SIPOS: Thank you. Good afternoon, Chair Rhodes, siting board members and alternate designees. The matter before this siting board today concerns a interlocutory appeal by a party in the Galloo Island Article 10 proceeding from an earlier ruling by the examiners denying intervenor funding to that party. The focus on the -- the focus of the proposed draft order before you today in my briefing will focus on the procedural question and the procedural posture of whether the siting board should consider the appeal at this time.

And by way of background, in this case the hearing examiners denied Cara and Anthony Dibnah's request for intervenor funding to participate in this stage of the proceeding. Interlocutory review of a ruling will be available and may be sought but only in extraordinary circumstances, and that is pursuant to 16 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 4.7. Ultimately, the determination of whether extraordinary circumstances exists is fact specific and is done on a case by case basis.

Here the Dibnah's have been permitted to participate as a party but have not been awarded intervenor funding to cover expenses that they may incur.
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The draft order before the siting board today finds that the interlocutory appeal does not demonstrate extraordinary circumstances as required by the aforementioned Section 4.7. Specifically and contrary to the Dibnah's assertion, there is ample opportunity for meaningful participation in the application process even without intervenor funding.

As noted, the Dibnah's were granted party status meaning that they can participate in the same manner as they have previously done. For example, as noted, they retained access to the public information coordinator's office, can engage in discovery, submit exhibits and otherwise engage in the proceeding. Should the Dibnah's ultimately believe that such measures and opportunities are inadequate, nothing precludes them from retaining counsel at their own cost to proceed and represent -- to proceed in the proceeding and represent their interests.

This concludes this summary of our presentation. And Brian and I are available should the board have any questions.

CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you, John and Brian. I find this to be a straightforward matter based on the laws described and the facts as presented. So it's
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very clear and helpful to me. Thank you. Are there any
comments or questions from my fellow board members? Shall
I begin with you, Louis?
    MR. ALEXANDER: I have no questions.
    DR. LEWIS-MICHAEL: I have no questions.
    MR. WILLIAMS: No questions.
    CHAIRMAN RHODES: Vince, in New York?
    MR. RAVASCHIERE: No questions.
    CHAIRMAN RHODES: All right. Ms. Joan
Treadwell-Woods?
    MS. TREADWELL-WOODS: Comments -- I do have
    some comments.
        CHAIRMAN RHODES: Please.
        MS. TREADWELL-WOODS: Thank you for your
        earlier words of introduction, Mr. Chairman. They were
        very kind. I'd also like to thank some other individuals
        who have helped me with getting on board with this process
        in -- in joining the siting board. Kate Burgess and her
        staff have been extremely helpful. And also the three
        administrative law judges I would like to extend my thanks
        to them even though I know they're not present. But
        Ashley Moreno, Jim Costello and Mike Caruso have extended
        themselves to ensure that I fully understand and
        participate.
```
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CHAIRMAN RHODES: Do you want to just -- as
a precautionary note, Jim and Ashley are here.
MS. TREADWELL-WOODS: Oh, they are, okay.
CHAIRMAN RHODES: Before you -- if you'd
like to embarrass them please go ahead, but they're here. MS. TREADWELL-WOODS: Okay. Okay. Well, that's better. I'm delighted that they're there. But I just wanted to acknowledge their -- their helpful assistance. I do have some brief comments and I -- if you can see my pages it looks like I've brought a term paper, but I assure you I haven't. It just has very large print so I can see it. So I'll be pretty brief.

But since my appointment in 2016 I have attended sessions with the three administrative law judges regarding the application of Galloo Island Wind. Today this is my quick involvement in a meeting of the New York State Board on Electric Generation Siting and the Environment. It is my pleasure to meet with you all. I do have concerns about the proposed order, and I'd like you to understand those concerns. These concerns are addressing the exclusionary approaches being used to limit the scope of those receiving intervenor funds. I would like to briefly describe these concerns so that you have a better understanding of my
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On page six of the proposed order, there's a sentence there that begins with, given the legislature's specific inclusion of a definition of local parties, and it -- and then it goes on for the rest of the sentence -I disagree with that -- that statement. And I cannot support it. I find it hard to believe that the intents of the legislature or the authors of P.S.L. Article 10 were to be so exclusionary.

If their intents were indeed an exclusionary, then shame on them and the consequential harm to northern New York and to all of New York State. After much reading, I see no discreet specific place in the statutes that prohibits intervenor funds from being distributed to all local parties. Although there are some definitions and there are what $I$ call connections of dots, which can lead to an interpretation of exclusion, I believe other interpretations are possible.

I also contend that the Dibnah's situation being what we in northern New York call seasonal residents, could be termed an extraordinary circumstance. And let me further explain. Jefferson County, which includes -- also includes the Town of Hounsfield, has a significant percentage of residents whom we call seasonal.
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And our local economies heavily depend on them. Many seasonals are preparing for their eventual retirement in Northern New York. These seasonal people represent a huge portion of the town and county tax base and they spend considerable monies in addition. Some start new businesses and they support our local communities in many other ways with their volunteerism. These seasonal folks often are owners of undeveloped properties or properties needing improvement. We in Jefferson County welcome these seasonal residents, and we want them here. We want more to come here. We do not want to give them reasons to leave. We do not want to chase them away. Our towns, our county and our local economies heavily depend on them. These seasonal residents are very much impacted by exclusionary practices to limit the scope of eligible applicants for intervenor funds.

Without access to such funds, these people are at high risk of being able to defend their properties and property values, especially so in the Dibnah's situation. They were refused funds in August of 2016 during the preapplication phase, and now the recommendation is that they again be refused. This is really a travesty especially when their property, which

$$
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$$ shares the island of Galloo with Galloo Island Wind is on the National Register of Historic Places.

I'm going to conclude now. These are -these are my views and my input into a process that it appears to be exclusionary and far from being equitable. I am very concerned that the objectives of having a whole process and a complete record for the siting board to review are not being met. And I thank you very much for listening to me.

CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you very much for these thoughtful comments. John, Brian, are you in a position to respond?

MR. SIPOS: Given the procedural posture that this appeal is in, given that it is an interlocutory appeal, further discussion about the hearing examiner's application as well as the underlying statute I -- I would suggest at this time would be not appropriate by a counsel as in this case the Dibnah's did present an appeal. That appeal is measured against Section 4.7 and the extraordinary circumstances requirement. And the proposed draft order that is before your Honors today addresses that procedural context.

The -- the typical American rule in -- in -- in jurisprudence is for their not to be interlocutory
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appeals as that can lead to piecemeal litigation and every -- one consequence is that every order of the -- a lower tribunal or a trial court could then -- can then be appealed as soon as it is made. The typical rule is for there to be an appeal at the end of the entire proceeding. And in this situation, in this circumstance, the draft order concludes that the Dibnah's did not satisfy the extraordinary circumstance stance.

CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you very much. May

```
I just ask a question?
```

It's my understanding that -- it's my understanding that multiple parties have, in fact, received intervenor funding in this case. And that they have put it to -- I'll use a non-legal term, good use, in terms of articulately and eloquently making their views known and developing, supporting support for those views. Is it the case to your understanding that interview -intervenor funding has flowed in this case and has been used by at least some -- and again I'll use the non-legal word, active intervenors?

MR. SIPOS: That is correct, Chair.
CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you. Are there any further comments or discussion that we need to have?

Seeing none, I will proceed to call for a
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    vote with the facts and interpretation of laws presented
to us and acknowledging that this is against the
background of an active -- a case with active intervenors.
My vote will be in favor of the recommendation to deny the
request for interlocutory review as filed by Anthony and
Cara Dibnah as described. I'll now proceed to ask my
board colleagues. Mr. Alexander, how do you vote?
    MR. ALEXANDER: My vote is also in favor.
    CHAIRMAN RHODES: Dr. Lewis-Michael?
        DR. LEWIS-MICHAEL: My also is to approve
the order.
        CHAIRMAN RHODES: Vince -- Mr. Ravaschiere?
        MR. RAVASCHIERE: In favor as well.
        CHAIRMAN RHODES: Mr. Williams?
        MR. WILLIAMS: In favor.
        CHAIRMAN RHODES: Ms. Treadwell-Woods?
        MS. TREADWELL-WOODS: Sorry, I was on mute.
        Not in favor.
            CHAIRMAN RHODES: There are four votes in
        favor. The matter is approved and the recommendation is
        adopted. Secretary Burgess, is there anything further to
        come before us today?
                            SECRETARY BURGESS: There's nothing further
to come before you today.
```
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    MR. ALEXANDER: Mr. Chairman --
    CHAIRMAN RHODES: Please.
    MR. ALEXANDER: -- if -- if I may just note
for clarification for the record, I know that the two
D.P.S. A.L.J.s were referenced as being in attendance. I
also wanted to note for the record that the D.E.C. A.L.J.
assigned to this matter is also in attendance today.
    CHAIRMAN RHODES: That's a fantastic
clarification. Thank you. So with that before we
adjourn, may I -- may I thank the board members, and
especially thank Joan Treadwell-Woods for her -- for her
care to this matter. We are adjourned. Thank you.
    (Off the record 2:15 p.m.)
```
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